Management of multiple sclerosis in adolescents – current treatment options and related adherence issues
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Abstract: Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a progressive inflammatory demyelinating disorder of the central nervous system that is increasingly recognized in children and adolescents. This realization comes with additional concerns about existing therapeutic options and the impact of the disease on health-related outcomes of adolescents with MS. This five-part review provides an update on management strategies relevant to the pediatric MS population. The first section gives an overview on the epidemiology and natural history of early onset MS. The second section outlines currently available MS treatments, including medications during acute relapses and long-term immunomodulatory therapies. The third section highlights adherence issues pertaining to MS, including the challenges uniquely faced by adolescents. The fourth section provides a summary of research into quality of life and psychosocial consequences of pediatric onset MS. Attention is drawn to the grief experience of affected adolescents and the importance of peer relationships. Finally, the family resilience framework is presented as a conceptual model to facilitate optimal adaptation of adolescents with MS. Healthcare professionals can promote resilience and treatment adherence by ensuring that these individuals and their families are sufficiently informed about available MS treatments, providing instrumental support for managing potential medication side effects, and addressing age-appropriate developmental needs.
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Introduction

MS is an acquired immune-mediated disorder of the central nervous system that results in demyelination and axonal injury. The precise etiology is unknown. Under the influence of adhesion molecules, matrix metalloproteinases, and proinflammatory cytokines, auto-reactive T-cells invade the central nervous system and produce characteristic perivenular inflammatory MS lesions. 1 Many genetic and environmental factors including exposure to passive smoking, Epstein-Barr virus, and suboptimal vitamin D status likely play important roles in the pathogenesis. 2-4 Although MS affects primarily young adults between the ages of 20 to 40 years, it can also present during childhood and adolescence. The incidence of pediatric onset MS from one study in Germany was 0.3 per 100,000. 5 In a longitudinal study of Canadian children under 18 years of age, the incidence of first acute demyelinating syndromes including optic neuritis, acute disseminated encephalomyelitis, transverse myelitis, and neuromyelitis optica was 0.9 per 100,000. 6 Differences in the case definition for acute disseminated encephalomyelitis or MS, geographical location, and duration of follow-up likely accounted for much of the variation in the reported rates of early onset MS. The prevalence of pediatric MS from prospective studies was approximately 5% (2.2% to 4.4%) of all MS cases. 7-10
Other retrospective series suggested that up to 10% of patients had onset of MS before 18 years of age.11,12 The majority of pediatric MS occurred during adolescence (see Table 1).

### Natural history and management issues in adolescent multiple sclerosis

According to the International Pediatric MS Study Group (IPMSSG) consensus definitions, the diagnosis of pediatric MS can be made after two distinct clinical episodes of central nervous system demyelination separated by at least 30 days, after excluding other conditions such as infection, vasculitis, genetic disorders, metabolic diseases, or malignancy.2,13 Currently acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM) is not included as an initial demyelinating event for the diagnosis of pediatric MS, nor can it be used to determine dissemination in time or space. The presence of encephalopathy, defined by the IPMSSG as an acute change in the level of consciousness or behavior, distinguishes ADEM from other polysymptomatic clinically isolated demyelinating syndromes.13 Individuals who present with ADEM will require two non-ADEM episodes before being considered as having MS; up to 28% of children with initial ADEM-like events may develop MS.14

In the absence of a second clinical demyelinating event, brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is helpful for confirming the diagnosis of MS. The presence of new T2-hyperintense or gadolinium-enhanced brain MRI lesions at least three months after the initial clinical event serves to fulfill the criteria for dissemination in time. The revised McDonald criteria for dissemination in space include three of the following: a) nine or more white matter lesions or one gadolinium-enhancing lesion, b) three or more periventricular lesions, c) one or more juxtacortical lesion, and d) one or more infratentorial lesion.15 However, these adult criteria may not be sensitive enough for pediatric MS.16 A proposed modification for pediatric MS included at least two of the following: five or more lesions, two or more periventricular lesions, or at least one or more brainstem lesion; however, further validation is required.17,18 Other diagnostic tests include cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis, aquaporin-4 IgG antibody for neuromyelitis optica, visual evoked potentials, and optical coherence tomography.19,20 The presence of CSF oligoclonal bands (or an elevated IgG index) plus two or more MRI lesions can also serve to fulfill the dissemination in space criteria for MS.15

Most individuals with pediatric MS present initially with a relapsing and remitting course; permanent disability can occur due to incomplete recovery during a relapse. Common presenting features during an acute demyelinating event in pediatric MS include gait ataxia, sensory complaints, motor weakness, visual loss, and/or brainstem dysfunction.19,21 The female to male ratio of pediatric MS increases with age, from 0.8 under age 6 years, to 1.6 between 6 to 10 years, and

### Table 1 | Prevalence of pediatric onset multiple sclerosis from selected studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study year and location</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Number (%) of early-onset cases</th>
<th>Subgroup (%) with age range in years at onset of symptoms</th>
<th>Female to male ratio</th>
<th>Number (%) and types of multiple sclerosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duquette et al 1987, Canada11</td>
<td>4,632</td>
<td>125 (2.7%)</td>
<td>8 (6%) ≤ 10 yrs 117 (94%) 11–16 yrs</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70 (56%) RRMS 27 (22%) PPMS 27 (22%) RPMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghezzi et al 1997, Italy7</td>
<td>3,375</td>
<td>149 (4.4%)</td>
<td>40 (27%) &lt; 13 yrs 109 (73%) 13–16 yrs</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>97 (65%) RRMS 44 (30%) RPMS 8 (5%) PPMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiko et al 2002, Canada8</td>
<td>3,223</td>
<td>129 (3.6%)</td>
<td>12 (20%) ≤ 10 yrs 104 (80%) 11–16 yrs</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>113 (97%) RRMS 3 (3%) PPMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simone et al 2002, Italy12</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>83 (10.4%)</td>
<td>13 (16%) ≤ 10 yrs 26 (31%) 11–13 yrs 44 (53%) 14–16 yrs</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>83 (100%) RRMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renoux et al 2007, France and Belgium9</td>
<td>17,934</td>
<td>394 (2.2%)</td>
<td>30 (8%) ≤ 10 yrs 71 (18%) 11–12 yrs 159 (40%) 13–14 yrs 34 (34%) 15–17 yrs</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>385 (98%) RRMS 9 (2%) PPMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitnis et al 2009, United States10</td>
<td>4,399</td>
<td>135 (3.1%)</td>
<td>3 (2%) ≤ 10 yrs 14 (10%) 11–12 yrs 118 (88%) 13–17 yrs</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>132 (98%) RRMS 3 (2%) PPMS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** RRMS, relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis; PPMS, primary progressive multiple sclerosis; RPMS, relapsing progressive multiple sclerosis.
2.1 for children over 10 years. There is a greater diversity in self-reported ethnicity and race among pediatric MS cases in North America.10,22

In contrast to adult MS, adolescents may experience more relapses initially, but they are more likely to recover from the neurological deficits. According to Gorman et al the annualized relapse rate was significantly higher in pediatric (up to three times greater) than in adult onset relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS).23 Children and adolescents with MS were also found to have a greater MRI disease burden suggestive of a highly inflammatory process in the early onset group.24 Paradoxically, despite early aggressive disease, disability is slower to accrue in pediatric MS, as adolescents may be less vulnerable to brain insults.25 Over time, however, a significant proportion of individuals with early onset MS will develop secondary progressive MS, which is associated with increasing physical disability in the absence of clinical relapses.8,12 Renoux et al studied a cohort of 394 patients with onset of MS at or before 16 years of age from the 1976 to 2003 European Database for Multiple Sclerosis (EDMUS).9 Approximately half (231, 59%) had received one or more disease-modifying treatments during a follow-up period of 17.1 (standard deviation, SD, 13.2) years. 110 (29%) converted to secondary progression at a median time interval of 28.1 (95% confidence interval, CI, 25.0 to 32.1) years from the onset of pediatric MS, around a median age of 41.4 (CI, 37.8 to 45.7) years. Compared to 1,775 patients with onset after 16 years of age and followed without treatment until 1997, pediatric onset MS patients took 10 years longer to reach secondary progression and irreversible disability, but they were 10 years younger when they reached these landmarks.9 The presence of sphincter symptoms at onset, incomplete recovery from the first attack, and a short interval between the first and second attacks were found to be the strongest predictors of long-term physical disability in adults with RRMS.26 The impact of disease-modifying treatments as well as the identification of reliable predictors for significant disability in pediatric onset MS will require further longitudinal studies.

In addition to the progressive nature of the disease, the management of adolescents with MS can be challenging due to several key reasons. Firstly, as pediatric onset MS is relatively uncommon, the diagnosis can be delayed due to under-recognition by clinicians.27 Secondly, MS disease-modifying treatments have not been formally approved for pediatric use due to a lack of double-blinded randomized clinical trials in this age group, and long-term safety data is not available. Thirdly, current disease-modifying treatments are only partially efficacious in reducing subsequent relapses and delaying the onset of permanent disability. Lastly, beyond the physical disability, adolescents with MS are at an increased risk for cognitive impairment and adverse psychological outcome.

Among 37 teens with MS, MacAllister et al found that 22 (59%) had impairment on one or more tasks affecting their academic functioning, including difficulties with complex attention (11/37, 30%), naming (7/37, 19%), and receptive language (5/37, 4%).28 Thirteen (35%) had significant cognitive impairment, with poor performance on at least two tasks. Cognitive impairment for study participants was positively correlated with current Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), number of relapses, and duration of disease. Six out of eight participants had further cognitive decline at one-year follow-up.29 Similarly, in a smaller study of ten children with MS, significant neuropsychological deficits on several cognitive domains were identified, but there was no correlation between impairment and EDSS scores.29

More recently, Amato et al reported that 19 out of 63 (31%) pediatric MS patients had major cognitive impairment (failed $\geq 3$ tests) while 32 (53%) were mildly impaired (failed $\geq 2$ tests), due to deficits in memory, complex attention, executive function, and linguistic abilities.30 Seventeen (28%) patients had an intelligent quotient (IQ) score below 90, including five (8%) with an IQ score less than 70; low IQ was significantly associated with younger age at onset. Remarkably, cognitive defects were detected even in subjects with low level of physical disability.

**Overview of treatment options**

As reviewed by Pohl et al current medical management for pediatric MS includes treatment during acute relapses to hasten recovery from significant neurological impairment, and long-term immunomodulatory therapies to reduce the frequency of clinical relapses and to slow the progression of disability (see Table 2).31 Treatments during acute relapses were largely based on anecdotal reports, and included high dose corticosteroids such as intravenous or oral methylprednisolone.32 Plasma exchange33,34 or intravenous immunoglobulin35,36 can serve as alternatives in case of suboptimal response to corticosteroids.

Long-term immunomodulatory therapies are currently only approved for adult onset MS. Pediatric experience with MS therapies are either derived from retrospective or prospective cohort studies; there are no long-term safety data for pediatric MS. Immunomodulatory therapies for adolescents included intramuscular interferon beta-1a,37-40
subcutaneous interferon beta-1a, subcutaneous interferon beta-1b, and subcutaneous glatiramer acetate. A summary of pediatric experience with MS immunomodulatory therapies is provided in Table 3. Overall, these case series suggest that interferon beta (IFNβ) and glatiramer acetate are safe and well-tolerated, and may contribute to a reduction in relapse rates, MRI activity, or stabilization of disability scores in adolescents with MS. Side effects of immunomodulatory therapies are generally similar for pediatrics as well as adults. The choice of MS disease-modifying therapies will depend on individual patient and family’s preference, after careful consideration of the potential benefits as well as side effects. The availability of immunomodulatory therapies may also vary depending on insurance coverage and financial support.

### Table 2 Current disease-modifying therapies for pediatric multiple sclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Potential side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute relapses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylprednisolone32</td>
<td>20–30 mg/kg, up to 1 gm</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Daily for 3–5 days</td>
<td>Growth retardation, mood change, psychosis, hypertension, hyperglycemia, gastric irritation, avascular necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma exchange33,34</td>
<td>Double-volume exchange</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>5–7 exchanges</td>
<td>Central venous line infection or thrombosis, bleeding, hypocalcemia, metabolic acidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous immunoglobulin35,36</td>
<td>0.4 mg/kg daily × 5 days</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1 gm/kg × 2 days every 2–3 months</td>
<td>Systemic/allergic reaction, headache, aseptic meningitis, venous thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1st line therapies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon beta-1a37–40</td>
<td>30 µg</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Injection site reactions, flu-like illness, elevated liver enzymes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon beta-1a41</td>
<td>22 or 44 µg</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>3 times a week</td>
<td>Injection site reactions, flu-like illness, elevated liver enzymes, depression, systemic reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon beta-1b43</td>
<td>250 µg (8 MIU)</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Every other day</td>
<td>Injection site reactions, flu-like illness, elevated liver enzymes, systemic reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glatiramer acetate44,45</td>
<td>20 mg</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Injection site reactions, transient systemic reaction, Nausea, vomiting, pancytopenia, elevated liver enzymes, pancreatitis, risk of malignancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine41</td>
<td>2.5–3 mg/kg</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2nd line therapies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide42</td>
<td>700–800 mg/m² titrated by WBC, up to 80 gram total</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Induction and/or monthly</td>
<td>Bone marrow suppression, hemorrhagic cystitis, alopecia, infection, infertility, bladder cancer and other malignancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitoxantrone50</td>
<td>12 mg/m², up to 120 mg/m² total</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Every 3 months</td>
<td>Cardiotoxicity, infection, bone marrow depression, early menopause, risk of leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalizumab54,55</td>
<td>3–5 mg/kg, up to 300 mg</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Infection, hypersensitivity reaction, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate49</td>
<td>7.5 mg</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>Anemia, pancytopenia, infection, liver toxicity, gastrointestinal irritation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IV, intravenous injection; IM, intramuscular injection; SC, subcutaneous injection.
Table 3. Pediatric experience with immunomodulatory therapies for multiple sclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study year and country</th>
<th>Drug (number in subgroup)</th>
<th>Treatment period (SD) in months</th>
<th>Total number</th>
<th>Mean age of participants in years (SD)</th>
<th>Female to male ratio</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ghezzi et al 2009,45 Italy (Extended from earlier studies)</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM (77)</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Unspecified.</td>
<td>83/47</td>
<td>Generally well-tolerated; frequency of adverse events were similar to those observed in adults; 30% to 64% switched to alternate therapies due to compliance issues or unsatisfactory clinical response. Overall relapse rate decreased significantly over time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC (36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54 (27)</td>
<td>23 patients were under 12 years old, the remaining 107 patients were 12 years or older</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1b SC (3)</td>
<td>IFNB-1a/1b SC</td>
<td></td>
<td>59 (39)</td>
<td>30% to 64% switched to alternate therapies during follow-up due to compliance issues or unsatisfactory clinical response. Overall relapse rate decreased significantly over time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA SC (14)</td>
<td>GA SC</td>
<td></td>
<td>75 (35)</td>
<td>30% to 64% switched to alternate therapies during follow-up due to compliance issues or unsatisfactory clinical response. Overall relapse rate decreased significantly over time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikaeloff et al 2008,116 France</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM (12)</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15.4 (2.5)</td>
<td>17/7</td>
<td>Significant reduction in relapse rate was noted during first two years, but not after four years of treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC (9)</td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC</td>
<td></td>
<td>66 (43) for entire cohort of 197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1b SC (3)</td>
<td>IFNB-1b SC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghezzi et al 2007, Italy</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM (77)</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>11.7 (2.7)</td>
<td>33/19</td>
<td>Generally well tolerated; annualized relapse rate decreased but did not reach significance; 19 (36%) patients changed therapy or dropped out after a mean duration of 34 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td></td>
<td>43 (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banwell et al 2006,46 Multinational</td>
<td>IFNB-1b SC</td>
<td>IFNB-1b SC</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13.0 (3.0); ≤ 10yr (n = 8), 11–18yr (n = 16)</td>
<td>27/16</td>
<td>Generally safe and well tolerated; 25 (58%) stopped treatment after a median duration of two years; 12 patients switched to other therapies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1b SC</td>
<td></td>
<td>29 (22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenembaum and Segura 2006,22 Argentina</td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC</td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12.7 (range 3.8–17.9); ≤ 10yr (n = 8), 11–18yr (n = 16)</td>
<td>9/15</td>
<td>Generally safe and well tolerated, with reduction in relapse rate; two had adverse effects including polyarthritis and depressive mood. Treatment was stopped in the case with polyarthritis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC</td>
<td></td>
<td>44 (range 12–89)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakdaman et al 2006,47 Iran</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM*</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM*</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>14.6 (range 8.1–17.9)</td>
<td>36/15</td>
<td>Generally safe and well tolerated. Two had adverse effects including systemic edema and depressive mood; the symptoms resolved after discontinuation of treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td></td>
<td>48 (range 1–52)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohl et al 2005,48 Germany</td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC</td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13.2 (range 11–14.5)</td>
<td>10/6</td>
<td>Generally safe and well tolerated; 54 patients on adalimumab therapy were treated for a median duration of 34 months. Five had flu-like symptoms, myalgia, or injection site reaction; reduced relapse rate and disability was noted in treatment group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1a SC</td>
<td></td>
<td>29 (22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kornek et al 2003,49 Austria</td>
<td>GA SC</td>
<td>GA SC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Median 16.6 (range 14.1–17.8)</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>Generally safe and well tolerated; two had injection site indurations and one experienced transient systemic reaction. Three stopped treatment due to on-going relapses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GA SC</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikaeloff et al 2001,116 France</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15.5 (range 10.5–17)</td>
<td>14/2</td>
<td>Generally well tolerated; mostly had side effects including flu-like illness, myalgia, or injection site reactions. Four cases of suboptimal responders were given high doses of IFNB-1b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 (range 6–30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waubant et al 2001,21 United States</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.7 (range 8–15)</td>
<td>7/2</td>
<td>Generally well tolerated; adverse events included flu-like illness, headaches, fever, myalgia, and injection site pain. One switched to azathioprine and another received combination of IFNB-1a and GA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IFNB-1a IM</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 (range 5–36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: IFNB-1a IM: Interferon beta-1a injection 30 µg every week; IFNB-1a SC: Interferon beta-1a subcutaneous injection 22–44 µg three times a week; IFNB-1b SC: Interferon beta-1b subcutaneous injection 250 µg every other day; GA SC: Glatiramer acetate subcutaneous injection 20 mg daily; IFNB-1a IM: Interferon beta-1a intramuscular injection at a reduced dose of 15 µg every week was used in this study.
from regional health authorities. Adolescents should be counseled regarding effective contraceptives before initiating treatment due to potential teratogenicity.

The benefits of INFB may be mediated via a number of immunomodulatory mechanisms, including inhibition of autoreactive T-cells and proinflammatory cytokines. On the other hand, INFB can produce flu-like symptoms, injection site reactions, pancytopenia, and elevation in liver enzymes that necessitates periodic laboratory monitoring. Serious adverse events including depression and systemic reactions such as polyarthritis or generalized edema were rare. Dosage reduction for INFB may be required for underweight or small for age adolescents to minimize drug-related toxicity. Glatiramer acetate (GA) is a synthetic molecule that resembles myelin basic protein, with anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects. It can cause injection site reactions and transient systemic reactions such as facial flushing, palpitations, tachycardia, and chest tightness. GA can be given without dosage adjustment in adolescents.

Definition of treatment failure varies among studies, but it is generally considered when any of the following events occur after being on MS disease-modifying therapies for at least six months: greater than one relapse per year, no decrease in relapse rate from baseline, incomplete recovery from relapses and/or accumulation of disability, new lesions on brain MRI, progressive multifocal disease, and worsening motor or cognitive impairment. Approximately one-quarter of individuals with pediatric onset MS will experience breakthrough disease necessitating a change to an alternate therapy after being on a first-line treatment for a mean duration of 18 months. Chemotherapeutic agents such as methotrexate and azathioprine have been used primarily in adults with MS as second-line therapies, with no long-term pediatric safety or efficacy data. Intravenous cyclophosphamide was given in specialized centers to pediatric MS patients who failed to respond to IFNB or GA. There are currently no longitudinal studies on mitoxantrone for refractory pediatric relapsing-remitting MS. Similarly, natalizumab is restricted as a second-line agent for adults due to risk of life-threatening infections such as progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy or autoimmune complications. Pediatric experience with natalizumab in worsening relapsing-remitting MS is limited to two case reports.

Management of psychological distress and cognitive dysfunction for pediatric MS remains understudied, and the effectiveness of current psychotherapeutic approaches often goes undocumented. MacAllister et al recently provided several recommendations for psychological assessment and intervention, including individual and family therapies to address behavioral, social, emotional, and psychological issues that impact adolescent adjustment to the disease. Supportive services such as summer camps and pen pal programs provide opportunities for adolescents with MS to connect with each other. Finally, cognitive deficits may be addressed in conjunction with the schools through individualized educational strategies such as reduced workload, extended time limits, occupational therapy, and assistive technologies may enable the adolescents to participate in school and enhance their overall health-related quality of life.

Currently it is estimated that up to 80% of adults with MS use complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) at some point during their illness. CAM refers to a broad domain of healing practices that are outside of conventional biomedicine. Although the incidence of CAM use among adolescents with MS is presently unknown, we have found a high rate (44%) of CAM use in a cross-sectional survey among children and youth attending our pediatric neurology clinics. Potentially promising complementary therapies for MS include supplementation with essential fatty acids and/or vitamins, as well as the use of cannabis and yoga for symptomatic disease management. A recent
Adherence issues in adolescents related to treatment

The unpredictable nature of MS creates an ongoing sense of uncertainty that may affect adolescents’ adherence to treatment. Adherence involves patient acceptance and follow-through with treatment plans; adherence is preferred over compliance as it is more empowering to the patient. Patient adherence to treatment recommendations is influenced by a variety of factors including medical, demographic, developmental, cognitive-emotional, motivational, family support, peer support, and quality of interaction with healthcare providers. One major issue related to currently published adherence data is the lack of consistency in how adherence is defined. Nonadherence may be conceptualized as: a) a complete refusal of treatment, b) a refusal of specific treatment options, or c) an unintended or arbitrary modification to prescription. Even more likely is partial nonadherence, such as when patients forget or feel too tired to take a dose.

Adherence data specific to the pediatric MS population is limited. However, the adult population data can provide some insights into treatment adherence issues related to MS. Adult-based studies have reported adherence rates of 36% to 83%. Common reasons for nonadherence included simply forgetting to administer medication, side effects such as injection-site reactions, needlephobia, difficulties with self-injection technique, cost of treatment, cognitive impairment, depression, negative impact on quality of life, unrealistic expectations of treatment efficacy, treatment fatigue, and loss of confidence in therapeutic efficacy during relapse. Factors that were correlated with higher rates of adherence in adult MS patients include older age at disease onset and disease duration less than three years, whereas attempt at more than one disease-modifying therapy contributed to decreased adherence. Furthermore, adults who experience higher self-efficacy and increased hope are more likely to adhere to treatment recommendations.

Treatment adherence for other adolescent chronic illnesses varies considerably. Overall, Kyngas reported that approximately half of adolescents with chronic diseases did not adhere to their treatment regimes. Among 266 adolescents (age 13–17 years) with asthma, Kyngas reported that 42% were fully adherent, 42% were partially adherent, and 18% had poor adherence. Similarly, for adolescents (n = 289) with type 1 diabetes mellitus, complete adherence was identified only in 19% of the study participants, while 75% had partial adherence, and 6% had poor adherence. High nonadherence rates were also found in 232 adolescents with epilepsy, with 22% of them adhering fully, 44% adhering partially, and 34% adhering poorly. Among adolescents with asthma, epilepsy, diabetes, and juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, the best predictors for treatment adherence include motivation, willpower, fear of acute problems, concerns for their own emotional or social well-being, and perceived support from parents, friends, or healthcare professionals.

Most adolescents with MS require consistent administration to optimize the benefits of disease-modifying therapies. As well, there is an increasing body of evidence to support early initiation of immunomodulatory treatments for MS. Consequently, it is important to determine the extent to which adolescent patients are adhering to prescribed treatments. According to one report, compliance was high for this population when accompanied by extensive teaching. However, in another small pediatric MS cohort, eight (47%) adolescents discontinued treatment after a median duration of 20 months. Based on the available data, the cumulative adherence rate to MS disease-modifying therapies was 82% at year one, 75% at year two, 59% at year three, 50% at year four, and 38% at year five to eight. Consistent with another study, adolescent adherence appeared to decrease over time.

The unique developmental attributes during adolescence may contribute to low adherence rates. Adolescence is a critical developmental period marked by significant biological, psychological, and social change. Adolescents are transitioning from dependence to independence, adopting
new emotional and social roles, and learning to adapt to new physiological changes. For the most part, this developmental period can be negotiated without major difficulties; however, some individuals run into barriers, such as having a chronic illness, and may not have adequate resources to overcome these challenges. Adolescents’ sense of omnipotence, cognitive limitations in assessing risks and relative inexperience with long-term consequences (especially with an “invisible” disease like MS) may also lead to the belief that they do not need to follow the treatment plan. In addition, medical treatment can threaten the adolescents’ desire for autonomy by creating a dependence on doctors and caregivers, which may cause the adolescents to reject their recommendations or assistance.

The social systems within which these young patients live also influence their ability to adhere to treatment regimes. Specifically, peer relationships are of critical importance during adolescence. Treatment regimes can have negative implications for peer relationships, such as interrupting or restricting social activities and changing lifestyle. Injection-site reactions and side effects of high dose corticosteroids can also alter physical appearance, resulting in adolescents becoming more self-conscious of their bodies. Feelings of being different can lead to social withdrawal. Pre-existing family dysfunction or other stressors may restrict much-needed caregiver support and impede adolescents’ follow-through with treatment regime.

**Patient focused perspectives on quality of life and treatment acceptability**

Given that late childhood through adolescence is a critical time in social and emotional development, it is important for healthcare professionals to consider the impact of MS and its treatments on the long-term development of the pediatric patients. In order for adolescents with MS to maintain an acceptable quality of life, both their physical and psychosocial needs must be addressed. Currently there are conflicting reports in the literature as to the impact of chronic illness on adolescents’ psychosocial development and health-related quality of life (HRQL). As a result of psychosocial development being defined in different ways, there is no consensus as to whether or not an adolescent with a chronic illness such as MS may experience adjustment difficulties.

As well, there is limited research exploring the impact of a diagnosis of MS on the lives of pediatric patients and their families. The treatment and care of adolescents with MS has been primarily modeled after the strategies developed for adults living with the disease. However, differences in developmental stages impact the applicability of these strategies. Adult-based studies have indicated decreased HRQL as a result of living with MS. Patients with higher levels of disability and/or cognitive impairment are more likely to experience limitations to physical functioning, general health, role limitation, vitality, and social functioning. Sensory, motor, and cognitive symptoms can interfere with activities of daily living. The use of immunomodulatory therapies may also have an effect on HRQL in MS, with variable results depending on the drug and the specific domain of quality of life assessed, though typically in a positive direction.

A number of recent publications have described the potential psychosocial consequences of pediatric MS. Adolescents’ dependence on long-term treatment, need for self-management, physical limitations, and unpredictability of the disease magnifies the differences between these youth and their peers, thus increasing the risk of loneliness. Uncertainty about the disease can also impede treatment adherence, especially if the individual is free of relapses for an extended period of time. Due to their current stage of cognitive development, the youth may have difficulty appreciating the importance of ongoing treatment. Further risk-taking behaviors and unhealthy lifestyle can negatively impact their HRQL. Several studies have identified the presence of depressive or anxious symptoms in pediatric MS patients, ranging from four (6%) out of sixty-three patients with depression in one pediatric MS cohort to six out of thirteen adolescents (46%) with major depression or anxiety disorders in another center. Depressive and anxious symptoms may be a consequence of the disease process or the adolescents’ psychological reaction to the diagnosis. In the study by Amato et al parents also reported adverse behavioral changes in 16 (39%) subjects, including increased anxiety, aggression, and isolation; in addition, the parents were more likely to perceive a greater degree of sleep and/or cognitive disturbance than the adolescents themselves. These studies collectively suggest that MS can result in negative psychological sequelae, especially if adequate support systems are not in place.

Overall, MacAllister et al found that the HRQL was significantly reduced in 51 (33 female, 18 male) pediatric onset MS patients; the majority were adolescents. They reported greater fatigue and difficulties with cognitive, physical, and academic performance compared to historical controls, with more than half experiencing at least mild degree of fatigue. Similarly, Amato et al found that fatigue was common (46/63, 73%) among their study participants. The majority
(56%) of them indicated that MS had a negative influence on school and everyday activities, including absences and reduced sport participation. With fatigue being an “invisible disability,” the reluctance of teens to disclose symptoms might lead to an under-estimation of MS-related symptoms on their overall functioning. Having MS can greatly compromise adolescents’ scholastic achievement, social engagement, and future career opportunities.

In an earlier study, Boyd and MacMillan recruited 12 children and adolescent MS patients from the Pediatric MS Clinic at the Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto, Canada. The researchers identified important social, psychological, and developmental experiences adversely affected by a diagnosis of pediatric MS. They noted that the participants shared common stressors such as unresolved symptoms, unpredictable relapses, missing school, demanding treatment regime, family conflicts, and an uncertain future. The participants’ lives were changed, such that many had to modify their routines as a consequence of their disease and/or treatment. School difficulties due to cognitive challenges or school absenteeism were not uncommon. In addition, participants reported changes in peer relationships, both positively and negatively. Some relationships became stronger; other relationships grew distant. Shifts in identity as a consequence of living with MS were also evident in the same pediatric MS cohort. Participants described developing new appreciation of life after having lived with the disease over time. Even though the diagnosis of MS was accompanied by significant life-changing experiences, many aspects of the participants’ lives remained the same.

In a recent qualitative study, Thannhauser presented the Peer-Grief Dynamic Theory as a preliminary theoretical model to describe the psychosocial experiences of adolescents with MS. Psychosocial development for participants occurred within the context of a grief process. As the adolescent with MS experienced losses related to their health or changes in their sense of normalcy, control, and relationships, they moved through a cyclic grief process. The adolescents vacillated back and forth between grief and acceptance with each loss experience. For some, movement from grief to acceptance became easier with each subsequent loss. Others, however, struggled with the challenge of repeatedly transitioning from grief to acceptance. Peer relationships were interrelated with the grief process as experienced by the adolescents with MS. The grief experience shaped the development of some peer relationships through the deepening of old relationships, creation of new relationships, or the loss of other friendships. However, peer relationships also affected the adolescent’s progression through the grief experience by direct (eg, active support) and indirect (eg, loss of support due to adolescent withdrawing) means. Peers sometimes facilitated the movement through the grief experience and other times impeded the adolescents’ ability to work through the grief. Providing adequate support to process grief is critical for reducing negative psychosocial sequelae of MS.

In a recent study on adolescent adherence to MS disease-modifying therapy, the focus was placed on one category of data entitled “medication-peer tug-of-war” from Thannhauser’s qualitative study. Struggles adolescents faced when attempting to integrate disease-modifying therapies into their daily routines provides a possible explanation for the relatively high nonadherence rate in this age group. The “medication-peer tug-of-war” was comprised of both an internal and an external conflict. The internal tug-of-war pertained to the adolescents’ perception of treatment controlling important aspects of their lives, such as freedom to socialize. This internal tug-of-war also seemed to be tied to the loss of normalcy that many of the adolescents faced following their diagnosis. The loss of normalcy led to feelings of anger and worry, as many adolescents had to embrace changes that were beyond their control. However, one adolescent described a sense of security as long as she kept taking her medication regularly. Another adolescent was able to establish a new normal routine with daily instead of intermittent disease-modifying treatment.

The external tug-of-war occurred between the adolescents and their social world. The peer group can act as a mediator between the participants’ struggles with grief and acceptance of their disease. Negative reactions of peers to the injections, including peers being fearful of the injections, resulting in rejection and isolation, can amplify the experience of loss. Some adolescents withdrew from peers and social activities because of the fear they felt about doing their injections. Alternately, positive responses, including help with injections, interest in learning about the medications, and general emotional support, from peers and other key supportive figures in their lives helped the adolescents’ transition towards acceptance of their disease.

**Discussion**

Because of the relapsing and remitting nature of MS, adolescents and their families may have difficulty adjusting to the fluctuations between attacks and the uncertainty of when the next relapse might recur. Concerns about disease recurrence can lead to parental hypervigilance and create
further conflicts with the adolescents. The family resilience framework developed by Froma Walsh, co-founder and co-director of the Chicago Center of Family Health, can be applied to the pediatric MS population to serve as a guide to target and strengthen key processes that will encourage optimal adaptation of adolescents with MS. Resilience involves a dynamic process that enables individuals “to withstand and rebound from disruptive life challenges, becoming strengthened, and more resourceful.” According to Walsh, the key processes in family resilience focus on: 1) beliefs system including making meaning of adversity, having a positive outlook, plus developing transcendence and spirituality, 2) organization pattern, by providing flexibility, connectedness, social and economic resources, and 3) communication strategy, with emphasis on clarity, open emotional expression, and collaborative problem-solving.

Using Walsh’s conceptual approach, clinicians may be in a better position to help adolescents and their families to gain insight about their illness experience, to appreciate the strengths and vulnerabilities of each family member and the emerging adolescent developmental needs, and to recognize key family beliefs that explain their illness narratives and relationships with healthcare professionals.

Clinicians can also foster the development of resilience through their direct interactions with the adolescents and their families. The discussion regarding the diagnosis and management of MS should take place with both the adolescent and parents present, and they should be encouraged to participate in all treatment decisions. The treating physician should provide written information about the illness and realistic expectations regarding potential benefits of disease-modifying therapies. Treatment side effects should be clearly explained along with appropriate management strategies. For example, co-medication acetaminophen or ibuprofen may help to minimize the flu-like symptoms of IFNB injections. Financial resources including funding for immunomodulatory therapies should be offered regardless of parental employment status or family income.

Parents should encourage their teenagers to meet age-appropriate developmental goals, including gradual transfer of decision-making authority over time. A resilience-oriented approach also draws upon extended family and peer resources as potential mentors and positive role models, as described in the previous section regarding the importance of supportive peer relationships in mediating adolescent adjustment to MS. Clinicians can help families resolve conflicts, identify coping strategies, develop realistic goals, and seek help when needed. Periodic family meetings and multidisciplinary consultations for anticipated transitions, including going away for college and/or transfer to adult services, can facilitate proactive planning and alleviate unnecessary anxiety.

From the adolescents’ perspective, there is a need to restore normalcy in their day-to-day activities. The injections should be incorporated as part of their daily routine, with the least amount of intrusion as possible. Normalizing the psychosocial challenges such as injection phobia, fatigue, and learning difficulties as common and understandable can help them to avoid unnecessary self-blame, shame, or guilt. As well, encouraging the adolescents to take control of their treatment and to learn to inject themselves can enhance their self-esteem and promote a sense of mastery of their own lives. Adherence to MS disease-modifying therapies may be further improved by frequent follow-up via clinic visits or phone calls, being part of a peer support network, and having the options of more convenient, acceptable, and simplified forms of treatment. Emerging oral therapies for relapsing-remitting MS including teriflunomide, cladribine, fingolimod, laquinimod, and fumarate are currently in phase II/III clinical trials. Further studies regarding their safety, tolerability, and efficacy will be required before consideration as alternative treatments for MS.

**Conclusion**

Pediatric MS is a challenging chronic disease that requires multidisciplinary collaboration of healthcare professionals and individualized treatment approach for the adolescent patients and their families. Adherence can be problematic for many adolescents with MS, as the benefits of treatment are not observed immediately, and immunomodulatory therapies may be prescribed early in the disease when the youth are feeling quite healthy. To improve their treatment adherence, clinicians should ensure that these adolescents and their families understand the purpose of MS disease-modifying therapies, have appropriate expectations of treatment benefits, and can manage the potential adverse effects. Hopefully more tolerable medications with better side effects profile and ease of delivery will become available in the near future.
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