#### Infection and Drug Resistance

Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# A Pilot Study of Antibiotic Regimens for Infections Caused by *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a Secondary Hospital in Thailand

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Infection and Drug Resistance

#### Ronnawich Suphansatit<sup>1</sup> Suriyon Uitrakul<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Phang-Nga Hospital, Thai Chang, Phang Nga, Thailand; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Care, School of Pharmacy, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand

Correspondence: Suriyon Uitrakul Department of Pharmaceutical Care, School of Pharmacy, Walailak University, Thasala, Nakhon Si Thammarat 80160, Thailand Tel +66 7567 2822 Email Suriyon.ui@wu.ac.th



**Purpose:** This retrospective pilot study aimed to investigate the antibiotic regimens used to treat *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections at a secondary hospital in southern Thailand. Additionally, the clinical outcomes and mortality of each regimen are described.

**Patients and Methods:** The medical charts of all patients admitted to Phang-Nga Hospital, Thailand, between 1 January 2019 and 31 May 2020 due to *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection were reviewed. Data were collected on the antibiotics that patients received before and after sensitivity testing, along with the clinical cure, mortality rates, and nephrotoxicity. **Results:** Of the 32 inpatients recruited in the study, the most prescribed antibiotic regimen for empirical therapy was beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor monotherapy (22%), and for definitive therapy was meropenem monotherapy (28%). Combination therapy with two, three, or four antibiotics was prescribed less than 50% of cases for both empirical and definitive therapy. Moreover, the results indicated that patients receiving combination therapy had a lower clinical response and higher mortality than those receiving monotherapy. Furthermore, regimens containing colistin did not provide a higher clinical cure compared to those without colistin.

**Conclusion:** The results of this pilot study support the use of monotherapy antibiotic regimens, including ceftazidime and meropenem, for the treatment of *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections in secondary hospitals. However, as these results are from a single hospital with limited number of patients, the application of the results should be done carefully. More patient data from other hospitals will be collected in the next phase of this study. **Keywords:** *Acinetobacter baumannii*, antibiotic regimen, southern Thailand

#### Introduction

Acinetobacter baumannii has been one of the most problematic nosocomial drug resistant bacteria over the past two decades worldwide. In 2019, the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US CDC) reported approximately 8500 cases of carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter in the United States, and over 60% of them tended to be resistant to any extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics, including ampicillin/sulbactam.<sup>1</sup> Likewise, the National Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Center Thailand (NARST) reported that more than 60% of Acinetobacter species in Thailand were resistant to imipenem, ciprofloxacin, cefepime, and piperacillin/tazobactam.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, patients infected with Acinetobacter were found to have a high mortality rate. Several studies indicated that patients with Acinetobacter infections had up to a 49–60% 30-day mortality rate.<sup>3–5</sup>

Infection and Drug Resistance 2020:13 4495-4500

4495

© 2020 Suphansatit and Uitrakul. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress. oun/terms.php and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution — Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/license/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). However, most studies on multi-drug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* were conducted in tertiary or university hospitals in Thailand. These hospitals use many antibiotics every day, thereby having a higher chance of developing antibiotic resistance than secondary hospitals. Regarding the epidemiology of Acinetobacter species by NARST, upper southern Thailand had the lowest prevalence of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAB).<sup>6</sup> Secondary hospitals in upper southern Thailand should therefore have a low incidence of CRAB.

To improve Antibiotic Smart Use in Thailand, the authors were intrigued to investigate the antibiotic regimens used in the treatment of *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections in secondary hospitals in southern Thailand. Phang-Nga Hospital was selected as the first clinical setting in this project. Phang-Nga Hospital is a secondary hospital with 206 beds located in Phang-Nga province, southern Thailand. Although this hospital is in the center of the province because this location is between Phuket and Trang provinces, which have tertiary hospitals, Phang-Nga Hospital does not have many severe patients with infections and has different antibiotic regimens from other tertiary hospitals in Thailand.

This was a pilot study of the main project which aims to compare the antibiotic regimens prescribed in secondary hospitals in Thailand. Phang-Nga Hospital was chosen as the first hospital. The results were compared with previous studies in other tertiary hospitals in Thailand to decide whether the project should continue. This study mainly focusses on the clinical outcomes and mortality rates.

#### **Patients and Methods**

This was a retrospective cohort study. All medical charts of individuals diagnosed with an Acinetobacter baumannii infection between 1 January 2019 and 31 May 2020 at Phang-Nga Hospital, Phang-Nga province, Thailand, were reviewed. Patients were included in the study if they were older than 18 years, were admitted to Phang-Nga Hospital with an infection caused by Acinetobacter baumannii as confirmed by a microbiological culture, and received at least one antibiotic drug during their treatment course. Both hospital- and community-acquired Acinetobacter baumannii infections were included. The exclusion criteria were as follows: patients who were infected with other multiple drug resistant gram-negative bacteria, patients who were infected with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Acinetobacter baumannii found was not the main cause of infection and patients who did not have complete information in their medical charts.

The parameters collected from the included patients were sex, age, diagnosis, comorbid diseases, history of antibiotic use, intensive care unit (ICU) status, septic shock status, mechanical ventilator use, site of infection, culture specimen, other bacteria found, *Acinetobacter baumannii* antimicrobial susceptibility test results, antibiotic use (including drug, dose, route, frequency, and duration) before and after bacterial cultures, seven-day mortality status, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and serum creatinine (SCr) before and after the antibiotic course. In addition, the clinical responses of all patients as determined by a doctor(s) were recorded.

The antimicrobial susceptibility test used in this hospital was the disc diffusion method with the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) determined by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. The breakpoints for each antimicrobial collected are presented in <u>Supplementary Table 1</u>.

Only a few cases of Acinetobacter baumannii infection were expected in this study due to the limited number of patients at this secondary hospital. All patient data were only descriptively analyzed as raw numbers, percentages, mean, and median without statistical analysis because the power was too low to perform statistical tests. However, the results are discussed and compared to other studies in the discussion session. The methodology of this study was submitted and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Walailak University (HREC WU; registration number WUEC-20-330-01). According to the HREC WU regulation, any research which uses routinely collected information, such as medical charts, does not require patient informed consent because some patients may not be able to be contacted. However, patient data confidentiality and compliance were performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### **Results** Patient Characteristics

## From 1 January 2019 to 31 May 2020, there were a total of

32 patients admitted to Phang-Nga Hospital because of *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection. The ratio of male and female patients was 1.13 (Table 1). The average age of patients was 63.6 years. The most common diagnosis was respiratory tract infection (28.1%), with pneumonia accounting for the highest number of cases. The average

Table I Characteristics of 32 Patients Admitted to Phang-NgaHospital During I January 2019 and 31 May 2020 Due toAcinetobacter baumannii Infection

Variables	Number (%)
Gender	
Male	17 (53.1)
Female	15 (46.9)
Average age (Range) (Year)	63.6 (18–97)
Main diagnosis	
Respiratory tract infection	9 (28.1)
Wound infection	8 (25.0)
Sepsis	4 (12.5)
Stroke	3 (9.4)
Cancer	3 (9.4)
Others	5 (15.6)
Site of infection	
Lung	16 (50.0)
Urinary tract	4 (12.5)
Extremities	3 (9.4)
Unknown	9 (28.1)
Site of Acinetobacter baumannii isolation	
Sputum	16 (50.0)
Blood	7 (21.9)
Pus	8 (25.0)
Urine	I (3.I)
Charlson Comorbidity Index (Range)	3.67 (1–6)
ICU admission	8 (25.0)
Septic shock incidence	9 (28.1)
Mechanical ventilator use	11 (34.4)

Charlson comorbidity score was 3.67. Eight patients were admitted to the ICU, nine patients had septic shock during admission, and 11 patients needed mechanical ventilators. The bacteria found to be co-infections in patients included *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Sites of isolation that were positive for *Acinetobacter baumannii* in this study were the sputum (50%), pus (25%), blood (21.9%), and urine (3.1%). It should be noted that the sites of isolation of nine patients (28.1%) were not associated with their signs and symptoms, so the sites of infection were recorded as unknown.

### Antibiotic Regimens

The antibiotic regimens collected in this study were divided into two phases: empirical therapy (before antimicrobial susceptibility testing) and definitive therapy (after antimicrobial susceptibility testing). For empirical therapy, the antibiotic monotherapy regimen was the most prescribed (17 cases), followed by two, three, and four antibiotic combinations (12, two, and one cases, respectively). Table 2 indicates the number of antibiotic regimens used in this study. The most prescribed monotherapy regimen was beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitors, including ampicillin/sulbactam (1.5–3 g intravenously every 6 h) and piperacillin/tazobactam (2.25–4.5 g intravenously every 8 h) and cephalosporin (i.e., ceftriaxone 2 g intravenously every 24 h and ceftazidime 2 g intravenously every 8 h) monotherapy regimens were prescribed equally (5 cases).

Of the 32 patients recruited in this study, only 22 had antimicrobial susceptibility results (<u>Supplementary Table 2</u>). Sixteen out of 22 patients underwent definitive therapy due to their antimicrobial susceptibility results. However, monotherapy antibiotics were still the most prescribed (Table 3). Carbapenem monotherapy was the most prescribed antibiotic drug (nine cases), followed by cephalosporin and betalactam/beta-lactamase inhibitors (five and four cases, respectively). Moreover, antibiotic regimens with colistin (300 mg intravenously immediately, then 150 mg intravenously every 12 h) were prescribed more as definitive therapy than empirical therapy (six cases vs. one case, respectively). Additionally, all colistin regimens were combined with meropenem.

Table 2 Antibiotic Regimens as the Empirical Therapy for the				
Infection of Acinetobacter baumannii in 32 Patients				

Antibiotic Regimen		Number of Case
Monotherapy	Beta-lactam/Beta-lactamase inhibitor Cephalosporin Carbapenem	7 5 5
Combination therapy	Cephalosporin + Macrolide Carbapenem + Fluoroquinolone Cephalosporin + Metronidazole Carbapenem + Vancomycin Cephalosporin + Clindamycin Cephalosporin + Vancomycin + Clindamycin Carbapenem + Vancomycin + Fosfomycin Carbapenem + Fluoroquinolone + Beta-lactam/Beta-lactamase inhibitor + Colistin	4 3 2 1 1 1

Table 3 Antibiotic Regimens as the Definitive Therapy for the		
Infection of Acinetobacter baumannii in 32 Patients		

Antibiotic Re	gimen	Number of Case
Monotherapy	Carbapenem	9
	Cephalosporin	5
	Beta-lactam/Beta-lactamase inhibitor	4
	Fluoroquinolone	I
Combination	Colistin + Carbapenem	2
therapy	Colistin + Carbapenem + Beta-lactam	3
	/Beta-lactamase inhibitor	
	Cephalosporin + Macrolide	2
	Beta-lactam/Beta-lactamase inhibitor +	1
	Fluoroquinolone	
	Carbapenem + Macrolide	1
	Carbapenem + Fluoroquinolone	1
	Carbapenem + Vancomycin +	I
	Fosfomycin	
	Carbapenem + Vancomycin + Colistin	I
	Cephalosporin + Vancomycin +	I
	Clindamycin	

#### **Clinical Outcomes and Safety Profiles**

Table 4 describes the clinical outcomes, which were assessed by doctors and the mortality rates of patients at the end of treatment. Of all 32 patients that received

**Table 4** Clinical Cure and Mortality Rates of 32 PatientsReceiving Antibiotic Regimens for the Treatment ofAcinetobacter baumannii Infection

Antibiotic Regimen	Number of Case (%)	Number of Clinical Cure (%)	Number of Death (%)
All regimens	32 (100.0)	19 (45.9)	(43.4)
Monotherapy regimens			
Cephalosporin Carbapenem Beta-lactam/Beta- lactamase inhibitor	5 (15.6) 9 (28.1) 4 (12.5)	5 (100.0) 7 (77.8) 2 (50.0)	0 (0.0) 2 (22.2) 2 (50.0)
Combination therapy regimens with colistin	6 (18.8)	( 6.7)	4 (66.7)
Combination therapy regimens with carbapenem	9 (28.1)	1 (11.1)	7 (77.8)
Combination therapy regimens without colistin and carbapenem	4 (12.5)	4 (100.0)	0 (0.0)

Note: All combination regimens with colistin included meropenem, and then were counted twice.

antibiotics, 59.4% achieved clinical cure, while 34.4% died. Patients treated with cephalosporin and carbapenem monotherapy regimens had a 100% and 77.8% complete response rate, respectively, while patients treated with a beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor had a 50% response rate. Individual data on the patient characteristics, administered antibiotics, and clinical responses are shown in Supplementary Table 3.

The combination antibiotic regimens included in this study were found to have low clinical cure rates with high mortality rates. Patients who received colistin regimens had a 66.7% mortality rate, and those who received meropenem regimens had a 77.8% mortality rate. Although the number of patients who received other combination therapy regimens (neither carbapenem nor colistin) and achieved clinical cure was high, the actual number of each regimen was too low to reflect the actual efficacy (one case per regimen).

Due to the limitations of retrospective methodology, together with the limited number of tests available in secondary hospitals, nephrotoxicity was the only safety variable collected in this study. The mean and standard deviation (SD) of BUN of 32 patients before and after antibiotic administration were  $19.72\pm11.63$  and  $20.88\pm11.77$  mg/dL, respectively. In addition, the mean and SD of serum creatinine of all patients were  $2.98\pm3.35$  mg/dL prior to treatment and  $3.15\pm3.38$  mg/dL at the end of treatment. No increase in BUN and serum creatinine was found in all six patients receiving colistin.

#### Discussion

Regimens of antibiotics to treat infections normally depend on the sensitivity of the infecting bacteria, and the sensitivity usually varies from area to area. According to a report by NARST in 2019, the prevalence of CRAB in upper southern Thailand was approximately 53%, the lowest of all areas in Thailand.<sup>6</sup> Furthermore, antibiotic use in tertiary hospitals is different from primary and secondary hospitals. Unfortunately, not many studies, particularly in Thailand, have been performed in secondary and primary hospitals, so this is one of the first studies to focus on the treatment and prevalence of *Acinetobacter baumannii* outside tertiary hospitals.

The prescribed antibiotic regimens in this study, as expected, were different from those reported in previous studies in tertiary hospitals. Empirical therapy, mostly prescribed at Phang-Nga Hospital, was a beta-lactam/betalactamase inhibitor, while other hospitals preferred starting with colistin<sup>7</sup> and carbapenem.<sup>8</sup> In addition, the most frequently prescribed definitive therapy at Phang-Nga Hospital was carbapenem, while previous studies mostly used beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitors, carbapenem, and colistin as definitive therapies. This difference resulted from the different sensitivities of the Acinetobacter species in different areas.

A study by Puttilerpong et al reported that only 1–2% of Acinetobacter baumannii at King Chulalongkorn Memorial hospital were sensitive to cephalosporin.<sup>7</sup> Likewise, Kanankaeng et al indicated that no Acinetobacter baumannii found at Maharat Nakhon Ratchasima hospital were sensitive to cephalosporin.9 Moreover, the sensitivity of Acinetobacter baumannii at Sunpasitthiprasong hospital to ceftriaxone and ceftazidime were 3% and 27.3%, respectively.<sup>10</sup> Nevertheless, the results of this study indicate that up to 63.6% of Acinetobacter baumannii at Phang-Nga Hospital were still sensitive to ceftazidime. These sensitivity results are in agreement with the reported clinical outcomes.

The clinical cure rates of cephalosporin monotherapy (i.e., ceftazidime and ceftriaxone) and carbapenem monotherapy in this study were as high as 100% and 77.8%, respectively, while patients receiving carbapenem monotherapy had only  $53.8\%^8$  and  $15.8\%^9$  clinical response rates in other studies. Similarly, the clinical cure rate of beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor monotherapy in this study was higher than in a previous study (50% vs. 36.4%, respectively).<sup>8</sup> However, this study found that patients that received combination therapy had a higher mortality rate than those on monotherapy. These results were in agreement with the study by Kanankaeng et al that reported no difference in the clinical outcomes between monotherapy and combination therapy.<sup>9</sup> Moreover, patients who received antibiotic regimens with colistin did not have better clinical outcomes than without colistin, which was similar to the previously mentioned study.9 In addition, the inconsistent clinical efficacy of colistin has been reported in several studies.<sup>11-14</sup>

Concerning the susceptibility results of 22 patients in this study, as shown in <u>Supplementary Table 2</u>, most of the collected isolates were sensitive to ceftazidime but not ceftriaxone. Half of the patients were sensitive to ampicillin/sulbactam and piperacillin/tazobactam. In addition, less than 25% of the isolates were sensitive to ceftriaxone. These results indicate that patients suspected of having *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection at Phang-Nga Hospital should not be administered ceftriaxone monotherapy, but rather ceftazidime or meropenem should be considered.

Moreover, according to a six-year observational study of Acinetobacter baumannii resistance in Thailand by NARST, the resistance of bacteria to various types of antibiotics has increased.<sup>15</sup> The antibiotics found to have more than 50% resistance included ceftazidime, aminoglycosides, and ciprofloxacin. For CRAB, up to 74% of the isolates in Thailand were resistant to meropenem, which is similar to that in Singapore and Vietnam.<sup>16</sup> Likewise. a study in Malaysia reported that more than 50% of Acinetobacter baumannii were resistant to cephalosporins (cefotaxime, ceftazidime, and cefepime) and carbapenems (imipenem and meropenem).<sup>17</sup> Although these results suggest a surge in resistance of Acinetobacter baumannii to many antibiotics, including ceftazidime and meropenem in Thailand and neighboring countries, the results in this study still support the use of ceftazidime in secondary or lower-level hospitals in Thailand.

As this study is a pilot study with a retrospective methodology, there are several limitations. First, the number of patients in this study was limited, especially compared with previous studies in tertiary or university hospitals. However, due to the low total number of inpatients at Phang-Nga Hospital and the location of this hospital between two tertiary hospitals, few cases of Acinetobacter baumannii infection were expected. Besides, as this study is a pilot study, more patients will be included in the future from other hospitals. Second, as we retrospectively reviewed patient medical charts, some variables could not be collected. As the data were originally collected for routine patient treatment, not research, some variables were not available. For example, microbiological tests after the treatment course are not routinely performed in secondary hospitals. Therefore, this study tried to avoid comparing patients within the study. Moreover, the causation of the clinical outcomes should not be extrapolated from the current results, as it could be misleading. Third, the patients in this study were only from Phang-Nga province, so it is not possible to extrapolate the results to other secondary hospitals in Thailand due to different antimicrobial susceptibilities. A more obvious trend of antibiotic resistance/sensitivity should be apparent when more patients from other secondary hospitals are included in the next phase of this study.

#### Conclusion

The main aim of this pilot study was to raise awareness of Antibiotic Smart Use in Thailand by investigating antibiotic regimens used to treat *Acinetobacter baumannii*  infections at a secondary hospital. The results indicate that more than 60% of *Acinetobacter baumannii* at Phang-Nga Hospital were sensitive to cephalosporin antibiotics, and monotherapy regimens of cephalosporin or carbapenem provided higher than a 50% clinical cure rate. The next phase of this study will collect patient data from other secondary hospitals in Thailand to examine the antibiotic regimens used to treat *Acinetobacter baumannii* infections in Thailand more thoroughly.

#### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- United State Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC's 2019 Antibiotic Resistance Threats Report; 2019.
- Results of antimicrobial resistance surveillance. 2019. Available from: http://narst.dmsc.moph.go.th/data/AMR%202000-2019-12M.pdf. Accessed September 19, 2020.
- Kuo L-C, Lai -C-C, Liao C-H, et al. Multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii bacteraemia: clinical features, antimicrobial therapy and outcome. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2007;13(2):196–198. doi:10.1111/ j.1469-0691.2006.01601.x
- Tseng Y-C, Wang J-T, Wu F-L-L, Chen Y-C, Chie W-C, Chang S-C. Prognosis of adult patients with bacteremia caused by extensively resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2007;59(2):181–190. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2007.04.024
- Xiao D, Wang L, Zhang D, Xiang D, Liu Q, Xing X. Prognosis of patients with Acinetobacter baumannii infection in the intensive care unit: a retrospective analysis. *Exp Ther Med.* 2017;13(4):1630–1633. doi:10.3892/etm.2017.4137
- Results of antimicrobial resistance surveillance by location. 2019. Available from: http://narst.dmsc.moph.go.th/data/map2562-06m.pdf. Accessed September 19, 2020.
- Puttilerpong C, Chawanasit W, Laohawaleesan W. Antimicrobial use in hospital-acquired pneumonia with multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii at King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital. *Thai Pharm Health Sci J.* 2011;6(6):32–38.

- Saelao S, Utiswannakul A. Therapy for patient with multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii pneumonia. *J Health Res.* 2008;22(3):131–136.
- Kanankaeng W, Sriphong P. Regimens and clinical outcomes of antimicrobial uses in hospital-acquired pneumonia caused by drug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. *Thai J Pharm Pract.* 2019;11 (3):470–482.
- Jantama SS, Kunawatanakul T, Semaram S, Sangsanon S, Teerawattanasuk N, Jantama K. Detection of carbapenemase and blaOXA-23-like gene in carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii at Sunpasitthiprasong Hospital. *Isan J Pharm Sci.* 2013;9 (2):99–109.
- Koomanachai P, Tiengrim S, Kiratisin P, Thamlikitkul V. Efficacy and safety of colistin (colistimethate sodium) for therapy of infections caused by multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii in Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2007;11(5):402–406. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2006.09.011
- Kalin G, Alp E, Akin A, Coskun R, Doganay M. Comparison of colistin and colistin/sulbactam for the treatment of multidrug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Infection*. 2014;42(1):37–42. doi:10.1007/s15010-013-0495-y
- Tuon FF, Rocha JL, Merlini AB. Combined therapy for multi-drugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii infection–is there evidence outside the laboratory? *J Med Microbiol*. 2015;64(9):951–959. doi:10.1099/ jmm.0.000144
- Yilmaz GR, Guven T, Guner R, et al. Colistin alone or combined with sulbactam or carbapenem against A. baumannii in ventilator-associated pneumonia. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2015;9 (05):476–485. doi:10.3855/jidc.6195
- 15. Dejsirilert S, Tiengrim S, Sawanpanyalert P, Aswapokee N, Malathum K. Antimicrobial resistance of Acinetobacter baumannii: six years of National Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Thailand (NARST) surveillance. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2011;92(8):34.
- 16. Hsu L-Y, Apisarnthanarak A, Khan E, Suwantarat N, Ghafur A, Tambyah PA. Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii and Enterobacteriaceae in South and Southeast Asia. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2017;30(1):1–22. doi:10.1128/CMR.00042-16
- Mohd Rani F, ARahman NI, Ismail S, et al. Acinetobacter spp. infections in Malaysia: a review of antimicrobial resistance trends, mechanisms and epidemiology. *Front Microbiol.* 2017;8(2479). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.02479

#### Infection and Drug Resistance

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed openaccess journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal

#### **Dove**press

antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peerreview system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.