Supracondylar Fractures: A Retrospective Chart Review Comparing Infection Rate, Antibiotic Use, Surgical Time and Cost of Full Surgical Preparation and Draping vs “Semi-Sterile” Technique
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Background: Semi-sterile and full preparation and draping techniques are commonly used in closed reduction percutaneous pinning (CRPP) of supracondylar fractures. Debate exists whether full preparation and draping is safer than semi-sterile technique in regards to infection risk and the utility of pre-operative antibiotics. This study is a comparison of infection rates, pre-operative antibiotic administration, cost and surgical time between techniques.

Methods: A retrospective chart review of 336 pediatric patients with supracondylar fractures repaired with CRPP at our institution was completed between January 2014 and April 2018, 168 per technique. Infection rates, pre-operative antibiotic administration, preparation-to-incision time and cost in semi-sterile draping versus full preparation and draping techniques were compared.

Results: Of the 336 patients, 1/168 (0.1%) in the full preparation and draping group developed an infection compared to 0/168 (0%) patients in the semi-sterile group. Pre-operative antibiotics (Cefazolin) were administered to 76/168 (23%) patients in the full preparation and draping group and 0/168 (0%) in the semi-sterile group. The infection found received pre-operative antibiotics. Mean preparation-to-incision time for the semi-sterile group was 2.4±2.0 minutes and the full preparation and draping group was 9.9 ±4.2 minutes (p <0.001). Surgical supply cost was $80.72 [CDN] and 108.24$ [CDN], respectively, for the semi-sterile and full preparation and draping groups.

Conclusion: Risk of infection using a semi-sterile draping technique was safe and comparable to a full preparation and draping technique when used in CRPP of supracondylar fractures. The administration of pre-operative antibiotics does not appear to make a difference in infection rates. Semi-sterile operative technique is cost effective and has decreased preparation-to-incision time.
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Background

Semi-sterile and full preparation and draping techniques are commonly used in closed reduction percutaneous pinning (CRPP) of supracondylar fractures. Current literature estimates infection rates between 0% to 7.3%1-11 in CRPP of supracondylar fractures. Iobst et al4 in a series of 304 patients found zero infections with CRRP of supracondylar fractures.
fractures using semi-sterile technique whereas Turgut et al\textsuperscript{12} has recently shown that infection may be a matter of concern with 7.3\% infection rates in the semi-sterile technique. Debate still exists among surgeons whether full preparation and draping is safer than semi-sterile technique regarding infection risk. Broadly, pre-operative antibiotics are used by some surgeons and not by others. Note that Lobst et al\textsuperscript{4} in their series of 304 patients found peri-operative antibiotics may not be necessary as 68\% of their patients did not receive antibiotics in the perioperative or postoperative period resulting in zero infections. Surgical time and cost of semi-sterile and full preparation and draping techniques are not well reported in the literature. The current retrospective study was therefore set up to compare infection rates, pre-operative antibiotic administration, preparation-to-incision time and cost in semi-sterile draping technique versus full preparation and draping in CRPP of supracondylar fractures. In the current study the main hypothesis was that there would be no significant differences of infection rates in semi-sterile compared to full preparation and draping techniques.

**Methods**

A retrospective review of medical records was performed for all patients aged 16 years of age or younger, who underwent CRPP of a supracondylar fracture at our institution between January 2014 and April 2018. Inclusion criteria were as follows: supracondylar fracture classified as either type 2 or 3 in the Garland classification system\textsuperscript{12} surgically repaired with CRPP; K-wires (kirschner wires) left external to the skin; patients aged 0 to 16 years and complete follow-up for visits at one- and three-weeks post-operative. Exclusion criteria were as follows: open fractures; patients converted to open reduction; additional ipsilateral arm fractures; immunocompromised patients and those without complete follow-up.

Age, sex, fracture type according to the Garland classification system,\textsuperscript{12} pre-operative antibiotic administration, operative preparation-to-incision time, number of K-wires, medial K-wire use, infectious and mechanical complications were recorded.

The primary outcome of infection was defined as any patient prescribed antibiotics for clinical signs of infection within 28 days after surgery. All pins were removed within this time. All surgeon’s in the study preferred the exclusive use of either semi-sterile or full preparation and draping techniques in their fracture fixation. This was independent of fracture type, severity or patient factors.

Operative care involved either semi-sterile or full preparation and draping techniques. All patients treated in the operative room with full preparation and draping technique went through the same presurgical and surgical preparation of the arm. All patients were placed in the supine position with the upper limb laid on an arm table. All patients received a general anesthetic. Splinting was removed, pre-operative cleansing of the entire arm was carried out using 4\% chlorhexidine gluconate (“BD E-Z Scrub 747”). The arm was then prepped and draped in the typical fashion using chlorhexidine gluconate 2\% w/v and isopropyl alcohol 70\% v/v solution (“Chloraprep One-Step”). Depending on surgeon’s preference, patients were either administered a dose of pre-operative antibiotics or not. Fractures were reduced with fluoroscopy and then stabilized with 2 mm K-wires inserted laterally with percutaneous pinning. If a medial K-wire was used this was done last with a mini-open approach. Number of K-wires was determined using the Garland classification system\textsuperscript{12}; 2 K-wires used for type 2, 3 K-wires used for type 3 and a 4th wire added if required for stability. Once satisfactory stability was achieved K-wires were bent and then cut short using wire cutters. The wound was then bandaged with paraffin gauze dressing (“Jelone; Smith & Nephew”). Soft-roll was applied with a long arm plaster back slab which was kept in place until follow up. Post-operative antibiotics were not administered and patients did not receive any additional pin site care. All surgeons using full preparation and draping technique used the same protocol.

All patients treated in the operative room with semi-sterile technique went through the same presurgical and surgical preparation of the arm. Initial splinting was removed and cleansing of the arm was carried out in the same fashion as the full preparation and draping patients. No pre-operative antibiotics were used. Fractures were reduced with fluoroscopy with an assistant holding the arm in the reduced position, the surgeon put on sterile gloves, placed sterile towels around the surgical field, prepared the anticipated insertion site with chlorhexidine gluconate 2\% w/v and isopropyl alcohol 4\% v/v solution (“STANHEXIDINE”). The fracture was stabilized with K-wires in similar fashion to the full preparation and draping patients. Number of K-wires was again determined by the Garland classification system,\textsuperscript{12} wires were bent and cut with the dressing and splinting applied in the same fashion as the full preparation and draping group. Post-operative antibiotics were not administered and patients did not receive any additional pin site care. All surgeons using semi-sterile technique used the same protocol.
For both groups, patients were first seen 7–10 days after surgery to check for radiographic signs of displacement. Plaster back-slabs were removed, K-wire sites inspected and long arm cylindrical fiberglass casts were applied. Before addition of the cast, K-wires were cleaned with an antiseptic swab stick (2% chlorhexidine gluconate and 70% isopropyl alcohol). No additional pin site care was provided to either group during fracture care. Patients were then seen again 21–28 days after surgery to remove the long arm cast and K-wires as well as radiographic imaging. Follow up visits were then scheduled at 3 months, 6 months and 1 year after surgery (depending on surgeon preference).

To detect a difference in infection of 5% (deemed clinically significant by the authors) with a two-sided 5% significance level and a power of 80%, a sample size of 168 patients per group was necessary. Descriptive statistics were generated for both groups and independent t-tests (continuous data) or chi-squared tests (categorical data) were performed to ensure groups were comparable.

Results
An electronic search was conducted and 358 patient charts were screened with 336 consecutive eligible patients included in the study, 168 per group (Figure 1). Table 1 presents a description of each group. Of the 336 patients treated surgically, 1/168 (0.1%) in the full preparation and draping group and 0/168 (0%) patients in the semi-sterile group developed an infection requiring antibiotics. The affected patient developed an *Enterobacter Cloacae* septic arthritis of the elbow and was treated in hospital with irrigation debridement and IV antibiotics. This patient had full clinical recovery. Pre-operative antibiotics (cefaclor) were administered in this case. Of the 76 patients given pre-operative antibiotics, 1/76 (1.3%) developed an infection. In the group who did not receive pre-operative antibiotics, there were no infections (0/260). Of note, no additional pin site care or removal was required in either group due to subclinical signs of infection including skin erythema or irritation.

Mean preparation-to-incision time for the semi-sterile group was 2.37 ± 1.98 minutes and for the full preparation and draping group was 9.85 ± 4.24 minutes which was statistically different (p < 0.001). Pre-operative antibiotics (Cefazolin) were given to 76/168 (23%) patients in the full preparation and draping group and 0/168 (0%) in the semi-sterile group.

Complications other than infection are presented in Table 1. These complications included early pin removal and revision due to loss of reduction. Relative risk for complications versus no complications between the semi-sterile and full preparation and draping groups respectively (5/168 compared to 3/168) was 1.67 (95% CI, 0.40 to 6.86, p = 0.50).

Costs for surgical supplies for the semi-sterile approach were estimated at $80.72 [CDN] compared to $108.24 [CDN] for the full preparation and draping group. This resulted in a cost savings of 27.52$ [CDN] per case when using semi-sterile technique.

Discussion
The objective of this study was to compare infection rate, antibiotic administration, preparation-to-incision time and cost of full surgical preparation and draping vs semi-sterile technique in CRPP of supracondylar fractures. There was no

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Description of each group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Full Preparation and Draping</strong></td>
<td>1/168 (0.1%) in the full preparation and draping group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semi-sterile</strong></td>
<td>0/168 (0%) patients in the semi-sterile group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Flow Diagram.
Table 1 Description of Patients in Semi-Sterile and Full Preparation and Draping Groups Including Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Semi-Sterile</th>
<th>Full Preparation and Draping</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male: Female</td>
<td>93:75</td>
<td>90:78</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years; mean ± SD)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.9 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture type (n (%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Type 2</td>
<td>23/108 (21%)</td>
<td>37/100 (37%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Type 3</td>
<td>85/108 (79%)</td>
<td>63/100 (63%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of K-wires (n (%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 2</td>
<td>66/142 (46%)</td>
<td>92/148 (62%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 3</td>
<td>72/142 (51%)</td>
<td>50/148 (34%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 4</td>
<td>4/142 (3%)</td>
<td>6/148 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial pins used (n (%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/142 (0.02%)</td>
<td>2/148 (0.01%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prep to incision time (min; mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>9.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative antibiotics* (n (%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0/168 (0%)</td>
<td>76/168 (23%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications (n (%))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infections**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early pin removal for migration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3/168 (1.8%)</td>
<td>2/168 (1.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision due to loss of reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/168 (1.2%)</td>
<td>1/168 (0.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Pre-operative antibiotics were cefazolin in all cases. **Infection defined as any patient prescribed antibiotics for clinical signs of infection within 28 days after surgery.

difference in infection rate between approaches, with only one patient having a reported infection across both groups, supporting our hypothesis. Cost savings were estimated to be approximately $28 CDN per case when using semi-sterile technique over full preparation and draping, and a decreased preparation-to-incision time was also identified, translating to shorter overall surgical time.

The rate of infection found in the current study using the semi-sterile technique were similar to the findings of Loxst et al.4 This study also supported the assertion in the current study that patients likely do not need pre-operative antibiotics administration. In contrast, Turgut et al2 recently found high pin tract infection rates of 7.3% in a semi-sterile technique. In this large study, 712 patients had CRPP of supracondylar fractures with the semi-sterile technique of which 52 (7%) developed pin tract infections. Infection was not well defined and patients were asked to visit their family doctor for pin site care every 4 days until they were removed. Kao et al13 reported on a series of 61 patients treated for elbow fractures finding that infection rates were significantly higher in patients who had daily pin-site care than in patients who did not. This could explain the higher infection rates seen in Turgut et al2 compared with Loxst et al4 and the current series.

A comparison of infection rates based on placement of pins including crossed or lateral pin fixation in 56 participants was reported by Shamsuddin et al14 and found the rates were comparable. The current study was based on the use of lateral pins almost exclusively, but the findings of Shamsuddin et al14 may support that the findings may extend to medial pins. However, this would need to be verified in further study.

It is of interest that parallel lateral pins were used in the only infection found in our series with an associated septic arthritis of the elbow. Parikh et al15 found that the risk of intracapsular pin placement using parallel lateral pins was found to be greater than either crossed or divergent lateral configurations. This may suggest that the use of crossed or divergent lateral pins instead of parallel pins has the potential to reduce cases of septic arthritis. This would therefore provide early protection of the elbow joint in cases of infection before they present to clinic for follow up and management.

The sole infection in the current study series was caused by Enterobacter cloacae which is a clinically significant gram-negative, anaerobic, rod-shaped bacterium. It is difficult to ascertain whether this infection was inoculated in the operating room or after surgery due to poor hygiene. This bacterium is commensal in the enteric flora.
since they are found in soil and sewage, as well as in the human gastrointestinal tract.16

Surgical time and cost difference between semi-sterile and full preparation and draping techniques have not been well reported in the literature. This is an important factor in the current state of cost savings and quality issues in surgery. Analysis at our institution found a cost savings when using semi-sterile technique rather than full preparation and draping, in terms of surgical supply costs and decreased preparation-to-incision time. Although this saving, initially, does not seem substantial, the high volume of supracondylar fractures treated yearly as well as decreased surgical time makes these factors of greater importance to the health care system.

There were some limitations in the current study. The sterility of wires, drapes, scrubbing characteristics of staff assistants, gloving techniques, operation theatre conditions, number of skin piercings by wires and patient’s nutrition status were not accounted for; however, the impacts of these should assumedly be comparable regardless of which technique was used. With the low incidence of infection found, these variables did not have a notable impact. Fracture type was not recorded for 60 patients in the semi-sterile group as well as 68 patients in the full preparation and draping group. Number of K-wires and medial pins were also not charted or available on x-ray for a small percentage of patients in each group as demonstrated in Table 1.

As this study pertained to both sexes and all skeletally immature individuals under 16 years of age, it is reasonable to assume that semi-sterile technique can be used safely to treat most supracondylar fractures with CRPP. The study findings cannot be generalized to patients with open fractures, those with additional ipsilateral arm fractures or those that are immunocompromised.

**Conclusion**

No difference in infection rate using semi-sterile operative technique compared to full preparation and draping in CRPP of supracondylar fractures was found in this study. The administration of pre-operative antibiotics does not appear to make a difference in infection rates. Semi-sterile operative technique is cost effective and decreases preparation-to-incision time which may be an advantage of this approach, depending on patient volumes.
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