The causes of serous macular detachment excluding central serous chorioretinopathy
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Objective: In our study, we investigate the causes of serous macular detachment (SMD) excluding central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC).

Study design/patients and methods: A retrospective observational study. The medical records of 58 patients with SMD documented by optical coherence tomography (OCT) were reviewed retrospectively. The patients with CSC were excluded from the study. Ocular diseases accompanied with SMD, unilaterality or bilaterality, and demographical properties of the patients were evaluated.

Results: Of the 58 patients with SMD in this study, 20 (34.4%) were women and 38 (65.5%) were men. The ages of patients were between 17 to 81 years (median 61.01 years). The causes of SMD were as follow: diabetic macular edema (DME) in 37 patients (63.8%), age-related macular degeneration (AMD) associated with choroidal neovascularization in 10 patients (17.24%), branch retinal vein occlusion in five patients (8.62%), central retinal vein occlusion in four patients (6.90%), Harada disease in one patient (1.72%) and Coat’s disease in one patient (1.72%). Eighteen patients with DME, two patients had AMD associated with choroidal neovascularization, and one patient with Harada disease had bilateral SMD. The most common cause of SMD in both eyes was DME.

Conclusions: The most common cause of SMD excluding CSC seems to be DME. The presence of SMD in retinal vascular diseases such as diabetes might affect the results of the treatment and the prognosis.
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Introduction
Serous macular detachment (SMD) may occur in conditions where retinal vascular leakage or retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) dysfunction is seen in disorders such as diabetic macular edema (DME), branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO), central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO), hypotony maculopathy, retinal vasculitis, and retinal macroaneurysm. Using optical coherence tomography (OCT), Otani et al have described three patterns of DME: sponge-like swelling, cystoid macular edema (CME), and SMD. Because it may hide beneath DME during fundus fluorescein angiography (FFA), SMD associated with DME can only be diagnosed using OCT.
The presence of SMD in retinal vascular diseases with retinal vascular leakage may limit the ability to perform effective macular laser treatment. Ohashi et al reported that in ME-associated BRVO, the presence of SMD is a negative prognostic factor for resolution of ME and for visual acuity (VA) after grid macular laser treatment. The presence of SMD might be also a prognostic factor in treatment of DME.

In the present study, we investigated the causes of SMD excluding central serous chorioretinopathy.

Materials and methods

In this retrospective study, 84 eyes of 58 patients with SMD were examined between May 2007 and May 2009. The patients with epiretinal membrane or vitreomacular traction documented by OCT, and media opacities such as corneal opacity, lens opacity, vitreous and preretinal hemorrhage, uveitis, proliferative diabetic retinopathy, and patients with history of previous intraocular surgery, macular laser photocoagulation, and intravitreal injection were excluded from the study.

The patients underwent complete ophthalmic examination, including best-corrected VA measurement using the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart, slitlamp biomicroscopy with a +90 diopter noncontact lens and a Goldmann three-mirror contact lens, FFA, and OCT. The OCT and FFA examinations were performed on the same day, and were evaluated by same. FFA was performed with a retinal camera (Topcon 50IX; Itabashiku, Tokyo, Japan). OCT examinations were performed using spectral OCT and scanning laser ophthalmoscope (SLO) (OCT/SLO; OTI/OPKO Inc, Toronto, Canada). During OCT examination the maculae were scanned on six radial sections including the horizontal, vertical, and oblique planes through the centre of the fovea. Fluorescein angiographic cystoid, focal, and diffuse ME were thought to be present if typical petaloid hyperfluorescent cystoid spaces radiating from the fovea, focal, and diffuse intraretinal hyperfluorescent spaces were evident during FFA, respectively. OCT examination was thought to show DME if there were hyporeflective intraretinal cavities and/or separated by septa and radiating from the centre of the macula in cross-sectional scans. Angiography could not detect SMD in any case.

Serous macular detachment was thought to be present if the posterior surface of the retina was elevated over a nonreflective black cavity and under ME, with minimal shadowing of the underlying tissues (Figure 1).

The OCT and FFA were performed following the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was designed as an institutional, retrospective trial. Informed consents were obtained from the patients.

Results

Of the 58 patients with SMD in this study, 20 (34.4%) were women and 38 (65.5%) were men. The ages of patients ranged from 17 to 81 years (median 61.01 years).

We detected six different causes in 58 eyes for SMD. Thirty-seven patients had DME (63.8%), 10 patients had age-related macular degeneration (AMD) associated with choroidal neovascularization (17.24%), five patients had

Figure 1 Serous macula detachment (star) and macular edema (arrows) in a patient with diabetic retinopathy.
BRVO (8.62%), four patients had CRVO (6.90%), one patient had Harada disease (1.72%), and one patient had Coat’s disease (1.72%).

Eighteen patients with DME, two patients with AMD associated with choroidal neovascularization and one patient with Harada disease had bilateral SMD. The most common cause was DME in bilateral cases.

Discussion

The pathogenesis of SMD associated with retinal vascular disease is not well defined. However, the leakage from retinal or choroidal circulation into the subretinal space that exceeds its compensatory removal capacity is thought to be the main mechanism. SMD has been suggested to be linked not only to the limitations of the draining vascular system, but also to an impairment in the function of the RPE.1–6 Kang et al. reported that in diabetic eyes, the incidence of CME and SMD increases with the existence of retinal vascular hyperpermeability, and suggested that the pathology of these entities might share a common pathogenic mechanism.9

In the healthy retina, the RPE pump and the tight junctions between adjacent RPE cells maintain the dryness of the subretinal space and the attachment of the sensory retina to the RPE. The breakdown of the RPE pump or the disruption of the tight junctions between adjacent RPE cells results in intraretinal edema and SMD.1–6 The presence of SMD is also an important finding in terms of showing defective RPE cells.

Serous macular detachment associated with DME was first defined by Otani et al.7 Although they reported that the frequency of SMD in DME was approximately 15%, Ozdemir et al. demonstrated with OCT that 31% of the patients with diabetic DME have SMD and that the prevalence of SMD was much higher than previously reported.7,11

Using OCT in the patients with BRVO, Spaide et al. found that the prevalence of SMD was 43% while Takahashi et al. reported that 20% of the cases had SMD.3,12 Additionally, Ozdemir et al. reported that SMD was found in 82% of eyes with CRVO.6

We found that the prevalence of SMD in this study was higher than previously reported. This may be due to the detection of the SMD using OCT.

We consider that the detection of SMD using OCT in patients with various retinal vascular diseases might be evidence for RPE dysfunction. The presence of SMD in these patients may be important predictors for the success of the treatment interventions, and for prognosis of these diseases.

In this regard, Shukla et al have reported that SMD might be a predictor for the resolution of DME following the injection of intravitreal triamcinolone acetate.13 Additionally, Ohashi et al. observed that the presence of SMD is a negative prognostic indicator for resolution of ME and for visual acuity after grid macular laser treatment in patients with secondary ME to BRVO.10

The size and localization of SMD, the effect of the treatment on the resolution of SMD, and the effect of the presence of SMD on the prognosis in various retinal vascular diseases were not studied in this study. These may be limitations of the study.

In conclusion, the detection of the SMD prevalence in retinal vascular diseases will be easy using OCT. The presence of SMD may significantly limit the ability to perform effective treatments such as macular laser interventions and intravitreal injections. Thus, the detection of SMD by OCT may help guide the treatment of retinal diseases with retinal vascular leaking. Further studies concerning whether the OCT findings correlate with different causes, visual outcome, and prognosis in diseases with SMD may be beneficial for understanding the pathogenesis and prognosis of the disease.
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