The role of Scheimpflug imaging in the management of posterior scleritis
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Abstract: Posterior scleritis is a rare but sight-threatening ocular inflammatory disorder that can present in a number of different ways and sometimes silently. Diagnosis can be difficult, and usually is clinical, confirmed by B-mode ultrasonography. Patients can be at risk of angle-closure glaucoma in this condition, and traditional methods of angle assessment can be subjective and difficult to perform in the inflamed eye. We present a case of posterior scleritis where management was aided by the use of a Scheimpflug imaging device.
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Introduction
Posterior scleritis is a rare inflammatory condition affecting the sclera behind the equator of the globe. It can coexist with anterior scleritis or be isolated, in which case diagnosis can be difficult. The clinical features can be nonspecific, and confused with those of generalized intraocular or orbital inflammation.

Angle-closure glaucoma may occur in posterior scleritis, usually as a result of uveal effusion. Scheimpflug imaging of the anterior segment is an accurate method of analysing the anterior segment of the eye, including the configuration of the angle and anterior chamber depth. We report a case of a 70-year-old gentleman with posterior scleritis for whom the use of Scheimpflug imaging (Pentacam; Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) proved valuable in the diagnosis, monitoring of response to treatment, and relapse detection.

Case report
A 70-year-old male presented to the accident and emergency department at our institution with a five-day history of pain and redness in his right eye. Past medical history only included gastroesophageal reflux and bladder obstruction. Examination revealed conjunctival injection in isolation. There were no other obvious physical signs. Visual acuity was 6/6 in the right eye and 6/5 in the left. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was normal in both eyes. He was treated as a case of episcleritis with Indomethacin 50 mg three times daily (TDS) (MSD, Hoddesdon, UK) and gutt. fluorometholone four times daily (QDS) (Allergan, Buckinghamshire, UK). Symptoms initially resolved, but over the next few weeks, he attended the general eye clinic on four more occasions with varying degrees of redness and pain in his right eye. Eventually two months after the initial presentation he attended the Acute Eye Clinic with severe recurrence of his symptoms. Visual acuities remained normal at 6/6 OD and 6/5 OS as previously.
On slit-lamp examination, an asymmetrically shallow anterior chamber in the right eye and IOP of 42 was documented. A B-mode ultrasound scan showed typical changes consistent with posterior scleritis. Scheimpflug imaging (Pentacam; Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH) confirmed and quantified a very shallow anterior chamber in the right eye (Figure 1, Table 1). He was treated with 40 mg of oral prednisolone (generic) together with a mucosal protectant, and then the prednisolone was carefully tapered over three weeks. The IOP quickly reduced to normal.

Scheimpflug imaging scan performed one month later confirmed improved parameters of the anterior chamber (Figure 2, Table 2).

The patient is currently on 5 mg prednisolone daily.

Table 1 Anterior chamber parameters during acute angle closure associated with posterior scleritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber angle (degrees)</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber volume (mm³)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber depth (mm)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Anterior chamber parameters one month after initiation of treatment with systemic corticosteroids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber angle (degrees)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber volume (mm³)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber depth (mm)</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Posterior scleritis is a rare condition that can easily be missed, and can frequently lead to vision threatening complications. It can be idiopathic, or associated with systemic vasculitides, such as Wegener’s granulomatosis. A systemic association exists in 30% of cases. Posterior scleritis can be asymptomatic, but symptoms can include pain (especially on eye movement) photophobia, and blurred vision. Signs can include associated anterior scleritis, exudative retinal detachment, optic disc swelling, subretinal granulomata formation, elevated IOP, choroidal effusion, uveitis, and retinal vasculitis. In a large retrospective study, no abnormalities were present in 17% of those who had a final diagnosis of posterior scleritis, and visual loss can occur in up to 30% of patients.4

Figure 1 Pentacam imaging performed at acute presentation. The raw Scheimpflug slit image is shown together with anterior chamber depth in the lower right of the image. The red domed image at center bottom is a 3D anterior chamber reconstruction.
The mainstay of diagnosis is B-mode ultrasonography. Characteristic ultrasonographic findings include fluid in the subtenon space and posterior scleral thickening. This can also be demonstrated by computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging.

A common complication is increased IOP and angle closure. It can be caused by inflammatory cells blocking the scleral emissary vessels leading to increased episcleral venous pressure. Ciliary body detachment adjacent to the inflammation can cause angle closure by shifting the lens-iris diaphragm, and uveal effusion can contribute to the angle-closure mechanism. Associated uveitis can lead to the trabecular meshwork being clogged with inflammatory cells, with an associated rise in IOP. A topical steroid pressure response can add to the pressure rise. Gonioscopy is a traditional method of assessing the anterior chamber angle, but it is highly subjective and can be very uncomfortable for the patient with an inflamed eye. Scheimpflug imaging is a relatively new way of accurately assessing and quantifying anterior chamber parameters. It can accurately and reproducibly measure the anterior chamber angle, anterior chamber depth across the entire anterior segment, and measure anterior chamber volume.

In this case, the Scheimpflug camera was very useful in the assessment of the anterior chamber, and confirmed the clinical impression of asymmetric anterior chamber shallowing and threatened angle closure. This increased the clinical suspicion of posterior scleritis, and proved extremely useful in measuring and monitoring the clinical response to treatment. Although there are other causes of asymmetric anterior chamber shallowing, we suggest that Scheimpflug imaging could be useful in patients with a clinical and ultrasonographic suspicion of posterior scleritis. This cannot only assist in the sometimes difficult diagnostic process, but also can be useful in monitoring anterior chamber parameters to help predict threatened angle closure glaucoma and to monitor the response to treatment of this sight-threatening condition.
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