Brain activation of eye movements in subjects with refractive error
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Purpose: Recent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have described reorganized activation of the oculomotor and visual cortex after focal brain lesions. These studies are based on comparison with healthy individuals who may have a very heterogenous refractive error. The influence of refractive error on the cortical control of an oculomotor task such as a prosaccade trial, however, is unknown.

Methods: To investigate the influence of visual acuity on changes of cortical oculomotor control, we studied the representation of visually guided prosaccades in nine subjects with refractive error and 11 normally sighted subjects using fMRI. Correction of refractive error was not allowed during fMRI. Differences in activation between rest and saccades as well as between subjects with refractive error vs subjects with normal vision were assessed with statistical parametric mapping.

Results: In both groups, activation of a frontoparietal network was observed. Subjects with refractive errors showed increased activation compared to normally sighted subjects, with overactivation in bilateral frontal and parietal eye fields, supplementary eye fields, as well as in the bilateral extrastriate cortex.

Conclusions: This group of subjects with refractive error showed increased activation in an extended oculomotor and visual network to maintain performance during simple prosaccades. This observation underlines the importance of using appropriate control groups in fMRI-studies after brain lesions.
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Introduction

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) is increasingly used to study the pathophysiology of the oculomotor system. In healthy individuals, the areas that control eye movements are located in the frontal and parietal cortex and in subcortical structures. In addition, the occipital cortex is active when subjects perform saccades, suggesting that parallel activity of the motor and primary visual sensory areas is necessary to perform voluntary eye movements. The cerebral representation of saccades has been well characterized in electrophysiological experiments of primates,1 functional imaging studies of healthy individuals,2,3 and in patients with unilateral lesions of the frontal or parietal cortex.4 Furthermore, visual field defects after striate lesions are associated with changes in the frontoparietal network underlying the cortical control of saccades.5

First symptoms of refractive error may occur at any age. Symptoms include difficulty reading fine print particularly in low light conditions, eyestrain when...
reading for long periods, blur at near vision, or momentarily
blurred vision when transitioning between viewing distances.
During daily living activities, the reduced ability to focus is
compensated by corrective lenses including eyeglasses or
contact lenses.

If fMRI-studies of the visual system are performed
without corrective lenses, irrespective of the subjects’
refractive status, imaging results may be confounded.
In subjects with reduced visual acuity due to macular
degeneration, for example, increased activation of the
prefrontal and parietal cortex has been shown. Subjects with
decreased visual acuity as a result of refractive error may
have similar changes of cortical control of eye movements.
Therefore, in this study, we compared the cortical networks
that underlie oculomotor function in patients with reduced
visual acuity with those in normally sighted control subjects.
We hypothesized that activation of a frontoparietal network
would be observed in subjects with refractive error compared
to normally sighted subjects.

Methods
Subjects
Nine subjects with refractive error and eleven normally sighted
subjects were studied. Subjects without refractive errors were
aged between 23 and 66 years (mean 38 ± 14 years) and had
visual acuity without correction of 20/20 or better. Subjects with
decreased visual acuity were aged between 25 and 70 (mean
52 ± 14 years). Their refractive status is summarized in Table 1.
All subjects had no history of neurological or psychiatric dis-
ease. Prior to each fMRI, a full neurological exam was obtained
for each subject. Data of vision status was provided by subjects’
ophthalmologists. All subjects gave written informed consent.
This study was approved by the Review Board of Ethics Com-
mittee of the University of Essen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+3.25</td>
<td>+1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+6.0</td>
<td>+4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>−2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>−2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>−4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>−1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>−3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>−2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Refractive status of subjects using correction of refractive error in daily life

Tasks
We used a block design with alternating rest and activation
tasks. Visual stimuli were back-projected with an LCD-
projector onto a 154 × 115 cm² screen placed 90 cm in front
of the magnet bore. The light intensity of the background
screen was kept constant during baseline and stimulation in
order to avoid unintentional stimulation caused by changes
in brightness of the environment. Luminance of the projector
was 1100 ANSI-Lumen with 400:1 contrast.

Fixation
Subjects were instructed to maintain fixation on a green point
in the center of the screen. The fixation point was constantly
illuminated. No peripheral stimuli were presented. The
distance between the eyes and the fixation point was 90 cm.

Saccades
A green point appeared at the center, then randomly at 21°
of eccentricity to either the left or right from the center,
and again at the center of the screen (presentation time,
750 msec). Subjects were asked to follow the visual cue
with horizontal saccades. All subjects were experienced in
performing the saccade trial and practiced repetitively in the
laboratory. All subjects were adapted to the scanner and the
task in a prescan session. During fMRI, subjects did not wear
contact lenses or spectacles.

Oculomotor recordings
Fixation and saccades were monitored using MRI-compatible
bipolar and bidimensional semiquantitative electrooculographic
recordings. The purpose of these recordings was to assess
reliability of task performance. Fixation and saccades were
well performed in all subjects (Figure 1).

fMRI image acquisition and analysis
We acquired 130 volumes of 30 axial fMRI images (1.5 T,
40 mT/m gradient, 3.5 × 3.5 × 3.3 mm spatial resolution; TR/TE
3000/60 ms, flip angle 90°). In each subject, seven repetitions
of 10 scans during fixation (F) alternating with six blocks of
saccades (S) were obtained (FSFSFSFSFSFSF) yielding a total
of 70 volumes for fixation and 60 volumes for saccades. Each
cycle lasted 30 sec. During one cycle of saccades, 15 peripheral
targets were presented on each side. Raw data were pro-
cessed and analyzed using statistical parametric mapping
(SPM’99; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology,
London, UK). Functional images were realigned with sinc
interpolation and then normalized to the standard stereotactic
space (3 × 3 × 3 mm³) corresponding to the template from
the Montreal Neurological Institute (see http://www.mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk/Imaging/mnispace.html). Functional images were smoothed to accommodate intersubject variability of brain anatomy using an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 9 mm. Data were modeled using a box-car function convolved with the hemodynamic response function and its temporal derivative.

Statistics
Assessment of significant signal changes between rest and visual stimulation was performed using statistical parametric mapping. A voxel-by-voxel comparison according to the general linear model and t-test statistics were used to calculate differences of activation between saccades and rest. The same model was used for comparisons between subjects with impaired visual acuity vs subjects with normal vision (multigroup study). Statistical inferences were based on group comparison using a fixed effects model. The resulting statistical parametric map (SPM) was subsequently used to assign P-values (to voxels and also to clusters), which were corrected for multiple comparisons applied for the whole brain (P < 0.001). The locations of activation maxima were identified with reference to the atlas of Talairach and Tournoux.

Results
Saccades versus fixation in normally sighted subjects
Most significant areas of activation were found bilaterally in the frontal eye field. The maximum coincided with the posterior bank of the precentral gyrus in Brodmann area 6 between 50 and 61 mm above the anterior posterior commissural (ACPC) line. Brodmann area 6 was also activated at the dorsomedial wall of the frontal lobe and above the cingulate sulcus, corresponding to the supplementary eye field (coordinates 3, −3, 61). Bilateral activation occurred in the parietal eye fields of Brodmann area 7, and also in the striate and extrastriate cortex. Table 2 summarizes the areas of maximum activation in normally sighted subjects. Areas of activation are shown in Figure 2.

Saccades versus fixation in subjects with refractive errors
Activation was more significant in all areas in subjects with refractive errors compared to normally sighted subjects.

Table 2 Areas with significant activation during saccades in normally sighted subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>x, y, z-coordinates</th>
<th>z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEF right</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45, −1, 50</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF left</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−42, −3, 50</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEF</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3, −3, 61</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF right</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33, −47, 47</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF left</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−27, −53, 52</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striate cortex right</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>12, −84, 4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striate cortex left</td>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>−9, −84, 7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrastriate cortex right</td>
<td>19/18</td>
<td>15, −64, −4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrastriate cortex left</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>−12, −73, −9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Group study, P < 0.001, corrected. Coordinates according to Talairach and Tournoux.
Abbreviations: BA, Brodmann area; FEF, frontal eye fields; PEF, parietal eye fields; SEF, supplementary eye fields.
Most significant areas of activation were again found in frontal eye fields, in the supplementary eye field, in parietal eye fields, and in the extrastriate cortex (Table 3, Figure 3).

Comparison of activation areas in subjects with refractive errors compared to normally sighted subjects

Differences in the activation pattern during saccades between normally sighted subjects and subjects with refractive error were identified by subtracting significant regions of activation of normally sighted subjects from those with refractive error using a two-sample t-test. In this analysis, overactivation in bilateral parietal eye fields and frontal eye fields, the supplementary eye-fields as well as in the bilateral extrastriate cortex were found in subjects with refractive error (Table 4, Figure 4).

Discussion

In this study, brain activation patterns during visually guided saccades of subjects with refractive errors and normally sighted subjects were compared. In both groups, these saccades were associated with activation of a frontoparietal network. These findings are consistent with the view that saccades in humans are primarily triggered by eye fields in the posterior parietal cortex and frontal cortex.10 In both groups, the most significant activation was observed in Brodmann area 6, on the posterior bank of the precentral gyrus between 50 and 61 mm above the ACPC line. This cluster of activation anterior and lateral to the precentral sulcus coincides with the location of the human frontal eye field.11 Activation of this area during visually guided saccades corresponds well to previous studies using positron emission tomography2 and fMRI.3 In this pilot study, we used a fixed effects model. This model only supports inferences about the group of subjects we looked at, not to the population at large.

Additional activation of the frontoparietal network during performance of a simple prosaccade trial was found in subjects with refractive error compared to normally sighted subjects. Several mechanisms may explain the increased activation in subjects with reduced visual acuity. The increased activation pattern compared to normally sighted

Table 3 Increases of neuronal activation during saccades in subjects with decreased visual acuity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>x, y, z-coordinates</th>
<th>z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEF right</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24, -5, 58</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF left</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-39, 2, 50</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEF</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0, 3, 61</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF right</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24, -55, 59</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF left</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-39, -53, 47</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striate cortex right</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12, -87, -1</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striate cortex left</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-6, -94, 2</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrastriate cortex right</td>
<td>19/18</td>
<td>15, -76, 1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrastriate cortex left</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-15, -76, -4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Group study, P < 0.001, corrected. Coordinates according to Talairach and Tournoux.9
Abbreviations: BA, Brodmann area; FEF, frontal eye fields; PEF, parietal eye fields; SEF, supplementary eye fields.
subjects could suggest recruitment within the frontoparietal network to support neuronal processing and maintain task performance despite reduced visual input. The increased activation in motor and cognitive areas of the oculomotor network, but not in the striate cortex, is consistent with the use of different strategies for locating and following a target. Alternatively, there may also be increased attention and effort during task performance in subjects with refractive error. Performance of eye movement task in subjects with refractive error required greater involvement of cortical regions generally implicated in attention and effort, such as the parietal eye fields. Persistent neural activity of this area has been described during sustained visual attention.

Differences in task performance between both groups could have influenced brain activation patterns. Electrooculogram recordings demonstrated good task performance during fixation and during saccades. These recordings, however, did not permit quantification of numbers or amplitudes of saccades or measurement of onset latency. We are therefore unable to speculate how differences in saccadic behavior could affect the fMRI activation patterns in subjects with refractive error vs normally sighted subjects.

Finally, the increased activation pattern of subjects with impaired vision may also be age-dependent. We have previously shown that older adults increase activation in oculomotor and visual cortical areas during simple prosaccades. However, in the present study, the age difference between both groups was small. In another study of age-related effects in the oculomotor system, however, Raemaekers and colleagues did not find an increased activation in older subjects. In fact, they demonstrated an overall reduction of the Blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal in the visual and oculomotor system of older subjects. We therefore believe that age alone cannot explain the increased oculomotor activation in subjects with impaired vision.

One other study has investigated vision-related differences in cortical activation of eye movements. Little and colleagues investigated brain activation patterns during prosaccade and antisaccade trials in different age groups and in patients with visual impairment due to macular degeneration using event-related fMRI. In subjects with macular degeneration, the authors demonstrated increased activation of the prefrontal and parietal cortex and a reduction of the BOLD signal in the visual cortex; an observation that corroborates our data. In that study, the oculomotor activation was also not different between younger and older normally sighted subjects.

Table 4 Overactivation in subjects with decreased visual acuity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>x, y, z-coordinates</th>
<th>z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FEF right</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39, 3, 52</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF left</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−39, 8, 52</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEF</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0, 3, 61</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF right</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>27, −55, 58</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEF left</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−33, −47, 55</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrastriate cortex right</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30, −86, 26</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrastriate cortex left</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>−30, −90, 5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Multigroup study, \( P < 0.05 \), corrected. Coordinates according to Talairach and Tournoux. Abbreviations: BA, Brodmann area; FEF, frontal eye fields; PEF, parietal eye fields; SEF, supplementary eye fields.
The effects of refractive error on cortical activation observed in this study adds further evidence to the concept of altered cortical activity in subjects with reduced visual acuity. The present data represent a further step towards understanding the changes of cortical control of eye movements that occur as a result of decreased visual acuity. This observation also underlines the importance of using appropriate control groups in fMRI studies after lesions of the oculomotor system.
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