Intestinal Parasitic Infections among HIV-Infected Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy Attending Debretabor General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia: A Cross-Sectional Study

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
HIV/AIDS - Research and Palliative Care

Ermias Alemayehu¹
Alemu Gedefie¹
Aderaw Adamu¹
Jemal Mohammed¹
Brhanu Kassanew²
Berhanu Kebede³
Melaku Ashagrie Belete¹

¹Department of Medical Laboratory Science, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie 1145, Ethiopia; ²Department of Medical Laboratory Science, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Woldia University, Woldia, Ethiopia; ³Department of Medical Laboratory Science, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Semera University, Semera, Ethiopia

Background: Parasitic infections are known causes of morbidity among HIV-infected patients with low CD4⁺ counts who are on antiretroviral therapy (ART), mainly as a result of immuno suppression. This study aimed to assess the extent of intestinal parasitic infection and related risk factors among HIV-infected patients attending ART clinic at Debretabor General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia.

Methods: A health facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted on 383 HIV-infected patients attending the ART clinic of Debretabor General Hospital, northern Ethiopia from December 2018 to March 2019. An interview-based structured questionnaire was used to gather sociodemographic and risk-factor data. About 5 g fresh stool and 4 mL venous blood were collected from each patient, then transported and tested in accordance with laboratory-standard operating procedures. Data obtained were entered into SPSS version 22.0 and analyzed. $P < 0.05$ with 95% CI was considered statistically significant.

Results: The overall prevalence of intestinal parasites was 25.3%, with 18% and 23.8% by direct wet-mount and formol ether-concentration technique, respectively. Eight (2.1%) patients were infected by multiple parasites using the concentration technique. *Ascaris lumbricoides* was the most frequently identified parasite ($n=23$, 25.3%). Parasitic infection was significantly higher among illiterates ($P=0.011$), patients with CD4 count <200 cells/mm³ ($P<0.001$), and those who did not have a toilet in their home ($P=0.049$) than their counterparts.

Conclusion: Relatively higher prevalence of intestinal parasitic infection was found among HIV/AIDS patients. The distribution of intestinal parasites was greatly affected by illiteracy, reduced CD4⁺ counts, and absence of a toilet. Therefore, HIV/AIDS patients with low CD4⁺ counts should be diagnosed consistently for intestinal parasites with routine stool examinations, and awareness creation should be advocated to be included as an essential component of ART-monitoring strategies for improved patient care.

Keywords: antiretroviral therapy, debretabor, HIV/AIDS, intestinal parasites, risk factors

Correspondence: Melaku Ashagrie Belete;
Alemu Gedefie
Department of Medical Laboratory
Science, College of Medicine and Health
Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie 1145,
Ethiopia
Tel +251-9-1386-7849;
+251- 918106000
Fax +251 333115250
Email melakuashagrie@gmail.com;
alemugedefie@gmail.com

Introduction

HIV is a challenging health problem worldwide. Based on a joint report from the World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, about 38 million individuals were living with HIV by the end 2019 globally and more than 1 million had died due to AIDS-related complications and diseases, about 69% of them residing in the sub-Saharan Africa region.¹ This region is most

harshly affected by the virus: WHO reports have revealed that approximately one in 25 adults (3.7%) are currently living with the virus, which is estimated to be more than two-thirds the global burden of HIV.¹ Mainly due to subsequent heightened immunosuppression, which usually occurs in the late phase of the disease, opportunistic infections are among the major health problems affecting HIV/AIDS patients globally. Such immunosuppression most commonly exposes HIV patients to a wide range of parasitic and microbial attacks.

Parasitic infections, particularly intestinal parasites, are widely distributed globally: about 3.5 billion individuals worldwide are infected with intestinal parasites.² Intestinal parasites are the main threat in developing countries, such as Ethiopia, and the threat has been noticeably heightened with the coexistence of large burden of HIV/AIDS and malnutrition in the area. The extent of intestinal parasitic infection is highest in sub-Saharan African countries, where the highest proportion of HIV/AIDS cases are located. Evidence shows that HIV-infected patients are the most vulnerable risk group for acquiring parasitic and microbial infections.^{3–5} Opportunistic infections, in particular parasitic infections, commonly affect people with HIV/AIDS and about 80% of AIDS patients die as a result of AIDS-related infections, including the commonly occurring intestinal parasites, rather than due to the HIV infection itself. These opportunistic infections most commonly occur in the later stages of HIV infection, when the number of CD4⁺ T cells has declined mostly <200/mm³.⁶

Despite antiretroviral treatment (ART) improving the quality of life and reducing the occurrence of opportunistic infections among HIV/AIDS patients,¹ those taking ART who have depleted CD4⁺ counts commonly suffer from intestinal parasitic infections due to immunosuppression. Malnutrition, poor waste management, ignorance (illiteracy), unhygienic sources of drinking water, and depleted CD4⁺ counts (<200 cells/mm³) among HIV/AIDS patients are the most common determinants of parasitic infections.^{4,7–9} The distribution of parasitic infection in developing countries is estimated to be as high as 95% among HIV-infected patients.¹⁰ In the same way as many other developing countries, particularly African countries, intestinal parasites are extensively distributed in Ethiopia, mainly associated with malnutrition, low socioeconomic status, poor personal and environmental hygiene, food contamination, and lack of potable water supply in rural and urban areas, principally resulting from inappropriate human excretus-disposal systems and lack of awareness

regarding common health-promotion practices.^{9,11} Some studies have been conducted targeting the prevalence of intestinal parasites in different areas of Ethiopia,^{2,3,7–9,12,13} however, epidemiological data on the prevalence of intestinal parasitic infection among HIV/AIDS patients are still inadequate in the study area. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the magnitude of intestinal parasitic infection and its related risk factors among HIV-infected patients attending the ART clinic of Debreabor General Hospital, northern Ethiopia.

Methods

Study Design, Period, and Area

This health facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted from December 2018 to March 2019 at Debreabor General Hospital, northern Ethiopia. Debreabor is located 654 km northwest of the capital — Addis Ababa, and has an average temperature of 14.8°C. Debreabor General Hospital is a government health institutions providing health-care services to >1 million people, and had 4,326 HIV-infected patients attending ART during the study period.

Sample-Size Determination and Sampling Technique

A single population-proportion formula was employed to determine the sample size, taking 47.8% previous prevalence of intestinal parasites among HIV/AIDS patients⁷ with corresponding 5% margin of error and 95% CI. In line with this, a total of 383 patients were included. Participants were selected and recruited using systematic sampling, taking the ART clinic registration book as a sampling frame. Patients who had taken any anthelmintic drugs within the prior 4 weeks were excluded.

Data Collection and Laboratory Processing

An interview-based structured questionnaire was deployed to gather information related to sociodemographic and health-related risk-factor data of study participants. About 5 g freshly passed stool was collected from each study participant using a prelabeled, leakproof, plastic container with screw-on lid and attached spoon (FL Medical, Italy) after appropriate collection instructions had been given to avoid specimen contamination. About 4 mL venous blood was collected from each study participant following appropriate collection procedures using

an EDTA anticoagulated vacuum tube (Wego, China) by experienced laboratory personnel. Immediate processing of the specimens was performed in the hospital laboratory. Stool- and blood-specimen collection and processing were performed strictly following standard operating procedures.

Stool Examination for Intestinal Parasites

Direct examination of stool samples was carried out by emulsifying a portion of stool (about 1–2 mg) in a drop of physiological saline (0.85% sodium chloride solution) and Lugol's iodine solution (for detecting the cystic stage of intestinal protozoan parasites). The remainder of the specimen was preserved using 10% formalin after direct wet-mount preparation and subsequently examined using the formol ether–concentration technique.

Formol Ether–Concentration Technique

In order to detect different stages of the intestinal parasites, the formol ether–concentration technique was performed by taking a pea-sized portion (estimated to be 1 g) of representative stool sample using an applicator stick and emulsifying it in about 4 mL 10% formol–water solution within a screw-capped tube. An additional 4 mL 10% formol–water was added and mixed using vortex shaker. The suspension was then sieved (filtered) into a conical centrifuge tube and about 3–4 mL diethyl ether added and mixed for 1 minute. The tube was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for about 1 minute. Finally, a portion of the sediment was examined microscopically using 10× and 40× objectives after being placed on a microscopic slide and covered using a coverslip.¹⁴ All positive findings from stool examination and parasitic species identification were approved by a senior medical laboratory technologist.

CD4 T-Cell Determination

CD4⁺ count (cells/mm³) analysis was performed on blood samples using a FACS count–automated machine (Becton Dickinson, USA) after quality control had been performed and passed. Once the reagent pair of tubes had been labeled and mixed using vortex with the pair upright and upside down for about 5 seconds, the tubes were then mixed with the patient's blood sample by inverting the tube five times after being opened using a coring station. About 50 µL blood was pipetted into each tube, and the tubes were vortexed in the upright position for 5 seconds. Then, the tubes were incubated at room temperature in the dark for 60–120 minutes, 50 µL of fixative solution added to each, and mixed upright using the

vortex for 5 seconds. After 30 minutes' incubation, the FACS count was run and results printed out.¹⁵

Quality Assurance

Standardized quality checks were performed throughout data collection to obtain reliable and quality research data. All queries in the interview-based structured questionnaire were prepared precisely and clearly and translated into the local language (Amharic). Training was given to all data collectors. Furthermore, all laboratory-based assays were performed based upon standard operating procedures, and quality-control procedures were strictly maintained throughout data collection.

Statistical Analysis

Data collected were entered and analyzed using SPSS version 22 (IBM, USA). Descriptive statistics and binary and multivariate logistic regression methods were used to analyze the data. Binary logistic regression was employed to show the correlation of the dependent variable with the individual independent variable. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to avoid confounders and identify risk factors autonomously impacting the occurrence of the dependent variable. $P < 0.05$ with 95% CI was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate

After being thoroughly evaluated and checked for appropriateness, the study got approval from the Research Ethics Review Committee of the College of Health Sciences, Debreabor University, and ethical clearance was attained. Then, official collaboration and willingness were obtained from Debreabor General Hospital. Written and signed informed consent was obtained from individual study participants prior to commencing data collection. Positive results were immediately communicated to the ART-clinic physician, mentioning the parasitic species, and patients infected were treated with the appropriate protocol. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

Sociodemographic and Clinical Characteristics of Study Participants

A total of 383 study participants were enrolled and investigated during the study period. The age of study participants ranged 18–65 years, with a mean age of 37.1 ± 11.5 years. A

majority (50.9%) of study participants were aged 18–35 years. Of the total participants, 157 (41%) were male and 226 (59%) female. Nearly three-quarters (281, 73.4%) were urban dwellers, 169 (44.2%) were married, and 150 (39.1%) were single. Regarding education, 110 (28.7%) had attended primary school. Almost all (92.4%) had a toilet at home, 97.1% had a piped water supply in their home, and 26 (6.8%) study participants had animal contact (Table 1). As for WHO clinical staging, about 248 (64.8%) participants were stage I. Mean CD4⁺ count was 507 (28–2,417) cells/mm³, and 156 (40.7%) participants had a CD4⁺ count of 200–500 cells/mm³ (Table 1).

Table 1 Socio-Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of HIV/AIDS Patients Attending ART Clinic at Debreabor General Hospital (n=383) from December 2018 to March 2019

		n	(%)
Age, years	18–35	195	50.9
	36–53	144	37.6
	54–65	44	11.5
Sex	Male	157	41
	Female	226	59
Residence	Urban	281	73.4
	Rural	102	26.6
Marital status	Single	150	39.1
	Married	169	44.2
	Divorced	30	7.8
	Widowed	34	8.9
Education	Illiterate	88	23.0
	Can read and write	39	10.2
	Primary	110	28.7
	Secondary	89	23.2
	College and above	57	14.9
Water source	Piped	372	97.1
	Well	11	2.9
Toilet in home	Yes	354	92.4
	No	29	7.6
Animal contact	Yes	26	6.8
	No	357	93.2
WHO clinical stage	Stage I	248	64.8
	Stage II	103	26.9
	Stage III	23	6.0
	Stage IV	9	2.3
CD4⁺ T-cell count	<200 cells/mm ³	77	20.1
	200–500 cells/mm ³	156	40.7
	>500 cells/mm ³	150	39.2

Prevalence of Intestinal Parasites

The overall prevalence of intestinal parasites among patients in this study was found to be 25.3%. The prevalence of infection with one or more intestinal parasite using direct wet-mount and formol ether–concentration techniques was 69 of 383 (18%) and 91 of 383 (23.8%), respectively (Table 2). Mixed (double) infection was seen with the formol ether–concentration technique: eight (2.1%) participants were infected by more than one parasite. Twelve species of intestinal parasites were identified in this study. Of these (positive on formol ether concentration), *Ascaris lumbricoides* 23 (25.3%), *Strongyloides stercoralis* 15 (16.5%), and *Taenia* spp. 12 (13.2%) were predominantly detected (Table 2). The majority of intestinal parasitic infections were found among patients with CD4⁺ counts <200 cells/mm³. Regarding the pattern of intestinal parasites among study participants in relation to their CD4⁺ counts, the occurrence of *Entamoeba histolytica* infections was significantly associated with CD4⁺ T-cell count <200 cells/mm³ ($P=0.037$, Table 3).

Risk Factors Associated with Intestinal Parasitic Infection

The prevalence of intestinal parasites was 28.2% in the age-group 18–35 years and 29.5% in the age-group 54–65 years, but the difference was not statistically significant ($P=0.193$). Despite no statistically significant differences existing between intestinal parasites and sex, the prevalence of parasitic infection was relatively higher among men than women (29.3% vs 22.6%, AOR 1.025, 95% CI 0.558–1.882; $P=0.937$). Similarly, despite not reaching significance ($P=0.565$), relatively higher prevalence of intestinal parasitosis was found among rural dwellers (Table 4). A positivity rate of almost a third ($n=28$, 31.8%) was found among illiterate study participants and was significantly associated with occurrence of intestinal parasites (AOR 4.165, 95% CI 1.394–12.450; $P=0.011$). Moreover, educational status of being able to read and write only (AOR 9.329, 95% CI 2.626, 33.140; $P=0.001$), absence of a home toilet (AOR 2.595, 95% CI 1.003–6.712; $P=0.049$), and CD4⁺ count <200 cells/mm³ (AOR 3.359, 95% CI 1.793–6.293; $P<0.001$) were found to be significantly association with intestinal parasitosis (Table 4).

Discussion

HIV infection is a major global public health problem, with the highest rates of disease found in sub-Saharan

Table 2 Distribution of Specific Parasites by Different Diagnostic Techniques from HIV/AIDS Patients Attending ART Clinic at Debreabor General Hospital (n=383) from December 2018 to March 2019

	Diagnostic Method		Overall, n (%)
	Direct Wet Mount, n (%)	Formol Ether Concentration, n (%)	
<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> trophozoite	3 (4.3)	0	3 (3.1)
<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> dispar cyst	4 (5.8)	4 (4.4)	4 (4.1)
<i>Giardia lamblia</i> trophozoite	3 (4.3)	0	3 (3.1)
<i>Giardia lamblia</i> cyst	5 (7.2)	5 (5.5)	5 (5.2)
<i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i>	17 (24.6)	23 (23.1)	23 (23.7)
<i>Enterobius vermicularis</i>	4 (5.8)	5 (5.5)	5 (5.2)
<i>Hymenolepis nana</i>	4 (5.8)	6 (6.6)	6 (6.2)
Hookworm spp.	4 (5.8)	4 (4.4)	4 (4.1)
<i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>	3 (4.3)	3 (3.3)	3 (3.1)
<i>Strongyloides stecoralis</i>	12 (17.4)	15 (15.4)	15 (15.5)
<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	5 (7.2)	6 (6.6)	6 (6.2)
<i>Taenia</i> spp.	5 (7.2)	12 (13.2)	12 (12.4)
<i>A. lumbricoides</i> and <i>H. nana</i>	0	3 (3.3)	3 (3.1)
<i>A. lumbricoides</i> and <i>Taenia</i> spp.	0	2 (2.2)	2 (2.1)
<i>E. vermicularis</i> and <i>Taenia</i> spp.	0	1 (1.1)	1 (1.0)
<i>T. trichiura</i> and <i>Taenia</i> spp.	0	1 (1.1)	1 (1.0)
<i>A. lumbricoides</i> and <i>E. histolytica</i>	0	1 (1.1)	1 (1.0)
Total	69 (18.0)	91 (23.8)	97 (25.3)

Table 3 Prevalence of Intestinal Parasites Among HIV-Infected Individuals in Relation to Their CD4⁺ Count Attending ART Clinic at Debreabor General Hospital, Northern Ethiopia

	CD4 ⁺ Category			P-Value
	<200 Cells/mm ³ , n (%)	200–500 Cells/mm ³ , n (%)	>500 Cells/mm ³ , n (%)	
<i>Giardia lamblia</i>	4 (50)	1 (12.5)	3 (37.5)	0.201
<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i>	7 (100)	0	0	0.035*
<i>Ascaris lumbricoides</i>	11 (47.8)	2 (8.7)	10 (43.5)	0.497
<i>Enterobius vermicularis</i>	2 (40)	0	3 (60)	0.631
<i>Hymenolepis nana</i>	2 (33.3)	1 (16.7)	3 (50)	0.521
Hook worm spp.	2 (50)	0	2 (50)	0.784
<i>Schistosoma mansoni</i>	1 (33.3)	0	2 (66.7)	0.308
<i>Strongyloides stecoralis</i>	6 (40)	1 (6.7)	8 (53.3)	0.087
<i>Trichuris trichiura</i>	2 (33.3)	2 (33.3)	2 (33.3)	0.161
<i>Taenia</i> spp.	2 (16.7)	2 (16.7)	8 (66.6)	0.090
<i>A. lumbricoides</i> and <i>H. nana</i>	2 (66.6)	1 (33.4)	0	0.310
<i>A. lumbricoides</i> and <i>Taenia</i> spp.	1 (50)	0	1 (50)	0.402
<i>E. vermicularis</i> and <i>Taenia</i> spp.	1 (100)	0	0	0.140
<i>T. trichiura</i> and <i>Taenia</i> spp.	0	1 (100)	0	0.212
<i>A. lumbricoides</i> and <i>E. histolytica</i>	1 (100)	0	0	0.180

Note: *P<0.05.

Africa.¹⁶ Intestinal parasitic infections are among the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in people living with HIV. The overall prevalence of intestinal parasites among patients in this study was found to be 25.3%, which is comparable with reports from Axum, Ethiopia (26.4%),¹⁷

Gondar, Ethiopia (24.3%),¹⁸ Mozambique (26.5%),¹⁹ and Brazil (24%).²⁰ This was higher than findings reported from the Kombolcha, Dessie, Gondar, and Kobo regions of Ethiopia and the Benin and Makurdi regions of Nigeria (13.9%, 17.6%, 20%, 16%, 18%, and 20.9%,

Table 4 Factors Associated with Intestinal Parasites Among HIV/AIDS Patients Attending ART Clinic at Debreabor General Hospital (n=383) from December 2018 to March 2019

Category	Intestinal parasitosis		COR (95% CI)	P-value	AOR (95% CI)	P-value
	Positive, N (%)	Negative, N (%)				
Age group						
18-35	55 (28.2)	140 (71.8)	1.067 (0.520, 2.191)	0.859	NA	
36-53	29 (20.1)	115 (79.9)	1.66 (0.774, 3.574)	0.293	NA	
54-65	13 (29.5)	31 (70.5)	1			
Sex						
Male	46 (29.3)	111 (70.7)	1.271 (0.799, 2.023)	0.212	1.025 (.558, 1.882)	0.937
Female	51 (22.6)	175 (77.4)	1		1	
Level of education						
Illiterate	28 (31.8)	60 (68.2)	4.853 (1.748, 13.470)	0.002*	4.165 (1.394, 12.450)	0.011*
Read & write	16 (41)	23 (59)	7.235 (2.366, 22.120)	0.001*	9.329 (2.626, 33.14)	0.001*
Primary	31 (28.2)	79 (71.8)	4.081 (1.490, 11.170)	0.006*	3.515 (1.192, 10.36)	0.063
Secondary	17 (19.1)	72 (81.1)	2.456 (0.850, 7.080)	0.096	1.822 (0.592, 5.608)	0.296
College & above	5 (8.8)	52 (91.2)	1		1	
Water source						
Tape water	93 (25)	279 (75)	1			
Well water	4 (36.4)	7 (63.6)	1.714 (0.490, 5.988)	0.398	NA	
Presence of latrine						
Yes	85 (24)	269 (76)	1		1	
No	12 (41.4)	17 (58.6)	2.234 (1.206, 4.846)	0.043	2.595 (1.003, 6.712)	0.049*
Animal contact						
Yes	8 (30.8)	18 (69.2)	1.33 (0.560, 3.184)	0.510	NA	
No	89 (24.9)	268 (75.1)	1			
WHO clinical stage						
I /II	87 (24.8)	264 (75.2)	1			
III/IV	10 (31.3)	22 (68.7)	1.379 (0.629, 3.020)	0.422	NA	
CD4 ⁺ cells/mm ³						
<200	44 (57.1)	33 (42.9)	3.42 (1.929, 6.094)	0.000	3.359 (1.793, 6.293)	0.000*
200-500	11 (7.1)	145 (92.9)	0.195 (0.090, 0.396)	0.002	0.168 (0.079, 0.354)	0.072
>500	42 (28)	108 (72)	1		1	

Note: * Statistically significant at $P < 0.05$.

Abbreviations: AOR = adjusted odds ratio; CD4⁺ = cluster of differentiation; COR = crude odds ratio; 1 = reference group; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; WHO = world health organization; NA = not applicable.

respectively),^{5,12,21-24} though lower than reports from Cameroon (57.48%),²⁵ Kenya (50.9%),²⁶ and Burkina Faso (73.3%)²⁷ and studies conducted in different parts of Ethiopia, ie, Jimma (44.8%),³ Jimma University Specialized Hospital (45.0%),²⁸ Hawassa (47.8%),⁷ and Shahura (56.9%).⁹ This variation could be due to differences in sociodemographic characteristics, sample size,

and time period. Additionally, implementation of relevant health-intervention programs and improvement in health-service coverage with the active involvement of health-extension workers in some places might have contributed.

Despite not being statistically significant, the majority of intestinal parasites were detected among those aged 18–35 years, the most productive and reproductive group in

society, in line with a report from Gondar.²² Possible reasons might be frequent contact among one another, overcrowding in classrooms, poor personal hygiene, and habits of sharing materials that facilitate the spread of the parasites. The most predominant parasite was *A. lumbricoides* (23.8%). This is in line with findings from studies in Jimma,²⁹ Cameroon,²⁵ and southeast Nigeria.³⁰ In contrast to our finding, *E. histolytica* was the predominant parasite detected in ART clinics of a Kombolcha health center,²¹ northwestern Ethiopia,⁹ Gondar,²² Axum,¹⁷ and Kenya.²⁶ Ubiquitous distribution, higher durability of eggs in diversified external environmental settings, the laying of many eggs, and poor socioeconomic status may contribute to an increased distribution of *A. lumbricoides* in the study area.

Among patients infected with intestinal parasites, about 41.4% did not have a toilet at home. Our study further revealed that not having a toilet at home was significantly associated with occurrence of intestinal parasitic infection, in line with a similar study from Gondar (AOR 5.21, 95% CI 1.82–16.03).²² This is mainly due to the fact that absence of a toilet results in open-field defecation nearby homes, which leads easily to fecal–oral transmission of intestinal parasites. Similarly, this study also revealed that the prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections is significantly affected by level of education among HIV/AIDS patients. Illiterate patients had the highest prevalence of parasitic infection, in agreement with a study conducted in Nigeria.⁴ Moreover, significantly higher prevalence of intestinal parasites was found among patients with CD4⁺ count <200 cells/mm³ ($P < 0.0001$), which is in agreement with reports from Gondar,²² Nigeria,³¹ and Mozambique.¹⁹ Therefore, reduction in CD4⁺ count to <200 cells/mm³ in HIV patients increases the likelihood of acquiring opportunistic intestinal parasitic infections. This is mainly because reduction in CD4⁺ cells due to HIV infection results in diminished cellular immunity, which is the major host-defense mechanism against intestinal parasitic infection. Despite not being statistically significant, increased rates of intestinal parasitic infections were detected among HIV patients categorized under WHO clinical stage I or II. This finding is in contrast to study reports from western Ethiopia,³² southern Ethiopia,³³ and northeastern Ethiopia,¹² which reported significantly higher proportions of intestinal parasitic infections from HIV patients categorized under WHO clinical stage III.

Limitations

Species-specific techniques, such as modified Ziehl–Neelsen staining, Kato–Katz, Bearman’s, and water–ether sedimentation for *Microsporidia* and intestinal *Coccidia*, were not done, due to lack of resources in the research-laboratory setup. As such, the burden of intestinal parasites in this study might have been underestimated.

Conclusion

In this study, there was a moderately higher overall prevalence of intestinal parasites among HIV/AIDS patients, in contrast with other similar studies in the country. The distribution of intestinal parasites in the study area is greatly affected by illiteracy, lower immuno status (CD4⁺ T-cell count <200 cells/mm³), and absence of a home toilet. Therefore, HIV/AIDS patients with low CD4⁺ counts should be diagnosed consistently for intestinal parasites, and routine stool examination and awareness creation should be advocated to be included as an essential component of the ART-monitoring strategy for improved patient care. Moreover, large-scale longitudinal studies assessing all associated risk factors and continuous surveillance should be conducted to halt the spread of intestinal parasites among HIV patients.

Abbreviations

AOR, adjusted OR; ART, Anti-Retroviral Therapy; cd4, cluster of differentiation; COR, crude OR; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; WHO, World Health Organization.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge Debretabor Hospital ART clinic staff members and data collectors. Moreover, we acknowledge the study participants for their willingness and cooperation at the time of data collection.

Author Contributions

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, agreed on the journal to which the article would be submitted, gave final approval to the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

No external funds were obtained, only institutional support from Debreabor University and Debreabor General Hospital.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. UNAID. *UNAIDS Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic*. Geneva: Switzerland: UNAID; 2019.
2. Teklemariam Z, Abate D, Mitiku H, Dessie Y. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infection among HIV positive persons who are naive and on antiretroviral treatment in Hiwot Fana Specialized University Hospital, Eastern Ethiopia. *ISRN AIDS*. 2013;2013:1–6. doi:10.1155/2013/324329
3. Awol M, Gebre-Selassie S, Kassa T, Kibru G. Prevalence of intestinal parasites in HIV infected adult patients in south western Ethiopia. *Ethiop. J. Health Dev*. 2003;17(1):71–78.
4. Akinbo FO, Okaka CE, Omereg R. Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections among HIV patients in Benin City, Nigeria. *Libyan J Med*. 2010;5:5506. doi:10.3402/ljm.v5i0.5506
5. Udeh EO, Obiezue RN, Ikele CB, Okoye IC, Otuu CA. Gastrointestinal parasitic infections and immunological status of HIV/AIDS coinfecting individuals in Nigeria. *Ann Glob Health*. 2019;85(1):1–7.
6. Laksemi DA, Suwanti LT, Mufasirin M, Suastika K, Sudarmaja M. Opportunistic parasitic infections in patients with human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: a review. *Vet World*. 2020;13(4):716–725. doi:10.14202/vetworld.2020.716-725
7. Fekadu S, Taye K, Teshome W, Asnake S. Prevalence of parasitic infections in HIV-positive patients in southern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2013;7(11):868–872. doi:10.3855/jidc.2906
8. Kiros H, Nibret E, Munsha A, Kerisew B, Adal M. Prevalence of intestinal protozoan infections among individuals living with HIV/AIDS at Felegehiwot Referral Hospital, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2015;35:80–86.
9. Tigabu A, Taye S, Aynalem M, Adane K. Prevalence and associated factors of intestinal parasitic infections among patients attending Shahura Health Center, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2019;12(333):1–8. doi:10.1186/s13104-019-4377-y
10. Ngui R, Ishak S, Chuen CS, Mahmud R, Lim YA. Prevalence and risk factors of intestinal parasitism in rural and remote West Malaysia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2011;5(3):e974. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000974
11. Torgerson PR, Devleeschauwer B, Praet N, et al. World health organization estimates of the global and regional disease burden of 11 foodborne parasitic diseases: a data synthesis. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(12):e1001920. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001920
12. Missaye A, Dagnew M, Alemu A. Prevalence of intestinal parasites and associated risk factors among HIV/AIDS patients with pre-ART and on-ART attending Dessie Hospital ART Clinic, Northeast Ethiopia. *AIDS Res Ther*. 2013;10(7):1–9. doi:10.1186/1742-6405-10-7
13. Alemu F. Prevalence of intestinal parasites and other parasites among HIV/AIDS patients with on-ART attending Dilla Referral Hospital, Ethiopia. *J AIDS Clin Res*. 2014;5(9):1–5. doi:10.4172/2155-6113.1000345
14. Cheesbrough M. *District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries: 2nd Edition, Part 1*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2006.
15. BD Biosciences. *BD FACS Count System Users Guide for Use with CD4/CD3 Reagent Kit*; 2005.
16. Ayesha BM, Kharsany AB, Karim QA. Infection HIV. And AIDS in Sub-Saharan Africa: current Status, Challenges and Opportunities. *Open AIDS J*. 2016;10:34–48. doi:10.2174/1874613601610010034
17. Gebrewahid T, Gebrekirstos G, Teweldemedhin M, Gebreyesus H, Awala A, Tadla K. Intestinal parasitosis in relation to CD4 count and anemia among ART initiated patients in St. Mary Aksum general hospital, Tigray, Ethiopia. *BMC Inf Dis*. 2019;19:350.
18. Telele NF, Damte DG, Selassie S. Intestinal parasitic infections among HIV seropositives and seronegatives adult patients presented with diarrhea in Gondar, North west Ethiopia. *Revi Ant Infec Dis*. 2010;3:7–8.
19. Cerveja BZ, Tucuzo RM, Madureira AC, et al. Prevalence of intestinal parasites among HIV Infected and HIV Uninfected Patients Treated at the 1° De Maio Health Centre in Maputo, Mozambique. *EC Microbial*. 2017;9(6):231–240.
20. Rodrigues Bachur TP, Vale JM, Branco Coelho IC, Sales Queiroz TRB, Souza Chaves C. Enteric parasitic infections in HIV/AIDS patients before and after the highly active antiretroviral therapy in Brazil. *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2008;12(2):115–122.
21. Gebretsadik D, Hailesiasie H and Feleke DG. Intestinal parasitosis among HIV/AIDS patients who are on anti-retroviral therapy in Kombolcha, North Central, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Res Notes*. 2018;11:613. doi:10.1186/s13104-018-3726-6
22. Gebrecherkos T, Kebede H, Gelagay AA. Intestinal parasites among HIV/AIDS patients attending University of Gondar Hospital, north-west Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Health Dev*. 2019;33(2):64–72.
23. Bugssa G, Dimtsu G, Tarekegn H, Kassaw M, Tafete A. The prevalence of intestinal parasites in HIV positive and HIV negative individuals in Kobo Health Center, Northeastern Ethiopia: comparative cross sectional. *Br Biomed Bull*. 2014;2(3):56–57.
24. Akinbo FO, Okaka CE, Omereg R. Prevalence of intestinal parasites in relation to CD4 counts and anaemia among HIV-infected patients in Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. *Tanzan J Health Res*. 2011;13(1):8–13. doi:10.4314/thrb.v13i1.62986
25. Vouking MZ, Enoka P, Tamo CV, Tadenfok CN. Prevalence of intestinal parasites among HIV patients at the Yaoundé Central Hospital, Cameroon. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2014;18:136. doi:10.11604/pamj.2014.18.136.3052
26. Kipyegen CK, Shivauro RS, Odhiambo RO. Prevalence of intestinal parasites among HIV patients in Baringo, Kenya. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2012;13(37):1–13.
27. Zida A, Yacouba A, Sawadogo MP, et al. Opportunistic and other intestinal parasites infections among HIV-positive patients in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy and preventive treatment in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. *J HIV Clin Sci Res*. 2017;4(1):008–0014.
28. Kindie Y, Bekele S. Prevalence and risk factors for intestinal parasite infections in HIV/AIDS patients with anti-retroviral treatment in Southwest Ethiopia. *J Trop Dis*. 2016;4(3):1–4. doi:10.4172/2329-891X.1000210
29. Zeynudin A, Hemalatha K, Kannan S. Prevalence of opportunistic intestinal parasitic infection among HIV infected patients who are taking antiretroviral treatment at Jimma Health Center, Jimma, Ethiopia. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2013;17:513–516.
30. Uju Marie-Esther D, Vincent E, Ilemobayo L, Akunnaya U. Intestinal parasitic infestations among people living with HIV/AIDS in Nsukka, Southeast Nigeria. *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci*. 2013;2(11):539–550.
31. Akinbo FO, Anate PJ, Akinbo DB, et al. Risk factors of intestinal parasitic infections among human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Port Harcourt Med J*. 2017;11(1):15–20. doi:10.4103/phmj.phmj_5_17

32. Dufera M, Petros B, Endeshaw T, Mohammed H, Kassu A. Opportunistic intestinal protozoan parasites among HIV positive patients on antiretroviral therapy at Nekemte Hospital, west Ethiopia. Symposium on Sustainable Development: a great concern in Africa; 2010.
33. Abyu DM, Getahun EA, Malaju MT, Bizuayehu HM. Time to increase WHO clinical stage of people living with HIV in public health facilities of Arba Minch town, south Ethiopia. *Clin Med Res*. 2014;3(5):119–124. doi:10.11648/j.cmr.20140305.11

HIV/AIDS - Research and Palliative Care

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

HIV/AIDS - Research and Palliative Care is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal focusing on advances in research in HIV, its clinical progression and management options including antiviral treatment, palliative care and public healthcare policies to

control viral spread. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/hivaids—research-and-palliative-care-journal>