Complementary mechanisms of action and rationale for the fixed combination of perindopril and indapamide in treating hypertension – update on clinical utility
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Abstract: Although reducing blood pressure is the most important approach to reduce cardiovascular outcomes in the hypertensive population, the majority of patients fail to attain the targets. Most patients with hypertension need at least 2 antihypertensive agents to achieve blood pressure goals. The 2007 European hypertension guidelines state that combined therapy is needed when monotherapy does not attain blood pressure objectives and as a first-line treatment in high-risk patients. This point has been reinforced in the 2009 update of the European guidelines. The advantages of combination therapy are well documented with the potential for increased antihypertensive efficacy as a result of different mechanisms of action, and a lower incidence of adverse effects because of the lower doses used and the possible compensatory responses. Moreover, the use of fixed dose combinations are specially recommended as they facilitate treatment compliance. The inhibition of the renin-angiotensin system appears to be very beneficial in the treatment of patients with hypertension along the cardiovascular continuum and the combination of a renin-angiotensin system inhibitor and a diuretic is particularly recommended. Many clinical trials have demonstrated the benefits of the fixed combination perindopril/indapamide in the treatment of hypertension. The aim of this manuscript is to update the published data on the efficacy and safety of this fixed combination.
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Introduction
Arterial hypertension, a major risk factor for the establishment and development of cerebrovascular, cardiovascular and renal diseases, is very prevalent worldwide. It has been estimated that about a quarter of the general population is hypertensive, a proportion that increases with age.1–3 In Spain, 44% of the middle-aged population and 68% of patients aged 60 years or older exhibit hypertension.1 In United States about 65 million people are hypertensive.2,3 It has been calculated that hypertension is responsible for 1 of every 14 deaths for any reason and for 1 of every 2.5 cardiovascular deaths.4

Even small elevations above optimal systolic or diastolic blood pressure (BP) values increase the probability of cardiovascular outcomes.5 Thus, in 18,876 healthy subjects, an increased risk of new onset heart failure in individuals with systolic BP 130–139 mmHg compared with those with optimal BP (<120 mmHg) has recently been reported, with a linear trend in heart failure risk across the normal range of systolic BP.6 Similar findings have been reported in patients with ischemic heart disease.7 A post hoc analysis of INVEST (International Verapamil SR-Trandolapril Study) trial, performed...
in 22,576 patients with hypertension and coronary artery disease, showed there was a steep reduction in cardiovascular risk in parallel to the proportion of visits with controlled BP, independent of baseline characteristics and mean on-treatment BP. In the classical systematic review of Collins et al a 42% stroke risk reduction ($P < 0.0001$) and a 14% coronary heart disease risk reduction in those hypertensives who attained BP goals, when compared to those treated but not adequately controlled, was reported. As a result, it is crucial not only to reduce BP values but to achieve BP goals in order to improve cardiovascular prognosis.

Although in the last decades BP control rates have progressively improved (ie, in Spain, BP control has increased from <20% in 1990s to the current 40%), they are far from optimal and this occurs everywhere (Italy about 31%, United Kingdom 36%, Germany 40% and France 46%).

However, after the results of EUROASPIRE III, it seems that this improvement has stopped or at least slowed. EUROASPIRE surveys analyzed rates of modifiable cardiovascular risk factors in patients with coronary heart disease. EUROASPIRE I, II, and III were designed as cross-sectional studies and included the same selected geographical areas and hospitals in the Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, and Slovenia. These studies showed that although the proportion with raised total cholesterol has markedly decreased, from 94.5% in EUROASPIRE I to 76.7% in II, and 46.2% in III ($P < 0.0001$), the proportion of patients with raised BP ($\geq 140/90$ mmHg in patients without diabetes or $\geq 130/80$ mmHg in patients with diabetes) remained unchanged (58.1% in EUROASPIRE I, 58.3% in II, and 60.9% in III; $P = 0.49$).

These data suggest that, although in the general hypertensive population BP control rates are rising, this does not occur in those hypertensive patients at higher risk such as those with coronary heart disease. In fact, as cardiovascular risk increases, a lesser proportion of patients attain BP goals. This is very relevant, since nowadays the majority of patients attended by specialists or general practitioners, belong to high- or very high-risk groups. Furthermore, since the prevalence of diabetes, obesity and sedentary lifestyle is growing, it is likely that the number of high risk hypertensive patients will rise in the future.

Although it is well known that the majority of hypertensive patients will need more than 1 antihypertensive drug to attain BP objectives (particularly those at higher risk), several surveys have reported that combined therapy is actually underused. The 2007 European guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension, indicate that combined therapy is required when monotherapy fails to attain BP goals. They also show that a combination of 2 drugs at low doses as first line treatment, can be prescribed when total cardiovascular risk is high or very high, or when initial BP values are in the range of grade 2 or 3. The evidence that in the vast majority of hypertensives effective BP control can only be achieved by combination of at least 2 antihypertensive agents continues to grow, as a last update of European guidelines shows. Moreover, the combination of 2 antihypertensive drugs may offer advantages also for treatment initiation, particularly in patients at high cardiovascular risk in which early BP control may be desirable. Fortunately, although the use of combined therapy is still low and far from optimal, its prescribing has improved in the last decade.

The use of a combination of 2 antihypertensive agents at fixed doses in a single tablet should be preferred, since decreasing the number of pills that have to be taken daily has been associated with an improvement in compliance, and consequently, better BP control rates during follow-up. As current recommendations report, there are several 2-drug fixed combinations suitable for clinical use. However, trial evidence of outcome reduction has been obtained particularly for the combination of a diuretic or a calcium channel blocker, with an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor, or a diuretic with an angiotensin receptor blocker. Importantly, the use of the angiotensin receptor blocker/calcium channel blocker combination also appears to be rational and effective. As a result, these combinations should be recommended for priority use. This manuscript aims to update the published data on the efficacy and safety of the fixed combination perindopril plus indapamide.

**Renin-angiotensin system and organ damage**

Although the renin-angiotensin aldosterone system (RAAS) is important for the cardiovascular system homeostasis, the BP control, and the sodium and water balance, its excessive activation promotes the development and worsening of cardiovascular disease. Angiotensin II is associated with all phases of cardiovascular disease, from the early (hypertension), to the mid (left ventricular hypertrophy and microalbuminuria), to the late stages (myocardial infarction, heart failure stroke, and renal disease).

Left ventricular hypertrophy is one of the most relevant subclinical organ damage in patients with hypertension. Although many factors have been involved in the establishment and development of left ventricular hypertrophy
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potassium depletion caused by indapamide is buffered by perindopril due to its potassium-sparing effect. Notably, the co-administration of perindopril and indapamide does not change their pharmacokinetic properties when compared to both drugs in monotherapy, and this facilitates its administration.\textsuperscript{34,39}

**Efficacy and safety of the combination perindopril/indapamide**

**Hypertension**

Several randomized clinical trials and observational studies have analyzed the benefits of the fixed combination perindopril/indapamide in the treatment of hypertensive population. In a study performed in stable hypertensive patients with systolic BP >130 mmHg and/or diastolic BP > 85 mmHg, even with up to 2 antihypertensive drugs, excluding ACE inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers or a diuretic, patients were randomized to receive perindopril 2 mg/indapamide 0.625 mg or cilazapril 2.5 mg once daily for a period of 12 weeks after a 2-week placebo run-in phase.\textsuperscript{40} Although systolic BP was significantly reduced by both groups, diastolic BP was significantly reduced only by the combination perindopril/indapamide. Notably, the response rate, defined as systolic BP \textless{} 140 mmHg and diastolic BP \textless{} 90 mmHg at the last visit or a \textgreater{}20 mmHg reduction in systolic BP and/ or \textgreater{}10 mmHg reduction in diastolic BP, was significantly higher with the combination (100%) than with cilazapril (70%) ($P=0.0008$). Interestingly, there was no difference in the number of adverse events between the 2 groups.

In the STRATHE trial, the efficacy and the tolerability of three different strategies in the treatment of hypertension (low-dose combination, sequential monotherapy and stepped-care) were compared.\textsuperscript{41,42} Hypertensive patients were randomized to a 9-month treatment. In the ‘low-dose combination’ group (n = 180), perindopril (2 mg) and indapamide (0.625 mg) were first administered with the possibility of increasing the doses in 2 steps up to 4 and 1.25 mg respectively. In the ‘sequential monotherapy’ group (n = 176), the treatment was initiated with atenolol (50 mg), replaced if necessary by losartan (50 mg), and afterwards by amlodipine (5 mg). In the ‘stepped-care’ group (n = 177), valsartan, was given first at a 40 mg dose, then at a 80 mg dose, to be finally co-administered with hydrochlorothiazide 12.5 mg if required. The main results of this study showed that the proportion of patients that achieved BP goals, was significantly higher in the ‘low-dose combination’ group (62%) than in the ‘sequential monotherapy’ (49%, $P=0.02$) and the ‘stepped-care’ group (47%, $P=0.005$). Moreover, the percentage of patients that normalized their BP was significantly greater in the ‘low-dose combination’ group (56%) than in the ‘sequential monotherapy’ (42%, $P=0.002$) or in the ‘stepped-care’ group (42%, $P=0.004$). Interestingly, these better BP results were not obtained at the expense of a worsening tolerability.

The Optimax II study was performed to assess whether the pre-existence of metabolic syndrome defined by the NCEP-ATP III criteria, had any impact on BP control in hypertensive patients receiving a fixed perindopril/indapamide combination therapy.\textsuperscript{43} A total of 24,069 hypertensive patients were prospectively included and the follow-up lasted 6 months. About 30% of patients exhibited metabolic syndrome. Patients were divided in 3 groups: previously untreated, who received the combination therapy as initial treatment; previously treated but with unsatisfactory results and/or treatment intolerance, they had its previous treatment switched to perindopril/indapamide; and previously treated, with good treatment tolerance but uncontrolled BP, who received the study treatment in adjunction to the previous one. The normalization rates were 70.3%, 68.4%, and 64.1%, respectively, ($P<0.0001$). Interestingly, the pre-existence of metabolic syndrome did not show any significant influence on these figures.

A meta-analysis was performed to assess the efficacy and safety profiles (through review of randomized, controlled trials) of the fixed, low-dose combination perindopril 2 mg and indapamide 0.625 mg given as first-line antihypertensive therapy in patients with mild to moderate hypertension.\textsuperscript{44} In this systematic review, a total of 11 trials (5,936 individuals) were reviewed. In the 5 studies that compared perindopril indapamide versus placebo, the combination significantly reduced both systolic and diastolic BP values. In the other 6 studies, perindopril indapamide was compared to other antihypertensive therapies (perindopril 4 mg/day in monotherapy, losartan 50 mg/day, irbesartan 150 mg/day, enalapril 40 mg/day), showing significantly higher reductions in BP values with the combination perindopril/indapamide. Adverse events and withdrawals were not significantly different between perindopril indapamide and control groups.

Although the results of controlled randomized trials are very important, they are selective and significant differences may remain between them and the ‘real world’ of general practice. Therefore, it is not always reliable to translate these results to clinical practice.\textsuperscript{45,46} In this context, observational studies may be useful to determine
the impact of compliance, tolerability and BP control in daily clinical activity.\textsuperscript{47,48} In a descriptive, multicenter survey carried out in primary care setting across Spain, general practitioners were asked about their own experience in the use of the fixed combination perindopril 2 mg plus indapamide 0.625 mg in hypertensive patients for a minimum of 6 weeks. They found in 3,198 patients, that BP control rates increased from 1.1% at baseline, to 38.7% with the combination (Figure 1). Moreover, the great majority of physicians considered the efficacy and tolerability of the combination perindopril and indapamide as good or very good (88.8% and 96.2%, respectively). Furthermore, most patients (92%) were satisfied or very satisfied with the therapy. Another study with a similar design, but including specialists, was performed including a total of 5,126 patients with hypertension and diabetes.\textsuperscript{48} At baseline, 1.7% of the general practitioners’ patients and 1.3% of the specialists’ patients had their BP controlled, and with the combined therapy, BP control rates increased to 30.7% and 29.8%, respectively (\(P < 0.001\) vs baseline and not significantly different between groups) (Figure 1). Approximately 85% of physicians considered the efficacy and tolerability of combined therapy as ‘good’ or ‘very good’ and 93% of the patients were ‘satisfied’ or ‘very satisfied’ with the combined therapy.

Organ damage
The fixed combination perindopril/indapamide has been shown to be an effective therapy for the treatment of patients with hypertension and subclinical organ damage.\textsuperscript{49–52} In the PICXEL study,\textsuperscript{49} the efficacy of a strategy based on first-line combination with perindopril/indapamide versus monotherapy with enalapril in reducing echocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertensive patients was compared. After 1 year, treatment systolic and diastolic BP decreased significantly more in the perindopril/indapamide than in the enalapril group (\(P < 0.0001\) and \(P = 0.003\), respectively). Moreover, the left ventricular mass index decreased by 13.6 \(\pm\) 23.9 g/m\(^2\) with perindopril/indapamide (\(P < 0.0001\) vs baseline) and 3.9 \(\pm\) 23.9 g/m\(^2\) with enalapril (\(P < 0.005\) vs baseline and \(P < 0.0001\) between groups) (Figure 2). Both treatments were well tolerated. In an ancillary study of the PICXEL trial, the fixed combination perindopril/indapamide reduced 24-hour and daytime systolic BP as well as pulse pressure significantly more than enalapril treatment (\(P < 0.01\)). No significant between-group differences were noted for diastolic BP or for night-time measurements. Trough/peak ratios were higher with perindopril/indapamide than with enalapril. Moreover, more patients required dose increases with enalapril (87%) than with perindopril/indapamide (71%).

The effects of the combination perindopril/indapamide on kidney disease have also been assessed.\textsuperscript{53} For this purpose, the combination of perindopril/indapamide was compared with enalapril monotherapy on albumin excretion rate (AER) in patients with type 2 diabetes, albuminuria, and hypertension in a 12-month, randomized study. After a 4-week placebo period, patients with albuminuria >20 and \(<500 \mu g/min\), were randomized to a combination of 2 mg perindopril/0.625 mg indapamide or to 10 mg daily enalapril. After a 12-week period, doses were adjusted on the basis of BP to a maximum of 8 mg perindopril/2.5 mg indapamide or 40 mg enalapril. Combined therapy exhibited higher systolic and diastolic BP reductions than enalapril (\(-3.0, P = 0.012\) and \(-1.5, P = 0.019\), respectively) and higher AER reduction (\(-42\%\) vs \(-27\%, P = 0.002\)) (Figure 3). The greater AER reduction remained significant after adjustment for mean BP. Adverse events were similar in the 2 groups.

In a post hoc analysis of the ADVANCE (Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron MR Controlled Evaluation) trial, the effects of BP lowering and intensive glucose control on the incidence and progression of retinopathy in type 2 diabetes patients were analyzed.\textsuperscript{52} The main results of this study showed that although BP lowering or intensive glucose control did not significantly reduce the incidence and progression of retinopathy, consistent trends towards a benefit were observed, with significant reductions in some lesions observed with both interventions. These effects of the 2 treatments were independent and additive.

Cardiovascular events
Several and important trials have specifically studied the efficacy of the combination perindopril/indapamide on cardiovascular events.\textsuperscript{51–63} The PROGRESS (perindopril protection
against recurrent stroke study) trial, was designed to determine the effects of a BP-lowering regimen in hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients with a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack. A total of 6,105 subjects from 172 centers in Asia, Australasia, and Europe were randomized to active treatment consisting of a flexible regimen based on perindopril (4 mg daily), with the addition of indapamide at the discretion of treating physicians (n = 3051) or placebo (n = 3054). The primary end point of the study was total stroke (fatal or non-fatal). After a 4-year follow-up, perindopril/indapamide reduced BP by 9/4 mmHg. Those treated with perindopril/indapamide exhibited a 28% relative risk reduction (95% CI 17–38, \( P < 0.0001 \)) in the primary outcome, and a 26% risk reduction for total major vascular events. There were similar reductions in the risk of stroke in hypertensive and non-hypertensive subgroups (all \( P < 0.01 \)). The combination perindopril/indapamide reduced BP by 12/5 mmHg and stroke risk by 43%, whereas perindopril in monotherapy reduced BP by 5/3 mmHg, without a discernable reduction in the risk of stroke.

In the ADVANCE trial, the effects of the routine administration of the combination perindopril/indapamide on serious vascular events in patients with diabetes, irrespective of initial BP levels or the use of other BP-lowering drugs were assessed. After a 6-week active run-in period, 11,140 patients with type 2 diabetes were randomized to the combination perindopril/indapamide or placebo, in addition to current therapy. The primary endpoints were a composite of major macrovascular and microvascular events, defined as death from cardiovascular disease, non-fatal stroke or non-fatal myocardial infarction, and new or worsening renal or diabetic eye disease. After a mean of 4.3 years of follow-up, those assigned to perindopril/indapamide had a mean reduction in systolic BP of 5.6 mmHg and diastolic BP of 2.2 mmHg. The relative risk of a major macrovascular or microvascular event was reduced by 9% (\( P = 0.04 \)). The relative risk of death from cardiovascular disease was reduced by 18% (\( P = 0.03 \)) and death from any cause by 14% (\( P = 0.03 \)) (Table 1). The fixed combination of perindopril and indapamide was well tolerated. The authors concluded that the results of the ADVANCE trial suggest that over 5 years, 1 death of any cause would be averted among every 79 patients assigned to active therapy.

A recent combined analysis using individual data from ADVANCE, EUROPA, and PROGRESS studies was performed to determine the consistency of the treatment effect of a perindopril-based regimen in patients with vascular disease or at high risk of vascular disease. All-cause mortality and major cardiovascular outcomes during a follow-up of about 4 years in 29,463 patients randomly assigned to a perindopril-based treatment regimen or placebo were analyzed. The perindopril-based regimens were associated with a significant reduction in all-cause mortality (HR 0.89; \( P = 0.006 \)), cardiovascular mortality (HR 0.85; \( P = 0.004 \)), non-fatal myocardial infarction (HR 0.80; \( P < 0.001 \)), stroke (HR 0.82; \( P = 0.002 \)), and heart failure (HR 0.84; \( P = 0.015 \)).

The results of the HYVET (Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial) study have been very important to clarify how the management of the hypertensive population aged 80 years or older should be. In this study, 3,845 patients from Europe, China, Australasia, and Tunisia, who were ≥80 years and had a sustained systolic BP ≥ 160 mmHg, were randomized to receive either indapamide (sustained release, 1.5 mg) or matching placebo. Perindopril (2 or 4 mg), or matching placebo, was added if necessary to achieve the target BP of 150/80 mmHg. The primary end point was fatal or nonfatal stroke. After 2 years of treatment, mean BP was 15.0/6.1 mmHg lower in the active-treatment group than in the placebo group. Active treatment was associated with a 30% reduction in the rate of fatal or nonfatal stroke (\( P = 0.06 \)), a 39% reduction in the rate of death from stroke.
(P = 0.05), a 21% reduction in the rate of death from any cause (P = 0.02), a 23% reduction in the rate of death from cardiovascular causes (P = 0.06), and a 64% reduction in the rate of heart failure (P < 0.001) (Table 2).

### Safety and tolerability

The fixed combination perindopril plus indapamide is a safe and well-tolerated drug, with a low incidence of adverse events. In general, drug-related adverse events are mild and transient with a very low discontinuation rate (about 2%). The most frequent adverse events reported with the fixed combination perindopril (2–4 mg) plus indapamide (0.625–1.25 mg) are cough (4.4%), headache (3.1%), asthenia (1.6%), dizziness (1.4%) and flu-like symptoms (1.2%). Due to their complementary mechanisms of action, hyponatremia and hypokalemia are uncommon with perindopril/indapamide therapy. This antihypertensive combination does not adversely affect lipid profile or glucose tolerance even in hypertensive patients at risk.

The combination perindopril and indapamide is contraindicated in patients with a history of previous hypersensitivity to either of the active compounds, perindopril or indapamide, in subjects with bilateral renal artery stenosis (or unilateral in subjects with only one kidney), in patients with severe renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance below 30 mL/min), as well as during pregnancy and for lactating women. It should be noted that these contraindications are the same for all RAAS blockers.

### Conclusions and place in therapy

The majority of patients with hypertension often require more than one drug to achieve BP goals. The last update of the European guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension recommends the use of fixed combinations in those patients that require more than one antihypertensive drug to attain BP objectives. The combination of an ACE inhibitor with a diuretic is highly recommended in this context. Many trials have demonstrated the beneficial effects of perindopril on the whole spectrum of the cardiovascular continuum.

Clinical trials have shown that perindopril/indapamide is an effective and well-tolerated fixed-dose antihypertensive combination. As expected, it provides greater antihypertensive efficacy than either component taken as monotherapy. This combination has been demonstrated to reduce left ventricular mass index as well as albumin excretion rate, probably beyond its antihypertensive effect. But, moreover, relevant controlled randomized clinical trials such as ADVANCE, PROGRESS or HYVET have importantly shown that treatment with perindopril/indapamide reduces cardiovascular outcomes in different contexts, such as the diabetic population, a history of cerebrovascular disease or the elderly.

The ACCOMPLISH trial showed that not all antihypertensive fixed combinations have the same impact on cardiovascular outcomes. In this trial, the benazepril–amlodipine combination was superior to benazepril–hydrochlorothiazide in reducing cardiovascular events in a hypertensive population with a high proportion of patients with diabetes and obesity. It should be kept in mind that in this situation, a thiazide may worsen glucose and lipid profiles and this could influence outcomes. However, indapamide does not have these deleterious effects on lipid and glucose profiles. Although both indapamide and thiazides are diuretics, their mechanisms of action differ, as well as their clinical benefits on vascular protection. Moreover, the evidence on the benefits of perindopril in outcome trials is much more...
robust than that with benazepril. As a result, the results of ACCOMPLISH should not be directly applied to the fixed combination perindopril-indapamide.

As a result, as 2007 ESH/ESC guidelines recommend, the fixed combination perindopril-indapamide at low doses could be suitable in the treatment of hypertensive patients at high or very high risk, as initial therapy.
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