Dexamethasone/Netilmicin Eye Drops and Eye Gel for the Treatment of Ocular Inflammation After Micro-Incisional Vitreoretinal Surgery
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Purpose: To evaluate the effect of dexamethasone/netilmicin (dexa/net) fixed combination in the treatment of ocular inflammation after sutureless micro-incisional vitreoretinal surgery (MIVS).

Patients and Methods: This multicenter, open, randomized, active-controlled, parallel-group, clinical trial was run in 6 sites in Italy. Treatment started the day of surgery and continued 4 times daily for 14 days. Patients were 1:1 randomized to dexe/net (eyedrops solution and eye gel) or dexamethasone/tobramycin (dexa/tobra) eyedrops suspension and ointment. Viscous formulations (gel or ointment) were used alone during the early post-operative phase; afterwards, a combination of eye drops during daytime and viscous formulations at bedtime was adopted. The primary efficacy parameter evaluated was bulbar conjunctival hyperemia. Additional efficacy and safety parameters (palpebral conjunctival hyperemia, anterior chamber flare and cells, symptoms of ocular discomfort and ocular tolerance, adverse events and intraocular pressure) were also evaluated. Control visits were performed at day 1, day 4 and day 14 after surgery; the endpoint of the study was set at 14±2 days after surgery.

Results: A complete resolution of bulbar conjunctival hyperemia at the study end point was reached in 92.9% of patients treated with dexe/net and 75.0% of those treated with dexa/tobra (p=0.02, Fisher’s exact test). No differences were observed between treatments for other efficacy parameters. Statistically significant differences in favour of dexe/net (p<0.0001, ANOVA) were observed for most of subjective tolerance variables examined (blurred vision, foreign body sensation, stickiness, burning) starting day 1 after surgery when only the viscous formulations were used. No increase in intraocular pressure or adverse events was observed during the study.

Conclusion: The combination dexe/net is safe and effective in the treatment of postoperative inflammation following sutureless MIVS. In particular, the use of eye gel formulation is characterized by a great tolerability.
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Introduction
Epiretinal membranes (ERMs), also commonly known as macular puckers, are avascular, semi-translucent, fibrocellular membranes that form on the inner surface of the retina because of the vitreous pulling away from the retina. They constitute a relatively common condition, occurring in about 7% of the population, most commonly over the age of 50.1 Their symptoms are frequently minimal and can be simply observed. But in some cases, they can result in painless loss of vision and
metamorphopsia, especially when affecting the macula. In these cases, vitrectomy is the only treatment option. Vitrectomy is one of the most frequently performed ophthalmic surgery; accordingly, approximately 225,000 vitrectomies are performed annually in the United States. Micro-incisional vitreoretinal surgeries (MIVS) are comprised within this group. They have a very high success rate and low risk of complications, with about 1 in 100 patients developing retinal detachment and 1 in 2000 developing an infection after surgery. Accordingly, to control post-operative inflammation and to prevent infections, antibiotic and anti-inflammatory topical medications are usually prescribed. The concomitant administration of both agents in a single ophthalmic product may overcome the potential washout effect that may be seen when separate medications are used and may lead to better patient compliance.

Dexamethasone 0.1%/netilmicin 0.3% (dexta/net), is a commercially available steroid and antibiotic fixed combination for ophthalmic use (Netildex, SIFI, Italy). Both ingredients are widely known in ophthalmology and have well-documented efficacy and safety. Several clinical trials have been conducted with dexta/net eye drops in patients with either external ocular inflammation or who underwent cataract extraction. The product was consequently approved in several countries for the treatment of inflammatory ocular conditions with, or at risk of, bacterial infections. A new formulation of dexta/net has been recently developed. This formulation is a preservative-free (single-dose container) eye gel with the same qualitative and quantitative composition of the eye drop solution. This eye gel contains xanthan gum as viscosity enhancer, an excipient which does not interfere with the antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory activities of the product. A recent study showed that dexta/net eye gel was retained on the ocular surface longer than dexta/net ophthalmic solution, suggesting, therefore, that the use of eye gel could extend the time between instillations and allow a decrease in administration frequency.

In the present study, we have selected sutureless MIVS to evaluate the efficacy and safety of dexta/net eye gel and ophthalmic solution. Since dexamethasone 0.1%/tobramycin 0.3% (dexta/tobra) is considered the gold standard of the pharmaceutical category of steroid/antibiotic fixed combinations, this product (formulated as ophthalmic suspension and eye ointment) has been used as comparator.

Patients and Methods

Oversight

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review board at each research center. Participating sites: Azienda Ospedaliera Garibaldi Catania (Italy), Ospedale San Gerardo Monza (Italy), Ospedale Morgagni Pierantoni Forlì (Italy), Presidio Ospedaliero Oftalmico Torino (Italy), Policlinico Mangiagalli Regina Elena Milano (Italy), Azienda Ospedaliera Pisana Pisa (Italy). All participants provided written informed consent and the study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. This trial is registered at the European Union Clinical Trials Register, with EudraCT no. 2008-005082-64

Trial Design

This study was a multicenter, open, randomized, active-controlled, parallel-group, explorative clinical trial conducted in 6 sites in Italy. The main objective of the study was to investigate the therapeutic efficacy of dexta/net (ophthalmic solution and eye gel) in the control and treatment of post-surgical ocular inflammation following sutureless micro-incisional (23–25 gauge, as per international standards) vitreoretinal surgery in adult patients with ERMs. A control group of patients was treated with dexta/tobra (eye ointment and eye drops).

Participants

A total of 103 patients (age between 30 and 74 years old) with ERMs having to undergo 23–25-gauge vitreoretinal surgery were enrolled in the study. Subjects were excluded from the study if they had: 1) recurrent anterior/posterior segment inflammation of unknown etiology or history of inflammation and/or ocular trauma in the previous year; 2) concomitant systemic and ocular pathologies (diabetes, ocular infections, glaucoma, ocular hypertension, severe dry-eye); 3) indication for combined vitreoretinal and cataract extraction surgery; 4) previous vitreoretinal surgeries adopting a buckling procedure, laser or cryogenic retinopexy; 5) cataract surgery in the previous 3 months; 6) any ocular surgery in the previous year; 7) intraocular pressure >22 mmHg; 8) use of any antibiotic, antihistamine, decongestant, steroid or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents within 14 days prior to study entry; 9) use of any ocular medications other than artificial tears; 10) known or suspected allergy to ophthalmic preservatives, aminoglycosides and steroids; 11) use of intra-operative intravitreal administration of triamcinolone acetone, gas tamponade or suture.
Interventions
At baseline, patients were randomized 1:1 to dexa/net or dextora using a computer-generated list of random numbers. The different packaging of the investigational and reference products did not allow a double-masked approach. Treatment started the day of surgery (day 0) and continued 4 times a day for 14±2 days. Patients were treated either with dextora/net ophthalmic solution plus eye gel or dextora ophthalmic suspension plus eye ointment. Viscous formulations (eye gel and eye ointment) were used alone during the early postoperative phase; afterwards, according to clinical practice, a combination of eye drops during daytime and viscous formulations at bedtime was adopted. A schematic description of the treatment schedule is summarized in Table 1. Control visits were scheduled 1, 4 and 14 days (primary end point) after surgery.

Outcomes
 Conjunctival hyperemia (bulbar and palpebral) was evaluated using a 0 to 3 rating scale, as previously described,12 bulbar conjunctival hyperemia was selected as primary efficacy parameter of the study. Anterior chamber flare and cells were rated from 0 to 3 according to the SUN Group grading scheme.13 Symptoms of ocular discomfort (pain, photophobia, itching) and symptoms of ocular tolerance of products (blurred vision, foreign body sensation, stickiness, burning, tearing, global subjective tolerance) were evaluated with a 0 (none) to 100 (severe) Visual Analogue Rating Scale (VARS).14 Adverse events and intraocular pressure (IOP) were the main safety parameters analysed.

Statistics
Statistical analysis was performed by SPARC Consulting (Milan, Italy) using the SAS system version 9.2. Continuous variables were summarized by descriptive statistics (number of cases, mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum). Categorical variables were summarized using counts of patients and percentages. For categorical endpoints, differences between treatment arms were tested using the Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, where applicable, at a 5% significance level. Two-sided 95% confidence intervals for proportions were also calculated. According to the exploratory nature of the study, all statistical tests were regarded as descriptive only.

Study Populations
The following population subsets were analyzed:

- Safety: subjects who were operated, randomized and received at least one dose of study medication, regardless of any protocol deviation.
- Full Analysis (FA): subjects in the safety subset without major protocol deviations and for whom at least the score for bulbar conjunctival hyperemia was available at Visit 4 (14±2 days).
- Per Protocol (PP): subjects in the FA population without any relevant protocol deviations

Primary Efficacy Parameter
Data were analyzed for both FA and PP populations with descriptive statistics. The primary efficacy parameter of the study was the percentage of patients showing a bulbar conjunctival hyperemia score equal to 0 (absence of

Table 1 Treatment Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERIOD I</th>
<th>Study Treatments</th>
<th>Dexamethasone/netilmicin eye gel</th>
<th>Dexamethasone/tobramycin eye ointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>1 drop</td>
<td>1 application (0.5–1 cm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>1 time daily</td>
<td>1 time daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Days 1–3</td>
<td>4 times daily</td>
<td>4 times daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERIOD II</th>
<th>Study Treatments</th>
<th>Dexamethasone/netilmicin eye gel and eye drops</th>
<th>Dexamethasone/tobramycin eye-ointment and eye drops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>1 drop [eye drops, eye gel]</td>
<td>one drop [eye drops]</td>
<td>one application [eye ointment]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>Days 4–14</td>
<td>1 drop of the eye-drops 3 times daily</td>
<td>1 drop of the eye-drops 3 times daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 drop of the eye gel at bedtime</td>
<td>1 application of the eye ointment at bed-time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hyperemia, normal vascular pattern) at the end of the study (day 14), such percentage was compared between two groups of treatment by means of a Fisher’s exact test. Moreover, bulbar conjunctival hyperemia was compared within each group of treatment at day 14 in comparison to the first day after surgery (day 1) using the McNemar’s test.

**Additional Parameters**

All data related to the additional efficacy parameters were summarized for the FA population with descriptive statistics whereas data related to safety parameters were summarized for the Safety population.

Individual scores for palpebral conjunctival hyperemia, anterior chamber flare and anterior chamber cells were compared between treatment groups using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. In addition, scores of each visit were compared to those of the first day after surgery (day 1) within each treatment group using the Wilcoxon test for paired observations. For symptoms, a summary statistic was prepared and data were compared between and within treatment groups using the repeated analysis of variance (ANOVA). IOP values were summarized by treatment and visit. Adverse events were encoded using the MedDRA dictionary version 14 and listed according to the ICH guidelines.

**Sample Size**

Since this study had mainly a descriptive aim, no statistical based sample size calculation was done assuming that a sample size of 50 patients per group was assumed to be enough for the purpose of the study.

**Results**

One hundred three Caucasian patients (60 females, 43 males; median age 65 years) who underwent MIVS without application of suture were enrolled and randomized: 50 subjects were treated with dexa/net and 53 with dexa/tobra. All patients completed the study. No leakages from sclerotomies were recorded in the clinical report forms. The compliance to the study protocol was good. All randomized patients have been included in the safety population. The FA population includes 90 patients whereas the PP population includes 79 patients. The study flow diagram is shown in Figure 1.

The day after surgery (day 1), the most evident sign of ocular inflammation was a hyperemia of the conjunctiva.

---

**Figure 1** Patients disposition.
Hyperemia of bulbar conjunctiva (primary efficacy parameter) was present (score >0) in 74% of patients, whereas hyperemia of palpebral conjunctiva was present (score >0) in 40% of patients. On the contrary, anterior chamber inflammation was detected (flare or cells score >0) only in few patients (6% for flare and 4% for cells).

In both treatment arms, an effective and progressive decrease of the severity of bulbar conjunctival hyperemia was observed (p<0.0001, McNemar test) (data not shown). At the study end point (day 14), a complete resolution of bulbar conjunctival hyperemia (score = 0) was obtained in almost all patients (92.9%) treated with dexamethasone/netilmicin and only in 75.0% of those treated with dexamethasone/tobramycin (FX subset). This difference (Δ = 17.9; 95% Confidence interval= 32.4 to 3.3) was statistically significant (p=0.02, Fisher Exact Test) (Figure 2). Similar results were observed also in the PP population (97.1% vs 75.0%; Δ = 22.1; 95% Confidence interval= 36.1 to 8.2; p=0.01). A progressive improvement was observed also for palpebral conjunctival hyperemia (p<0.0001, Wilcoxon test), pain, photophobia and itching (p<0.0001, ANOVA), but not for anterior chamber flare and anterior chamber cells (p=0.250, Wilcoxon test). No differences were observed between the two groups of treatment for any of these parameters (data not shown).

The local tolerance of viscous pharmaceutical forms administered during the study (i.e. "eye gel" and "eye ointment") was also evaluated. The extent of blurred vision, foreign body sensation, stickiness, burning and tearing after administration was assessed by VARS scales. A consistent statistically significant difference in favor of eye gel was observed for all parameters of tolerance, with the exception of tearing (Table 2). Accordingly, the global subjective tolerance of treatments assessed at the end of the study preferred, for both formulations, dexamethasone/netilmicin over dexamethasone/tobramycin (p=0.0142 eye gel vs eye ointment; p= 0.0226 eye drops solution vs eye drops suspension). Only 1 adverse event (choroidal detachment) was recorded during the study. The event occurred in the dexamethasone/tobramycin group, it was not serious, not treatment related and did not require any therapy or hospitalization. No post-surgical ocular infection occurred during the study. Regarding IOP levels, no significant elevation of the pressure was observed in the study in any treatment arm; mean change from screening visit to the end visit (day 14) was −0.33 ±2.35 and 0.30 ±2.28 mmHg, in the dexamethasone/netilmicin and dexamethasone/tobramycin arms, respectively.

**Discussion**

Epiretinal membrane is relatively common retinal conditions in which patients may be asymptomatic or report mild to severe visual dysfunction. Vitrectomy surgery is often indicated in patients who are affected with a decrease in visual acuity, metamorphopsia, double vision, or difficulty using their eyes together. In such cases, an effective post-operative treatment requires suppression of ocular surface inflammation and prevention of bacterial infections and, therefore, a combination of steroid and antibiotic treatment is preferred.

Dexamethasone/netilmicin is a fixed steroid/antibiotic ophthalmic combination containing a well-known corticosteroid (dexamethasone) and a last-generation aminoglycoside (netilmicin). Dexamethasone/netilmicin has several advantages over similar products, mainly related to the antibiotic component and the formulation. Regarding the antibiotic component, netilmicin has a wide spectrum antimicrobial activity and a low level of resistance covering also methicillin-resistant (MR) strains (as MR *S. Aureus* and MR *Coagulase negative Staphylococci*).

Overall, the susceptibility of the most common ocular microorganisms to netilmicin is higher than tobramycin and comparable to last-generation quinolones. Netilmicin has also a negligible toxicity for human conjunctival and corneal epithelial cells. The formulation advantage of dexamethasone/netilmicin is related to the water-solubility of both active principles (dexamethasone phosphate and netilmicin sulphate). Accordingly, dexamethasone/netilmicin is a formulated as ready-to-use and comfortable preservative-free, eye drops solution and eye gel, rather than suspension.
Table 2 Symptoms of Local Tolerance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dexamethasone/ Tobra (n=48)</th>
<th>Dexamethasone/ Netilmicin (n=42)</th>
<th>P-value Between Groups (ANOVA)</th>
<th>P-value Within Groups (ANOVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blurred vision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>39.48±26.17</td>
<td>26.38±18.18</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>32.73±20.49</td>
<td>19.85±14.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>24.58±17.89</td>
<td>18.86±13.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign body sensation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>33.25±22.94</td>
<td>27.75±21.95</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>28.74±20.49</td>
<td>16.41±13.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>18.85±15.25</td>
<td>11.04±7.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stickiness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>32.14±19.62</td>
<td>25.60±18.14</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>25.85±18.46</td>
<td>17.27±12.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>17.79±13.16</td>
<td>14.18±9.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>32.79±22.14</td>
<td>27.33±22.75</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>24.33±17.00</td>
<td>16.67±14.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>16.29±13.47</td>
<td>10.89±8.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tearing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>30.41±18.20</td>
<td>28.20±19.21</td>
<td>0.4568</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>22.94±16.20</td>
<td>18.40±15.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>14.76±9.82</td>
<td>15.41±14.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are expressed as mm VARS (mean ± SD).
Abbreviation: VARS, visual analogue rating scale.

In the present study, we investigated efficacy, safety and local tolerance of dexamethasone/net eye gel in the management of the inflammation after sutureless MIVS. Dexamethasone/net eye gel was compared to dexamethasone/tobramycin eye ointment. These formulations were used as standalone treatment during the early post-operative phase (3 days); afterwards, according to a local clinical practice, a combination of eye drops during daytime and viscous formulations at bedtime was adopted. The rationale for use of viscous formulation in the immediate post-operative time is related to the attempt to increase ocular contact time of topically administered drug. Data presented herein suggest that dexamethasone/net, given as proposed, is effective in controlling post-surgical inflammation after sutureless MIVS. These findings are consistent with data obtained in the treatment of external ocular inflammation and in the management of ocular inflammation subsequent to cataract surgery. Despite the open design of the study, we believe that these data may give helpful information for vitreoretinal surgeons in the “real-life” management of MIVS. In this study, signs and symptoms of ocular inflammation (except for anterior chamber flare and cells) were effectively reduced during the follow-up, regardless the assigned treatment. However, a significant difference between groups was observed for bulbar conjunctival inflammation (primary efficacy parameter of the study). At end of the study, the percentage of patients with a resolution of inflammation of the bulbar conjunctiva in the group treated with dexamethasone/net was significantly higher than that treated with dexamethasone/tobramycin (92.9% vs 75.0%, p = 0.02). The reason of this difference is unknown. Dexamethasone alcohol (present in dexamethasone/tobramycin) has a higher anti-inflammatory activity than dexamethasone phosphate (present in dexamethasone/net); despite that, in the presence of ocular inflammation, dexamethasone phosphate is greater absorbed equilibrating its relative lower potency. Therefore, the expected anti-inflammatory effect of two products should be comparable. In addition, patients treated with dexamethasone/net experienced a significantly lower intensity of symptoms.
compared to patients treated with dexas/tobra. The instillation of a ready-to-use eye drops solution (rather than suspension) and the presence of xanthan gum in the dexa/net eye gel formulation may confer to the product a peculiar behavior leading to a greater local tolerability. Finally, no significant safety issues occurred during the entire study.

In conclusion, dexa/net can effectively reduce postsurgical ocular inflammation following sutureless microincisional vitrectinal surgery. Particularly, the eye gel formulation can give additional benefits to patients in term of local tolerability. Due to the limited number of patients exposed, additional studies with more patients are needed to confirm these findings.
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