Thromboprophylaxis for hip and knee surgery: critical appraisal of rivaroxaban

Abstract: Major orthopedic surgery, including total knee replacement surgery (TKR) and total hip replacement (THR) surgery, is associated with a high risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE). In the absence of prophylactic strategies, the overall incidence of VTE can range between 40% and 60%. Over the last years, the use of anticoagulant drugs such as unfractionated heparin, warfarin, low molecular weight heparin, and fondaparinux has greatly reduced the incidence of this potentially severe complication. Unfortunately, all these anticoagulant drugs present some drawbacks, such as the need for subcutaneous administration or the necessity of frequent laboratory monitoring. More recently, a number of alternative anticoagulant agents have been developed for the prevention of VTE in patients undergoing major orthopedic surgery as well as in other high risk groups. Rivaroxaban, an oral factor Xa inhibitor, has been extensively studied in the setting of major orthopedic surgery. In the RECORD trials program, two studies, RECORD 1 and RECORD 2 were carried out in patients undergoing total hip replacement, and two studies, RECORD 3 and RECORD 4 were carried out in patients undergoing total knee replacement. In all these studies, rivaroxaban, at the fixed, daily dose of 10 mg, was shown to be more effective than the comparator enoxaparin for the prevention of VTE, with a similar safety profile. Rivaroxaban, which is now approved in many countries for the prevention of VTE in patients undergoing TKR and THR, has the potential to change current clinical practice by simplifying anticoagulant prophylaxis strategies, in particular, in this setting, where extended administration of thromboprophylaxis for as much as five weeks after the surgical procedure is required.
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Introduction

Major orthopedic surgery, including total hip replacement surgery (THR) and total knee replacement surgery (TKR), is associated with a high risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE). In studies where venography was routinely performed in all patients undergoing THR or TKR but not receiving thromboprophylaxis, the incidence of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) was between 40% and 60%.1 Although most of these thrombi are clinically silent and tend to solve spontaneously without further complication, there is a substantial risk of complete vein occlusion or embolization to the lungs.1 Furthermore, most symptomatic DVT occur after hospital discharge, because the risk of VTE in this setting remains high for at least 2 months after surgery.1,2 Because it is impossible to correctly identify which patients will develop symptomatic DVT, the use of thromboprophylaxis becomes necessary in all patients undergoing major orthopedic surgery.1 The 2008 guidelines of the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) Consensus Conference On Antithrombotic And Thrombolytic Therapies recommend
administering VTE prophylaxis with either low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), fondaparinux, or warfarin for at least 10 days to all patients undergoing THR and TKR, and extending the administration of prophylactic treatment for up to 35 days after the surgical procedure.\textsuperscript{1} This last recommendation has a higher grade for patients undergoing THR than for those undergoing TKR.\textsuperscript{3}

However, these recommendations are not regularly followed: the multinational Global Orthopedic Registry showed that less than 90\% of patients undergoing THR or TKR received some form of ACCP-recommended prophylaxis, and that 7 days after THR, 26\% of patients were no longer receiving prophylaxis. By day 28, only 46\% of patients were still receiving prophylactic treatment.\textsuperscript{3,4} This difference between the guidelines and clinical practice can be explained in part by some of the limitations of the available anticoagulant drugs. LMWH and fondaparinux need subcutaneous administration, which may present a problem after the patient is discharged, when the assistance of a health care professional may be required to administer the drug.\textsuperscript{5} Furthermore, LMWH is associated with the risk of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, which requires monitoring the platelet count during treatment. Warfarin has an oral route of administration, but is less effective than LMWH – at least in TKR surgery – and requires regular monitoring to maintain the international normalized ratio (INR) within the therapeutic range. In addition, the managing of warfarin is complicated by several food and drug interactions, unpredictable pharmacological effects, and intra-patient variability.\textsuperscript{1}

Because of the increasing number of patients undergoing major orthopedic surgery, as a consequence of the rapid aging of the population, and because of the tendency to greatly reduce the duration of hospitalization after many surgical procedures,\textsuperscript{6} there is certainly room for improvement in the anticoagulant armamentarium for the prevention of VTE in high-risk patients. Ideal characteristics of the new anticoagulants include better efficacy and improved safety, the availability of fixed doses, the absence of food or drug interactions, a rapid onset and offset of action, and, finally, a more convenient route of administration. Over the last few years, research has thus been guided by the need for convenient administration with predictable pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD), and a wide therapeutic window that would permit fixed dosing without requiring coagulation monitoring. This research has focused, in particular, on targeting thrombin (Factor IIa) and Factor Xa (FXa), which are common to both the intrinsic and extrinsic coagulation pathways. Rivaroxaban is the first of a new class of oral Factor Xa inhibitors.

**Rivaroxaban**

Rivaroxaban (BAY 59-7939) is a potent and selective oral FXa inhibitor whose particular chemical structure plays a role in the oral absorption of the drug, with a relatively high bioavailability.\textsuperscript{7} The drug inhibits not only free FXa, but also prothrombinase activity and clot-associated FXa activity; this would prevent clot-associated FXa from activating prothrombin and thereby contributing to the propagation of thrombosis.\textsuperscript{8,9} Rivaroxaban is well tolerated, has a rapid onset of action with a predictable dose-proportional PD and PK,\textsuperscript{10} its half-life is about 5–9 hours, and it has predominantly renal excretion, though it is also expelled via the faecal/biliary route.\textsuperscript{11} Co-administration with food intake only slightly increases peak plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban,\textsuperscript{11} and only low potential interactions with other drugs have been shown.\textsuperscript{12} Phase I studies demonstrated that gender and body weight did not have clinically-relevant influences on the PK and PD of rivaroxaban in healthy subjects, suggesting that this drug could be administered at a fixed dose to any patient.\textsuperscript{13,14}

In phase II dose-finding studies, rivaroxaban was compared with enoxaparin for the prevention of VTE in patients undergoing THR and TKR.\textsuperscript{15–17} In two studies rivaroxaban was administered twice a day with a total daily dose ranging from 5 mg to 60 mg,\textsuperscript{15,16} and in a subsequent study\textsuperscript{17} rivaroxaban was given once a day with a whole dosage of 5–40 mg. Overall, rivaroxaban was well tolerated by the patients, and daily dosages of 5–20 mg showed efficacy and tolerability similar to those of enoxaparin. On the basis of these results, the daily dose of 10 mg of rivaroxaban was selected for subsequent phase III studies.

**RECORD program**

The RECORD (REgulation of Coagulation in major Orthopedic surgery reducing the Risk of DVT and PE) program comprised four phase III clinical trials: two trials were carried out in patients undergoing THR (RECORD 1 and 2),\textsuperscript{18,19} and two trials were carried out in patients undergoing TKR (RECORD 3 and 4).\textsuperscript{20,21}

The RECORD 1 trial\textsuperscript{19} is a randomized double-blind double-dummy study designed to compare rivaroxaban (10 mg once daily), with enoxaparin (40 mg once daily), for 31 to 39 days (extended prophylaxis) in patients undergoing THR. Treatment with rivaroxaban was started 6–8 hours after surgery, whereas treatment with enoxaparin was initiated
12 hours before surgery and then resumed 6–8 hours after the end of the surgery. A venography was performed the day after the last dose of the study medication (day 32–40), and patients were followed up for a further 30–35 days (Table 1). The primary efficacy outcome (total VTE) was the composite of any DVT, nonfatal pulmonary embolism (PE), or death resulting from any cause, up to 30–42 days. The secondary efficacy outcomes were major VTE, composed of proximal DVT, nonfatal PE, and death from VTE; symptomatic VTE during treatment or follow-up; proximal or distal DVT and death during the follow-up period. The incidence of total VTE was significantly reduced from 3.7% in the group of patients receiving enoxaparin to 1.1% in the group of patients receiving rivaroxaban (relative risk reduction (RRR) 70%, \( P < 0.001 \)). The incidence of major VTE was 2% and 0.2% respectively (RRR 88%, \( P < 0.001 \)). Finally, symptomatic VTE occurred in 0.5% of patients in the enoxaparin group and in 0.3% of the rivaroxaban group (\( P = 0.22 \)). (Table 2)

The RECORD 2 study\(^b\) had a similar design and the same end-points as the RECORD 1 study. The difference between the two studies was in the duration of treatment with the two study drugs: rivaroxaban was administered for 31–39 days while enoxaparin was administered for 10–14 days and then followed by placebo until 31–39 days after surgery (Table 1).

The primary efficacy outcome of total VTE, which was judged at the end of treatment with rivaroxaban or placebo, occurred in 2% of patients in the rivaroxaban group and in 9.3% of patients in the enoxaparin group, with a RRR of 79% (\( P < 0.0001 \)). Rivaroxaban also significantly reduced the incidence of major VTE (0.6% vs 5.1% respectively, RRR 88%, \( P < 0.0001 \)) and of symptomatic VTE (0.2% and 1.2% respectively, RRR 80%, \( P = 0.004 \)). (Table 2)

RECORD 3\(^c\) and RECORD 4\(^d\) were designed to compare rivaroxaban and enoxaparin in patients undergoing TKR. In both studies, rivaroxaban was administered at the usual 10 mg daily dose started postoperatively. In RECORD 3, the comparator enoxaparin was administered at the dose of 40 mg daily started preoperatively, while in RECORD 4 it was administered at the dose of 30 mg twice a day started postoperatively (Table 1). All patients received treatment for 10–14 days as recommended by the ACCP guidelines at the time when the studies were being planned (2004 guidelines).\(^2\)

In both studies primary and secondary endpoints were the same as in the previous studies.

In RECORD 3 total VTE occurred in 9.6% of patients in the rivaroxaban group and in 18.9% of the enoxaparin group (RRR 49%, \( P < 0.0001 \)); major VTE occurred in 1% and 2.6% of patients respectively (RRR = 62%, \( P = 0.010 \)); and symptomatic VTE occurred in 2% and 0.7% of patients respectively (RRR 66%, \( P = 0.005 \)). (Table 2)

Finally, in RECORD 4\(^d\) total VTE was significantly reduced from 10.1% in the group receiving enoxaparin to 6.9% in the group receiving rivaroxaban (RRR 31%, \( P = 0.012 \)). There was no statistically significant difference between the rates of major VTE (2.0% and 1.2%) and symptomatic VTE (1.2% and 0.7%) respectively between the two groups (Table 2).

### Safety and tolerability

Safety end-points were the same in all four trials: major bleeding was defined as bleeding occurring after the administration of the first dose of the study medication and less than 2 days after the last dose of the study medication. Major bleeding was defined as bleeding that was fatal, involved a critical organ or required reoperation, or clinically overt bleeding outside the surgical site that was associated with a decrease in the hemoglobin levels of \( \geq 2 \) g/dL or requiring an infusion of \( \geq 2 \) units of blood. Nonmajor bleeding events, including hemorrhagic wound complications (excessive wound hematoma or bleeding at the surgical site). Other adverse events, and deaths were also documented.

In RECORD 1, the incidence of major bleeding was similar between the two groups: 0.3% in the rivaroxaban group and

---

**Table 1 The RECORD program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>N*</th>
<th>Duration of therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RECORD1</td>
<td>Total hip replacement</td>
<td>4,541</td>
<td>31–39 days ( ^a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD2</td>
<td>Total hip replacement</td>
<td>2,509</td>
<td>31–39 days ( ^a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD3</td>
<td>Total knee replacement</td>
<td>2,531</td>
<td>10–14 days ( ^a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD4</td>
<td>Total knee replacement</td>
<td>3,148</td>
<td>10–14 days ( ^a )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients randomized; \( ^a \)First dose initiated 12 hours before surgery and reinitiated 6–8 hours after wound closure; dosing regimen 40 mg once daily; \( ^b \)First dose 12–24 hours after wound closure; dosing regimen 30 mg twice daily.

---
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Table 2 Superior efficacy of rivaroxaban vs enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after major orthopaedic surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patient group (n)</th>
<th>Primary efficacy endpoint (composite of any DVT, nonfatal PE and all-cause mortality), %</th>
<th>Relative risk reduction, % (P-value)</th>
<th>Major VTE (composite of proximal DVT, nonfatal PE and VTE-related mortality), %</th>
<th>Relative risk reduction, % (P-value)</th>
<th>Symptomatic VTE (any DVT or symptomatic nonfatal or fatal PE), %</th>
<th>Relative risk reduction, % (P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RECORD1</td>
<td>THA (4,541)</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban 1.1 (18/1,595)</td>
<td>70 (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>0.2 (4/1,686)</td>
<td>88 (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>0.3 (6/2,193)</td>
<td>45 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD2b</td>
<td>THA (2,509)</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban 2.0 (17/864)</td>
<td>79 (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>0.6 (6/961)</td>
<td>88 (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>0.3 (3/1,212)</td>
<td>80 (P &lt; 0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD3c</td>
<td>TKA (2,531)</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban 9.6 (79/824)</td>
<td>49 (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>1.0 (9/908)</td>
<td>62 (P = 0.016)</td>
<td>0.7 (8/1,201)</td>
<td>66 (P &lt; 0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD4d</td>
<td>TKA (3,148)</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban 18.9 (166/878)</td>
<td>49 (P &lt; 0.001)</td>
<td>2.6 (24/925)</td>
<td>2.0 (24/1,217)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Oral, once-daily rivaroxaban, 10 mg, started 6–8 hours after surgery versus s.c. once-daily enoxaparin, 40 mg, started the evening before surgery. Both regimens continued for 36 ± 6 days; †Oral, once-daily rivaroxaban, 10 mg, started 6–8 hours after surgery for 35 ± 4 days versus s.c. once-daily enoxaparin, 40 mg, started the evening before surgery, continued for 12 ± 2 days, followed by placebo; ‡Oral, once-daily rivaroxaban, 10 mg, started 6–8 hours after surgery for 12 ± 2 days versus s.c. once-daily enoxaparin, 30 mg, started the evening before surgery, continued for 12 ± 2 days, followed by placebo.

**Abbreviations:** DVT, deep vein thrombosis; ns, nonsignificant; PE, pulmonary embolism; s.c., subcutaneous; THA, total hip arthroplasty; TKA, total knee arthroplasty; VTE, venous thromboembolism.
0.1% in the enoxaparin group \((P = 0.18)\); hemorrhagic wound complications occurred in 1.5% of patients on rivaroxaban and in 1.7% of patients on enoxaparin (Table 3). During treatment period, the incidence of cardiovascular events was 0.2% in patients receiving rivaroxaban and 0.4% in patients receiving enoxaparin; during follow up this incidence was 0.3% and <0.1%, respectively. A total of 5 deaths occurred in each group during the entire study period. The occurrence of increased transaminase levels was similar in the two groups.\(^{18}\)

In the RECORD 2 study, major bleeding occurred in only 1 patient in each group; there was also no difference between groups in the rate of hemorrhagic wound complications (Table 3). Three patients had a cardiovascular event during rivaroxaban treatment and 4 during enoxaparin treatment (0.2% vs 0.3%); during follow up, 4 patients in the rivaroxaban group had a cardiovascular event. A total of 11 deaths occurred during the study: 3 in the group of patients receiving rivaroxaban and 8 in the group of patients receiving short-term prophylaxis with enoxaparin. Similarly to RECORD 1, no signs of liver toxicity were detected.\(^{19}\)

In RECORD 3, the incidence of major bleeding was 0.5% in the group of patients treated with enoxaparin and 0.6% in the group of patients treated with rivaroxaban; there was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of non-major bleeding between the two groups (Table 3). During treatment, the incidence of cardiovascular events was similar in the two groups (0.3% in the rivaroxaban group and 0.2% in the enoxaparin); during follow up 7

### Table 3 Similar safety profile of rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after major orthopedic surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Patient group (n)</th>
<th>Safety endpoints, % (n)</th>
<th>Major bleeding</th>
<th>Non-major bleeding</th>
<th>Post-operative wound infection</th>
<th>Hemorrhagic wound complications*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RECORD1(^{b})</td>
<td>Extended prophylaxis with oral rivaroxaban (n = 2,209) vs extended s.c. enoxaparin (n = 2,224)</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban</td>
<td>0.3 (6)</td>
<td>5.8 (128)</td>
<td>0.4 (8)</td>
<td>1.5 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enoxaparin</td>
<td>0.1 (2)</td>
<td>5.8 (129)</td>
<td>0.4 (8)</td>
<td>1.7 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD2(^{c})</td>
<td>Extended prophylaxis with oral rivaroxaban (n = 1,228) vs short-term s.c. enoxaparin (n = 1,229)</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (1)</td>
<td>6.5 (80)</td>
<td>0.7 (8)</td>
<td>1.6 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enoxaparin</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (1)</td>
<td>5.5 (67)</td>
<td>0.5 (6)</td>
<td>1.7 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD3(^{d})</td>
<td>Thromboprophylaxis with oral rivaroxaban (n = 1,220) vs s.c. enoxaparin (n = 1,239)</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban</td>
<td>0.6 (7)</td>
<td>4.3 (53)</td>
<td>0.6 (7)</td>
<td>2.0 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enoxaparin</td>
<td>0.5 (6)</td>
<td>4.4 (54)</td>
<td>0.9 (11)</td>
<td>1.95 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD4(^{e})</td>
<td>Thromboprophylaxis with oral rivaroxaban (n = 1,526) vs s.c. enoxaparin (n = 1,508)</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban</td>
<td>0.7 (10)</td>
<td>2.6 (39)</td>
<td>0.3 (4)</td>
<td>1.4 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Enoxaparin</td>
<td>0.3 (4)</td>
<td>2.0 (30)</td>
<td>0.2 (3)</td>
<td>1.5 (22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hemorrhagic wound complications = composite of excessive wound hematoma and reported bleeding at surgical site; \(^{b}\) Oral, once-daily rivaroxaban, 10 mg, started 6–8 hours after surgery versus s.c. once-daily enoxaparin, 40 mg, started the evening before surgery. Both regimens continued for 36 ± 6 days; \(^{c}\) Oral, once-daily rivaroxaban, 10 mg, started 6–8 hours after surgery for 35 ± 4 days versus s.c. once-daily enoxaparin, 40 mg, started the evening before surgery, continued for 12 ± 2 days, followed by placebo; \(^{d}\) Oral, once-daily rivaroxaban, 10 mg, started 6–8 hours after surgery for 12 ± 2 days versus s.c. once-daily enoxaparin, 40 mg, started the evening before surgery, continued for 12 ± 2 days, followed by placebo; \(^{e}\) Oral, once-daily rivaroxaban, 10 mg, started 6–8 hours after surgery for 12 ± 2 days versus s.c. once-daily enoxaparin, 30 mg, started the evening before surgery, continued for 12 ± 2 days, followed by placebo.

**Abbreviations:** s.c., subcutaneous; THA, total hip arthroplasty; TKA, total knee arthroplasty.
events occurred, all in the enoxaparin group. During the study period there were no deaths among patients receiving rivaroxaban and 6 deaths (0.5%) in patients receiving enoxaparin. Again, there was no difference in the rate of patients with increased transaminase levels.20

Finally, in RECORD 4, 0.7% of the patients in the rivaroxaban group and 0.3% of patients in the enoxaparin group had a major bleeding event (P = 0.10). Nonmajor bleeding occurred in a similar number of patients in the rivaroxaban group (2.6%) and in the enoxaparin group (2%) (Table 3). Cardiovascular events occurred in 7 (0.5%) patients in the rivaroxaban group and in 11 (0.7%) patients in the enoxaparin group.

Patients’ perspectives
The RECORD program evaluated the efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban, a new, oral direct and selective Factor Xa inhibitor in the prevention of VTE in more than 12,500 patients undergoing THR and TKR. The results of the RECORD trials are certainly consistent and have led to the approval of rivaroxaban in the European Union, Canada, and several other countries in the world, for the prevention of VTE after elective THR or TKR surgery. Potential advantages for the patients with the use of rivaroxaban derive from the oral route of administration, the availability of a fixed dose that can be administrated to all patients irrespective of age or body weight, and the favorable drug-to-drug interaction profile that allows the concomitant administration of other drugs, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, which are often prescribed to patients undergoing major orthopedic surgery. Although practical advantages are self-evident, translation into daily clinical practice may likely take some time and only reports from clinical practice patterns over the next months and years will tell us the impact of rivaroxaban, as well as of several other new oral anticoagulant agents, in the real world.

In the setting of orthopedic surgery there is an obvious major concern about bleeding risks and overall tolerability. Although data from the RECORD trials are certainly positive, and subgroup analyses from RECORD studies are reassuring, in particular, showing comparable rates of bleeding where patients are on rivaroxaban as compared to enoxaparin regimens across different age, body weight, and renal function groups, we must acknowledge that, as in all phase III studies, patients at the highest risk of bleeding were excluded from the RECORD studies, and some special patient populations, such as elderly patients, or patients with renal insufficiency, were less represented. Indeed, phase IV clinical studies aimed at confirming the safety and tolerability of all such new compounds in the highest risk populations will be most welcome.

Continuing controversies surround the management of bleeding complications during treatment with rivaroxaban. The relatively short half-life and rapid offset of action of the drug should facilitate management, but real antidotes are currently lacking. The administration of blood products (eg, fresh frozen plasma) is currently recommended. Potential differences in patients’ compliance when changing from an injectable to an oral drug will also need to be considered.

In conclusion, rivaroxaban is a very effective and well tolerated new anticoagulant drug for the prevention of VTE in patients undergoing THR and TKR. Thanks to its practical advantages, rivaroxaban has the potential to further improve prophylactic strategies in this setting, in particular for extended prophylaxis after discharge of the patient.
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