Update of the management of chronic psoriasis: new approaches and emerging treatment options
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Abstract: Psoriasis is a common, chronic inflammatory skin disease which is associated with a number of significant co-morbidities including: impairment of quality of life; cardiovascular disease; and a seronegative arthritis known as psoriatic arthritis. Our understanding of the pathogenesis of psoriasis has developed at a remarkable rate in recent years. These new insights have significantly changed our perception of the condition and have led to the development of several new treatment strategies. Biological agents have proved a major step forward in therapeutic options for psoriasis. The ability to clear, or almost clear, cutaneous disease has changed the outcomes and expectations of many patients with this disease. The impact on both physical and psychological health may be great. This review covers the clinical features and management of psoriasis with specific reference to new therapeutic options.
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Introduction
Understanding the pathogenesis of psoriasis has developed at a remarkable rate over the last 20–30 years. This has provided significant changes in our perception of the condition, distinctions between subtle variants, and the response to treatment.

Biological agents have proved to be a major step forward in the therapeutic options for psoriasis. The ability to clear, or almost clear, cutaneous disease has changed the outcomes and expectations of many patients with this severe disease.

This review covers the clinical features and management of psoriasis with specific reference to new therapeutic options.

Clinical features of psoriasis
Psoriasis is an immune-mediated inflammatory disease which is currently incurable and occurs in 2%–3% of the population of the United Kingdom (UK).

In early-onset psoriasis, developing before the age of 40 years (Type 1 psoriasis) and accounting for over 75% of patients, genetic predisposition in conjunction with an environmental trigger, such as infection or stress, is important for disease expression.

Psoriasis can be a highly disabling disease which may impact significantly on a patient’s quality of life (QoL). Depression is significantly higher in sufferers than in the general population who have an increased incidence of suicidal ideation. Studies have revealed a reduction in QoL in patients with psoriasis which is comparable to patients with diabetes, heart disease and cancer. These factors may result in poor compliance with treatment and a further deterioration in psoriasis.
**Chronic plaque psoriasis and other clinical phenotypes**

Several clinical phenotypes of psoriasis are recognized, with chronic plaque (psoriasis vulgaris) accounting for 90% of cases.¹

1. In chronic plaque psoriasis well demarcated papulosquamous plaques occur in a symmetrical distribution commonly over the extensor surfaces of elbows and knees. Involvement of the scalp (typically within the hairline), lower back and umbilicus is also common. Lesions are typically red or salmon pink in color and covered by white or silver scale. The Koebner phenomenon is well recognized with psoriatic disease developing at sites of trauma or pressure.¹

Other variants of the disease include inverse, guttate, pustular, and erythrodermic psoriasis.

2. Inverse psoriasis presents in a flexural distribution; the principle difference between chronic plaque and flexural psoriasis is a relative lack of scale.

3. Guttate psoriasis presents with characteristic erythmatous, scaly, ‘tear drop’ like papules widely distributed over the body as an acute eruption in the context of Group A beta-hemolytic streptococcal infection. Guttate psoriasis is usually self limiting. Long term approximately 1/3 of patients will develop chronic plaque psoriasis.⁶

4. Generalized pustular psoriasis (von Zumbusch psoriasis) is a rapidly progressive disease presenting with tender, sterile pustules associated with widespread erythema. The patient is unwell and clinically may have fever and lymphadenopathy. Cardiovascular compromise can ensue, particularly in those with limited cardiovascular reserve. Isolated acral pustules are characteristic of palmoplantar pustulosis and probably represents a separate disease.⁷

5. Erythrodermic psoriasis can occur de novo or on a background of chronic psoriasis; patients present with erythema involving more than 90% body surface area associated with fever, malaise and systemic illness.

**Nail disease**

Nail changes include: pitting; onylocysis; oil drop sign; subungual hyperkeratosis; and dystrophy. Up to 50% of patients with psoriasis will demonstrate nail changes. For patients with psoriatic arthritis this figure may be as high as 80%.³

The importance of nail disease in the context of psoriatic arthritis is an area of intense research; the significance of entheseal disease appearing pivotal in disease development.⁹

**Psoriatic arthritis**

Incidence of psoriatic arthritis amongst patients with psoriasis may be as high as 25%,¹⁰ and may pre-date the psoriatic arthritis by 12 years or more.¹¹

Features of psoriatic arthritis include persistent joint pain, early morning stiffness, dactylitis, and enthesitis. Dactylitis is associated with progressive joint disease.¹² A significant challenge in managing psoriatic arthritis is identifying the disease early, so as to reduce the risk of deformity.

Seminal work by Moll and Wright identified 5 patterns of disease: asymmetric arthritis; distal interphalangeal joint arthritis only; symmetrical arthritis – rheumatoid like and spondyloarthropathy.¹³ More recent work has provided clearer diagnostic criteria via the Classification Criteria for Psoriatic Arthritis (CASPAR).¹⁴

**Co-morbidities**

Research over recent years has highlighted that psoriasis is associated with other immune mediated inflammatory conditions including inflammatory bowel disease, and ankylosing spondylitis and cardiovascular disease.¹⁵–¹⁷

The risk of significant psoriasis-associated co-morbidities may prove a highly relevant point in rationalizing the treatment algorithm for patients with psoriasis. Reversibility of some or all of the clinical phenotypes, with systemic therapy, would demand a more aggressive approach to therapy and perhaps early intervention.

**Management strategies**

Treatment for psoriasis must be tailored to meet the needs of the individual based on site and severity. Traditionally a minimum body surface area (BSA) of 10% was deemed necessary to consider systemic therapy.¹⁸ This may be lower where cosmetically sensitive or functionally limiting disease is present. A minimum psoriasis area and severity index (PASI) value is frequently used in national guidelines and clinical trials (eg, PASI > 10).¹⁹

**Topical therapy**

For mild or moderate disease, affecting relatively small areas, a topical regimen may be sufficient to control the disease. The use of corticosteroids, vitamin D analogues, keratinolytics, tazarotene, anthracyclines and coal tar preparations have provided the topical options for treatment. New strategies for topical therapies include:
Calcipotriol and betamethasone dipropionate

Over the last 7 years combination calcipotriol and betamethasone dipropionate have gained wide acceptance as a useful combination therapy. This has been shown to be more effective than either calcipotriol or betamethasone dipropionate alone. It is well tolerated as part of a 4-week cyclical regimen with calcipotriol.

More recently the use of calcipotriol and betamethasone dipropionate in a gel formulation has proved a useful addition in treatment of scalp psoriasis. Randomized, double-blind controlled trials have shown significant benefit from combined therapy preparations with one study reporting 92% achieving control over 52 weeks.

Calcineurin inhibitors

The role of calcineurin inhibitors is limited to facial and intertriginous disease. A study using tacrolimus 0.1% ointment to treat facial and intertriginous psoriasis of 167 patients for 8 weeks resulted in clear or almost clear in 65%, as compared to 31% in the placebo group. Similar results were demonstrated with pimecrolimus. The main drawback of such treatment is burning and stinging on initiation of therapy. Cutaneous malignancy has not been observed in clinical trials.

Ultraviolet therapy

Where topical therapy fails to provide suitable control, or the lesions are too widely distributed for topical application, phototherapy may prove a useful adjunct. The most effective ultraviolet (UV) B wavelength for treatment (311–313 nm) has been known for more than 30 years. Despite this, it is only recently that delivery of narrow band UVB has been possible on a widespread basis. It is slightly less effective than psoralen (P)UVA in clinical trials although it is significantly easier to deliver.

Systemic therapy

Selection of systemic therapy is currently based on physician experience, relevant past medical history and patient choice. It is increasingly clear that psoriasis is a genetically heterogeneous group of cutaneous diseases with a similar phenotype. Clearer characterization of psoriasis phenotype, genotype, co-morbidities and the risk of adverse events from therapies should yield a clearer strategy for treatment in the future. Recent studies have demonstrated that early treatment of psoriatic arthritis may offer the opportunity to reduce the risk of joint deformity and progressive disability. Whilst this review includes baseline screening for biological therapy it should be remembered that consideration of infection and malignancy is mandatory for all systemic agents that are immunosuppressive.

Methotrexate

Generally regarded as the gold standard in managing psoriasis; methotrexate is well tolerated, efficacious, and can be used long term. It is also effective in treating psoriatic arthritis. Early clinical trials suggested that more than 60% of patients will achieve a psoriasis and area severity index (PASI) 75. More recently two comparative studies have shown significantly lower PASI 75 scores of 24% and 36% for the methotrexate arm of the study. These studies were carried out over 12 and 16 weeks respectively and may be lower due to a delayed onset of action and cautious dose incrementation.

A major limitation in therapy is liver toxicity. Historically a liver biopsy was recommended after 1.5 gm therapy, or sooner as directed by blood monitoring. The monitoring of procollagen III peptide (PIINP) has resulted in some institutions recommending liver biopsy only when persistently elevated. The advent of fibroscans, an ultrasound assessment of liver elasticity or stiffness, may prove a useful adjunct to PIINP and further rationalize the use of liver biopsy.

Cyclosporine

Cyclosporine is a widely used alternative to methotrexate, providing rapid and reliable improvement in psoriasis for up to 80%–90% of patients. Several further comparative studies have demonstrated a good response to treatment with PASI 75 achieved in approximately 50%–70%. Whilst highly effective the use of cyclosporine is limited by side effects including hypertension and renal impairment. Current guidelines typically recommend short course therapy for up to 12 weeks where possible. Despite this several studies have shown efficacy and safety with long term treatment.

Retinoids

Retinoids have proved a useful alternative in the treatment of psoriasis for over 20 years. Acitretin is a useful option in managing individuals with concomitant chronic infection or malignancy given that it does not induce immunosuppression. Retinoids demonstrate efficacy in a select cohort with response rates of approximately 40%. Research indicates acitretin is particularly useful in erythrodermic and pustular psoriasis.
Acitretin may be combined with UV therapy, limiting the amount of UV required and reducing carcinogenic risk of phototherapy.\textsuperscript{48} A consensus conference in 2004 determined that combination therapy with acitretin and phototherapy is an underutilized therapeutic option that is both safe and effective.\textsuperscript{49} Current recommendations advise introduction of phototherapy 2 weeks after initiating acitretin.\textsuperscript{18}

Studies have demonstrated that retinoids block the action of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene and that VEGF genotype may have a predictive role in predicting response to acitretin therapy in patients with Type 1 chronic plaque psoriasis.\textsuperscript{50,51}

**Fumaric acid esters**

In the UK fumaderm remains an unlicensed agent in the treatment of psoriasis. In Europe, and more particularly Germany, it has proven a highly effective alternative therapy for more than 40 years.\textsuperscript{52} It is thought to work via inhibition of nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF\textsubscript{κ}B) and inducing apoptosis of T-cells.\textsuperscript{53}

The main limitation to treatment is the side effect profile. The principle side effect is gastrointestinal upset, with patients reporting: nausea; vomiting; abdominal pain; diarrhea and flushing.\textsuperscript{54} This can be limited by an incremental dosing regimen over several weeks. Fumaric acid esters provide another alternative where immunosuppression is particularly contraindicated. Where tolerated, fumarates demonstrate results comparable to methotrexate and ciclosporin.\textsuperscript{55,56}

**Biological therapies**

Biological therapy has developed at a remarkable rate with indications for a range of diseases within gastroenterology, rheumatology, dermatology, oncology and ophthalmology. Biologic agents are proteins that possess pharmacologic activity and can be extracted from animal tissue or, much more commonly, synthesized in large quantities through recombinant DNA techniques. Biologic molecules can be designed to either mimic the actions of normal human proteins or to interact with circulating proteins or cellular receptors. The following discussion covers the routinely used biological therapies and newer agents under development with reference to indication, safety, and efficacy.

**Indication**

The point of initiation of biological therapy will depend on local guidelines and financial constraints. As with any systemic agent, moderate to severe disease (BSA \textgtr 10\%, or PASI \textgtr 10) or disease with high functional or cosmetic impact, would justify biological therapy.

**Table 1 Baseline investigations prior to biological therapy and during follow up. (adapted from British Association of Dermatology Guidelines)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PASI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To assess response and 6 monthly thereafter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLQI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To assess response and 6 monthly thereafter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full History (Joint involvement, Chronic Infection, Cardiovascular status, Neurological disease, Malignancy)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>At review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 monthly, then 6 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal profile</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 monthly, then 6 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver function tests</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 monthly, then 6 monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Periodically in at risk groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Periodically in at risk groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Yes; in at risk individuals</td>
<td>Periodically in at risk groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>As per BTS guidelines</td>
<td>Annual interferon gamma release assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoantibodies (ANA, dsDNA)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>As indicated by LFT’s or other symptoms of autoimmunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine analysis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not routinely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine pregnancy test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Periodically in susceptible groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Chest X-ray</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>As clinically indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Likely to be included in new guidelines.
Abbreviations: ANA, antinuclear antibody; dsDNA, double stranded deoxyribonucleic acid; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; LFT, liver function test; BTS, British Thoracic Society; FBC, full blood count; TB, tuberculosis; DCQI, dermatology life quality index; PASI, psoriasis area severity index.
Baseline investigations

Prior to commencing biological therapy a full history, examination and set of baseline investigations are required. Whilst no specific investigations have clearly been identified, the British Association of Dermatologists have issued guidance advising initial investigations to include: PASI, Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI); full blood count (FBC); renal profile; liver function test; antinuclear antibody; Hepatitis B and C serology; urine analysis and chest X ray as a minimum (Table 1). Assessment for tuberculosis is mandatory for all patients being considered for biological therapy. This will depend on the individual risk of the patient and local guidelines. Within the US a consensus statement comprehensively reviews this issue.

Biological therapies are usually avoided through pregnancy although small studies to date have not revealed significant problems. Current guidelines indicate withdrawal of biological therapy preoperatively so as to minimize the risk of surgical infection and post-operative complication. Timing of this withdrawal should be 4–5 half-lives prior to surgical intervention.

Immunization is generally advised prior to commencing treatment. The use of live vaccines (varicella, mumps, measles, rubella, oral typhoid and yellow fever) and live attenuated vaccines (intranasal influenza and herpes zoster) should be avoided once therapy is commenced. Work on immune responses to patients receiving biological therapy suggests that an adequate, albeit reduced, response is observed. This has become particularly pertinent in light of the current swine flu pandemic.

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α antagonists

By far the most well characterized group of biological therapies are the TNFα antagonists (etanercept, adalimumab and infliximab). TNFα is an inflammatory cytokine produced by numerous cells including T cells and keratinocytes. TNFα is cleaved from a transmembrane bound precursor, from where it binds a TNFα receptor. The binding of TNFα to its receptor results in downstream activation of the inflammatory cascade via NFkB. Blockade of this pathway results in down regulation of the inflammation with marked clinical improvement.

Etanercept

A recombinant human TNFα receptor protein (p75) fused with the Fc portion of IgG1, etanercept binds both soluble and membrane bound TNFα. Etanercept is efficacious in treating psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. Phase III trials employing two treatment arms, 25 mg twice weekly and 50 mg twice weekly, showed a PASI 75 of 34% and 48% respectively at week 12. Etanercept has a slow onset of action and therapeutic benefit may be observed beyond this 12 week time point. The impact of etanercept on QoL is also demonstrated in reduced scores of depression. Whilst continuous therapy achieves greater efficacy at 24 weeks than interrupted therapy (71% versus 59%), interrupted therapy remains a well described and effective option in managing chronic plaque psoriasis.

Combination therapy: Concomitant 25 mg etanercept once weekly and acitretin (0.4 mg/kg) was shown to be as effective as etanercept 25 mg twice weekly in a small study (n = 60). Another small study (n = 59) of patients established on methotrexate, although not achieving a satisfactory control, were further treated with etanercept (25 mg twice weekly). Comparison was then made between continuous methotrexate versus gradual withdrawal of methotrexate. This showed a Physicians’ Global Assessment of clear, or almost clear, in 67% and 37% for the “etanercept with continuous methotrexate” compared to “etanercept and withdrawal of the methotrexate” groups respectively. Whilst combination therapy with methotrexate and etanercept is established in rheumatoid arthritis evidence to support its use in psoriasis requires further research.

Etanercept has been shown to be effective and safe in children aged 4–17 years of age. PASI 75 was achieved in 57% of patients treated with etanercept 0.8 mg/kg after 12 weeks. The choice of regimen employed (25 mg or 50 mg twice weekly) will be dictated by disease severity, patient weight and funding agreements. Other considerations may be in the context of chronic infections where minimal effective immunosuppression may be desirable.

Clinical practice in rheumatological disease differs in the use of etanercept, with regular concomitant methotrexate therapy. There is a small body of evidence indicating that this may reduce treatment failure rates in patients with psoriasis.

Infliximab

A murine and human chimeric antibody, infliximab binds avidly to soluble, bound and transmembrane TNFα. It is useful in the treatment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. A phase III trial, the European Infliximab for Psoriasis Efficacy and Safety Study (EXPRESS), of infliximab at a dose of 5 mg/kg at 0, 2 and 6 weeks followed by 8 week maintenance therapy achieved PASI 75 in 82% of patients at week 24.
Response to treatment is remarkable with clinical improvement within 2 weeks of treatment induction. The efficacy of infliximab drops off in a subgroup of patients, at 1 year when approximately 61% of patients achieve PASI 75.74,75 Maintaining response to therapy would appear to correlate with a detectable trough serum infliximab level.74 Studies suggest that greater numbers of patients achieve PAS175 when receiving continuous treatment compared with intermittent therapy.75 This improvement in clinical symptoms translates into an improved quality of life.76 Furthermore, treatment with infliximab has been shown to improve productivity and work attendance.77

Management of nail involvement is a challenging aspect of psoriasis. The EXPRESS study demonstrated a 56% improvement in nail psoriasis severity index (NAPSI) when treated with infliximab.74 It is recommended that infliximab be initiated as per the EXPRESS study (5 mg/kg at week 0, 1 and 2) and then maintained on an 8 weekly infusion regimen.

**Adalimumab**

A fully humanized monoclonal antibody directed against TNFα, adalimumab binds both soluble and membrane-bound forms. It is used in the treatment of both psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. A phase III trial of adalimumab, given initially as an 80 mg subcutaneous dose followed by 40 mg the following week and then every other week thereafter, achieved a PASI 75 of 71% at 16 weeks.79 Further trials, including the phase III Comparative Study of Adalimumab versus Methotrexate versus Placebo in Psoriasis Patients (CHAMPION) study, have revealed similar levels of efficacy.44,79 The improvement in clinical disease has been shown to be accompanied by significant improvement in health related QoL; comparable to that of the background population.80

Similar to other TNFα antagonists, adalimumab is maintained long term. Stopping treatment can result in reduced efficacy when restarted.78 It is recommended that adalimumab be initiated as per the CHAMPION study at 80 mg week 0, followed by 40 mg week 1 and every other week thereafter.

**Complications of anti-TNFα therapy**

The TNFα antagonists are generally well tolerated. A review of relevant studies demonstrated monthly withdrawal rates due to adverse events of 0.4% for etanercept, 1.3% for infliximab, and 0.3% for adalimumab. 81 monthly serious adverse incidents were noted in 0.6% for etanercept, 1.1% for infliximab and 0.5% for adalimumab.81 However, there are a number of well recognized complications and side effects associated with TNFα antagonist therapy.

Adverse events following injection/infusion: These are relatively common, tend to be self-limiting, although rarely require cessation of treatment. Adverse events following injection occur in approximately 14% for etanercept and 3% for adalimumab whilst infusion reactions were observed in 3% for infliximab.74,78,82 A recent review paper provides a useful guide to managing such reactions.83

**Infection**

TNFα antagonists act on a central component of the inflammatory cascade and form an integral part of host defense against infection. Clinical studies indicate a modest increase in upper respiratory and cutaneous infection. More serious infections are rare although there are several case reports of opportunistic infections in such patients, including histoplasmosis and listeriosis.84,85 These have largely been in the context of rheumatological disease treated with several immunosuppressive agents. In the context of serious or active infection biological therapy should be withheld.

Patients who have chronic infection require careful evaluation on a case by case basis, weighing up potential benefits and pitfalls of treatment. Hepatitis C is unusual in that hepatic damage is driven by cytotoxic T cell injury with associated high levels of TNFα. Consequently, TNFα antagonists have been used in limited numbers of patients without serious complication.86 By contrast, hepatitis B viral replication is controlled by TNFα. TNFα antagonists have the potential for reactivation of latent hepatitis B and hepatic injury.87 A review of hepatitis B management, with reference to immunosuppression, has been issued by the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease.88 A recent review paper provides a useful guide to managing such reactions.83

**Tuberculosis (TB)**

Tuberculosis (TB) is a well recognized complication of TNFα antagonist therapy. This probably represents both new infection and reactivation of latent TB. TNFα plays a central role in granuloma formation and inhibition of this cytokine has the clear potential for granuloma breakdown, disseminating mycobacterial infection.89 This risk may be more pronounced with monoclonal antibodies to TNFα (adalimumab and
infliximab) over etanercept. The development of TB in the context of such patients has seen a significantly greater proportion of atypical infection. A high index of suspicion is therefore required with all patients being treated with biological therapy given the combination of immunosuppression and atypical disease.

Prior to initiating therapy individuals should be assessed for possible latent TB. Assessment guidelines are variable depending on country of practice. The role of tuberculin tests and interferon gamma release assay remain contentious due to their sensitivity and specificity in the context of immunosuppression. This issue has been comprehensively addressed via a US consensus statement.

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and biological therapy should be considered with extreme caution. The safety of treating HIV positive patients is unknown but given that both have immunosuppressive effects the risks of adverse outcomes may be considerable. Despite this, there are case reports of TNFα antagonist therapy in rheumatological disease in patients who are HIV positive without significant problems developing.

Neurological diseases

TNFα antagonists are associated with development or worsening of demyelinating disorders, notably multiple sclerosis (MS). A personal medical history or first degree relative affected by MS is a contraindication to treatment with TNFα antagonists. Withdrawal of the TNFα antagonist may result in resolution of neurological disease in the event of symptoms suggestive of demyelination. In all such cases specialist neurological opinion is advised.

Heart disease

The use of biological therapy in the context of inflammatory disease has shown conflicting data with improved cardiovascular function in some studies whilst worsening in others. A review of 47 case reports of heart failure whilst using biological therapy provides some evidence of a causative link. Conflicting with this review, clinical trials of TNFα antagonists in the context of rheumatoid arthritis have failed to identify this risk. Patient selection excluded known heart failure and therefore by definition has a selection bias.

Due to the concern over TNFα antagonists in the context of heart failure it is recommended that treatment be avoided in patients with New York Heart Association cardiac failure Class III or IV (breathless at rest or minimal exertion).

Drug-induced lupus

Following treatment with the TNFα antagonists has been reported in several case studies to date. This has been observed in a small number of cases and is frequently reversible. There are case reports of therapeutic benefits in patients with lupus treated with a biological therapy.

Malignancy

Early analysis of TNFα antagonists in managing rheumatoid arthritis identified an 11.5 fold risk of lymphoma. Subsequent inclusion in a larger registry resulted in no such relative risk identified. These studies failed to identify a risk of solid organ malignancy. In contrast to this a meta-analysis of 9 clinical trials involving TNFα antagonists identified an odds ratio for malignancy of 3.3. The risk was greater with high dose treatment. A subsequent review of 6 national registries has not identified a significant risk of lymphoma or solid organ malignancy amongst patients treated with TNFα antagonists.

A recent systematic review of thirty six randomized controlled trial (RCTs) revealed that 26% of cancer diagnoses in the treatment arm occurred within the first 12 weeks of therapy and raise a significant question as to baseline screening. These results require further evaluation; data registries will help address this.

The risk of cutaneous malignancy remains an area requiring further study. A study of 1,442 patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with etanercept failed to establish a link with squamous cell carcinoma whilst in a larger study of 13,001 patients with rheumatoid arthritis, 49% of which were exposed to a biological therapy, indicated a modest increased rate of both melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer.

Alefacept

Alefacept, used widely in the United States (US), has no European license for the treatment of psoriasis. Consisting of the extracellular cluster of differentiation (CD)-2 binding portion of lymphocyte function associated antigen-3 (LFA-3), linked to the Fc portion of human immunoglobulin (Ig) G1, its mode of action is thought to be mediated by selective depletion of memory effector (CD45RO+) T lymphocytes. Phase III trials of alefacept given weekly at a dose of 15 mg/kg demonstrated PASI 75 in 21% of patients at week 14.

Alefacept therapy necessitates CD4 lymphocyte count prior to commencing therapy and every other week thereafter.
Treatment should be withheld when CD4 counts drop below 250 cells/mL. Due to the impact on CD4 count, HIV and high risk of malignancy or infection exclude alefacept as an option for therapy in such patients. Whilst not routinely used to manage psoriatic arthritis limited data indicates it is effective.109

Alefacept has generally been well tolerated in clinical trials. Adverse events following injection are reported, particularly chills, which are self limiting and did not necessitate withdrawal of therapy.

**Efalizumab**

Efalizumab is included in this section given its proven role in treating psoriasis despite its global withdrawal. It is a recombinant monoclonal IgG1 antibody directed against the CD11a subunit of LFA-1. LFA-1 is central to T-cell adhesion and migration through endothelial wall and into inflamed skin.110,111 It is also involved in reactivation of T cells.112 Phase III trials, including the Clinical Experience Acquired with Raptiva (CLEAR) study, revealed a PASI 75 response of up to 39%.113–117 Efalizumab is not effective in treating psoriatic arthritis.118

Due to case reports of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) in three patients receiving efalizumab for chronic plaque psoriasis this treatment option has been withdrawn globally.119 This complication of impaired immune surveillance is not unique to efalizumab. Natalizumab, a very late antigen (VLA)-4 antagonist, used in treating multiple sclerosis, has also been reported in association with PML. Both efalizumab and natalizumab affect T cell migration which is thought to underlie the susceptibility to PML.120

**Ustekinumab**

Interleukin (IL) 12 and IL23 share an homologous subunit, p40. Ustekinumab is an IL12/23 monoclonal antibody directed against this shared p40 subunit. IL12 is a key cytokine directing the differentiation of T cells into a T helper lymphocyte (Th)-1 phenotype.121 IL23, a member of the IL12 family, stimulates naïve T cell differentiation toward a Th17 phenotype.122 IL12/IL23 has a central role in the adaptive response involved in the pathogenesis of psoriasis.123

Phase III clinical trials for ustekinumab, including PHOENIX I and II, demonstrated 67% and 72% achieve a PASI 75 after 12 weeks with either 45 mg or 90 mg respectively.124–126 Ongoing improvement may be seen up to 24 weeks after commencing treatment. The injection was well tolerated with serious adverse events in 4% compared to 1% for the placebo group. It is also effective in treating psoriatic arthritis.127 As an upstream inhibitor of TNFα it may be expected that the risk profile of ustekinumab may be broadly similar.

**Data registry**

Biological therapies are an emerging treatment option for a range of disease in dermatology. Safety profiles to date have been promising with relatively few serious adverse outcomes. However, concerns remain over the long term safety of these agents. With pharmacovigilance a high priority, several countries including: France; Italy; Spain; Sweden; Germany, and the United Kingdom have established data registry’s. The British Society of Rheumatology Biologics Register (BSRBR) have recently reported initial results which to date have identified a modest rise in cutaneous infection;128 a reduced risk of myocardial infarction;129 but no major increased risk of fetal abnormality or spontaneous abortion in patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with TNFα antagonists.130 Caution must be exercised in extrapolating data from this register since the underlying disease may influence outcomes.

**The future of psoriasis and biological therapies**

As our understanding of the immunopathogenesis of psoriasis grows so does the opportunity for development of new therapeutic targets. New and emerging options for biological therapy include the TNFα blocking monoclonal antibodies (certolizumab and golimumab) and targets of the IL-12/23 pathway (ABT-874). In addition to the development of new biological therapies a number of small molecules show promise of delivering new therapeutic options in managing psoriasis.

**Certoluzimab-pegol**

This fragment antigen binding (F_{ab}) portion of human TNFα has been shown to be effective in the treatment of Crohn’s disease and rheumatoid arthritis; and may therefore prove efficacious in the treatment of psoriasis.131 Due to the absence of the fragment crystalizable (Fc) region, activation of complement and antibody-dependent cell mediated cytotoxicity does not occur in-vitro.132

**Golimumab**

A phase III trial of golimumab treated for psoriatic arthritis given 50 mg monthly in a placebo controlled study involving 405 patients indicated 40% of patients achieve a PASI
Clinical trials. PASI 75 was achieved at 12 weeks in 16%, calcineurin inhibitor, voclosporin, recently underwent phase and hypertension, frequently limit use. A newly developed psoriasis. The limitations of treatment, namely nephrotoxicity and hypertension, frequently limit use. A newly developed calcineurin inhibitor, voclosporin, recently underwent phase 3 clinical trials. PASI 75 was achieved at 12 weeks in 16%, 25% and 47% for the 0.2 mg/kg, 0.3 mg/kg and 0.4 mg/kg groups respectively. This study emphasized a strong correlation with serum drug concentration, raising the possibility of enhanced efficacy and reduced toxicity.

Janus kinase (JAK) 3 inhibitors
Janus kinase (JAK) 3 inhibitors are signal transducers and activators of transduction (STAT) inhibitors. JAK3 is a protein tyrosine kinase which forms a key intracellular signal transduction point for a proinflammatory signal from γ-chain receptors, relevant to cytokines IL-2, -4, -7, -9, -15 and -21. Activation of JAK3 leads to phosphorylation of STAT which then translocates to the nucleus where it modifies gene transcription. CP-690,550 is an oral JAK3 inhibitor which has recently entered phase II clinical trials and has shown significant improvement in psoriatic plaque severity. Further studies with CP-690,550 are ongoing.

Conclusions
Psoriasis is a common disease which carries a significant physical and psychological burden. The impact of the disease is that it not only affects the patient but has implications on health economics. Understanding and treatment for psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis has moved forward greatly over the last few years. The identification of subtle differences in psoriasis phenotypes, pathogenesis and immunobiology will drive forward our understanding of disease and will provide new options to control the disease.
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