The effect of pterygium surgery on contrast sensitivity and corneal topographic changes
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Purpose: To investigate the effect of pterygium surgery on corneal topography and contrast sensitivity.

Patient and methods: The IRB approved this prospective, nonrandomized, self-controlled study. Computerized videokeratography (Orbscan II) was performed in 36 patients with primary pterygia, both before and 1 month after pterygium excision with limbal-conjunctival autografting. The topographic parameters were compared. Spatial contrast sensitivity testing was performed using VCTS 6500. Differences between preoperative and postoperative values were evaluated statistically.

Results: The mean Sim K astigmatism and irregularity index, significantly decreased after pterygium surgery. The mean refractive power significantly increased after the operation. The "with-the-rule" astigmatism induced by pterygium became "against-the-rule" astigmatism after pterygium removal ($P = 0.041$). The contrast sensitivity of 6, 12, and 18 cycles per degree, significantly increased from $1.55 \pm 0.28$, $0.97 \pm 0.47$, and $0.29 \pm 0.16$ to $1.72 \pm 0.18$, $1.21 \pm 0.44$, and $0.65 \pm 0.48$, respectively ($P = 0.007$, $<0.001$, $<0.001$, respectively).

Conclusions: Pterygium surgery significantly reduces corneal topographic astigmatism and improves contrast sensitivity.
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Introduction
Pterygium is an ocular pathology seen frequently in ophthalmology practice. Large pterygia occluding the visual axis decrease visual acuity. However, even before entering the optical zone, an advancing pterygium can cause visual impairment by locally flattening the cornea and inducing with-the-rule astigmatism.\textsuperscript{1-5} Previous reports have demonstrated that the topographic changes in the cornea and visual impairment induced by pterygium can be reversed following removal.\textsuperscript{2-6} However, no investigators have reported the effect of pterygium surgery on optical qualities, such as contrast sensitivity, in addition to visual acuity and topographic changes. Hence, we performed this study in order to investigate the effect of pterygium surgery on contrast sensitivity and corneal topography.

Patients and methods
Patients
This prospective, nonrandomized, self-controlled trial included 36 patients (36 eyes) with primary pterygia. Patients with a history of ocular surgery, ocular trauma, contact lens wear, corneal scarring, or anterior segment diseases other than pterygium,
were excluded from the study. Cosmetic problems, ocular irritation, and visual loss induced by pterygium were accepted as indications for surgery. All pterygia were located nasally.

**Surgical procedures**

All surgeries were performed by a single surgeon (Oh JY) using the same technique. After topical anesthesia was achieved, the corneal epithelium 1 mm anterior to the head of the pterygium was scraped off with a #69 Beaver blade (Becton Dickinson and Co, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), and the pterygium body was pulled, peeled, and excised with a blade and Vannas scissors (Katena Products Inc., Denville, NJ, USA). Remnants over the cornea were shaved. The subconjunctival tissue under the body of the pterygium was removed. In cases of severe bleeding, cautORIZATION of bleeding vessels was minimally applied. After the pterygium mass was removed, a limbal-conjunctival autograft was procured from the superior conjunctiva; the graft dissection was extended approximately 0.5 mm into clear cornea to include the Vogt palisades and limbal stem cells. This graft was moved to cover the defective area and was secured with 10-0 nylon sutures. The limbal end of the autograft was placed directly over the limbal area of the surgical bed. After surgery, a therapeutic contact lens was applied until corneal reepithelialization was completed. The sutures were removed under the operating microscope after conjunctival epithelialization occurred.

Postoperatively, patients were treated with topical 0.5% levofloxacin (Cravit®; Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) qid, 1% prednisolone acetate (Pred forte®; Allergan, Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) qid, and autologous serum and 0.5% levofloxacin (Cravit®). Patients were instructed to store the eye drops in the freezer compartment of a domestic refrigerator for no more than 3 months.

**Examination of corneal topography and contrast sensitivity**

All patients underwent examinations one week before and one month after pterygium surgery. The best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was measured using the logMAR scale. Computerized videokeratography (Orbscan® IIz; Bausch and Lomb Inc., Rochester, NY, USA) was obtained, and the following topographic parameters were noted: simulated keratometry (Sim K) astigmatism; irregularities and mean refractive powers in the central 3 and 5 mm zones; and steep astigmatic axes. Spatial contrast sensitivity testing was performed using the VCTS 6500 (Vistech Consultants, Inc., Dayton, OH, USA) under mesopic room conditions; that is, a dim light with a chart luminance of 220 lux. The testing distance was 3 meters. Measurements were carried out monocularly with spectacle correction. Contrast sensitivity was tested at the spatial frequencies of 1.5, 3, 6, 12, and 18 cycles per degree (cpd). All contrast sensitivity data were converted to logarithmic units and log contrast sensitivity values, and the before and after surgery values were compared. All measurements were performed by experts who were blinded.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were expressed as means ± standard deviation. Comparisons between the preoperative and postoperative values were made through the paired t-test or the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test using SPSS software (version 12.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.

**Results**

17 (47.2%) of the 36 patients were men, and 19 (52.8%) were women. Patient ages ranged from 27 to 79 years (mean 55.3 ± 11.4 years). Neither complications nor recurrences developed during the follow-up period. The mean follow-up period was 6.3 months (range 1 to 9 months). The BCVA and topographic variables before and after pterygium surgery are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in BCVA before and after surgery. However, the mean Sim K astigmatism and

**Table 1 Clinical and topographic variables before and after pterygium surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Preoperative mean ± SD</th>
<th>Postoperative mean ± SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCVA (logMAR)</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.26</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topographic indices (diopters)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim K astigmatism</td>
<td>3.08 ± 2.03</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregularity (3 mm)</td>
<td>3.49 ± 1.66</td>
<td>1.95 ± 1.08</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregularity (5 mm)</td>
<td>5.46 ± 2.95</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean refractive power (3 mm)</td>
<td>43.2 ± 1.17</td>
<td>44.5 ± 1.34</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean refractive power (5 mm)</td>
<td>43.0 ± 1.05</td>
<td>43.8 ± 1.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; ns, not significant.
Table 2: The changes in Sim K astigmatism after surgery according to the preoperative Sim K astigmatism value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative Sim K astigmatism (diopters)</th>
<th>No. of eyes</th>
<th>Preoperative (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Postoperative (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1.28 ± 1.66</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.21 ± 1.58</td>
<td>1.89 ± 2.66</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Topographic irregularity values significantly decreased after pterygium surgery. The mean refractive power significantly increased after the operation. The respective values of the study parameters before and after pterygium surgery were as follows: Sim K astigmatism, 3.08 ± 2.03D and 1.22 ± 0.78D (P = 0.001); irregularity at 3 mm, 3.49 ± 1.66D and 1.95 ± 1.08D (P = 0.001); irregularity at 5 mm, 5.46 ± 2.95D and 1.78 ± 0.92D (P < 0.001); mean refractive power at 3 mm, 43.2 ± 1.17D and 44.5 ± 1.34D (P = 0.002); mean refractive power at 5 mm, 43.0 ± 1.05D and 43.8 ± 1.33D (P < 0.001). When the data was analyzed after dividing the patients into two groups according to the preoperative Sim K astigmatism, the patients with Sim K astigmatism ≥2D had a significant reduction in Sim K astigmatism after pterygium surgery; while those with Sim K astigmatism <2D showed a statistically insignificant increase in Sim K astigmatism after surgery (Table 2). However, irregularities at 3 mm and 5 mm decreased in all subjects after surgery, regardless of preoperative Sim K astigmatism values.

Although the preoperative corneal astigmatism axis was found to lie with-the-rule in 58% of patients, against-the-rule in 17%, and obliquely in 25%, these frequencies shifted to with-the-rule in 28% of patients, against-the-rule in 42%, and obliquely in 30% at one month following surgery (Table 3). The “with-the-rule” astigmatism induced by the pterygium became “against-the-rule” astigmatism after pterygium removal (P = 0.041).

The results of contrast sensitivity testing conducted before and after surgery are shown in Figure 1. Contrast sensitivity values of 1.5 and 3 cpd showed no significant changes after surgery. However, the values of 6, 12, and 18 cpd increased significantly from 1.55 ± 0.28, 0.97 ± 0.47, and 0.29 ± 0.16 to 1.72 ± 0.18, 1.21 ± 0.44, and 0.65 ± 0.48, respectively (P = 0.007, < 0.001, and < 0.001, respectively). A significant increase in contrast sensitivity at the spatial frequencies of 6, 12, and 18 cpd was observed after surgery both in the patients with Sim K astigmatism ≥2D and in those with Sim K astigmatism <2D.

Discussion

Several reports have previously demonstrated that pterygia cause corneal distortion and induce a significant amount of astigmatism.1-5 Most of those topographic changes in the cornea have been reported to be reversed by successful pterygium surgery, although eyes with advanced pterygia might not normalize completely.6-8 It has been previously reported that contrast sensitivity was lower at all spatial frequencies in the patients with pterygium.7 However, it remains unclear as to whether pterygium surgery can improve contrast sensitivity which has been decreased by the development of pterygium.

This study was performed in order to evaluate the effect of pterygium surgery on contrast sensitivity as well as on corneal topographic changes such as astigmatism, irregularity, axis steepness, and refractive power.

Our results indicate that contrast sensitivity at medium-to-high spatial frequencies of 6, 12, and 18 cpd significantly improved after pterygium excision, while contrast sensitivity at low spatial frequencies of 1.5 and 3 cpd did not change after surgery. Contrast sensitivity at high spatial frequencies is reported to be involved in visual performance in daily life and in indoor activities such as face recognition.9,10 Hence, it is noteworthy that in our study, pterygium surgery caused a significant increase in contrast sensitivity at high frequencies. Although controversy exists regarding reproducibility of functional visual performance using contrast sensitivity with the Vistech chart used in our study, many reports have demonstrated the efficacy of this method for assessing functional visual performance in patients undergoing cataract and refractive surgeries.11-12 Therefore, our use of the Vistech chart has clinical relevance.

In our study, the mean Sim K astigmatism values decreased significantly after pterygium surgery in patients with preoperative Sim K astigmatism ≥2D. However, the Sim K astigmatism tended to increase after surgery in the patients with preoperative Sim K astigmatism <2D, although the increase was not statistically significant. It is possible
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surgery. The change in irregularity values at 5 mm (67.4%) was greater than the change in irregularity values at 3 mm (44.1%). In addition, we found that surgical intervention resulted in an increase in the mean refractive power at one month after pterygium surgery, which indicates a steepening of the flattened cornea. We also noted corneal astigmatic axis change from with-the-rule to against-the-rule astigmatism at one month after surgery. This phenomenon can be explained by flattening of the cornea horizontally in the nasal quadrant, where the pterygium was located. This flattening effect dissipated after pterygium removal.1,3,4,13,14

However, a longer follow-up period is necessary in order to validate the corneal steepening effect of pterygium surgery. For instance, Ozdemir et al have reported that, astigmatic axes change return to with-the-rule at the postoperative late period (the third month), although the axes change from with-the-rule to against-the-rule and oblique at the postoperative early period (the second week).6

Our study was limited in that it was characterized by a short-term follow-up period after surgery. We evaluated the topographic values and contrast sensitivity in the first postoperative month. It is possible that corneal topography changes with time after surgery. As such, further studies with longer follow-up time are necessary.

In summary, our study demonstrates that successful pterygium surgery reduces corneal astigmatism and improves topographic irregularity. We found that optical qualities such as contrast sensitivity improved significantly after surgery. These effects were more apparent in the patients with preoperative Sim K astigmatism values greater than 2D. Corneal topography and contrast sensitivity values in patients with pterygia are useful either as indicators of the need for pterygium surgery or as indicators of surgical success.
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