Carbapenemase Producers Among Extensive Drug-Resistant Gram-Negative Pathogens Recovered from Febrile Neutrophilic Patients in Egypt

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Infection and Drug Resistance

Samar S Mabrouk¹
Ghada R Abdellatif¹
Mona R El-Ansary²
Khaled M Aboshanab³
Yasser M Ragab⁴

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ahran Canadian University (ACU), 6th of October, Giza, Egypt;

²Department of Biochemistry, Modern University for Technology and Information (MTI), Cairo, Egypt;

³Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University (ASU), Cairo, Egypt;

⁴Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University (CU), Cairo, Egypt

Purpose: This study aimed to detect the prevalence of carbapenemase producers (CPs) among extensive drug-resistant (XDR)-carbapenemase producing Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) recovered from various clinical specimens of hospitalized neutrophilic febrile patients in two major tertiary care hospitals in Egypt.

Methods: Standard methods were used to evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical isolates according to the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Phenotypic and genotypic analysis of CPs were carried out and statistically analyzed using standard methods.

Results: Three hundred and forty-two GNB were obtained from 342 clinical specimens during the period of the study, where 162 (47%) were enterobacterial isolates, including, 63 (18.4%) *Escherichia coli*, 87 (25.4%) *Klebsiella* spp., 5 (1.46%) *Enterobacter cloacae*, 5 (1.46%) *Salmonella* spp. and 2 (0.6%) *Proteus* and 180 (53%) were non-fermentative bacilli including, 129 (37.7%), *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and 51 (14.9%), *Pseudomonas* spp. Out of the 342 GNB, 188 (54.9%) isolates were multi-drug resistant (MDR). Of these, 52 (27.6%) were XDR as well as CPs as confirmed phenotypically. The MIC of imipenem against the XDR GNB against showed either low (11 isolates; 21.1%; MIC range = 4–32 µg/mL) or high levels of resistance (41 isolates; 78.8%; MIC range = 64–≥1024). The most prevalent carbapenem resistance (CR) genes were *blaKPC* (63.5%) followed by *blaOXA-48* (55.7%) and *blaVIM* (28.8%). No significant association could be observed between the MIC level and the presence of CR genes (*P* value >0.05).

Conclusion: High prevalence of MDR (54.9%) and XDR (27.6%) GNB pathogens associated with high levels of resistance to carbapenems were observed. All XDR GNB were CPs and tested positive for at least one of the CR genes. However, most of them (78.8%) showed a high level of CR (MIC range = 64–≥1024) with no significant association with the CR genes.

Keywords: carbapenem resistance, carbapenemases, MDR, XDR, Gram-negative pathogens

Introduction

The development and dissemination of XDR carbapenem-resistant (CR) Gram-negative bacteria (GNB) is considered a serious hazard to human health globally.^{1–4} The development of CR is receiving remarkable attention nowadays as carbapenem antibiotics are viewed as the last line of defense against severe multidrug-resistant infections.^{5–7}

Correspondence: Khaled M Aboshanab
Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University, Organization of African Unity St., PO: 11566, Abbassia, Cairo, Egypt
Tel +20 225082595
Fax +20 224051107
Email aboshanab2012@pharma.asu.edu.eg

CR could be due to decreased outer membrane permeability associated with an overproduction of AmpC β -lactamases, generation of extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) and overexpression of class A, B and D carbapenemase enzymes. These enzymes include *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (blaKPC), Verona integron encoded metallo- β -lactamase (blaVIM), New Delhi metallo- β -lactamase (blaNDM), imipenemase (blaIMP) and oxacillinases (blaOXA-48). This remains the most clinically important mechanism that drives the emergence of resistance among GNB worldwide and is involved in most of the nosocomial outbreaks recently.^{8,9}

Due to the consequences of the high morbidity and mortality rates and the high chance of large-scale dissemination of CR particularly via transmissible genetic, an expeditious detection of CPs is of a crucial importance to limit this threatening public health crisis.^{10,11} Also, the emergence of XDR GNB particularly those that are CPs has elevated dramatically in the last years imposing a global concern.^{10,11} Moreover, the treatment options for pyogenic infection caused by XDR GNB pathogens became very limited and scarce, particularly for pediatrics.^{10,11} The febrile neutrophilic patients are characterized by having leukocytosis where total leucocyte count is above 11,000 white blood cells/ μ L as well as fever with an oral temperature $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ for at least 1 hour. These parameters are indicative of pyogenic infections.¹¹ Thus, the aim of our current study is to highlight the prevalence, phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of CPs obtained from neutrophilic febrile patients admitted to two major Tertiary Care Hospitals in Egypt.

Methods

Collection and Examination of Clinical Isolates

A total of 342 non-duplicate Gram-negative clinical isolates discharged from the Microbiology laboratories of New Kasr El Aini and El Demerdash Tertiary Care Hospitals, Cairo, Egypt were collected over a period of 6-month, between April and September 2019. The study was approved by the Faculty of Pharmacy Cairo University Ethical Committee Nr. MI (2418) in April, 2019. Both oral and written informed consent were obtained from patients or parents of the patients after clarifying them with the purpose of the study which was

carried out in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Based on the hospital records, the isolates were recovered from 342 clinical specimens obtained from febrile neutrophilic patients ($>11,000$ white blood cells/ μL with oral temperature $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ over at least 1 hour) including, urine ($n = 118$), sputum ($n = 112$), pus ($n = 42$), blood ($n = 17$), stool ($n=11$), and others including catheter tips and bronchial lavage ($n=42$). The patients' age ranged from 1 to 65 years. There were 158 (46%) male including the age ranged 1–20 year (95; 28%), 21–40 year (20; 6%), 41–66 year (43; 12.5%) and 184 (54%) were females including the age ranged 1–20 year (112; 33%), 21–40 year (23; 7%), 41–66 year (49; 14%). Isolates were identified macroscopically, microscopically, and biochemically according to Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology.¹² The bacterial identification was confirmed using the MicroscanR WalkAway-96 Plus auto-identification system (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL, USA). No specific exclusion criteria were applied and all isolates were collected on routine workdays. To confirm the identification of isolates, our data were compared to the hospital's data records.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests

The antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed according to Kirby-Bauer method using a panel of 13 antibiotic disks including amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (20 μg /10 μg), amikacin (30 μg), aztreonam (30 μg), cefoxitin (30 μg), ceftriaxone (30 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), levofloxacin (5 μg), imipenem (10 μg) meropenem (10 μg), ertapenem (10 μg), imipenem (10 μg), sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim (25 μg), and doxycycline (30 μg) were tested. Kirby-Bauer test was carried out on Mueller–Hinton agar plates one time for all tested isolates followed by measurements of the inhibition zone diameters. MDR and XDR isolates were defined based on the international standard criteria as previously reported.¹³ The XDR isolates that revealed resistance pattern to any of the carbapenems tested were potentially pointed out as carbapenem-resistant and accordingly, were selected to detect their MIC against imipenem by broth microdilution method according to CLSI guidelines, 2019.¹⁴ The broth microdilution test was done in triplicate. Enterobacteriaceae isolates that revealed MIC ≥ 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and non-fermentative bacilli isolates that revealed MIC ≥ 8 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for imipenem were considered carbapenem-resistant isolates with great

potential for carbapenemase production according to CLSI, 2019.¹⁴ Quality control was monitored by using *E. coli* ATCC 25922TM reference strain.

Phenotypic Detection of CPs

Modified Carbapenem Inactivation Method (mCIM)

mCIM for detection of CPs using readily available laboratory reagents was recommended by the CLSI guidelines in 2019. The test was performed in duplicates on XDR GNB isolates that were potentially CPs. A meropenem disk was briefly immersed in a suspension of tested isolate and incubated for at least 4 hours. Consequently, the disk was transferred to an inoculated plate with *E. coli* ATCC 25922TM. After an overnight incubation, the tested isolates showing a zone of inhibition between 6 and 15 mm or colonies were present within 16–18 mm were considered CPs. On the other hand, isolates showing a zone of inhibition greater than or equal to 19 mm were not considered CPs.¹⁴

Combined Disk Test

In order to detect metallo-beta lactamases (MBLs) production, combined disk test was performed. About, 0.5 McFarland standard adjusted overnight culture was spread over the surface of Mueller-Hinton agar plate using a cotton swab. Two disks, one is imipenem and the other is imipenem/ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) were laid on the surface of the agar at a distance of 4–5 cm from each other using sterile forceps. After an overnight incubation, the enhancement of inhibition zone (≥ 7 mm) of the IPM-EDTA disk compared to imipenem disk alone was considered positive for the presence of MBLs. This test was done in duplicates to ensure the reproducibility of results.¹⁵

Blue-Carba Test

The Blue-carba test can detect all CPs directly from bacterial culture with 100% sensitivity and specificity. This test was carried out as previously reported by Pires et al.¹⁶ Duplicates of the test were carried out on the promising CPs isolates to give more reproducible results.

Molecular Detection of Genes Coded for Carbapenemases

DNA of phenotypically confirmed XDR CP isolates was extracted using the Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) according to the

manufacturer's instructions and was used as template for PCR using the appropriate primers synthesized by Macrogen®. PCR amplification was carried out using the annealing temperatures (Ta) and appropriate primers of *blaIMP*, *blaKPC*, *blaNDM*, *blaOXA-48*, and *blaVIM* genes as shown in Table 1. Using agarose gel electrophoresis, the amplified PCR products were analyzed and the interpretation of the size of DNA fragments was done via comparing to a 1000 bp DNA ladder (GeneRuler 1 kb ThermoFisher Scientific, USA).

DNA Sequencing of PCR Amplicons

QIA quick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) was used to purify the PCR products extracted from the agarose gel, and then they were sequenced using ABI 3730xl DNA sequencer from the forward and reverse directions by the CliniLab Co, Egypt. The resulted sequences were assembled into a final consensus sequence using the Staden Package program version 3 (<http://staden.sourceforge.net/>). The open reading frames (ORFs) were analyzed using FramePlot 2.3.2 (<http://www.nih.go.jp/~jun/cgi-bin/frameplot.pl>), annotated, and submitted into the NCBI GenBank database under the accession codes MT185944, MT185945 and MT185946:

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis including descriptive statistics, frequency tables, and cross-tabulations was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software IBM® SPSS® version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). In order to determine the statistical significance, analysis of the categorical variables was performed using the Chi-square test. A value of $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant, and significance was two-sided.

Results

Identification of Recovered Isolates

A total of 342 GNB were recovered from the 342 clinical specimens throughout the period of the study. A total of 162 (47%) were enterobacterial isolates, including 63 (18.4%) *E. coli*, 87 (25.4%) *Klebsiella* spp., 5 (1.46%) *E. cloacae*, 5 (1.46%) *Salmonella* spp. and 2 (0.6%) *Proteus*. On the other hand, a total of 180 (53%) were non-fermentative bacilli including, 129 (37.7%), *Acinetobacter* (*A.*) *baumannii*, and 51 (14.9%), *Pseudomonas* spp. Antimicrobial susceptibility tests of the 342 isolates showed that 188 (54.9%) were

Table 1 Primer Sequences and Expected Sizes of PCR Products of Carbapenemase Genes

Multiplex/ MonoplexPCR	Carbapenemase Genes	Forward Primer (5'-3')	Reverse Primer (5'-3')	Expected PCR Product Size	Ta (°C)	Reference
A	<i>bla_{KPC}</i> <i>bla_{NDM}</i>	TGTCACGTGATCGCCGTC GGTTTGGCGATCTGGTTTTTC	TATTTTCCGAGATGGGTGAC CGGAATGGCTCATCACGATC	331 bp 621 bp	50	[17] [18]
B	<i>bla_{VIM}</i> <i>bla_{OXA-48}</i>	TCTACATGACCGCGTCTGTC GCGTGGTTAAGGATGAACAC	TGTGCTTTGACAACGTTTCGC CATCAAGTTCAACCCAACCG	748 bp 438 bp	52	[17] [19]
C	<i>bla_{IMP}</i>	CTACCGCAGCAGAGTCTTTG	AACCAGTTTTGCCTTACCAT	587 bp	56	[17]

Notes: A and B are multiplex PCR; C is a monoplex of *imp* detection.

Abbreviation: Ta (°C), annealing temperature.

multidrug resistant (MDR), among these, 52 isolates (15.2%) were XDR-CPs.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing and Data Analysis

Table 2 shows the antibiotic susceptibility patterns of the GNB isolates. Out of the 342 GNB; 188 (54.9%) isolates were MDR. The MDR GNB isolates were *A. baumannii* (86; 45.7%), *K. pneumoniae* (50; 26.6%), *E. coli* (30; 16%), *P. aeruginosa* (18; 9.6%), *E. cloacae* (3; 1.5%) and *Salmonella spp.* (1; 0.5%). Out of the 188 MDR GNB, 52 (27.6%) exhibited resistance to all of the tested antimicrobial agents; however, they remained sensitive to only one or two and therefore, were categorized as XDR GNB. Furthermore, all of XDR GNB isolates were CPs. As shown in Table 3, the broth microdilution method was used to determine the MIC of the 52 (27.6%) XDR GNB against imipenem, and based on the value of MIC, the XDR GNB were categorized into 2 categories; low-level resistance (11 isolates; 21.1%; MIC range =4–32 µg/mL) and high-level resistance (41 isolates; 78.8%; MIC range = 64–≥1024)

Phenotypic Detection of XDR GNB

As shown in Table 4, the carbapenemase enzyme producing ability of the XDR GNB (n=52) isolates was phenotypically determined using the modified carbapenem inactivation method (m CIM), blue-carba and the combined disk tests.

PCR Amplification of CPs

The extracted DNA of each of the 52 XDR GNB isolates (CPs) was used as a template for PCR amplification of *bla_{IMP}*, *bla_{KPC}*, *bla_{NDM}*, *bla_{OXA-48}*, and *bla_{VIM}* carbapenemase genes.

The results of multiplex PCR revealed that *bla_{KPC}* was amplified in 33 (63.5%) isolates (15 *A. baumannii*, 9 *Klebsiella spp.*, 5 *Pseudomonas spp.*, and 3 *E. coli* and 1 *E. cloacae*), followed by *bla_{OXA-48}* that was detected in 29 (55.7%) isolates (13 *A. baumannii*, 9 *Pseudomonas spp.*, 6 *Klebsiella spp.*, and 1 *E. cloacae*), followed by *bla_{VIM}* that was observed in 15 (28.8%) isolates (7 *A. baumannii*, 5 *Klebsiella spp.*, 2 *Pseudomonas spp.* and 1 *E. cloacae*). Agarose gel electrophoresis of the multiplex-PCR amplification of *bla_{KPC}*/*bla_{NDM}* and *bla_{OXA-48}*/*bla_{VIM}* genes of some of the tested XDR GNB isolates are shown in Figures 1S and 2S, respectively. On the other hand, neither *bla_{IMP}* nor *bla_{NDM}* was detected in any of the tested XDR isolates. Data summary of both phenotypic and genotypic findings of the XDR GNB (n=52) is tabulated in Table 5.

Statistical Analysis of the Association of MIC Level of Resistance and Carbapenemase Resistant Genes Among XDR GNB Isolates (n=52)

Statistical analysis has shown that there was no significant association between MIC level of CR (either high- or low-level resistance) and *bla_{KPC}*, *bla_{OXA-48}*, *bla_{VIM}*, PCR detected CR genes (*P* value >0.05). The statistical Pearson chi-square and Likelihood Ratios are shown in Table 6.

Discussion

CR bacteria have become a major threatening crisis worldwide, which restricts the available therapeutic and treatment options.²⁰ Therefore, we aimed in this study to detect the prevalence of GNB carbapenemase producers, after determining their resistance profiles. The clinical isolates were recovered from various clinical specimens of infected patients attending two major

hospitals in Egypt. The patients were admitted to the hospital suffering from signs and symptoms of acute pyogenic infections including fever (oral temperature $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ for at least 1 hour). Based on the hospital records, the complete blood count of the respective patients also showed leukocytosis white blood cells $>11,000/\mu\text{L}$. According to the hospital records, a total of 158 (46%) patients were males in the age range of 1–20 years (95; 28%), 21–40 years (20; 6%), 41–66 years (43; 12.5%). On the other hand, a total of 184 (54%) was female patients in the age range of 1–20 years (112; 33%), 21–40 years (23; 7%), and 41–66 years (49; 14%).

The fact that lower respiratory tract infectious diseases are the number one cause of deaths among infectious diseases worldwide was reflected in the predominance of sputum cultures among recovered specimens.²¹

A total of 342 GNB were recovered from the 342 clinical specimens during the period of our study, where 162 (47%) were enterobacterial isolates, including, 63 (18.4%) *E. coli*, 87 (25.4%) *Klebsiella* spp., 5 (1.46%), *E. cloacae*, 5 (1.46%) *Salmonella* spp. and 2 (0.6%) *Proteus* spp.). The remaining 180 (53%) were non-fermentative bacilli including 129 (37.7%) *A. baumannii* and 51 (14.9%) *Pseudomonas* spp.

It has been previously reported that the respiratory tract, blood, and urine tubes were the main important sources of *A. baumannii* pathogens.^{22,23} In our study, 129 (37.7%) *A. baumannii* isolates were recovered and this could explain the predominance of *A. baumannii*, the most life-threatening pathogen among the recovered GNB isolates in our study. Moreover, this finding is of great medical value and challenge from the medical point of view since *A. baumannii* is one of the difficult-to-treat and nosocomial-infection-causing pathogens., this may be due to of its endless capacity to acquire antimicrobial resistance owing to the plasticity of its genome.²⁴ Our microbiological data were in consonance with other novel studies that confirmed that Enterobacteriaceae especially, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* together with *A. baumannii*, and *P. aeruginosa* posed the highest hazards among the GNB recovered from respiratory tract infections.²⁵ It is disturbing to note that 44.7% (84 out of 162) of the enterobacterial isolates were considered MDR as they were resistant to three or more antimicrobial classes. Of these, 50 out of 87 (57.5%) were *Klebsiella* spp., 30 out of 63 (47.6%) were *E. coli*, 3 out of 5 (60%) were *E. cloacae* and 1

out of 5 (20%) was *Salmonella* spp. Out of the 180 nonfermentative bacilli, 86 out of 129 (66.7%) and 18 out of 51 (35.3%) were MDR from *A. baumannii*, and *Pseudomonas* spp., respectively. Accordingly, out of the 342 GNB; 188 (54.9%) isolates were MDR which is in accordance with previous studies and imposes weak infection control strategies.^{26,27} Despite the fact that the antibiogram analysis of recovered GNB is raising concerns, yet, the overall sensitivity patterns of amikacin, doxycycline and tigecycline ranging from 70% to 85% rise as a promising hope.

In addition, the percentage of CR represented a cause of concern as the disk diffusion method revealed resistant patterns to at least one of the tested carbapenems (imipenem, meropenem and ertapenem) in 40.12% (65 out of 162) enterobacterial isolates and 57.78% (104 out of 180) of non-fermentative bacilli. Regarding the wide dissemination of CP GNB with devastating ramifications within clinical settings, its early disclosure is crucial for expeditious employment of infection control measures and choosing an appropriate antimicrobial therapy. CPs were preliminarily screened for the detection of MBLs using a combined disk test. In the present study, 50% (26 out of 52) of the tested isolates were positive for class B carbapenemase. This revealed that other carbapenemase types, for example, class A and class D oxacillinase (that were not inhibited by EDTA) might be included in the carbapenem-resistance pattern. This was in accordance with the study conducted by Rakhi et al that had reported 50% in the combined disk test for MBLs production.²⁸

In order to comply with the latest guidelines for carbapenemase production, mCIM and blue-carba tests were further performed for these 52 CP isolates. Results showed that the former test detected 48 (92.30%), on the other hand, the latter test detected 51 (98.07%) of CP isolates. A recent study conducted by Bayraktar et al showed similar results of 92.7% and 98.1% for mCIM and blue-carba test, respectively.²⁹ Moreover, the blue-carba test matched the results of another recent study conducted by Cordeiro-Moura et al that reported 97.1%.²⁷ Accordingly, we can declare that the blue-carba test revealed a high sensitivity and specificity for the detection of carbapenemase production and hence is viewed as an auspicious method for the rapid detection of CPs among clinical settings.³⁰

We then attempted to identify the carbapenemase genes prevalence, which was crucial for the suppression of such

Table 2 Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns of the Recovered GNB (N= 342)

Antimicrobial Class	Percentage Resistance (%)							
	Antimicrobial Agent	<i>E. coli</i> (n=63)	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp. (n=87)	<i>A. baumannii</i> (n=129)	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. (n=52)	<i>Salmonella</i> spp. (n=5)	<i>Enterococcus</i> spp. (n=5)	<i>Proteus</i> (n=2)
β-lactam group	Amoxicillin/clavulanic	82.5	94.3	nd	nd	0	100	0
	Aztreonam	41.3	65.5	nd	35.3	0	100	0
	Ceftriaxone	61.9	79.3	96.8	nd	0	60	0
	Cefoxitin	28.9	79.3	-	nd	0	100	0
	Meropenem	26.9	69	79.1	52.9	40	100	0
	Ertapenem	28.6	69	nd	nd	40	60	0
	Imipenem	38.1	79.3	97.7	54.9	60	60	0
Aminoglycosides	Amikacin	4.8	52.8	87.6	49	0	60	0
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin	38.1	75.9	95.3	52.9	40	60	0
	Levofloxacin	38.1	72.4	83.7	54.9	nd	60	0
Sulfonamides/diaminopyrimidines	Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim	66.7	62.1	88.4	nd	0	60	0
Tetracyclines	Doxycycline	60.3	52.8	53.5	nd	0	40	100

Abbreviation: nd, not determined.

XDR strains within clinical healthcare sets. Following phenotypic screening of CPs isolates (52 isolates), PCR was performed using the genomic DNA of each isolate and the specific primers for each CR gene. The five carbapenemase genes examined in our study were selected based on their prevalence among the GNB and for representing the three major classes of carbapenemases, including, class A serine carbapenemases (*blaKPC*), class B metallo-β-lactamases (*blaIMP*, *blaNDM* and *blaVIM*) and class D serine carbapenemases (*blaOXA-48*).²

For class A carbapenemases, various genotypes of the *bla_{GES}* gene (coding for *blaGES* β-lactamase) comprise a point mutation (G493A), where serine was included instead of glycine which, therefore, displays carbapenemase activity. Reports of *GES* carbapenemases are rare but increasing steadily particularly because they are plasmid-mediated.^{18,31,32}

The carbapenemase *blaOXA-48* is characterized by high hydrolytic activity to penicillins and low activity towards carbapenems. On the other hand, it is not affected by β-lactamase inhibitors such as sulbactam and clavulanic acid and therefore of relevant medical importance.^{33,34} Other *blaOXA* β-lactamase variants including *blaOXA-23*, *blaOXA-24/40*, and *blaOXA-58* are often detected in *Acinetobacter* spp., but with low carbapenemase activity as well as lack of inhibition by β-lactamase inhibitors.^{35,36}

This remains the most clinically important mechanism that drives the emergence of resistance among GNB worldwide and is involved in most of the nosocomial outbreaks recently.^{8,9}

Our results showed that *blaKPC* was the most predominant CR gene (63.5%) in accordance with a novel study conducted by Li and his colleagues,³⁷ followed by *blaOXA-48* 23 (55.7%), then *blaVIM* 10 (28.8%). However, *blaIMP* and *blaNDM* were not detected in any isolate. On the other hand, our findings were different from another study that was conducted in Zagazig hospital in Egypt, which reported that *blaOXA-23* was the most prevalent (90%) followed by, *blaNDM* (66.7%) then *blaGES* (50%) in CR *A. baumannii*, respectively.³⁸ However, genes coding for *blaVIM*, *blaGES*, *blaNDM* and *blaIMP* were identified in 50%, 40.9%, 27.3% and 18.2% of CR *P. aeruginosa*, respectively.³⁸ The difference between the respective study and our study could be attributed to other factors such as geographical and patient factors.

Interestingly, 21 (40.38%) out of 52 carbapenemase-positive isolates were found to co harbor 2 carbapenemase genes that were distributed as follows: 19 isolates co-harbored *blaKPC* and *blaOXA-48*, 1 isolate co-harbored *blaKPC* and *blaVIM* and 1 isolate co-harbored *blaOXA-48* and *blaVIM*. Moreover, 4 (7.69%) isolates co harbored 3 carbapenemase genes that were *blaKPC*, *blaOXA-48* and *blaVIM*. It was remarkably noticed that the *blaKPC* was

Table 3 MIC of Imipenem Against XDR GNB (n=52)

MIC Range µg/mL		Isolate Species	No. of Isolates
High level resistance (n= 41)	512- ≥1024	<i>E. coli</i> <i>Klebsiella</i> spp. <i>A. baumannii</i> <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	0 1 8 5
	64–256	<i>E. coli</i> <i>Klebsiella</i> spp. <i>A. baumannii</i> <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	2 10 10 5
Low level resistance (n= 11)	4–32	<i>E. coli</i> <i>Klebsiella</i> spp. <i>A. baumannii</i> <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	1 3 3 3
		<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	1

the most predominant gene found in most enterobacterial strains worldwide including Egypt as reported by many studies^{39–41} Although the presence of both *blaOXA-48* and *blaVIM-1* genes has been previously reported in Egypt in one *E. coli* isolate.⁴² This is the first report confirming the high rate of coexistence of the previously mentioned carbapenemases among Gram-negative bacterial isolates in Egypt. It was not astonishing that the combined disk test was not able to identify 8 *blaKPC*, 2 *blaVIM* and 11 *blaOXA-48* producing isolates as the latter has no available

specific inhibitors. Hence, CDT was not recommended for *blaOXA*-like gene detection.^{42,43} However, recent investigations are seeking for testing temocillin as a promising inhibitor for *blaOXA-48*.⁴⁴ Regarding mCIM, negative results were obtained with four isolates producing *blaKPC* and one isolate producing both *blaVIM* and *blaOXA-48*. This was due to the weak hydrolytic activity of *blaOXA-48* to meropenem as mentioned in some studies.^{45–47} Never the less, our study showed positive results with *blaOXA-48* producing isolates that were not usually identified by phenotypic approaches and this was similar to the results reported by Gauthier et al.⁴⁸ Fortunately, as discussed in other studies and according to our findings, almost all variants of carbapenemase genes managed to be rapidly detected with nearly 100% sensitivity via the blue-carba test.^{49,50}

On the other hand, according to our data 25% (n=13) of the positive phenotypically tested isolates showed negative results in all five tested primers and this could be attributed to the presence of other carbapenemase genes such as *blaSME*, *blaGES*, *blaOXA-181*, and *blaOXA-244* which were not tested in the current study. Another reason may be to the hyperproduction of ESBL and AmpC coding genes or deletion/mutation in the OmpF and OmpC coding genes.⁵¹ Although the PCR-based method has the inherited disadvantages of being expensive and unable to detect novel genes, they remain the classical and reliable methods for better correlation of results with detailed phenotypic methods that have been used only as a primary screening for detection of carbapenemase enzymes.^{52–54}

Table 4 Phenotypic Detection of Carbapenemase Producing of XDR GNB Isolates

Tested Isolate	Modified Carbapenem Inactivation Method (mCIM) ²		Blue-Carba Test (BCT) ³		Combined Disk Test (CDT) ⁴	
	Meropenem Disk		Imipenem Powder		Imipenem/EDTA (Class B)	
	No of Cpo*/Total No Tested	%	No of Cpo*/Total No Tested	%	No of Cpo*/Total No Tested	%
<i>E. coli</i>	3/3	100	3/3	100	2/3	66.7
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	14/14	100	14/14	100	8/14	57.1
<i>E. cloacae</i>	1/1	100	1/1	100	0/1	0
<i>A. baumannii</i>	18/21	85.7	21/21	100	12/21	57.1
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	12/13	92.3	12/13	92.3	4/13	30.8

Abbreviations: Cpo, carbapenemase-producing organism; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid.

Table 5 Summarization of Phenotypic and Genotypic Characters of the XDR GNB (n=52)

Nr	Isolate No.	Isolate	MIC Imipenem µg/mL	Combined Disk Test	Modified Carbapenem Inactivation Test	Blue-Carba Test	Carbapenemase Genes
1	55A	<i>E. coli</i>	64	-	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
2	84S	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	64	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
3	36M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	8	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
4	99	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	>1024	-	-	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
5	83	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	>1024	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
6	18	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	256	+	+	+	<i>blaVIM</i>
7	9G	<i>A. baumannii</i>	256	-	-	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
8	50	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	>512	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
9	30M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	>1024	+	+	-	<i>blaVIM</i>
10	78S	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	32	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
11	100	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	256	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
12	74M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	128	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
13	109A	<i>A. baumannii</i>	128	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
14	2*	<i>E. cloacae</i>	16	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i> , <i>blaVIM</i>
15	6M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	32	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
16	89S	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	64	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
17	81S	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	8	-	+	+	<i>blaVIM</i>
18	63M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	512	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
19	30G	<i>A. baumannii</i>	128	-	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i> , <i>blaVIM</i>
20	100M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	128	-	-	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
21	55M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	>1024	+	+	+	<i>blaVIM</i>
22	25	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	64	-	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
23	34E	<i>E. coli</i>	16	-	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
24	106S	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	64	-	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
25	28M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	>1024	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
26	4*	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	256	+	+	+	<i>blaVIM</i>
27	20G	<i>A. baumannii</i>	32	+	+	+	<i>blaVIM</i>
28	11*	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	265	+	+	+	<i>blaVIM</i>

(Continued)

Table 5 (Continued).

Nr	Isolate No.	Isolate	MIC Imipenem $\mu\text{g/mL}$	Combined Disk Test	Modified Carbapenem Inactivation Test	Blue-Carba Test	Carbapenemase Genes
29	7*	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	128	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
30	92A	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	64	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i> , <i>blaVIM</i>
31	19	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	512	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
32	7G	<i>A. baumannii</i>	256	+	-	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
33	42M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	>1024	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
34	13G	<i>A. baumannii</i>	>1024	+	-	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i> , <i>blaVIM</i>
35	77Y	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	16	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaVIM</i>
36	3M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	128	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
37	33	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	512	-	+	-	<i>blaKPC</i>
38	99A	<i>E. coli</i>	64	-	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
39	54	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	512	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
40	14M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	128	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
41	79A	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	512	+	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
42	37M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	512	+	+	+	<i>blaVIM</i>
43	9*	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	256	+	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
44	34M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	512	-	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
45	13M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	>1024	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
46	64M	<i>A. baumannii</i>	256	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i> , <i>blaVIM</i>
47	114S	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	128	+	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
48	111S	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	256	+	+	+	<i>blaKPC</i>
49	59	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	32	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i>
50	113S	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	32	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>
51	112S	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	16	+	-	+	<i>blaVIM</i>
52	51	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	256	-	+	+	<i>blaOXA-48</i> , <i>blaKPC</i>

Table 6 Association of MIC Level of Resistance and Carbapenemase Resistant Genes Among XDR GNB Isolates (n=52) and Statistically Significant Associations

MIC Resistance	Level	blaKPC		Total (n= 52)	Likelihood Ratio	Pearson Chi-Square
		Negative	Positive			
	N	6	5	11	0.222	0.217
	Y	12	29	41		
		blaOXA-48				
		Negative	Positive			
	N	5	6	11	0.927	0.927
	Y	19	22	41		
		blaVIM				
		Negative	Positive			
	N	8	3	11	0.346	0.325
	Y	32	9	41		

Abbreviations: N, low level resistance (n= 11); Y, high level resistance (n= 41).

Conclusion

In summary, this study shows the high prevalence of carbapenemase-encoding genes among XDR GNB in Egypt. Both *blaKPC* and *blaOXA-48* like were found to be the most predominant CR genes. All XDR GNB were CPs as confirmed by phenotypic and genotypic methods. The high prevalence of CPs in Egypt with the limited potential therapeutic options necessitates an extreme concern and immediate intervention with effective antimicrobial stewardship programs to reduce the burden of CR along with limiting the spread of this nightmare emerging threat.

Data Sharing Statement

All the data supporting the findings are included in the manuscript.

Ethical Clearance

The study was approved by the Faculty of Pharmacy Cairo University Ethical Committee Nr. MI (2418) in April, 2019. A written informed consent was obtained from wither the patients or parents of the patients after clarifying them with the purpose of the study which was carried out in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the microbiology laboratories of New Kasr El Aini and El Demerdash Hospitals, Cairo, Egypt for providing us with the clinical isolates. We would like to acknowledge the Department of Microbiology and

immunology, of both Faculty of pharmacy, Ain Shams University (ASU) and Ahram Candian University (ACU), Cairo, Egypt for providing support and facilities whenever needed. Also, I would like to acknowledge Miss Ann Elshamy, the assistant lecturer at the Microbiology and Immunology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University for providing help and support in performing PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis.

Funding

There is no funding to report.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests for this work.

References

1. Aslam B, Rasool M, Muzammil S, et al. Carbapenem resistance: mechanisms and drivers of global menace. In: *Pathogenic Bacteria*. IntechOpen; 2020. doi:10.5772/intechopen.90100
2. Elshamy AA, Aboshanab KM. A review on bacterial resistance to carbapenems: epidemiology, detection and treatment options. *Future Sci OA*. 2020;6(3):FSO438. doi:10.2144/fsoa-2019-0098
3. Biswas S, Bhat V, Kelkar R. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: a serious concern in cancer patients. *Access Microbiology*. 2020;2(1):3. doi:10.1099/acmi.mim2019.po0008
4. Farhan SM, Ibrahim RA, Mahran KM, Hetta HF, Abd El-Baky RM. Antimicrobial resistance pattern and molecular genetic distribution of metallo- β -lactamases producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from hospitals in Minia, Egypt. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2019;12:2125. doi:10.2147/IDR.S198373

5. Roberts RM, Bartoces M, Thompson SE, Hicks LA. Antibiotic prescribing by general dentists in the United States, 2013. *J Am Dent Assoc.* **2017**;148(3):172–178. doi:10.1016/j.adaj.2016.11.020
6. Garg A, Garg J, Kumar S, Bhattacharya A, Agarwal S, Upadhyay GC. Molecular epidemiology & therapeutic options of carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. *Indian J Med Res.* **2019**;149(2):285. doi:10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_36_18
7. Le L, Tran LK, Le-Ha TD, et al. Coexistence of plasmid-mediated mcr-1 and blaNDM-4 genes in a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical strain in Vietnam. *Infect Drug Resist.* **2019**;12:3703. doi:10.2147/IDR.S226612
8. Nordmann P, Gniadkowski M, Giske CG, et al. Identification and screening of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* **2012**;18(5):432–438. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03815.x
9. Dortet L, Cuzon G, Ponties V, Nordmann P. Trends in carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae, France, 2012 to 2014. *Eurosurveillance.* **2017**;22(6):30461. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.6.30461
10. Boutal H, Vogel A, Bernabeu S, et al. A multiplex lateral flow immunoassay for the rapid identification of NDM-, KPC-, IMP- and VIM-type and OXA-48-like carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* **2018**;73(4):909–915. doi:10.1093/jac/dkx521
11. Xin C, Xia Z, Li G. The impact of pharmaceutical interventions on the use of carbapenems in a Chinese hospital: a pre–post study. *Infect Drug Resist.* **2019**;12:3567. doi:10.2147/IDR.S229009
12. Holt JG, Krieg NR, Sneath PH, Staley JT, Williams ST. The methanogens. In: Holt JG, Krieg NR, Sneath PHA, Staley JT, Williams ST, editors. *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology.* **1994**:719–736.
13. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* **2012**;18:268–281. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
14. CLSI (2019). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.* 29th ed. CLSI supplement M100S. Wayne, PA: Clinical and laboratory standards institute. CLSI [Internet]; **2019**. Available from: https://clsi.org/media/2663/m100ed29_sample.pdf.
15. Yong D, Lee K, Yum JH, Shin HB, Rossolini GM, Chong Y. Imipenem-EDTA disk method for differentiation of metallo- β -lactamase-producing clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp. *J Clin Microbiol.* **2002**;40(10):3798–3801. doi:10.1128/JCM.40.10.3798-3801.2002
16. Pires J, Novais A, Peixe L. Blue-carba, an easy biochemical test for detection of diverse carbapenemase producers directly from bacterial cultures. *J Clin Microbiol.* **2013**;51(12):4281–4283. doi:10.1128/JCM.01634-13
17. Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Tenover RH, Morgan DR. Manual of clinical microbiology (6th edn). *Trends Microbiol.* **1995**;3(11):449.
18. Nordmann P, Naas T, Poirel L. Global spread of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Emerg Infect Dis.* **2011**;17(10):1791. doi:10.3201/eid1710.110655
19. Poirel L, Hervé V, Hombrouck-Alet C, Nordmann P. Long-term carriage of NDM-1-producing *Escherichia coli*. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* **2011**;66(9):2185–2186. doi:10.1093/jac/dkr236
20. Benmahmod AB, Said HS, Ibrahim RH. Prevalence and mechanisms of carbapenem resistance among *Acinetobacter baumannii* clinical isolates in Egypt. *Microb Drug Resist.* **2019**;25(4):480–488. doi:10.1089/mdr.2018.0141
21. Feldman C, Shaddock E. Epidemiology of lower respiratory tract infections in adults. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* **2019**;13(1):63–77. doi:10.1080/17476348.2019.1555040
22. da Silveira F, Nedel WL, Cassol R, Pereira PR, Deutschendorf C, Lisboa T. *Acinetobacter* etiology respiratory tract infections associated with mechanical ventilation: what impacts on the prognosis? A retrospective cohort study. *J Crit Care.* **2019**;49:124–128. doi:10.1016/j.jcrc.2018.10.034
23. Chaturvedi R, Chandra P, Mittal V. Biofilm formation by *Acinetobacter* spp. in association with antibiotic resistance in clinical samples obtained from tertiary care hospital. *Res J Pharm Technol.* **2019**;12(8):3737–3742. doi:10.5958/0974-360X.2019.00620.6
24. Montefour K, Frieden J, Hurst S, et al. *Acinetobacter baumannii*: an emerging multidrug-resistant pathogen in critical care. *Crit Care Nurse.* **2008**;28(1):15–25. doi:DOI.10.4037/ccn2008.28.1.15
25. Zhao D, Zuo Y, Wang Z, Li J. Characterize carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates for nosocomial pneumonia and their Gram-negative bacteria neighbors in the respiratory tract. *Mol Biol Rep.* **2019**;46(1):609–616. doi:10.1007/s11033-018-4515-y
26. Tohamy ST, Aboshanab KM, El-Mahallawy HA, El-Ansary MR, Afifi SS. Prevalence of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens isolated from febrile neutropenic cancer patients with bloodstream infections in Egypt and new synergistic antibiotic combinations. *Infect Drug Resist.* **2018**;11:791. doi:10.2147/IDR.S163293
27. Kamel NA, El-Tayeb WN, El-Ansary MR, Mansour MT, Aboshanab KM. Phenotypic screening and molecular characterization of carbapenemase-producing Gram-negative bacilli recovered from febrile neutropenic pediatric cancer patients in Egypt. *PLoS One.* **2018**;13(8):e0202119. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0202119
28. Rakhi NN, Alam AR, Sultana M, Rahaman MM, Hossain MA. Diversity of carbapenemases in clinical isolates: the emergence of blaVIM-5 in Bangladesh. *J Infect Chemother.* **2019**;25(6):444–451. doi:10.1016/j.jiac.2019.01.010
29. Bayraktar B, Barış A, Malkoçoğlu G, Erdemir D, Kına N. Comparison of Carba NP-direct, carbapenem inactivation method, and β -CARBA tests for detection of carbapenemase production in Enterobacteriaceae. *Microb Drug Resist.* **2019**;25(1):97–102. doi:10.1089/mdr.2017.0427
30. Cordeiro-Moura JR, Fehlberg LC, Nodari CS, et al. Performance of distinct phenotypic methods for carbapenemase detection: the influence of culture media. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* **2020**;96(1):114912. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2019.114912
31. Takano C, Seki M, Kim DW, et al. Development of a novel loop-mediated isothermal amplification method to detect *Guiana extended-spectrum (GES)* β -lactamase genes in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Front Microbiol.* **2019**;10:25. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00025
32. Queenan AM, Bush K. Carbapenemases: the versatile β -lactamases. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* **2007**;20(3):440–458. doi:10.1128/CMR.00001-07
33. Logan LK, Weinstein RA. The epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: the impact and evolution of a global menace. *J Infect Dis.* **2017**;215(suppl_1):S28–36. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiw282
34. Poirel L, Potron A, Nordmann P. OXA-48-like carbapenemases: the phantom menace. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* **2012**;67(7):1597–1606. doi:10.1093/jac/dks121
35. Tzouvelekis LS, Markogiannakis A, Psychogiou M, Tassios PT, Daikos GL. Carbapenemases in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and other Enterobacteriaceae: an evolving crisis of global dimensions. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* **2012**;25(4):682–707. doi:10.1128/CMR.05035-11
36. Majiduddin FK, Materon IC, Palzkill TG. Molecular analysis of beta-lactamase structure and function. *Int J Med Microbiol.* **2002**;292(2):127. doi:10.1078/1438-4221-00198
37. Li J, Li C, Cai X, et al. Performance of modified carbapenem inactivation method and inhibitor-based combined disk test in the detection and distinguishing of carbapenemase producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Ann Transl Med.* **2019**;7(20):566. doi:10.21037/atm.2019.09.43

38. Ramadan RA, Gebriel MG, Kadry HM, Mosallem A. Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: characterization of carbapenemase genes and E-test evaluation of colistin-based combinations. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2018;11:1261. doi:10.2147/IDR.S170233
39. Abdulall AK, Tawfik MM, El Manakhly AR, El Kholy A. Carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria associated with catheter-related bloodstream infections in three intensive care units in Egypt. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2018;37(9):1647–1652. doi:10.1007/s10096-018-3294-7
40. Papadimitriou-Oliveris M, Bartzavali C, Lambropoulou A, et al. Reversal of carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* epidemiology from bla KPC-to bla VIM-harboring isolates in a Greek ICU after introduction of ceftazidime/avibactam. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2019;74(7):2051–2054. doi:10.1093/jac/dkz125
41. Stoesser N, Phan HT, Seale AC, et al. Genomic epidemiology of complex, multispecies, plasmid-borne bla KPC carbapenemase in Enterobacteriales in the United Kingdom from 2009 to 2014. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020;64(5). doi:10.1128/AAC.02244-19
42. Abdelaziz MO, Bonura C, Aleo A, Fasciana T, Mammina C. NDM-1-and OXA-163-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates in Cairo, Egypt, 2012. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist*. 2013;1(4):213–215. doi:10.1016/j.jgar.2013.06.003
43. Aguirre-Quinonero A, Martínez-Martínez L. Non-molecular detection of carbapenemases in Enterobacteriaceae clinical isolates. *J Infect Chemother*. 2017;23(1):1. doi:10.1016/j.jiac.2016.09.008
44. Koroska F, Göttig S, Kaase M, et al. Comparison of phenotypic tests and an immunochromatographic assay and development of a new algorithm for detection of OXA-48-like carbapenemases. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2017;55(3):877–883. doi:10.1128/JCM.01929-16
45. Potron A, Poiriel L, Rondinaud E, Nordmann P. Intercontinental spread of OXA-48 beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae over a 11-year period, 2001 to 2011. *Eurosurveillance*. 2013;18(31):20549. doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES2013.18.31.20549
46. Evans BA, Amyes SG. OXA β -lactamases. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2014;27(2):241–263. doi:10.1128/CMR.00117-13
47. Tamma PD, Opene BN, Gluck A, Chambers KK, Carroll KC, Simmer PJ. Comparison of 11 phenotypic assays for accurate detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2017;55(4):1046–1055. doi:10.1128/JCM.02338-16
48. Gauthier L, Bonnin RA, Dortet L, Naas T. Retrospective and prospective evaluation of the carbapenem inactivation method for the detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0170769. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170769
49. Pasteran F, Veliz O, Ceriana P, et al. Evaluation of the Blue-Carba test for rapid detection of carbapenemases in gram-negative bacilli. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2015;53(6):1996–1998. doi:10.1128/JCM.03026-14
50. Pires J, Tinguely R, Thomas B, Luzzaro F, Endimiani A. Comparison of the in-house made Carba-NP and Blue-Carba tests: considerations for better detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *J Microbiol Methods*. 2016;122:33–37. doi:10.1016/j.mimet.2016.01.002
51. Flury BB, Ellington MJ, Hopkins KL, et al. Association of novel nonsynonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms in ampD with cephalosporin resistance and phylogenetic variations in ampC, ampR, ompF, and ompC in *Enterobacter cloacae* isolates that are highly resistant to carbapenems. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60(4):2383–2390. doi:10.1128/AAC.02835-15
52. Lari AR, Azimi L, Rahbar M, Alaghebandan R, Sattarzadeh-Tabrizi M. First report of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from burn patients in Iran: phenotypic and genotypic methods. *GMS Hyg Infect Control*. 2014;9(1). doi:10.3205/dgkh000226
53. Azimi L, Talebi M, Pourshafie MR, Owlia P, Lari AR. Characterization of carbapenemases in extensively drug resistance *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a burn care center in Iran. *Int J Mol Cell Med*. 2015;4(1):46.
54. Chavda KD, Satlin MJ, Chen L, et al. Evaluation of a multiplex PCR assay to rapidly detect Enterobacteriaceae with a broad range of β -lactamases directly from perianal swabs. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60(11):6957–6961. doi:10.1128/AAC.01458-16

Infection and Drug Resistance

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of

antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal>