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Purpose: Although $E G F R$-mutated patients generally do not benefit from checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), some patients in the KEYNOTE-001 study consistently benefited from this treatment. This study investigated immune microenvironment characteristics to identify the subgroup of patients that may benefit from ICIs.
Materials and Methods: Using data from The Cancer Genome Atlas Program (TCGA) and Cancer Proteome Atlas, TMB and protein level of PD-L1 were explored in the patients with $E G F R$ mutations and wild-type patients. Different patterns of $E G F R$ mutations (according to EGFR co-mutation with different downstream pathway genesets) were used to group $E G F R$ mutation population. Estimated infiltration analyses were used to explore changes in the immune microenvironment.
Results: This study analyzed somatic mutation data from 1287 patients from five cohorts (TCGA, Broad, The Tumour Sequencing Project, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer database). The probability of EGFR mutation was approximately $14.30 \%$ (184/1287) and the co-mutation rate was $11.41 \%(21 / 184)$ in patients with $E G F R$ mutations. Glycosaminoglycan-related pathways were significantly upregulated in the $E G F R$ mutant group. $E G F R$-mutated patients had lower TMB and PDL1 protein levels than those in wild-type patients. Increase immature DCs infiltration and decreased NK CD56dim, T gamma delta, cytotoxic, and Th2 cell infiltration were the main immune changes in $E G F R$-mutated patients. Patients with $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutations had higher levels of TMB and PD-L1 protein expression. Meanwhile, the co-mutated patients had a similar immune microenvironment as that in wild-type patients.
Conclusion: In this study, we defined a subgroup of patients with $E G F R$-MAPK comutations. These co-mutated patients may benefit from ICI treatment.
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## Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related morbidity and mortality. ${ }^{1}$ Nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for approximately $80 \%$ of all lung cancers and mainly comprises lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and lung squamous carcinoma (LUSC). Epidermal growth factor receptor ( $E G F R$ ) mutations are common in LUAD. The incidence of $E G F R$ mutations in LUAD is as low as $12 \%$, while in the Asia-Pacific region, the prevalence is approximately $50 \%{ }^{2}$ The firstgeneration EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) erlotinib has shown remarkable efficacy in the treatment of NSCLC, opening the door to a generation of driver gene-positive targeted therapies. ${ }^{3}$ However, drug resistance is the primary challenge
of EGFR-TKIs, with acquired resistance occurring in almost all patients within approximately one year. ${ }^{4}$ While immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) significantly improved the survival of patients with NSCLC, they did not provide benefits to patients with $E G F R$ mutations. ${ }^{5}$

Thus, $E G F R$-mutated LUAD faces two dilemmas: targeted therapy resistance and ineffective immunotherapy. EGFR-TKI cannot prevent downstream signal-mediated resistance mechanisms. ${ }^{6}$ EGFR mutations combined with downstream pathway mutations downregulated the efficacy of EGFR-TKI, ${ }^{7}$ suggesting the vital role of $E G F R$ downstream signal transduction in the therapeutic effects in $E G F R$-mutated LUAD. Although NSCLC patients with $E G F R$ mutations do not generally benefit from ICIs, some $E G F R$-mutated patients in the KEYNOTE-001 study benefited from immunotherapy. ${ }^{8}$ Multiple $E G F R$ mutations subtypes have been reported, which may affect TKI treatment. ${ }^{9}$ Thus, the $E G F R$ mutation masked a group of people who benefited from ICI treatment due to $E G F R$ mutation heterogeneity.

The heterogeneity of $E G F R$ mutations has gradually revealed in recent years. The tumor mutation burden (TMB) was first used as a valid predictor of ICI benefit. ${ }^{10}$ Studies have shown a lower $\mathrm{TMB}^{11}$ and the programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) tumor proportion score (TPS) in NSCLC patients with $E G F R$ mutations, suggesting that $E G F R$-mutated patients are not suitable for ICI therapy. ${ }^{12}$ Furthermore, the tumor immune microenvironment is closely related to the response to ICI therapy. ${ }^{13}$ An immunosuppressive microenvironment could partially explain ICI ineffectiveness. The proportion of PD-L1+/CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in $E G F R$-mutated NSCLC was reportedly lower than that in wild-type NSCLC; therefore, patients with $E G F R$ mutations could not benefit from ICI. ${ }^{14}$ However, patients with L858R had a higher TMB than those with exon 19 deletions; thus, patients with L858R might benefit more from ICI. ${ }^{15,16}$ Furthermore, Wu et al reported disease control in a patient with $E G F R$ and $K R A S$ mutations after treatment with erlotinib, bevacizumab, and nivolumab, ${ }^{17}$ suggesting that $E G F R$ mutations could benefit from ICI therapy.

The massive data resources of The Cancer Genome Atlas Program (TCGA), the Cancer Proteome Atlas (TCPA), ${ }^{18}$ cBioPortal, ${ }^{19,20}$ and Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer (COSMIC) database ${ }^{21}$ were used to explore whether patients with $E G F R$ mutations could benefit from ICI treatment. Furthermore, the Reactome ${ }^{22}$ or Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) ${ }^{23}$
database provided a detailed overview of disease pathways. This study sought to identify potential beneficiaries of ICI therapy and elucidate possible mechanisms based on an exploration of mutation patterns between $E G F R$ and its downstream pathway genesets. This study investigated the characteristics of the immune microenvironment in $E G F R$-mutant patients by analyzing PD-L1 protein level, TMB, and tumor immune infiltrate. Based on the analysis above, we investigated whether patients with $E G F R$ mutations might benefit from immunotherapy.

## Materials and Methods <br> Lung Adenocarcinoma Somatic Variants Data Acquisition

In the TCGA database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/), somatic mutation data of 567 lung adenocarcinoma (TCGALUAD) were downloaded in the "mutect2" pipeline by TCGAbiolinks (October 2019). It was worth mentation that mutations classification with "silent", " 3 'UTR", " 3 'Flank", " 5 'UTR", " 5 'Flank", "intron", "IGR (intergenic region)", "RNA", and "Target_region" were not described as mutant type (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/vign ettes/ELMER/inst/doc/pipe.html). Since "Splice_Region" can occur in an exon region, "Splice_Region" was treated as a mutant type. TCGA somatic variants were identified by whole-exome sequencing (WES) and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data.

In cBioPortal, ${ }^{19,20}$ Broad-Lung Adenocarcinoma (Broad, Cell 2012) ${ }^{24}$ somatic mutation data were obtained from 183 patients with a combination of whole-exome sequencing (WES) or whole-genome sequencing (WGS). TSP-Lung Adenocarcinoma (The Tumour Sequencing Project (TSP), Nature 2008) ${ }^{25}$ somatic mutation data were obtained from 163 lung adenocarcinoma using targeted sequencing. MSKCC-Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC), J Clin Oncol 2018) ${ }^{26}$ somatic mutation data were obtained from 240 NSCLC patients using IMPACT sequencing, and 186 lung adenocarcinoma patients were selected for fol-low-up analysis.

In the COSMIC database, ${ }^{21} E G F R, G R B 2, S O S 1$, SOS2, HRAS, KRAS, NRAS, ARAF, RAF1, BRAF, MAP2K1, MAP2K2, MAPK1, MAPK3, and CCND1 somatic mutation data of lung cancer, including negative and positive mutation results, were downloaded (COSMIC v90, released 05-SEP-19) (https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cos
$\underline{\text { mic }}$. And 188 patients with mutation data of these fifteen genes were used to follow-up analysis.

## The Cancer Genome Atlas Lung Adenocarcinoma Data Acquisition

The Cancer Genome Atlas Lung Adenocarcinoma (TCGALUAD) RNA-seq data (including read counts and fragments per kilobase of exon model per million reads mapped (FPKM)) of 533 primary tumors were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://portal.gdc.can cer.gov/) by TCGAbiolinks ${ }^{27}$ in R (October 2019). Moreover, RNA-seq data were annotated by GENCODE v22 (https://www.gencodegenes.org/human/release_22. html). Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) Level 4 Reverse phase protein array (RPPA) data of 362 primary tumors were downloaded from the Cancer Proteome Atlas (TCPA) ${ }^{18}$ (https://www.tcpaportal.org/tcpa/download. $\underline{\mathrm{html}}$ ). Only 529 LUAD RNA-seq data and 358 LUAD RPPA data with mutation data were used to follow-up analysis. The corresponding LUAD clinical data were also downloaded by TCGAbiolinks ${ }^{27}$ in R (October 2019).

## Estimation of Tumor Mutation Burden

For this study, TCGA-LUAD mutation data were then processed by "maftools" ${ }^{28}$ in R. By using "variants.per.sample" function in "maftools" R package, the total number of nonsynonymous mutations for each sample can be roughly calculated. TCGA used the Genome Reference Consortium (GRCh38) reference genome, so we used 35 Mb as the estimate of the exome size. Lung Adenocarcinoma (Broad, Cell 2012) $)^{24}$ somatic data was used 36.6 Mb as the estimate of the exome size. TMB = variants.per.sample/exome size (mut/MB). Data from the MSKCC cohort included a calculated "mutation rate" that was used to measure the TMB levels of each sample. Via "maftools" R package, the TSP cohort data used the number of variants per sample to represent the TMB level of each sample.

## Estimation of Tumor Immune Infiltrates

Tumor IMmune Estimation Resource (TIMER, https://cis trome.shinyapps.io/timer/() ${ }^{29,30}$ was a comprehensive database of immune infiltrates. Via TIMER, we obtained a relationship between $E G F R$ mutation and the abundance of immune infiltrates (B Cell, CD8+ T Cell, CD4+ T Cell, Macrophage, Neutrophil, Dendritic Cell).

Single sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) could also estimate tumor immune infiltrates. Via the
"GSVA" R package, ${ }^{31}$ we estimated the tumor immune infiltrates of TCGA-LUAD using the markers of 24 kinds of immune cells (Dendritic cell [DC], activated DCs [aDC], plasmacytoid DCs [pDC], immature DCs [iDC], B cells, CD8 T cells, cytotoxic cells, Eosinophils, Macrophages, Mast cells, Neutrophils, NK CD56bright cells, NK CD56dim cells, NK cells, T cells, T helper [Th] cells, Th1 cells, Th17 cells, Th2 cells, T central memory cell (Tcm), T effector memory cell [Tem], T follicular helper cell [TFH], T gamma delta [Tgd], regulatory T cell [TReg]) in Bindea et al study. ${ }^{32}$ For ssGSEA analysis, we converted the TCGA-LUAD counts data to transcripts per million (TPM) data.

## Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) could determine whether the two physiological states showed statistically consistent differences. ${ }^{33,34}$ GSEA analysis was performed using 529 LUAD-FPKM data in GSEA_4.0.2. Pathways in Reactome ${ }^{22}$ or Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) ${ }^{23}$ database with p -value $<0.05$, the false discovery rate $(\mathrm{FDR})<0.25$, and the normalized enrichment score (NES) $>1.5$ were considered as the critical pathways. In the $E G F R$ mutation group, the key genes of the significant pathways were defined as the contribution genes before the enrichment score (ES) reached the peak.

## EGFR Mutation Subgroup Analysis

Based on the KEGG database, the NSCLC pathway (hsa05223 Non-small cell lung cancer) was used to identify EGFR-related downstream pathways (https://www.gen ome.jp/dbget-bin/www bget?hsa05223). There were three pathways (29 genes): 1) MAPK signaling pathway (14 genes), 2) Calcium signaling pathway (5 genes), 3) the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway ( 10 genes). In this study, comutation group was defined as an $E G F R$ mutation with at least one gene mutation of EGFR-related downstream pathways. Patients with $E G F R$ mutations not accompanied by gene mutations of the downstream pathway were assigned to the $E G F R$-mutated group, and other patients were assigned to the wild-type group (WT). According to the above grouping, both three $E G F R$-related downstream genesets and all genesets were analyzed. Then, the best grouping criteria were selected for follow-up analysis. Both $E G F R$ mutation traits and co-mutation distributions were plotted as snake graphs by "ggalluvial" R package.

## Upset Plot for Five Cohorts

To demonstrate the distribution of 15 gene mutations in the $E G F R$ and downstream MAPK signaling pathways, "UpSetR" was used to plot upset diagrams.

## Progression-Free Survival Analysis in Checkpoint Inhibitor Treatment

Clinical data from the MSKCC cohort ${ }^{26}$ treated with anti-PD-(L)1-based therapy was analyzed to investigate whether co-mutated patients could benefit from ICI treatment. To reduce the effect of combination therapy as well as a history of multiple lines of therapy, only patients (108/ 186) who were in the first- and second-line monotherapy group were included in this analysis. Furthermore, the Kaplan-Meier plot of progression-free survival was plotted by "survival" and "survminer" R packages.

## Statistical Analysis

The violin diagrams were plotted by "ggstatsplot" in R. For all statistical analysis, the p-value $<0.05$ was determined to be significant. Mann-Whitney $U$-test was used when the number of groups compared $=2$. Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA was used when the number of groups compared $>2$, and the Dwass-Steel-Crichtlow-Fligner test was used for pairwise comparisons. The holm test was used to correct for p -values of multiple comparisons.

## Results

## Generally Lower TMB and PD-LI Protein

 Expression in Patients with EGFR MutationsThe TCGA-LUAD patients included $67 E G F R$-mutated patients, corresponding to a total $E G F R$ mutation site frequency of 79 . The most common sites of $E G F R$ mutation were on exons 18-21 (64/79), mainly L858R mutation and exon 19 deletions (Figure S1). The results showed that TMB (EGFR-mutated vs wild-type [WT] [mean] [mut/ $\mathrm{Mb}]: 5.1$ vs $6.88, \mathrm{p}=<0.001$ ) and PD-L1 protein ( $E G F R-$ mutated vs WT [mean]: 0.01 vs $0.17, \mathrm{p}=0.009$ ) levels in $E G F R$-mutated patients were significantly lower than those in $E G F R$-WT patients (Figure 1A and B). The Broad's dataset also showed lower TMB levels in patients with $E G F R$ mutations ( $E G F R$-mutated vs WT [mean] [mut/Mb]: 3.44 vs $7.7, \mathrm{p}=0.001$ ) (Figure S2A). Although the result of two large panel next-generation sequencing (NGS) (MSK and TSP cohort) did not show significant
differences, the median TMB was lower in patients with $E G F R$ mutations (Figure S2B, S2C).

## The Immunosuppressive Microenvironment of LUAD Patients with EGFR Mutation

To investigate the effect of $E G F R$ mutations on the immune microenvironment of LUAD, we used TIMER and ssGSEA to estimate the tissue's immune infiltrates. A higher degree of dendritic cells (DC) $(0.001 \leq \mathrm{p}$-value $<0.01)$ and B cells ( $0.01 \leq \mathrm{p}$-value $<0.05$ ) infiltrating in $E G F R$-mutated patients were identified in the TIMER database (Figure S3). ssGSEA analysis showed that $\mathrm{DC}(\mathrm{p}$-value $=0.026)$, mast cells ( p -value $=0.048$ ), and T follicular helper (TFH) cells ( p -value $=0.026$ ) were significantly upregulated in patients with $E G F R$ mutations. In particular, immature dendritic cells $(\mathrm{iDC})(\mathrm{p}$-value $=0.004)$ showed significantly infiltrated in the $E G F R$-mutated population whereas NK CD56dim ( p -value $=0.009$ ), T helper $2(\mathrm{Th} 2)(\mathrm{p}$-value $=0.002)$, T gamma delta $(\mathrm{Tgd})(\mathrm{p}$-value $=0.001)$, and cytotoxic cells (p-value $=0.017$ ) were downregulated in patients with $E G F R$ mutations (Figure S4).

## Functional Enrichment of LUAD Patients with EGFR Mutation

We performed GSEA enrichment analysis to investigate the effect of $E G F R$ mutations on signaling pathway. The results showed that the genes related to glycosaminoglycan metabolism ("REACTOME HS GAG DEGRADATION", "REACTOME HEPARAN SULFATE HEPARIN HS GAG METABOLISM", "REACTOME A TETRASACCHARIDE LINKER SEQUENCE IS REQUIRED FOR GAG SYNTHESIS", "REACTOME CHONDROITIN SULFATE DERMATAN SULFATE METABOLISM", "REACTOME GLYCOSAMINOGLYCAN METABOLISM"), diseases of glycosylation ("REACTOME DISEASES ASSOCIATED WITH GLYCOSAMINOGLYCAN METABOLISM", "REACTOME DEFECTIVE B4GALT7 CAUSES EDS PROGEROID TYPE"), and receptor tyrosine kinases signaling ("REACTOME PHOSPHOLIPASE C MEDIATED CASCADE FGFR2") were generally over-expressed in patients with $E G F R$-mutated LUAD (Figure 2A). Seven genes were identified by intersecting key genes in seven GAG-related pathways, with syndecan-2 (SDC2) showing significant downregulation in the $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutated patients (Figure S5A-G)


Figure I TMB and PD-LI Protein Levels in Different Subtypes of Lung Adenocarcinoma. (A) showed TMB levels in patients with EGFR-mutated and wild-type patients. (B) showed PD-LI protein expression levels in patients with EGFR-mutated and wild-type patients. (C) showed TMB levels in different subtypes. (D) showed PD-LI protein expression levels in different subtypes.
Abbreviations: TMB, tumor mutation burden; PD-LI, programmed death-ligand I; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; EGFR_Mut_all, all EGFR-mutated patients; Co_Mut, EGFRMAPK co-mutated patients; EGFR_Mut, EGFR-mutated patients; WT, wild-type patients.
A
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| NES | FDR q-val |  | Pathway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.630182 | 0.20785452 | - | KEGG_ADH |
| 1.7127528 | 0.16687042 | - | KEGG_B_C |
| 1.9488478 | 0.2296721 | $\bigcirc$ | KEGG_CHR |
| 1.7198219 | 0.1749598 | $\bigcirc$ | KEGG_DOR |
| 1.7320795 | 0.20568039 | - | KEGG_ERB |
| 1.7241307 | 0.1907425 | - | KEGG_FC |
| 1.6274834 | 0.19702265 | $\bigcirc$ | KEGG_GLIO |
| 1.676785 | 0.18052788 | - | KEGG_INO |
| 1.5760223 | 0.22725037 | $\bigcirc$ | KEGG_LON |
| 1.5872635 | 0.23539896 | $\bigcirc$ | KEGG_MTOR |
| 1.5779363 | 0.237196 | - | KEGG_NON |
| 1.7501624 | 0.20845164 | - | KEGG_PAN |
| 1.6529293 | 0.18779275 | - | KEGG_PHO |
| 1.6885483 | 0.18101825 | $\bigcirc$ | KEGG_REN |
| 1.6583925 | 0.1924455 | $\bigcirc$ | KEGG_T_C |
| 1.7520398 | 0.24749427 | $\bigcirc$ | KEGG_TYPE |

Figure 2 Enrichment Analysis of Different EGFR Mutation Types. (A) showed Reactome pathways that are significantly related to EGFR mutations. (B) showed KEGG pathways that are significantly associated with EGFR-MAPK co-mutation. Pathways with p-value<0.05, FDR $<0.25$, and NES $>1.5$ were shown. Different colors represented different signal pathways.
Abbreviations: KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; FDR, the false discovery rate; NES, the normalized enrichment score.

## Heterogeneity in Patients with EGFR Mutations

While the TMB level of $E G F R$-mutated patients was generally low, there was still heterogeneity in this population. To investigate the heterogeneity, we first chose the most common mutation sites (exon 18-21), which did not significantly differ from each other (TCGA: $\mathrm{p}=0.623$; Broad: $\mathrm{p}=0.528$ ) (Figure S6A and B); secondly, EGFR was involved in the development of NSCLC through its downstream pathway (Figure S7). The TMB and PD-L1 protein levels of patients with MAPK signaling geneset mutations were similar to those of wild-type
patients (Figure S8A and B). The results showed that patients with $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutations have high TMB and high PD-L1 protein levels compared to other EGFR co-mutated patterns (Figure 1C and D, Figure S9A - F). Meanwhile, data from the Broad ( $\mathrm{p}=<0.001$ ), MSKCC ( $\mathrm{p}=0.043$ ), and TSP $(\mathrm{p}=0.006)$ cohorts confirmed higher TMB levels in patients with EGFR-MAPK co-mutations (Figure S2D - F). Furthermore, patients with L858R mutation were more likely to have co-mutation compared to those with exon 19 deletions (Exon 19 deletion vs L858R: 4.76\% [1/21] vs 19.05\% [4/21]) (Figure 3).
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Figure 3 Characteristics of EGFR Mutation Sites in Patients with EGFR-MAPK Co-mutation. On the left, barcodes for patients with EGFR-MAPK co-mutation in different cohorts (TCGA, Broad, MSKCC, TSP) was shown; the EGFR mutation sites were shown in the middle and the variant classification on the right.
Abbreviations: TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas Program; MSKCC, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; TSP, The Tumour Sequencing Project.

## Probability of EGFR-MAPK Co-Mutation

Data from 1287 patients with LUAD from the TCGA, Broad, MSKCC, TSP, and COSMIC cohorts were used to assess the probability of $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutation. The basic characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. These five
datasets had similar $E G F R$ mutation rates (11.82-18.40\%). In the mutation data of TCGA-LUAD, Broad, MSKCC, TSP, and COSMIC, the probability of patients with $E G F R$ MAPK co-mutation was $16.42 \%$ (11/67), 9.38\% (3/32), $12.00 \%(3 / 25), 6.67 \%(2 / 30)$ and $6.67 \%(2 / 30)$, respectively

Table I Patient Baseline Disease Characteristics

| Cohort | TCGA |  | Broad ${ }^{24}$ |  | MSKCC ${ }^{26}$ |  | TSP ${ }^{25}$ |  | COSMIC |  | Total**** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Samples | ( $\mathrm{n}=567$ ) |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=183$ ) |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=186$ ) |  | ( $n=163$ ) |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=188$ ) |  | ( $\mathrm{n}=1287$ ) |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 276 | (48.68\%) | 88 | (48.09\%) | 103 | (55.38\%) | NA |  | NA |  | 467 | (36.29\%) |
| Male | 239 | (42.15\%) | 95 | (51.91\%) | 83 | (44.62\%) | NA |  | NA |  | 417 | (32.40\%) |
| Unknow | 52 | (9.17\%) | 0 | (0.00\%) | 0 | (0.00\%) | NA |  | NA |  | 52 | (4.04\%) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean( $\pm$ SD)* | $65.32 \pm 10.01$ |  | $65.36 \pm 10.79$ |  | $64.35 \pm 11.64$ |  | NA |  | NA |  | $65.01 \pm 10.81$ |  |
| Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-advanced stage | 476 | (83.95\%) | 144 | (78.69\%) | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | 620 | (48.17\%) |
| Advanced stage** | 37 | (6.53\%) | 14 | (7.65\%) | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | 51 | (3.96\%) |
|  |  | (9.52\%) |  | (13.66\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | (6.14\%) |
| EGFR status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mutant | 67 | ( $11.82 \%$ ) | 32 | (17.49\%) | 25 | (13.44\%) | 30 | (18.40\%) | 30 | (15.96\%) | 184 | (14.30\%) |
| Wild-Type | 500 | (88.18\%) | 151 | (82.51\%) | 161 | (86.56\%) | 133 | (81.60\%) | 158 | (84.04\%) | 1103 | (85.70\%) |
| Co-mutated*** | 11 | (16.42\%) | 3 | (9.38\%) | 3 | (12.00\%) | 2 | (6.67\%) | 2 | (6.67\%) | 21 | (11.41\%) |

Notes: *Age data loss: 7 I patients in TCGA cohort, I4 patients in Broad cohort. **Non-advanced stage included Stage I- Stage IIIA. Advanced stage included Stage IIIB and IV. ***Co-mutation subgroups accounted for the proportion of patients with EGFR mutations. ****Computable data aggregation only.

Abbreviations: TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas Program; MSKCC, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; TSP, The Tumour Sequencing Project; COSMIC, Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer.
(Figure 4A-E). The probability of $E G F R$-mutation was about $14.30 \%$ (11.82-18.40\%), and EGFR-MAPK co-mutated patients accounted for approximately $11.41 \%$ (6.67-16.42\%) of $E G F R$-mutated patients (Table 1).

## More Favorable Immune Microenvironment in Patients with EGFR-MAPK Co-Mutation

To further understand the changes in the immune microenvironment caused by $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutation, we compared the abundance of immune infiltrates among different mutation types. The different mutation types had distinct distributions of DC ( p -value $=0.043$ ), iDC ( p -value $=$ 0.012 ), NK CD56dim cells ( $p$-value $=0.011$ ), NK cells $(p$-value $=0.019)$, Th2 cells $(p$-value $=0.002)$, Tgd cells ( p -value $=0.002$ ), and cytotoxic cells $(\mathrm{p}$-value $=0.017)$ (Figure 5A, Figure S10). Patients with EGFR mutations had higher iDC and DC infiltration and lower Th2, Tgd, Cytotoxic, and NK CD56dim cell infiltration (Figure 5B).

## Functional Enrichment of LUAD Patients with EGFR-MAPK Co-Mutation

Compared with $E G F R$ single-mutation group, two functions were generally upregulated in the $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutation group; namely, cancer phenotype ("KEGG_CHRONIC_

MYELOID_LEUKEMIA", "KEGG_GLIOMA", "KEGG_ NON_SMALL_CELL_LUNG_CANCER", "KEGG_PAN CREATIC_CANCER", "KEGG_RENAL_CELL_CARCI NOMA") and immune-related pathway ("KEGG_B_CELL_ RECEPTOR_SIGNALING_PATHWAY", "KEGG_FC_GA MMA_R_MEDIATED_PHAGOCYTOSIS", "KEGG_T_ CELL_RECEPTOR_SIGNALING_PATHWAY") (Figure 2B).

## Progression-Free Survival Analysis in Checkpoint Inhibitor Treatment

Compared with the EGFR-mutated group, the wild-type group and the co-mutated group had longer progressionfree survival (PFS) (EGFR-Mut: WT: Co-Mut $=1.87$ : 3.77: 7.82 [months], p-value $=0.03$ ). $($ Figure S11 $)$.

## Discussion

The present study investigated whether patients with $E G F R$ mutations benefited from ICI therapy. The KEYNOTE-001 study results suggested that patients with $E G F R$ mutations were not suitable for ICI treatment; however, nine $E G F R$-mutated patients $(9 / 74)$ benefitted from this treatment. ${ }^{8}$ Via mutation relationships between $E G F R$ and $E G F R$ downstream pathway genesets, we isolated


Figure 4 Mutation Distribution of EGFR-MAPK Co-mutation in Each Cohort. (A-E) showed the mutation distribution of TCGA-LUAD, Broad, MSKCC, TSP, COSMIC, respectively. The upset plot showed that the distribution of combinations of EGFR-MAPK co-mutation. The bar chart above represented the number of mutation frequencies contained in each type. The pie chart showed the number of co-mutated, EGFR-mutated, and wild-type patients.
Abbreviations: TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas Program; MSKCC, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; TSP, The Tumour Sequencing Project; COSMIC, Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer.
a subset of $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutated patients from patients with $E G F R$ mutations. The co-mutated patients had higher TMB and PD-L1 protein levels and a more favorable immune microenvironment. The probability of co-mutation was about $11.41 \%$ of the $E G F R$ mutation
population. In the present study, L858R patients were more prone to co-mutation than those with exon 19 deletion. TMB and PD-L1 protein levels were used to predict the therapeutic efficacy of ICI. ${ }^{35}$ Therefore, the $E G F R$ mutated label concealed that some $E G F R$-mutated patients


Figure 5 Summary of Immune Infiltrates in Different Types of Lung Adenocarcinoma. (A) The green tag represented significant changes in the immune microenvironment compared to patients with $E G F R$ mutations. Red represented $0<p$-value $<0.05$, white represented $p$-value $=0.05$, blue represented $0.05<p$-value $<1.00$. (B) Compared to the $E G F R$-mutated patients' level of immune infiltration, red arrows represent increased infiltration of that cell within the group, and blue arrows represent decreased infiltration of that cell within the group. The yellow band indicates that the level of cell infiltration is similar to that of the EGFR-mutated patients. The trend of infiltration was compared according to the average values of infiltration in each group.
benefit from ICI treatment. What's more, in the firstor second-line ICI monotherapy group, the EGFR-MAPK co-mutated patients showed no less efficacy than the wildtype patients.

Firstly, we confirmed that the TMB or PD-L1 protein levels were lower in $E G F R$-mutated patients in the TCGA and Broad datasets. Previous studies focused on the impact of clinically-driven mutations on ICI treatment; thus, the
link between these driving changes has not been clear. As a representation of cancer development, the driving mutation was difficult to characterize systematically. However, signal transduction is required for EGFR to perform various functions. The NSCLC signaling pathway in the KEGG database showed that the executive role of EGFR was mainly through its three downstream pathways. Thus, it was feasible and meaningful to explore the $E G F R$
mutation mode utilizing prior knowledge. Finally, we found that $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutated patients have both high TMB and high PD-L1 protein levels.

Furthermore, Zhang et al showed that the EGFR/ MAPK pathway is involved in immune evasion of pancreatic cancer. ${ }^{36}$ Previous studies had shown that patients with L858R mutations benefited more from ICI treatment than those with exon 19 deletions. ${ }^{15}$ A survey by Sutiman et al showed a longer PFS in patients with $E G F R$ exon 19 deletions treated with EGFR-TKI than that in patients with the L858R mutation. ${ }^{37}$ Previous studies have shown an association between L858R and high TMB; however, whether this phenomenon is associated with the co-mutation of downstream signaling pathways requires further analysis. Our results suggested that L858R is more likely to co-mutate than exon 19 deletions to achieve better ICI efficacy. A study by Hong et al showed that patients with exon 21 mutations have more concomitant mutations than others, and concomitant mutations negatively affect response and survival in patients treated with EGFR TKIs. ${ }^{38}$ Offin et al showed that high TMB levels were not conducive to EGFR-TKI treatment but were beneficial to ICI therapy. ${ }^{16}$ Therefore, most patients with $E G F R$ mutations were suitable for EGFR-TKI therapy, and patients with $E G F R$ - MAPK co-mutations were potential beneficiaries of ICI treatment.

Our results showed that a significant increase in iDC and a significant decrease in NK-CD56dim, T gamma delta (Tgd), cytotoxic, and Th2 cells were significantly decreased in $E G F R$-mutated patients. However, the immune microenvironments of $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutated patients were similar to those seen in wild-type patients. iDCs could promote immune tolerance and reduce CD4+ T cells infiltration. ${ }^{39}$ Moreover, NK CD56dim cells, in addition to their cytolytic activity and target cell killing, were the primary sources of proinflammatory and chemokines. ${ }^{40}$ Th2 cells enhance B cell activity and have complex regulation of the immune environment. ${ }^{41,42}$ Tgd cells have an antitumor effect, and the number of Tgd could be used as a prognostic indicator of NSCLC. ${ }^{43}$

The functional changes in $E G F R$ mutations were mainly in glycosaminoglycan (GAG) metabolism compared to wild-type LUAD. GAG, as the main component of the extracellular matrix or the surface of cells, combines many kinds of cytokines and chemokines to regulate immune function. Heparin sulfate (HS) is the primary type of GAG, and the active degradation of HS can
activate the immune system; HS on the surface of white blood cells could also regulate immune cell activation. Nishio et al showed that high serum HS concentrations were associated with shorter PFS and overall survival (OS) in $E G F R$-mutated NSCLC. ${ }^{44}$ iDCs, NK cells, and Tgd belong to the innate immune system activated by chemokines. GAGs can protect chemokines from degradation, but it also interfered with the action of chemokines. ${ }^{45}$ These results suggest that an inadequate immune response in $E G F R$-mutated patients might be due to the accumulation of GAG, leading to an inhibitory immune microenvironment. Compared to patients with only $E G F R$ mutation, patients with co-mutations had lower Syndecan-2 (SDC2) expression levels. SDC2 could promote the clearance of TCR/CD3 complex on the surface of T cell ${ }^{46}$ and downregulate immune activity, ${ }^{47}$ suggesting that $S D C 2$ is involved in the inhibitory microenvironment of patients with $E G F R$ mutations.

Meanwhile, functional changes between co-mutated and $E G F R$-mutated patients were mainly concentrated on cancer phenotype and immune function. The cancer phenotypes of co-mutated patients were closer to NSCLC, pancreatic cancer, chronic myeloid leukemia, renal cell carcinoma, and gliomas, which shown promise and even improved survival in these cancers by treated with ICI. Immune-related pathways, including T cells, B cells, and FC $\gamma \mathrm{R}$-mediated phagocytosis, were upregulated in $E G F R$ MAPK co-mutated patients.

Our study had several limitations. Our results suggested that enhanced $\mathrm{FC} \gamma \mathrm{R}$-mediated phagocytosis in comutated patients. However, FC $\gamma$ R activation decreased the curative effect of the IgG4-based PD-1 antibody. While FC $\gamma \mathrm{R}$ activation reduces ICI antibody utilization, techniques have been developed to improve the impact of this effect. ${ }^{48}$ Meanwhile, $\mathrm{FC} \gamma \mathrm{R}$ activation enhanced the efficacy of anti-PD-L1. ${ }^{49}$ Patients with $E G F R$ mutations are excluded from most ICI studies because they generally do not benefit from ICI treatment. ${ }^{8}$ However, we note that there are still patients with $E G F R$ mutations who benefit from ICI therapy. In limited data, patients with $E G F R$ MAPK co-mutations can benefit from ICI therapy. Although this study is an interesting result based on limited data, our results reveal why $E G F R$-mutated patients generally do not benefit from ICI treatment. What's more, we have screened out $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutated patients who may benefit from ICI treatment, which will be of concern in further clinical studies.

In conclusion, the results of our study showed that most of $E G F R$-mutated patients had higher GAGs and an inhibitory immune microenvironment than $E G F R$ wild-type patients. The $E G F R$-MAPK co-mutated patients, with higher TMB, PD-L1 protein levels, and favorable immune microenvironment, were different from $E G F R$-mutated-only patients. Thus, the $E G F R$ mutational labels might mask some patients, such as those with co-mutations, who missed out on ICI treatment. The results of this study are worth undertaking a larger cohort to validate and further reveal distinctive and heterogeneous $E G F R$ mutational labels. Both targeted agents and ICI therapy have led to significant advances in cancer treatment, and our findings can contribute to clinical treatment decisions, which provide more precise treatment options for patients with $E G F R$ mutations.
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