A study of monofocal intraocular lens (AcrySof®) in mini-monovision (MMV) and premium multifocal implantation of ReSTOR®
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Abstract: We compared the AcrySof® monofocal intraocular lens (IOL) in mini-monovision (MMV) (n = 20) with the ReSTOR® multifocal IOL (n = 20) for glasses independence after cataract surgery. The ReSTOR IOL showed a significantly higher proportion of postoperative independence from glasses. The MMV formula monofocal AcrySof recipients with the same pre-op selection criteria as the ReSTOR achieved 20/30 and J3 without glasses post cataract surgery. AcrySof IOL can be a good alternative for those patients who cannot afford ReSTOR IOL and yet desire some degree of independence from glasses.
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Introduction
Modern cataract surgery has progressed to refractive cataract surgery. Myopia, astigmatism, hyperopia and presbyopia can now all be corrected during cataract surgery. Independence from spectacle use has become a post-operative target following cataract surgery and can greatly enhance patients' quality of life.¹ The ReSTOR® (Alcon, Inc.) multifocal intraocular lens (IOL) can achieve a high percentage (85%) of 20/20 distant vision and J1 reading vision without corrective glasses.² However, the expense of these ReSTOR IOLs and the expertise required to implant them (perfection in phacoemulification and experience in multifocal IOLs) can be a limiting factor in their use. The pre-op examinations of multifocal IOLs require topography, optical coherence tomography and pachymetry beside routine ones. Surgeons need to be skillful in phacoemulification, IOL implantation and limbal relaxation incision. Additionally, these lenses may have optical irregularities such as glare and decreased contrast sensitivity.³

We know that monovision can produce 20/20 vision for the dominant eye (Plano) and J1 reading vision for the nondominant eye (~2.00 to ~2.50). However, it may be difficult to tolerate for most patients because of the severity of anisometropia (more than 2 D difference between 2 eyes). Alternative strategies to achieve spectacle independence that can be well tolerated as suggested in the Cochrane systematic review⁴ are minimonovision (MMV) (nondominant eye −0.50 D to −1.25 D) and accommodative IOL. This is the descriptive study of the MMV of AcrySof® (Alcon, Inc.) SN60WF and multifocal ReSTOR SN60D3. The MMV in multifocal IOLs yields a high percentage of patient satisfaction with 20/30 and J3 vision without glasses similar to older styles of multifocal Array IOL.⁴ The MMV monofocal IOL is usually covered by insurance and is relatively easy to implant even for inexperienced eye surgeons, and has less
optical disturbance (clear optics without rings) compared to multifocal IOLs. In addition, since MMV IOLs recipients can tolerate anisometropia better than true monovision, a postoperative vision of 20/30 distant and J3 reading without glasses may be satisfactory for most patients who do not need to drive or do extensive reading.

**Methods**

All 40 patients included in the study were from the Ming Chen MD, Eye Clinic in Honolulu, Hawaii from January 2007 to May 2009. Twenty subjects were chosen for each variable. Those patients whose eyes showed major ocular pathology, including leukemia cornea or maculopathy and a cylinder over 0.75 D, were excluded (these are the criteria required by ReSTOR IOL). Twenty patients randomly selected from the group of patients who met the criteria and also had the MMV formula refraction (the dominant eye of between Plano and −0.50 spherical equivalents and −0.50 to −1.25 in the nondominant eye) 3 months post-op were included in the group of bilateral monofocal IOLs (AcrySof). Twenty patients who met the criteria and had between plano and 0.75 refraction 3 months post-op were in the group of bilateral ReSTOR IOLs. All 40 patients had bilateral uncomplicated cataract surgery by a single surgeon at one surgical center.

Vision was checked binocularly without glasses using a standard Snellen chart for distance and a near chart at 14 inches for near vision in the same illumination in the same exam room by the same technician who was masked for the study.

This study was approved by the Hawaii Pacific Health Institutional Review Board.

**Results**

As shown in Figure 1, the postoperative vision of MMV monofocal IOL and of ReSTOR IOL showed that all 40 patients achieved 20/30 distance vision and J3 visual acuity without glasses. There were no differences in proportions of the two groups in this comparison. However, a significantly higher proportion of the ReSTOR patients (19/20) 95% achieved glasses independence compared to the MMV group (7/20) 35% (Figure 2). In order to estimate the accuracy in the normal population of these two independent proportions, we calculated the standard error using the formula below. We are 95% confident that the true difference in proportions lies between 0.58 and 0.62 (confidence intervals (CI)). We conclude that the ReSTOR IOL recipients are significantly more glasses independent than the MMV recipients. In this study, we consider the patient is glasses independent if the patient declares independence from glasses.

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 1** 20/30, J3 vision in AcrySof and ReSTOR. There were 20 patients in each group.

**Discussion**

With the current high cost of premium multifocal IOLs and a sagging economy, patients take into careful consideration the cost of ophthalmic surgery with an expensive lens versus

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 2** Glasses independence.

---

**Table 1 Descriptive characteristics of 40 intraocular lenses (IOL) recipients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mini monovision IOL (%)</th>
<th>ReSTOR IOL (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–60</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–70</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
the value of having good visual acuity for the rest of their life. While some subjects enjoy a lifestyle where glasses independence via multifocal IOLs is a necessity, this study suggests that monofocal IOLs are usually affordable and consistently successful in restoring visual acuity of 20/30 and the ability to read J3 without glasses.4 Two years ago,4 we compared in the same setting MMV and Array multifocal (same price as MMV), which showed similar outcomes in MMV and Array multifocal in glasses independence by achieving 20/30, J3 vision without glasses. However, the reported outcome of 19/20 glasses independence in ReSTOR versus 7/20 in MMV AcrySof monofocal may be subject to considerable bias as the patients who select (and could afford) ReSTOR IOLs may have been more determined to be independent of glasses.

Various barriers remain for ReSTOR IOLs. The cost is higher and not covered by insurance,6 ophthalmologists with the special training to implant multifocal IOLs are fewer, and some patients are not candidates for ReSTOR IOLs for various reasons. There were more complaints of halos, glares and a decreased contrast sensitivity from patients who had ReSTOR IOLs implantation.7,8 With these limitations in mind, the MMV formula of monofocal IOL is a good alternative to ReSTOR IOL. However, the premium multifocal IOL of N, TT. ReSTOR is significantly more effective in achieving glasses independence, as shown in this study.

This study has a significant effect on the economic issues of the affordability of ReSTOR IOLs. In particular in developing countries and in underprivileged peoples, the MMV monofocal IOLs can provide sufficiently good vision (20/30 for driving, J3 for reading ‘yellow pages’) without glasses and can be tolerated well (no subjects in this study reported complaints).

Future studies in MVM IOL should focus on the measurement of the amplitude of accommodation as an objective test instead of testing reading vision subjectively. In addition, it will be interesting to determine whether there is a significant difference among different monofocal IOLs (eg, one piece, two piece, small optic) in MMV.

**Conclusion**

The MMV formula monofocal AcrySof recipients with the same pre-op selection criteria as for ReSTOR can achieve 20/30 and J3 without glasses post cataract surgery. It can be a good alternative for those patients who cannot afford ReSTOR IOL and yet desire some degree of freedom from glasses. However, the ReSTOR IOLs recipients had a significantly higher percentage of glasses independence compared to MMV formula AcrySof IOL recipients.
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