The Relationship Between Serum 25[OH]D Concentration and Orthopaedic Infection: A Case-Control Study
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Background: An estimated one in two healthy adults in the United Kingdom suffer from low levels of 25[OH]D. Vitamin D is involved in modulating immune response, but there is less clarity over its role in orthopaedic infection. This study assesses the relationship between serum 25[OH]D concentration and orthopaedic infection.

Methods: A total of 205 patients in a tertiary referral centre for orthopaedic infection were included in the study. They were divided into groups based on their infection status, matched by age and gender. Data were statistically analysed to determine presence and direction of relationship.

Results: A total of 114 patients had an infection. There was no statistically significant difference in age or gender between the two groups. Mean serum 25[OH]D concentration was 39 nmol/L in the group with infection and 59 nmol/L in the group without an infection (p<0.01). Overall mean serum 25[OH]D concentration was 48 nmol/L. There was a correlation between low serum 25[OH]D concentration and rate of infection (odds ratio, 5.94; 95% confidence interval [CI], 3.24 to 10.92) with a bivariate correlation of −0.338 (p<0.01).

Conclusion: This study demonstrates an association between low levels of serum 25[OH]D and increased orthopaedic infection. Orthopaedic inpatients suffered from vitamin D insufficiency, and there was a correlation between higher levels of serum 25[OH]D and lower rates of infection. This suggests that prophylactic supplementation of 25[OH]D may improve outcomes, and provides a foundation for randomized controlled trials to assess its effectiveness in practice.
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Introduction

More than half of the healthy adult population in the United Kingdom suffers from vitamin D insufficiency, with 15.5% suffering from vitamin D deficiency during winter and spring months.¹ Vitamin D has an array of different functions in the human body, and is postulated to play a protective role against chronic diseases. Studies have demonstrated an association between low vitamin D levels and diabetes,² cardiovascular diseases,³⁻⁵ cancers⁶⁻⁹ and increased mortality.¹⁰

Vitamin D has been found to possess immunomodulatory properties in the human body, with a growing evidence-base indicating that supplementation is protective against infections including acute upper respiratory tract infections¹¹ and infections in ICU patients.¹² It is thought to have this function in part due to its role in the cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide pathway and induction of interleukin-10.¹³ However, there has been conflicting evidence regarding whether prophylactic
supplementation of vitamin D is protective against infection. In a randomized, placebo controlled trial of 30 patients with sepsis of varying severity, Quraishi et al.\textsuperscript{14} found that high-dose supplementation with cholecalciferol was correlated with a decrease in concentration of inflammatory markers. Another randomized placebo controlled trial of 50 critically ill adults by Nair et al.\textsuperscript{15} found no significant difference in concentration of inflammatory mediators between both groups over total follow-up period (p=0.53), despite short-term fluctuations at 7 and 14 days. In addition, they found no statistically significant difference in mortality or length of stay between the groups.

Orthopaedic infection has historically been considered as a major contributor to healthcare associated infections, with 2821 cases reported in England in 2014/15 at a cost to hospitals of approximately £16,341,295 per annum. However, these costs do not include the necessary subsequent revision surgeries, costing at least an additional £43,075,629 per annum.\textsuperscript{19}

This study aims to empirically quantify the vitamin D concentrations among orthopaedic patients in the UK, and to establish whether there is any correlation between low levels of vitamin D and orthopaedic infection.

Methods

Serum concentrations of 25[OH]D were collected prospectively from a total of 205 patients over a period of 12 months at a major trauma centre in the UK, which is also a tertiary referral centre for the management of orthopaedic infection. A period of 12 months was used to control for the confounding effect of seasonality on vitamin D concentration.

Patient demographic information and laboratory results were accessed via hospital records and all patient data were anonymized. No identifiable patient information was recorded, and no intervention was administered. The accepted definitions of vitamin D insufficiency as serum 25[OH]D concentrations of between 25 and 50 nmol/L and deficiency as less than 25 nmol/L were used.

A convenience sample of adult (age >18 years) orthopaedic inpatients was taken, where children and patients not undergoing an orthopaedic procedure were excluded from the study. Patients were divided into two groups: one group of patients with a diagnosis of orthopaedic infection and another group of patients without a diagnosis of orthopaedic infection. Orthopaedic infection included Prosthetic Joint infection, Fracture related infection and Osteomyelitis. Patients who were on 25[OH]D supplementation during their hospital stay were excluded.

The two groups were matched based on their age and gender. Statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS software. Descriptive statistics, independent samples t-tests, odds ratios and a bivariate correlation analysis were performed.

Results

A total of 205 patients were included in the study. The group with infection included 114 patients, while the group without infection consisted of 91 patients. Demographic information is included in the Baseline Characteristics Table 1.

There was no significant difference in age or gender between the two groups (p=0.52 and p=0.48, respectively). The group of patients with infection had an approximately equal split for fractures and arthroplasties, whilst only 25% of patients in the group without infection had an arthroplasty. Within the group of patients with an infection, the most common organism cultured was Staphylococcus Aureus, accounting for nearly one-third of cases. A graph illustrating the proportion of organisms cultured in the group of patients with an infection is shown in Figure 1.

Mean serum 25[OH]D concentration was 59 nmol/L in the group without infection and 39 nmol/L in the group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline Characteristics</th>
<th>Group with Infection</th>
<th>Group without Infection</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopaedic condition</td>
<td>Arthroplasty</td>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td>Arthroplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with infection (p<0.01). Mean serum 25(OH)D concentration across the total population was 48 nmol/L. Figure 2 demonstrates a box-plot of the serum 25(OH)D levels found in the two groups and overall.

Serum 25(OH)D insufficiency was associated with increased infection (odds ratio, 5.94; 95% confidence interval [CI], 3.24 to 10.92). The Pearson Correlation coefficient between serum 25(OH)D concentration and infection was −0.338 (p<0.01).

Discussion
Vitamin D is also known to be important in the field of Orthopaedic Surgery, due to its effect on bone density, with low levels of vitamin D resulting in rickets among children and osteomalacia among adults. However, there is less clarity surrounding the function of vitamin D in orthopaedic infection. Maier et al (2014) found severe vitamin D deficiency among patients with periprosthetic joint infections (n=50) and those being treated with primary arthroplasties (n=109) in a single-centre study in Germany. However, this only takes into account patients with arthroplasties or joint prosthesis, missing a significant proportion of orthopaedic inpatients. A further study of 1119 orthopaedic patients in Germany by the same authors found the prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency to be 84% and deficiency to be 60%. However, vitamin D insufficiency is defined as a serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels of 25–50 nmol/L and deficiency as serum 25(OH)D levels of less than 25 nmol/ L. In the study, the authors consider a normal value to be >30 ng/mL which corresponds to 75 nmol/L, meaning that a significant proportion of the population considered to have normal vitamin D levels in the UK would be categorised as having vitamin D insufficiency in the study.

Across the total population included in this study, the mean vitamin D level was insufficient at 48 nmol/L. This value is slightly higher, but still in agreement with Maier et al 2013, of 17.3 ng/mL which corresponds to 43 nmol/L. This indicates that the orthopaedic population have insufficient levels of vitamin D and may benefit from supplementation.

The group of patients with an infection had a statistically significant mean of 20 nmol/L serum 25(OH)D concentration lower than the group of patients without an infection (p<0.01) suggesting that patients with lower levels of serum 25(OH)D concentration may be more susceptible to infection. This is also in agreement with the previous work conducted by Maier et al 2014, who found the
mean serum 25[OH]D concentration in patients with a periprosthetic joint infection to be 13.29 ng/mL, corresponding to 33.17 nmol/L. This finding is reaffirmed by the finding in our study of a correlation of −0.338 (p<0.01), and the odds ratio which suggests that lower levels of serum 25 [OH]D are more likely to result in orthopaedic infection.

Whilst measures were taken to control for confounders such as seasonality, age and gender, it is important to acknowledge that causation cannot be inferred from these findings. Not all possible confounding factors were controlled for, including race, BMI and smoking status. Furthermore, the relationship of inflammation and low vitamin D levels may have impacted the results of this study. This study suggests that prophylactic supplementation of 25 [OH]D may improve outcomes by reducing incidence of orthopaedic infection. However, a randomized controlled trial should be conducted before these findings are scaled into wider practice. Krakowska et al (2019) conducted a double-blind Randomized Controlled Trial into the effect of prophylactic vitamin D supplementation on pain and inflammatory markers after Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion Surgery, finding a significant reduction in both inflammatory markers and pain in the group of patients who had vitamin D supplementation.20 This supports our hypothesis that prophylactic supplementation of 25(OH)D may improve outcomes in orthopaedic surgery, but more work needs to be done to elucidate and quantify the benefit of prophylactic supplementation of vitamin D, as well as the optimum regimen.

Orthopaedic infection carries a high burden of morbidity to the patients, as well as cost to the health service, therefore measures must be taken to help reduce its incidence. Prophylactic supplementation with 25[OH]D could represent a simple yet very effective means of improving such outcomes, and this study provides a foundation upon which future trials can assess the efficacy of prophylactic supplementation in practice.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates an association between low levels of serum 25(OH)D and increased orthopaedic infection. Orthopaedic inpatients suffered from vitamin D insufficiency, and there was a correlation between higher levels of serum 25(OH)D and lower rates of infection. This suggests that prophylactic supplementation of 25 [OH]D may improve outcomes, and provides a foundation for randomized controlled trials to assess its effectiveness in practice.
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