Determinants to optimize response to clopidogrel in acute coronary syndrome
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Abstract: The inhibition of platelet function by antiplatelet therapy determines the improvement of the survival of patients with clinically evident cardiovascular disease. Clopidogrel in combination with aspirin is the recommended standard of care for reducing the occurrence of cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. However, major adverse cardiovascular events including stent thrombosis occur in patients taking clopidogrel and aspirin. A growing body of evidence demonstrates that high post-treatment platelet reactivity on antiplatelet treatment is associated with increased risk of adverse clinical events. Clopidogrel requires conversion to active metabolite by cytochrome P450 isoenzymes. The active metabolite inhibits ADP-stimulated platelet activation by irreversibly binding to P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors. Recently, the loss-of-function CYP2C19*2 allele has been associated with decreased metabolism of clopidogrel, poor antiaggregant effect, and increased cardiovascular events. In high risk vascular patients, the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism is a strong predictor of adverse cardiovascular events and particularly of stent thrombosis. Prospective studies evaluating if an antiplatelet treatment tailored on individual characteristics of patients, CYP2C19*2 genotypes, platelet phenotype, drug–drug interaction, as well as traditional and procedural risk factors, are now urgently needed for the identification of therapeutic strategies providing the best benefit for the single subject.
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Introduction

Large clinical trials have shown that dual antiplatelet therapy (aspirin plus clopidogrel) reduces the risk of recurrent cardiovascular events in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). Dual antiplatelet therapy is the standard of care for patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) who are managed either medically or by percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).<sup>1-6</sup> In particular, clopidogrel treatment has delivered substantial benefit for patients undergoing PCI and stent implantation. Patients receiving bare metal and drug-eluting stents (BMS and DES) are recommended to receive clopidogrel maintaining therapy for 1–12 months and at least 12 months, respectively. Retrospective studies have shown that the discontinuation of clopidogrel, even after 6 months or later, after stent implantation, is associated with an increased risk of thrombotic events in patients with DES.<sup>4,7</sup> However, major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) including stent thrombosis (ST) can also occur in patients taking clopidogrel and aspirin, and several retrospective and prospective studies<sup>8-18</sup> have shown that patients who suffer ST have a high post-treatment platelet reactivity
despite the dual antiplatelet treatment. This suggests that platelet aggregation nonresponsiveness to clopidogrel is the main cause of the thrombotic event. Recently, Sofi et al 19 published a meta-analysis of fourteen studies (totalling 4564 CAD patients who underwent a PCI followed for a time ranging from 14 days to 1 year) demonstrating that persistent platelet reactivity, despite clopidogrel treatment, confers an increased risk of recurrent adverse cardiovascular events. Indeed, patients who were classified as clopidogrel nonresponders were at about a fivefold increased risk of nonfatal and fatal cardiovascular recurrences with respect to those classified as responders. 19

Multiple potential or well-documented mechanisms involved in high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity have been identified (Figure 1). Extrinsic or chronic mechanisms include inadequate drug compliance, 20 drug–drug interactions, 21–24 age, diabetes mellitus, elevated body mass index, and reduced left ventricle ejection function. 14,20 Transient mechanisms include inflammation, 25 accelerated platelet turnover, 26 reticulated platelets, 26,27 erythrocyte deformability, 28,29 and ADAMTS-13 activity. 30 Among the possible mechanisms, common genetic variants could play a pivotal role in determining the individual susceptibility to platelet reactivity on antiplatelet drugs. Several polymorphisms in genes coding platelet components glycoprotein (Gp)Ia, GpIIa, GpIbalpha, GpVI, ADP-receptor P2Y 12 (P2Y12), P-selectin, cyclooxygenase [(COX)-1, COX-2], endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), or cytochrome P450 (CYP450) enzyme isoforms (-3A4, -3A5) have been proposed and investigated. 31–34 With regards to responsiveness to clopidogrel, studies in healthy subjects on clopidogrel treatment 35,36 and in ACS patients undergoing PCI on dual antiplatelet treatment 37,38 showed that the CYP2C19*2 allelic variant is an independent predictor of clopidogrel treatment variability.

Recently, different groups have contemporarily demonstrated, in different clinical settings and at different follow-up times, that CYP2C19*2 is a determinant of the occurrence of MACE in patients on clopidogrel therapy with an estimated risk ranging from 1.5 to 6.0. 39–43 In May 2009, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommended a change to clopidogrel’s prescribing label to account for

### Figure 1
Mechanisms of clopidogrel response variability.
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these findings (see http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/ucm165166.htm).

New P2Y12 receptor antagonists are currently undergoing investigation. Prasugrel is a third generation thienopyridine that is associated with greater active metabolite generation, superior inhibition of ADP-induced platelet aggregation and less response variability than clopidogrel.\textsuperscript{44} In the Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition With Prasugrel-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 38 (TRITON-TIMI 38 trial), prasugrel was compared to clopidogrel in patients with moderate to high risk ACSs undergoing PCI.\textsuperscript{45} The prevalence of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke was lower with prasugrel treatment compared to clopidogrel (12.1% vs 9.9%). However, a higher rate of major and minor bleeding was present in the prasugrel group. The TRITON-TIMI 38 trial conclusively demonstrated that superior P2Y12 blockade produces superior reduction in ischemic events in patients with ACS.

The novel antiplatelet agent, ticagrelor, was also evaluated against clopidogrel in the PLATO (A Study of Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) trial of patients with ACS. When compared with clopidogrel, ticagrelor was associated with a significant reduction in mortality in this population, without increasing the overall incidence of major bleeding.\textsuperscript{46} Moreover, other antiaggregant drugs such as prostaglandin analogues (iloprost), a PDE3 phosphodiesterase inhibitor (cilostazol), and a further short-acting competitive P2Y12 platelet receptor antagonist (eangrelor) are available and might be considered in the management of high risk vascular patients.

The issue of the monitoring of clopidogrel therapy and/or the personalization of alternative antiplatelet therapeutic strategies aimed to reduce ischemic events without increasing the bleeding risk is unresolved. Clinicians are interested in the evaluation of how the entity of platelet function inhibition, induced by antiplatelet drugs, affects the risk of recurrent ischemic events. Therefore, we believe it is crucial to define the concept of “residual platelet reactivity” (RPR) on antiplatelet treatment and identify its determinants. The optimal level of platelet inhibition to prevent cardiovascular events is modulated by clinical characteristics and, at present, there is no platelet function cut-off which is widely accepted to identify patients at high risk for ischemic events in different clinical settings. Ongoing clinical trials are evaluating if an antiplatelet treatment tailored on the entity of platelet inhibition is a good strategy in terms of safety and efficacy. On the other hand, based on more recent findings, further studies are desirable to evaluate tailored treatment on the entity of platelet inhibition as well as other genetic, procedural and environmental factors. These studies could offer crucial feedback on the utility of monitoring antiplatelet therapies and other risk factors of hyper-aggregability in order to prevent clinical events.

**Clopidogrel metabolism**

Different agonists are known to induce platelet aggregation and fibrinogen binding to the subsequently activated integrin GPIIb-IIIa complex. In this process, ADP is of particular importance, because it is released by damaged cells and activated platelets, thus enhancing the action of many platelet activators.\textsuperscript{47} ADP mediates platelet aggregation by binding to two G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), P2Y\textsubscript{12}, and P2Y\textsubscript{12}, acting together to achieve complete aggregation.\textsuperscript{48} P2Y\textsubscript{12} also has an important role in amplifying the responses to other agonists. In fact, ADP is released from platelet-dense granules regardless of the activating stimulus, be it thrombin, collagen, thromboxane A\textsubscript{2}, or other agonists. Therefore, P2Y\textsubscript{12} has a major role in arterial thrombosis and the concomitant inflammatory response, and pharmacological targeting of this receptor has become an important strategy in cardiovascular disease research.

Clopidogrel, a P2Y\textsubscript{12} ADP-receptor antagonist, belongs to the thienopyridine class of chemical compounds, and it is a pro-drug requiring oxidation by the hepatic CYP450 to generate an active thiol metabolite (SR26334) (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{49,50} Clopidogrel is absorbed in the duodenum. The P-glycoprotein coded by the ABCB1 gene is responsible for the active intestinal transport of clopidogrel (Figure 2). Only about 15% of clopidogrel undergoes metabolism by CYP450. It is mostly hydrolyzed by esterases to an inactive carboxylic acid derivative that accounts for 85% of clopidogrel-related circulating compounds (Figure 2). Several CYP450 enzyme isoforms (ie, CYP1A2, CYP2B6, and CYP2C19) are responsible for the oxidation of the thiophene ring of clopidogrel to 2-oxoclopidogrel, which is further oxidized by CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4, resulting in the opening of the thiophene ring and the formation of both a carboxyl and a thiol group (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{48} The latter forms a disulphide bridge with the two extracellular cysteine residues located on the ADP P2Y\textsubscript{12} receptor expressed on the platelet surface, and causes an irreversible blockade of ADP-binding for the platelet’s life span.\textsuperscript{51} The contribution of CYP1A2, CYP2B6, and CYP2C19 to the formation of 2-oxo-clopidogrel was 35.8, 19.4 and 44.9%, respectively (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{52} The contribution of CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4 to the formation of the active metabolite was 32.9, 6.76, 20.6, and 39.8%, respectively (Figure 2).\textsuperscript{52} These data showed that...
CYP2C19 contributed substantially to both oxidative steps required in the formation of clopidogrel active metabolite, and they help to explain the role of genetic polymorphism of CYP2C19. Indeed, among the different CYP450 isoenzymes involved in clopidogrel metabolism, a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP; CYP2C19*2) has been associated with inactivation of the enzyme and impaired metabolism of drugs, including clopidogrel, via this pathway. The CYP2C19 enzyme is responsible for the metabolism and clearance of numerous drug substrates including proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), diazepam, phenytoin, and cyclophosphamide.

Definition of high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity

Laboratory methods used to evaluate platelet function included light transmission aggregometry on platelet-rich plasma (PRP LTA), flow cytometry, the Ultegra Rapid Platelet Function Assay-VerifyNow®, and whole blood aggregometry by Multiplate system. The gold standard for evaluating platelet function is LTA induced by adenosine-diphosphate (ADP). However, aggregometry is time-consuming and requires a specialized laboratory. Flow cytometry by use of the vaso-dilator-stimulator phosphoprotein (VASP) assay detects the availability of the P2Y12 receptor and determines the effectiveness of clopidogrel. The point-of-care tests are less laborious and more time-efficient. The PFA-100 uses cartridges with epinephrine or ADP to mimic a high-shear state to evaluate platelet function. The VerifyNow® assay system is a turbidimetry-based optical device that measures platelet aggregation. Paniccia et al compared the VerifyNow® P2Y12, PFA-100, VASP, and LTA and found a significant correlation between LTA, VASP and VerifyNow®.33,54

Figure 2 Mechanism of action of clopidogrel.
Abbreviations: ABCB1, ATP-binding cassette, sub-family B; MDR/TAP, member 1 gene coding for the P-glycoprotein involved in the clopidogrel intestinal absorption; CYP1A2 is cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily A, polypeptide 2; CYP2B6, cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily B, polypeptide 6; CYP2C19, cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily C, polypeptide 19; CYP2C9, cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily C, polypeptide 9; CYP3A4, cytochrome P450, family 3, subfamily A, polypeptide 4; P2Y12, purinergic receptor P2Y, G-protein coupled, 12.
In 2007, the first meta-analysis was published examining the data present in the literature, calculating the risk associated with a RPR on clopidogrel as an odds ratio (OR) = 8.0 (95% [confidence interval] CI: 3.4–19.1) on a total of 1025 patients undergoing PCI with stenting.\(^5\)

The significant heterogeneity is a limit of this analysis, which reflects the variability in the methods and patients presented in the published papers. Since this meta-analysis, several studies have been published confirming the association between RPR on clopidogrel and the enhanced risk of adverse clinical events. In particular, data from the Low Responsiveness to Clopidogrel and Sirolimus-or Paclitaxel-Eluting Stent Thrombosis (RECLOSE) trial showed that a reduced response to clopidogrel (measured by LTA induced by 10 \(\mu\)M ADP) was an independent predictor of ST and cardiovascular death in 804 patients undergoing PCI with implantation of a DES. From a subsequent analysis of the same cohort of patients, Gori et al. found that the contemporary reduced response to both aspirin (measured by LTA induced by arachidonic acid) and clopidogrel is the most important predictor of the same end-points (ST and cardiovascular death).\(^15\) This result emphasizes that ‘global’ platelet hyperreactivity identifies vulnerable patients at higher risk of recurrences.

Furthermore, a great amount of attention has been focused on the clinical validation of the point-of-care tests. Price et al.\(^16\) showed, on 380 patients undergoing PCI with stent implantation, that RPR on clopidogrel measured by VerifyNow\® P2Y12 is associated with a significantly higher risk of adverse clinical events at a 6-month follow up. A study entitled Antiplatelet therapy for reduction of Myocardial Damage during Angioplasty-Platelet Reactivity Predicts Outcome (ARMYDA-PRO)\(^6\) reported a significant association between RPR (measured by VerifyNow\® P2Y12) and 30-days MACE on 160 patients undergoing PCI. Finally, Marcucci et al demonstrated, with a larger sample (683 ACS patients), that RPR measured by VerifyNow\® P2Y12 is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular death and nonfatal myocardial infarction at a 12-month follow up.\(^17\) Recently, Sofi et al.\(^19\) performed an updated meta-analysis on clinical consequences of clopidogrel nonresponsiveness among over 4500 patients followed for a period ranging from 2 weeks to 1 year after a PCI. This meta-analysis indicated that poor responders to clopidogrel, however defined, are at increased risk of cardiovascular clinical recurrences as compared to those with a good response to the antiplatelet therapy (OR 5.67, 95% CI: 2.97–10.84; \(P < 0.00001\)).\(^19\)

Management of antiplatelet drug resistance

There is, at present, little evidence to guide treatment of high risk vascular patients with laboratory evidence of a RPR to clopidogrel. Empirical strategies include increasing the dose of the antiplatelet agents (aspirin and clopidogrel) or adding a third antiplatelet drug.

Different trials have also shown a higher platelet inhibition with increased dosage of clopidogrel, combining synergistic medications and evaluating medications that potentially hinder the P450 conversion of clopidogrel into its active form. Gurbel et al. showed that a loading dose of 600 mg of clopidogrel decreased platelet reactivity compared to a loading dose of 300 mg.\(^57\) In a similar trial, von Beckerath evaluated different doses of clopidogrel in 60 patients who had ischemic heart disease and were undergoing elective PCI and found that a 600 mg loading dose of clopidogrel increased platelet inhibition over a 300 mg loading dose.\(^58\) Loading doses greater than 600 mg of clopidogrel did not increase platelet inhibition, most likely due to limited absorption.

In the Optimizing Antiplatelet Therapy in Diabetes Mellitus (OPTIMUS) study,\(^59\) Angiolillo et al. evaluated patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and CAD and found that a 150 mg dose of clopidogrel was associated with a reduced platelet inhibition in patients with a RPR on standard therapy. None of these studies reported a significantly higher bleeding rate in patients on higher clopidogrel doses. Lau showed that clopidogrel given with rifampin, a cytochrome P450 P3A4 inducer, converted nonresponders to responders.\(^60\)

Ongoing trials, (Gauging Responsiveness with A VerifyNow\® assay-Impact on Thrombosis And Safety [GRAVI-TAS]; Double Randomization of a Monitoring Adjusted Antiplatelet Treatment versus a Common Antiplatelet Treatment for DES Implantation and Interruption Versus Continuation of Double Antiplatelet Therapy [ARCTIC]); and Dual ANtiplatelet Tailored therapy based on the Extent of platelet inhibition [DANTT]), will establish whether an increase in the clopidogrel maintenance dose (150 mg vs 75 mg daily) is necessary in patients with RPR on clopidogrel, specifically if a treatment tailored on the extent of platelet inhibition is associated with a reduced number of recurrences.

Furthermore, new antiplatelet agents are in the most advanced phases of clinical evaluation to become available in clinical practice for acute treatment of atherothrombotic events and/or secondary prophylaxis. These include prasugrel (currently licensed) and ticagrelor, canagrelor, and terutroban (under clinical evaluation).
Results of ongoing or prospective studies are urgently needed to evaluate more effective and safe therapeutic strategies in these high risk vascular patients.

Nonpharmacogenetic factors influencing response to clopidogrel

Multiple factors have been proposed to explain individual variability of response to antiplatelet drugs defined as high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity (Figure 1). Clopidogrel noncompliance may represent a critical issue. In fact, discontinuation of clopidogrel therapy was found in about 15% (within 30 days) of patients with CAD,61 in 18.4% (at 3 months), and up to 38.4% (at 1 year) in a cohort of patients after stroke.62 It also represents an independent predictor of ST.63 Recently, in CAD (N = 422) and in post-ischemic stroke (N = 209) patients, Serebruany et al64 showed that clopidogrel therapy is associated with double-digit underestimated risks for noncompliance, especially in stroke survivors. Their data support the hypothesis that lack of inhibition of platelet aggregation (IPA), and clopidogrel “resistance” are attributed to hidden noncompliance. Even if it would not apply to or represent a minor issue in the short-term studies, noncompliance may have a significant impact on the longer range studies. In fact, they proposed that plasma inactive carboxyl metabolite, but not unchanged clopidogrel, or active thiol metabolite, is a useful marker to monitor compliance to clopidogrel in registries and clinical trials.64

Concurrent medication use may interfere with the ability of clopidogrel to decrease platelet reactivity. Gurbel and colleagues reported that high doses of calcium-channel blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors could possibly contribute to a decreased response to clopidogrel.65 Atorvastatin is the most frequently studied lipophilic statin in clopidogrel trials as it has a high affinity for CYP3A4. Studies that have evaluated clopidogrel resistance and atorvastatin have not been uniformly reproducible. Lau et al showed that atorvastatin promoted clopidogrel resistance at 10, 20, and 40 mg doses.65 On the contrary, pravastatin, which has a nonhepatic metabolism, was found to not significantly affect platelet inhibition by clopidogrel. Subsequent studies, however, did not confirm this interaction. Post-hoc analysis of several important trials (eg, Clopidogrel for the Reduction of Events During Observation [CREDO], Plavix Reduction Of New Thrombus Occurrence [PRONTO], Maximal Individual Therapy in Acute Myocardial Infarction Plus [MITRA PLUS]) has not revealed a significant interaction between statins (ie, atorvastatin, fluvastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin, simvastatin) and clopidogrel in terms of entity of platelet inhibition.66,67

A growing body of evidence has been recently accumulated highlighting the interaction between proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and clopidogrel when influencing platelet reactivity.21–23,68,69 Approximately 15% of an absorbed clopidogrel dose is converted to an active thiol metabolite, mainly by the hepatic CYP450 isoenzymes.50 One of these isoenzymes, the CYP2C19 isoform, is involved in the metabolism of many of the PPIs, which are also inhibitors of the CYP2C19 isoenzyme to varying degrees.70 The capability of PPI to inhibit CYP2C19 activity would reduce the active metabolite of clopidogrel generation and cause a diminished platelet response to clopidogrel. In addition to this mechanism, PPIs may influence the antiplatelet clopidogrel response via the variability of intestinal absorption of clopidogrel.71,72 PPIs are substrates and inhibitors of the intestinal efflux transporter P-glycoprotein, a key factor for intestinal absorption of clopidogrel.70 Alterations in P-glycoprotein activity could potentially affect plasma concentrations of clopidogrel and hence the concentration of active metabolite. Gilard et al documented, for the first time, that the contemporary administration of clopidogrel and omeprazol is associated with a reduced platelet inhibition related to P2Y12 receptors in 105 CAD patients.73 In the Omeprazole CLopidogrel Aspirin (OCLA) study trial,68 140 CAD patients undergoing stent implantation on dual antiplatelet treatment were randomized to receive 20 g/day of omeprazol or a placebo for 7 days. This treatment significantly decreased the effect of clopidogrel on platelet reactivity as tested by platelet vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) phosphorylation assay.68

The influence of pantoprazole and esomeprazole on the clopidogrel antiplatelet response was investigated in two different studies evaluating 300 and 100 patients undergoing PCI respectively.73,69 In these ex vivo studies, the intake of pantoprazole or esomeprazole was not able to impair the platelet inhibition by clopidogrel. A recent ex vivo study has evaluated the effect of pantoprazole and omeprazol on inhibition by clopidogrel in 104 non-ST-segment elevation (NSTEMI) ACS patients and found, after 1 month of treatment, a significantly reduced platelet inhibition by omeprazol, but not by pantoprazol.24 Contrasting data about the capability of PPI administration to influence the risk of clinical events are available. In 13 636 patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) treated with clopidogrel, the use of PPIs was associated with an increased risk of AMI.21 However, the use of pantoprazole
did not significantly affect the risk of AMI, whereas the other PPIs were associated with an increased risk of about 40%. In a retrospective study of 8205 patients with ACS, the use of clopidogrel plus PPIs (at discharge, during follow-up or both) was associated with an increased risk of death or rehospitalization for ACS compared with the use of clopidogrel without PPIs. By analyzing the different PPIs in relation to adverse outcomes, the above-mentioned study failed to demonstrate a significant difference between omeprazole and pantoprazole.24 Recently, the authors of PRINCIPLE (Prasugrel in comparison to Clopidogrel for inhibition of Platelet Activation and Aggregation)-TIMI 44 and TRITON-TIMI 38 trials, retrospectively analyzed data in order to verify the possible interactions between the use of PPIs, platelet function, and adverse cardiovascular events in relation to both clopidogrel and prasugrel. In both trials, the use of PPIs was not significantly associated with an increased risk of ischemic events either in patients treated with clopidogrel or prasugrel. This was confirmed at the subgroup analysis with single PPIs (omeprazole, pantoprazole, esomeprazole, and lansoprazole) both in patients treated with clopidogrel or prasugrel.22

Taubert et al79 demonstrated that intestinal absorption is the main factor determining the production of active metabolites of clopidogrel. Paralleling these results, are those reported in Intracoronary Stenting and Antithrombotic Regimen: Choose Between 3 High Oral Doses for Immediate Clopidogrel Effect (ISAR-CHOICE). This study demonstrated that by comparing the effects of clopidogrel on the inhibition of platelet function at higher loading doses (300, 600, 900 mg) there are no advantages in dosing higher than 600 mg, as this does not result in a corresponding higher level of active metabolite in plasma.78 This datum suggests that intestinal absorption is reduced for doses higher than 600 mg.

The available evidence indicates that advanced age is associated with a significantly high percentage of patients with high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity. One of the possible causes is related to the fact that the conversion of clopidogrel to its active metabolite may be impaired in older patients.25,77 In a recent prospective study78 evaluating 191 patients who had undergone PCI, ADP-inducible platelet reactivity increased linearly with age after adjustment for cardiovascular risk factors, type of intervention, medication, C-reactive protein, and renal function. By contrast, the study by Price et al79 which evaluated various factors that may affect ADP-inducible platelet reactivity in patients on continuous clopidogrel therapy, demonstrated no effect due to age ≥75 years.79 This discrepancy may be attributed to the duration of clopidogrel therapy. Most of patients of the Gremmel study received a loading dose of clopidogrel prior to angioplasty, while the Price study assessed platelet aggregation mainly in patients on continuous clopidogrel therapy.78,79 Therefore, the attenuation of clopidogrel-mediated platelet inhibition at an advanced age may be a phenomenon of the initial phase of antiplatelet therapy.

Diabetes mellitus is a clinical condition which is characterized by enhanced platelet reactivity which exposes diabetic patients to an increased risk of atherothrombotic events. In fact, platelets in diabetics respond more frequently even to subthreshold stimuli, are consumed more rapidly, and thus contribute to accelerated thrombopoiesis compared to platelets in nondiabetic individuals.80 It has been documented that high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity is more prevalent in diabetic than nondiabetic patients.81-83 In particular, type 2 diabetic patients undergoing elective PCI have approximately a fourfold increase in the number of nonresponders at 24 hours following a standard 300 mg loading dose. Moreover, platelet reactivity is persistently elevated in diabetic patients even in the maintenance phase of clopidogrel and is highest among those patients requiring insulin therapy.81

Recent studies have documented a significant and independent association between platelet hyper-reactivity and both routine inflammatory markers (eg, erythrocyte sedimentation rate and leukocyte number),84 and unbalanced proinflammatory/anti-inflammatory cytokine levels. This suggests that a proinflammatory state, not sufficiently compensated by anti-inflammatory cytokines, modulates platelet hyperreactivity during the acute phase of the disease in patients on dual antiplatelet treatment.25 Recently, it was observed that patients treated with long-term clopidogrel and aspirin therapy who underwent elective PCI had less inflammation, indicated by specific biomarkers (ie, C reactive protein and other proinflammatory cytokines), compared with clopidogrel-naïve patients. This datum suggests that long-term clopidogrel therapy is associated with an anti-inflammatory effect.85

An elevated turnover of the circulating platelet pool yields a larger population of young platelets which are more reactive than an older population of platelets. The younger platelets have increased mean volume and a greater number of dense granules than older circulating platelets.86 In both healthy subjects and in patients with stable CAD, it has been demonstrated that an increased platelet turnover, as reported by the proportion of circulating reticulated platelets (RPs),
exhibits significantly increased aggregation and activation responses even after dual antiplatelet therapy. Moreover, in 372 ACS patients on dual antiplatelet therapy, the number of patients with suboptimal or “low response” to aspirin, clopidogrel or both was clustered among patients with a high proportion of circulating RPs.

The adhesion and activation of platelet at sites of vascular injury is mediated by von Willebrand factor (VWF). VWF, which is released from storage sites or secreted by endothelial cells as ultra large VWF (ULVWF), is rapidly cleaved through intravascular proteolysis by ADAMTS-13. In the acute phase of CAD and after the vascular injury induced by a PCI, endothelial cells are activated and high amounts of ULVWF are released. ULVWF forms are able to bind the glycoprotein 1b-IX complex and aggregate platelets. In physiological conditions, the large multimeric forms are rapidly cleaved by the ADAMTS-13 on the surface of the endothelium, resulting in the generation of the normal multimeric pattern of VWF. When ADAMTS-13 activity is reduced, the ULVWFs accumulate and can induce platelet aggregation. High on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity is associated with lower ADAMTS-13 activity accompanied by elevated VWF levels, suggesting a modulatory effect of the interplay between ADAMTS-13 and VWF on the response to antiplatelet therapy in patients with a marked endothelial activation, such as those with ACS who have undergone PCI.

Red blood cells and leukocytes are significantly implicated into the pathogenesis of arterial thrombosis. Platelet-leukocyte interactions play an important role in both adhesion and activation of platelets, but red blood cells are also involved in the interactions between platelets and the vessel wall. In patients with ACS, the inhibition of platelets induced by aspirin is modulated not only by the direct action on platelets, but also by the erythrocyte deformability and the white blood cell count. This suggests that all blood components, including red blood cells, should be taken into account. Some hemorheologic variables are also associated with high on-treatment platelet reactivity in patients with ACS on dual antiplatelet therapy. In particular, decreased erythrocyte deformability is significantly associated with increased platelet aggregation induced by ADP. A possible explanation for this association is that erythrocytes with decreased deformability tend to release a larger amount of ADP in the circulation compared to those without and this released ADP can compete with clopidogrel for purinergic receptors present on the platelet surface.

**Association of cytochrome P450 2C19 loss-of-function polymorphism with high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity**

Several polymorphisms in different genes coding isoforms of CYP450 have been investigated as possible determinants of the high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity (ie, genetic variants in CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, ABCB1 genes). For the majority of the investigated polymorphisms, results are negative or inconsistent, however, consistent data are available concerning a polymorphism in the gene CYP2C19 on chromosome 10. Several CYP2C19 variant alleles have been identified and exhibit functional impairment which phenotypically categorized subjects as poor metabolizers. The CYP2C19*2 loss-of-function polymorphism is a G681A nucleotide substitution at the junction of intron 4 and exon 5, which introduces a splicing defect resulting in a truncated, non-functional protein, responsible for the poor-metabolizer phenotype. The CYP2C19*2 variant allele has different minor allele frequencies in Caucasian (15%), Asian (30%), and African American (17%) populations. The CYP2C19*3 variant allele is a G636A nucleotide substitution in exon 4, which creates a premature stop codon at aminoacid position 212 resulting in a truncated, metabolically inactive, protein and poor-metabolizer phenotype. Other rare variant alleles contribute to determine the poor-metabolizer phenotype, such as CYP2C19*4 and CYP2C19*5.

**Studies in healthy subjects**

Different studies have evaluated the impact of CYP2C19*2 polymorphism on clopidogrel pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in healthy volunteers (Table 1). Hulot et al first demonstrated that the CYP2C19 loss-of-function allele is associated with a marked decrease in platelet responsiveness to clopidogrel in 28 young, healthy male volunteers treated for 7 days with clopidogrel at 75 mg/d. Fontana et al replicated the evidence for the association between CYP2C19*2 allele and impaired clopidogrel responsiveness in 94 healthy subjects by assessing the ADP-induced platelet aggregation after a 1-week course of clopidogrel (300 mg the first day and 75 mg/day thereafter) and showed that the polymorphism explained 10% of the variability of clopidogrel responsiveness when the analysis was adjusted for age,
Table 1 Association of CYP2C19*2 loss-of-function polymorphism with response variability to clopidogrel treatment in healthy subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N subjects</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Functional evaluation</th>
<th>CYP2C19*2 carriers %</th>
<th>Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hulot et al</td>
<td>28 Caucasian males</td>
<td>75 mg/day for 7 days</td>
<td>10 µM ADP-induced PA</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontana et al</td>
<td>94 Caucasians</td>
<td>300 mg the first day then 75 mg day–1 for 7 days</td>
<td>20 µM ADP-induced PA</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandt et al</td>
<td>74 Caucasians (57 male/17 female)</td>
<td>300 mg</td>
<td>20 µM ADP-induced PA Active metabolite by LC + MS</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al</td>
<td>24 Koreans</td>
<td>300 mg the first day then 75 mg day–1 for 7 days</td>
<td>5 µM ADP-induced PA PCC by LC + MS</td>
<td>66.6 (selected)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umemura et al</td>
<td>47 Japanese</td>
<td>300 mg</td>
<td>20 µM ADP-induced PA VASP ph Active metabolite by LC + MS</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega et al</td>
<td>162 (130 male/32 female)</td>
<td>300–600 mg ± 75 mg/day for 7 days</td>
<td>20 µM ADP-induced PA Active metabolite by LC + MS</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: N, number; PA, platelet aggregation; LC + MS, liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry; PCC, plasma concentrations of clopidogrel; VASPph, vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation.

platelet count, hematocrit, collagen lag time, fibrinogen, and von Willebrand levels.

In order to determine the relationship between genetic variants in CYP450 isoenzymes and response to prasugrel and clopidogrel, Brandt et al genotyped for CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C19, CYP2C9, CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 genetic variants in healthy subjects participating in studies evaluating pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic response to prasugrel (60 mg, N = 71) or clopidogrel (300 mg, N = 74). In subjects treated with clopidogrel, the presence of the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism was significantly associated with lower exposure to clopidogrel active metabolite, lower inhibition of platelet aggregation at 4 hours, and poor responder status. Likewise, CYP2C9 loss-of-function variants (polymorphisms in another gene coding a different CYP450 isoenzyme) were significantly associated with lower exposure to clopidogrel active metabolite, lower inhibition of platelet aggregation at 4 hours, and poor responder status. Concerning prasugrel, there was no significant relationship between CYP2C19 or CYP2C9 polymorphisms and exposure to the active metabolite of prasugrel or pharmacodynamic response.

Recently, in a study of 162 healthy subjects on 300 mg dose of clopidogrel, Mega et al confirmed that carriers of at least one CYP2C19 reduced-function allele (30% of the study population) had a relative reduction of 32.4% in plasma exposure to the active metabolite of clopidogrel, as compared with noncarriers. Carriers also had an absolute reduction in maximal platelet aggregation in response to clopidogrel that was 9% less than that observed in noncarriers.

Kim et al divided 24 Korean subjects into three groups on the basis of their CYP2C19 genotype: homozygous extensive metabolizers (homo-EMs, N = 8), heterozygous EMs (hetero-EMs, N = 8), and poor metabolizers (PMs, N = 8). After a single 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel on day 1, followed by a 75 mg daily maintenance dose from days 2 to 7, they measured the plasma levels of clopidogrel and assessed the antiplatelet effect as pharmacodynamic. The mean clopidogrel area under the curve (AUC) for PMs was 1.8- and 2.9-fold higher than that for hetero-EMs and homo-EMs, respectively. The mean peak plasma concentration in PMs was 1.8- and 4.7-fold higher than that of hetero-EMs and homo-EMs, respectively. PMs exhibited a significantly lower antiplatelet effect than hetero-EMs or homo-EMs.

In their study of 47 healthy Japanese subjects, Umemura et al determined plasma concentrations of the active metabolite before and at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 8 h after treatment with 300 mg clopidogrel. Also, before and at 1, 4, 6, and 24 h after treatment, they measured inhibition of platelet aggregation to ADP and VASP. They confirmed that the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism is a determinant for the formation of the active metabolite and demonstrated that the pharmacokinetic parameters, area under the plasma concentration-time curves, and peak concentration (Cmax) of the active metabolite were well correlated with the platelet reactivity index by VASP, and inhibition of platelet aggregation to ADP. Their findings suggest that low or no responsiveness to clopidogrel is mainly caused by the lower metabolic formation of the metabolite, but not because of the poor sensitivity of the platelet P2Y12 receptor.
Recently, in the Pharmacogenomics of Antiplatelet Intervention (PAPI) Study, Shuldiner et al administered clopidogrel (300 mg oral loading dose followed by 75 mg/d for 7 days) to 429 healthy Amish persons and measured response by ex vivo platelet aggregometry (ie, baseline platelet aggregation and 1 hour following the last dose of clopidogrel). In this population, they performed a genome-wide association study followed by genotyping the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism. The presence of relatives in the population allowed them to observe that platelet response to clopidogrel was highlyheritable ($h^2 = 0.73; P < 0.001$). They identified 13 polymorphisms on chromosome 10q24 within the CYP2C18-CYP2C19-CYP2C9-CYP2C8 cluster associated with diminished clopidogrel response. All these polymorphisms were in strong linkage disequilibrium with the rs12777823, the most significantly associated polymorphism ($P = 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$ for the additive model). The rs12777823 polymorphism was in strong linkage disequilibrium with the CYP2C19*2 variant accounting for the 12% of the variation in platelet aggregation to ADP ($P = 4.3 \times 10^{-7}$). No other genomic region revealed association signals that met or exceeded genome-wide significance ($P < 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$). These data suggest that, in this population of healthy subjects, the common loss-of-function CYP2C19*2 variant could account for most of the association signal detected in the genome-wide association study. Due to the high heritability of the platelet response to clopidogrel, other common SNPs not present on the chip, or other types of variants (eg, copy number variations, insertions/deletions), or rare variants with large effect size not tagged by those SNPs genotyped might play an important role.

### Studies in high risk vascular patients

In 2007, Giusti et al first studied the effect of CYP2C19*2 loss-of-function polymorphism in modulating platelet function in ACS patients on dual antiplatelet treatment who had undergone PCI (Table 2). In that paper, for the first time, the finding that the *2 allele of the CYP2C19 gene was associated with higher platelet aggregability and RPR in 1419 high risk vascular patients on dual antiplatelet treatment was reported. Carriers of the CYP2C19*2 rare allele of the polymorphism had significantly higher platelet aggregation values than non-carriers of the rare allele after 2 μM and 10 μM ADP and after arachidonic acid (AA) stimuli. In particular, homozygous subjects for the rare allele had significantly higher platelet aggregation after ADP stimuli than heterozygous carriers. The genotype distribution of CYP2C19 polymorphism significantly differed between patients with and without RPR evaluated by 10 μM ADP-induced platelet aggregation. The prevalence of carriers of the rare allele was higher in patients with RPR by ADP than in patients without RPR by ADP. Similar results were also observed in patients with RPR by AA in contrast to patients without RPR by AA. Using a multivariate linear regression model (with ADP 2 μM or ADP 10 μM or log [AA] platelet aggregation as dependent variables and age, gender, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and smoking habit

### Table 2 Association of CYP2C19 polymorphism with response variability to clopidogrel treatment in high risk vascular patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N subjects</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Functional evaluation</th>
<th>CYP2C19*2 carriers %</th>
<th>Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Giusti et al</td>
<td>1419 PCI</td>
<td>600 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>10 μM ADP-induced PA</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frere et al</td>
<td>603 NSTE</td>
<td>600 mg LD</td>
<td>10 μM ADP-induced PA VASP ph ADP-induced P-selectin</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenk et al</td>
<td>797 PCI</td>
<td>600 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>5 μM and 20 μM ADP-induced PA ADP-induced P-selectin, activated GPIIa/IIb, CD63, CD40L, CD41 (GPIIb)</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geisler et al</td>
<td>237 PCI</td>
<td>600 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>20 μM ADP-induced PA</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gladding et al</td>
<td>60 PCI</td>
<td>600/1200 mg LD + 75/150 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>VerifyNow® P2Y12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinnai et al</td>
<td>30 PCI Japanese</td>
<td>300 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>5 μM ADP-induced PA</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** N, number; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; LD, loading dose; PA, platelet aggregation; NSTE, non-ST elevation; VASP ph, vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation state of whole blood—we performed a dual color flow cytometric assay; GPIIa/IIb, glycoprotein Ila/IIb (PAC-1); GPIIb, glycoprotein IIb.
as independent variables), age, diabetes and CYP2C19*2 polymorphisms remained significant and independent risk factors for antiplatelet treatment variability.

Several other groups have confirmed that the *2 allele of the CYP2C19 gene is associated with higher platelet aggregability and RPR in similar and different clinical settings. In 603 patients with non-ST elevation ACS, Frere et al demonstrated that the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism influences post-treatment platelet reactivity and clopidogrel response assessed by ADP-induced platelet aggregation, VASP phosphorylation index, and ADP-induced P-selectin expression. Trenk et al studied and followed-up 797 patients undergoing elective coronary stent implantation and brought to light an association between CYP2C19*2 polymorphism and platelet function phenotype of high (>14%) ADP residual platelet aggregation on clopidogrel.

Geisler et al observed that CYP2C19*2 carriers showed significantly increased residual platelet aggregation compared with noncarriers in 237 symptomatic CAD patients (both stable angina or ACS patients). In 60 patients undergoing elective PCI in the randomized Plavix Response in Coronary Intervention (PRINC) trial, Gladding et al measured platelet function using the VerifyNow P2Y12 analyzer after a 600 mg or split 1200 mg loading dose and after a 75 or 150 mg daily maintenance dosage. CYP2C19*1*1 carriers were shown to have greater platelet inhibition 2 h after a 600 mg dose, compared with platelet inhibition in CYP2C19*2 or *4 carriers and CYP2C19*17 carriers. CYP2C19*2 or *4 carriers had greater platelet inhibition with the higher loading dose than with the lower dose at 4 h and responded better with the higher maintenance dose regimen. The association of the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism with a RPR was also observed in Japanese patients by Jinnai et al where a higher prevalence of carriers of the *2 allele was found (56%) with respect to the Western countries’ patients (~30%).

### Association of cytochrome P450 2C19 loss-of-function polymorphism with the occurrence of major adverse cardiovascular events

Recently, different groups contemporarily demonstrated that CYP2C19*2 is a determinant of the occurrence of MACE and in particular of ST in different clinical settings and at different follow-up times (Table 3). Only one paper failed to demonstrate a role of the CYP2C19*2 loss-of-function polymorphism on the occurrence of MACE or ST. Indeed, Trenk et al do not demonstrate a relationship between *2 polymorphism and increased occurrence of adverse 1-year clinical outcome (ie, death from any cause and myocardial infarction) of elective PCI with DES or BMS (Table 3).

### Table 3 Association of CYP2C19*2 loss-of-function polymorphism with occurrence of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N subjects</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome (follow-up)</th>
<th>CYP2C19 alleles</th>
<th>Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trenk et al</td>
<td>797 PCI + stent</td>
<td>600 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>Death + MI (1 year)</td>
<td>*2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon et al</td>
<td>2208 AMI (1535 PCI)</td>
<td>300 mg mean LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>Death + nonfatal stroke + MI (1 year)</td>
<td>Any two of *2, *3, *4, *5</td>
<td>Yes (HR = 1.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega et al</td>
<td>1477 ACS</td>
<td>300 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>Cardiovascular death + MI + stroke (15 months)</td>
<td>*2</td>
<td>Yes (HR = 1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collet et al</td>
<td>259 MI (&lt;45 years)</td>
<td>600 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>Death + MI + coronary revascularization (6 months)</td>
<td>*2</td>
<td>Yes (HR = 3.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giusti et al</td>
<td>772 PCI + DES</td>
<td>600 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>Cardiovascular death + ST (6 months)</td>
<td>*2</td>
<td>Yes (HR = 6.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibbing et al</td>
<td>2485 PCI + stent</td>
<td>600 mg LD + 75 mg/day maintaining</td>
<td>ST (30 days)</td>
<td>*2</td>
<td>Yes (HR = 3.81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** N, number; LD, loading dose; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; MI, myocardial infarction; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; ST, intra stent thrombosis; DES, drug-eluting stent; HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio.
They showed an association between CYP2C19*2 polymorphism and platelet function phenotype, as well as an association of high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity with poor clinical outcome. On the other hand, in their patient population they had only 280 patients out of 797 with at least 1 DES. In the group of patients with at least 1 DES, the percentage of patients with an event was 3.3% (3 of 90) among the carriers of the *2 allele versus 2.1% (4 of 190) of the wild-type homozygotes ($P = 0.684$).

In 2208 patients with an AMI and on-clopidogrel therapy, Simon et al assessed the relation of allelic variants of genes modulating clopidogrel absorption (ATP-binding cassette, sub-family B [MDR/TAP], member 1 [ABCB1]), metabolic activation (CYP3A5 and CYP2C19), and biologic activity (P2Y12 and integrin, beta 3 [ITGB3]) to the risk of death from any cause, nonfatal stroke, or myocardial infarction during 1 year of follow-up. Patients with two variant alleles of ABCB1 (TT genotype) had a higher rate of cardiovascular events at 1 year than those with the ABCB1 wild-type genotype (CC) (15.5% vs 10.7%; adjusted hazard ratio [HR] $= 1.72, 95\%$ CI: 1.20–2.47). Moreover, they demonstrated that patients carrying CYP2C19 loss-of-function alleles (*2, *3, *4 or *5) had a higher rate of subsequent cardiovascular events during 1 year of follow-up (HR $= 1.98, 95\%$ CI: 1.10–3.58) than those who were not. The presence of two variant alleles of CYP2C19, but not ABCB1, was found to be associated with an increase by a factor of 3.58 ($95\%$ CI: 1.71–7.51) in the rate of cardiovascular events among the 1535 patients who underwent PCI during hospitalization as compared with those who did not.

Mega et al tested the association between functional genetic variants in CYP genes (CYP2C19, CYP2C9, CYP2B6, CYP3A5, CYP3A4, CYP1A2) and cardiovascular outcomes in 1477 subjects with ACS who were treated with clopidogrel in the TRITON–TIMI 38 trial. They demonstrated that carriers of the reduced-function CYP2C19*2 allele had significantly lower levels of the active metabolite of clopidogrel, diminished platelet inhibition, and a higher rate of MACE at 15 months (death from cardiovascular causes, myocardial infarction or stroke; HR $= 1.53, 95\%$ CI: 1.07–2.19), as well as an increase by a factor of 3 in the risk of ST (HR $= 3.09, 95\%$ CI: 1.19–8.00).

In 259 young patients (<45 years) who survived a first myocardial infarction and were exposed to clopidogrel treatment for at least one month, Collet et al assessed whether the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism affected long-term prognosis of patients who were chronically treated with clopidogrel. They chose, as their primary end-point, a composite of cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and urgent revascularization occurring during exposure to clopidogrel and as a secondary end-point, ST. In this cohort of premature myocardial infarction patients, the primary end-point occurred more frequently in carriers than in noncarriers (15 vs 11 events; HR $= 3.69, 95\%$ CI: 1.69–8.05, $P = 0.0005$). Similar results were obtained in relation to the secondary end-point for which they observed an increase by a factor of 6 in the risk of ST (8 vs 4 events; HR $= 6.02, 95\%$ CI: 1.81–20.04, $P = 0.0009$). After multivariable stepwise Cox regression analysis considering in the model baseline characteristics, especially those known to be associated with recurrent acute coronary thrombosis and poor response to clopidogrel (ie, body mass index, smoking status, diabetes status, stent implantation, initial ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, and use of proton pump inhibitors), the CYP2C19*2 genetic variant was the only independent predictor of cardiovascular events (HR $= 4.04, 95\%$ CI: 1.81–9.02, $P = 0.0006$).

In the framework of the RECLOSE trial, Giusti et al evaluated the role of the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism on the occurrence of drug-eluting ST (primary end-point) and the composite feature of cardiac mortality and drug-eluting ST (secondary end-point) in a 6-month follow-up of 772 patients undergoing PCI on dual antiplatelet treatment. Patients with ST or the composite of cardiac mortality and ST showed a higher prevalence of carriers of the rare allele (54.1% vs 31.3%; $P = 0.025$ and 51.7% vs 31.2%; $P = 0.020$, respectively). In this clinical setting of high risk vascular patients, the authors demonstrated that the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism was an independent risk factor for ST when the effect of the polymorphism was evaluated in a model adjusted for high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity evaluated by ADP-induced platelet aggregation (ADP-RPR), traditional cardiovascular risk factors, and clinical and procedural risk factors for ST (eg, total chronic occlusion, multivessel disease, bifurcation lesion, AMI, previous myocardial infarction, total stent length, and left ventricular ejection fraction) (OR $= 3.43, 95\%$ CI: 1.01–12.78). Moreover, subjects with the contemporary presence of the CYP2C19*2 allele and ADP-RPR exhibited a strong risk of ST or ST and cardiac mortality (OR $= 5.79, 95\%$ CI: 1.04–39.01 and 11.45, 95\% CI: 1.84–71.27, respectively).

Finally, Sibbing et al assessed the impact of the CYP2C19 loss-of-function polymorphism on ST following PCI performed after pre-treatment with clopidogrel on 2485 patients with CAD undergoing PCI. The primary end-point of the study was the incidence of definite ST within 30 days following PCI. The cumulative 30-day incidence of...
ST was significantly higher in CYP2C19*2 allele carriers (*1/*2 heterozygotes or *2/*2 homozygotes) vs CYP2C19 wild-type homozygotes (*1/*1) (1.5% in CYP2C19*2 allele carriers vs 0.4% in CYP2C19 wild-type homozygotes, HR = 3.81, 95% CI: 1.45–10.02, P = 0.006 after adjustment for confounding variables). The risk of ST was highest (2.1%) in patients with the CYP2C19 *2/*2 genotype (P = 0.002).

Recently, Sofi et al104 performed a meta-analysis on data available for a total of 7950 patients from 6 cohort prospective studies who were followed for a time ranging from 6 months to 8.4 years.38–43 Their findings reinforced the observation that the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism is associated with the increased risk of MACE, particularly ST. The summary risk ratios for included cohort prospective studies showed a significant association between the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism and an increased risk of MACE in the follow-up (relative risk [RR] = 1.80, 95% CI: 1.02–3.19, P = 0.04). When studies evaluating ST (N = 4) for a total of 4975 patients were considered, the presence of the variant allele was associated with an increased risk of ST (RR = 2.82, 95% CI: 1.43–5.56, P = 0.0001).

Towards a tailored antiplatelet therapy by optimizing response to clopidogrel in ACS

Due to the large body of evidence accumulated, the issue could be raised whether the identification of CYP2C19*2 carriers is appropriate to improve the risk stratification and therapeutic management of patients, and consequently a reduction of the occurrence of MACE, particularly ST, in patients with ACS. Several strategies could be considered to solve the problem of the high on-treatment platelet reactivity despite the dual antiplatelet treatment in high risk vascular patients including administering a higher dosage of clopidogrel or a different antiplatelet drug from those presently available (prasugrel, ticagrelor), and/or the addition of a third antiplatelet drug such as cilostazol.

Bonello et al105 determined that a tailored loading dose of clopidogrel to obtain a VASP index <50% after a first 600 mg loading dose in patients with high on-treatment platelet reactivity translated in an improved outcome.105,106 Patients with a VASP < 50% after the first clopidogrel loading dose were considered good responders. Patients with a VASP ≥ 50%, despite the 600 mg loading dose, were considered to have high on-treatment platelet reactivity. For these patients, clopidogrel was adjusted individually, before PCI, to obtain a VASP index <50% using up to 3 additional loading doses of 600 mg each, prescribed at 24 hour intervals. Recently, the same investigators observed that high on-treatment platelet reactivity in homozygotes for CYP 2C19*2 loss-of-function polymorphism could be overcome using dose adjustment according to platelet reactivity monitoring.107

Regarding new antiplatelets, the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial demonstrated that, compared with clopidogrel, treatment with prasugrel resulted in a significantly lower rate of ischemic events and more bleeding among patients presenting with ACS with planned PCI.43 Both clopidogrel and prasugrel are pro-drugs that require biotransformation to active metabolites by CYP450 enzymes. Although the active metabolites of both drugs have similar affinity for the P2Y12 receptor in vitro, the in vivo differences in response appear to be mediated predominantly by differences in the metabolic pathways leading to the formation of the active metabolites.108 Esterases shunt the majority of clopidogrel to a dead-end inactive pathway, with the remaining pro-drug requiring two separate CYP-dependent oxidative steps.32 In contrast, esterases are part of the activation pathway with prasugrel, which is oxidized to its active metabolite in a single CYP-dependent step, without an apparent dead-end inactive pathway.109 Among 238 healthy subjects, Mega et al110 did not observe significant attenuation of the pharmacokinetic or the pharmacodynamic response to prasugrel in carriers versus noncarriers of at least 1 reduced-function allele for any of the CYP genes tested (CYP2C19, CYP2C9, CYP2B6, CYP3A5, CYP1A2). Consistent with these findings, in 1466 patients with ACS treated with prasugrel, no significant associations were found between any of the tested CYP genotypes and risk of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke.110

Several important issues should be considered in evaluating the possibility of a tailored therapy that takes in account the knowledge of CYP2C19*2 genotype. For instance, the result of the genotyping test should be available at the time of starting clopidogrel and during the early high risk phase of the ACS, together with the evaluation of the phenotype, such as ADP-induced platelet aggregation,14,15,53,111 Use of the VerifyNow® System,16,17 the more specific flow cytometric assay of P2Y12-mediated effect on phosphorylation of VASP in PGE1-treated platelets,51,106 or a more global approach, such as Multiplate whole blood aggregometry should be considered.112 The functional evaluation of the high on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity has the potential benefit of identifying those patients who are homozygous for the wild-type CYP2C19*1 allele, but they may still have a poor pharmacodynamic response to clopidogrel. In fact, the data
by Giusti et al indicated that the contemporary presence of ADP-RPR and the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism was the strongest predictor of ST complication or the composite endpoint of ST and cardiac mortality with respect to the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism and ADP-RPR per se. These findings provide two insights: 1) mining of the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism as a risk factor for ST is only partially linked to its role in determining the ADP-RPR phenotype observed in the acute periprocedural phase, and 2) other genetic and acquired determinants of RPR in addition to the CYP2C19*2 polymorphism might have a role in determining the clinical outcome in these high risk vascular patients.

Several new drugs that target P2Y12 are now available (eg, prasugrel, ticagrelor, cangrelor). These drugs achieve consistently high levels of receptor inhibition, and may potentially provide solutions to the problem of variability in response to clopidogrel. Nevertheless, the possibility of a higher incidence of bleeding, other drug-drug interaction, and possible nonresponsiveness due to other genetic or acquired factors needs to be further explored for these new drugs.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, a large body of evidence demonstrates that the CYP2C19*2 genetic variant is a major determinant of prognosis in high risk vascular patients who have undergone PCI and received clopidogrel treatment. Available data underscore the urgent need for prospective studies aimed at clarifying whether a more intense clopidogrel therapy or the use of a different drug (eg, prasugrel) designed for correction of inadequate suppression of platelet reactivity could improve the outcome, and incidence of MACE, in particular of ST, in high risk vascular patients without increasing the major bleeding complications. Aside from the traditional cardiovascular risk factors, and the clinical and procedural risk factors for MACE and ST, these studies should take into account the patient’s genetic profile, platelet

### Required patient information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Dyslipidemia</th>
<th>Multivessel disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>Acute MI</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>Stent type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>Total chronic occlusion</td>
<td>Other Antiplatelet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifurcation lesion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>Other drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Platelet functional tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADP-PA</th>
<th>AA-PA</th>
<th>Collagen-PA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VerifyNow® P2Y12</td>
<td>VerifyNow® Aspirin</td>
<td>VASP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Genetic information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYP2C19</th>
<th>ABCB1</th>
<th>CYP2C9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other genetic variants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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