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Background and purpose: Weightbath hydrotraction treatment (WHT) is a simple noninvasive effective method of hydro- or balneotherapy to stretch the spine or lower limbs, applied successfully in hospitals and health resort sanitaria in Hungary for more than fifty years. This study aims to introduce WHT with its biomechanical and clinical effects. History, development, equipment, modes of application, biomechanics, spinal traction forces and elongations, indications and contraindications of WHT are presented.

Subjects and methods: The calculation of traction forces acting along the spinal column during the treatment is described together with the mode of suspension and the position of extra weight loads applied. The biomechanics of the treatment are completed by in vivo measured elongations of lumbar segments using a special underwater ultrasound measuring method. The clinical effects, indications, and contraindications of the treatment are also presented.

Results: In the underwater cervical suspension of a human body, approximately 25 N stretching load occurs in the cervical spine, and about 11 N occurs in the lumbar spine. By applying extra weights, the above tensile forces along the spinal column can be increased. Thus, the traction effect can be controlled by applying such loads during the treatment. Elongations of segments L3–L4, L4–L5, and L5–S1 were measured during the usual WHT of patients suspended cervically in water for 20 minutes, loaded by 20–20 N lead weights on the ankles. The mean initial elastic elongations of spinal segments were about 0.8 mm for patients aged under 40 years, 0.5 mm between 40–60 years, and 0.2 mm for patients over 60 years. The mean final viscoelastic elongations were 1.5 mm, 1.2 mm, and 0.6 mm for the same age classes, respectively. No significant difference was found between the sexes regarding age-dependence in tension. WHT for discopathy showed significant improvement of clinical parameters, which was still evident three months later, as demonstrated by using a controlled pilot study.

Conclusion and discussion: WHT effectively mitigates pain, enhances joint flexibility, and improves the quality of life of patients. The WHT equipment is easy to install and the treatment technique is simple. The authors are the first to determine the biomechanical effects of WHT using an in vivo-measuring method and biomechanical calculations; and verifying the beneficial clinical effects by a controlled pilot study. Approximate values of tensile forces occurring along different points of the spinal column have been calculated, depending on the mode of the suspension, and the value and position of the applied extra weight loads. Time-related viscoelastic elongations of lumbar segments and discs have been measured in vivo, in terms of sex, age, body weight and height and the position of the segment. Several clinical parameters were analyzed by a controlled pilot study to verify the beneficial effects of WHT for cervical and lumbar discopathy. Based on these results, advice can be given to therapists to obtain the optimal traction effects of the treatment.
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Introduction

Weightbath hydrotraction therapy (WHT) is a method of hydro- or balneotherapy where the patient is suspended in water, loaded by extra weights applied to certain points of the body, in order to stretch different parts of the spinal column or lower limbs. WHT is an original Hungarian invention introduced by the physician, Károly Moll in the early 1950s. Hydro- and balneotherapy involves the use of water for soothing pains and treating diseases. Hydrotherapy uses normal tap water. Balneotherapy uses thermal or mineral water.

Water therapies date back to the ancient Egyptian, Greek, and Roman civilizations, for which public baths are well known. Hippocrates prescribed bathing in spring water to treat sickness. This practice was revived by a Dominican monk, Sebastian Kneipp in the 19th century. Water resort therapy has been very popular in European spas and its use to treat rheumatic diseases has a long history.

Traction methods along the longitudinal axis of the body have been known and used for a significant period of time in medical praxis; even Hippocrates constructed an extension desk for spinal traction. However, what often happens during this treatment is that instead of traction effects and stress relaxation, compression increases in the discs. Indeed, White and Panjabi stated that by applying traction to the spine, the discs were put under compression due to muscle activities. Andersson and colleagues concluded that by active traction, in lying posture, the back muscles contracted and the disc pressure increased. Similarly, Ramos and Martin verified this inverse relationship between the applied traction load and the intradiscal pressure.

These observations verify the importance of the WHT in which patients are suspended in lukewarm water with relaxed muscles, whereby the contracting effects of the muscles disappear. WHT is an effective noninvasive simple traction method that unloads the compressed discs, increases joint flexibility, extends the height of the discs, decreases discoid bulging, relaxes stresses both in the nucleus and in the annulus, unloads nerve roots, relieves pain and may even prevent graver problems as well. In Hungary, WHT has successfully been applied for the last fifty years. The method is applied in all rheumatology hospitals and in health spas as an effective health resort therapy.

However, in spite of the popularity of WHT in Hungary, it is practically unknown elsewhere. Indeed, beside the Hungarian reports related to the weightbath method and to some of the clinical and biomechanical effects, there have been until recently few published papers in the international literature on this method.

The verification of the traction effect of WHT has been reported by Kurutz and colleagues by measuring the time-related in vivo elongations of lumbar discs and segments in terms of sex, aging, body weight, and height during the treatment. The stress relaxation effect, the disc unloading, and bulging contraction effects of WHT have been verified by numerical simulation for healthy and degenerated discs by Kurutz and Oroszváry. The clinical evidence of the efficacy of the treatment has been proved in controlled studies by Oláh and colleagues demonstrating that for patients with cervical and lumbar discopathy the clinical parameters and quality of life improved significantly after WHT.

The goal of this study was to introduce the method to the international public and describe the equipment and the modes of underwater suspension, the biomechanics of the treatment, the subsequent traction loads along the spine and the traction elongations of lumbar segments during the treatment, and its clinical relations, indications, and contraindications.

The WHT method

WHT equipment

WHT requires a specially designed basin and hanger equipment. The first wooden hanger (Figure 1) was constructed by Moll, and was used in the warm thermal lake of Spa Hévíz in Hungary. It was later modified by Pap, and his simple metal equipment, with small modifications, is still used today. It consists of a collar hanger, mounted beneath a steel arch named a ‘lyre’, by which the patient is suspended, together with two armpit support bars (Figures 2a and 2b). The steel hanger structure provides elasticity to the whole construction. The plane of the collar, covered by a spongy plastic material, is not perfectly horizontal as it must follow the natural position of the head (Figure 2c). The basin, under the hanger equipment, is especially deepened to guarantee the patient can hang freely.

The extension effect can be made more effective by addition of extra weights applied symmetrically, in the plane of the hips, on a waist belt, or alternatively on the ankles (Figure 2d).

WHT application techniques

In WHT, one-, two-, and three-point suspensions are applied as follows. By applying the hanger collar alone, the body is suspended at a single point. By applying the two armpit support bars alone, the body is supported at two points. The combination of the hanger collar with the two armpit support bars represents the three-point suspension.
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As a strict rule, the extra weight loads are always placed below the part to be stretched. The therapist must show caution when placing these weights. The special anatomic characteristics of the patient must be taken into consideration regarding the position and measure of the segment to be treated. For example, to stretch the segment, L5–S1, it is important to avoid placing the weights over the plane of the hips as the treatment will be ineffective.

The duration of each treatment is 10–25 minutes, while in duration the total treatment is 2–3 weeks, using daily treatments for both outpatient and inpatient hospital care. At the beginning of the therapy, the treatment time may be 10–12 minutes, which is gradually increased to 20–25 minutes. Experience has shown that extending further the treatment time is ineffective.

**WHT biomechanics**

**WHT traction forces**

The traction forces occurring along the spine during WHT were first calculated by Bene and Kurutz. During WHT, different tensile forces may occur in different parts of the spinal column depending on the relative density of the human body and the water, the value and position of the applied extra weights, and in particular, the mode of suspension.

The classification of traction loads arises from the definition of traction elongation of spinal segments. Since nobody knows the intact load- and deformation-free state of the spinal segments and discs, a reference state must be chosen. In this way, elongation of spinal segments is specified as an extension compared with the state of the segments in a normal upright standing position just before the traction bath treatment. That is, zero elongation is defined as the compressed reference state of segments just before the treatment.

Obviously, the traction loads are related to the same reference state, ie, the loading process starts from the compressed state before the treatment, by the removal of the body weight and muscle forces in the water. Consequently, there are two components of traction loads:

1. the indirect traction load, that is, the decompressive force consisting of the removal of the compressive preload of body weight and the removal of muscle forces that are partly or totally relaxed during the treatment; and
2. the direct traction load consisting of the active tensile force due to buoyancy and the applied extra loads that
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*Figure 1* The first WHT hanger equipment constructed by Moll in 1953.

**Abbreviation:** WHT, weightbath hydrotraction treatment.
are reduced by buoyancy too. Consequently, the traction process in itself can also be divided in an indirect and a direct phase.

**Traction forces in one-point suspension**

In the case of one-point cervical suspension, the distribution of the indirect and direct traction loads along the spinal column is illustrated in Figure 3 together with the compressive loads of the standing position. Compressive loads of standing are seen to the left (Figure 3b), tensile loads of subaqual suspension are seen to the right (Figure 3d). Since forces always increase towards the support, compressive forces increase downwards the soil support and tensile forces increase upwards towards the hanger collar support. The muscle forces cannot be illustrated.

**Indirect traction load**

In cervical suspension, the first component of the indirect traction load is the removal of the compressive preload acting on the spine before treatment; ie, the net reaction force acting at the analyzed spine level. According to Figure 3b, at lumbar level this force takes about 58%–60% of the body weight $G$, namely, the weight of the head and trunk together.\(^{22}\) Langrana and colleagues\(^{23}\) found 0.501$G$ as the net reaction force across the L3 cross-section. In our calculations we used the value of 0.6$G$ for the lower L3–S1 level. This force has to be completed by the removing muscle forces that keep the spine in stable equilibrium in an upright standing position before the treatment. Since cervical suspension provides total muscle relaxation, this force in the lumbar spine can be about the same as the weight of the upper body.\(^{24,25}\) Thus, approximately, the indirect traction load at cervical and lumbar level and at the ankles yield

$$F_c = 0.08G, \quad F_l = 1.2G \quad \text{and} \quad F_a = 1.8G, \quad (1)$$

respectively, since at the cervical level this force consists of the decompression of the head load, and at the ankle level the muscle and tendon forces are high.

**Direct traction load**

The active tensile force and the extra load depend on buoyancy.\(^{13}\) Bodies in fluid are loaded by the resultant weight of the body acting downwards and the buoyant force acting upwards. Immersed totally in water, the buoyant force $G_b$ of the body is proportional to the ratio of the density of the body $\rho_b$ and water $\rho_w$, that is $G_b = G \cdot \rho_w / \rho_b$. Consequently, the active tensile load for the total body yields $G - G_b = G(1 - \rho_w / \rho_b)$. 

---

**Figure 2** The WHT equipment consisting of A) the steel arch named “lyre” and two armpit support bars, on which B) the patient is suspended, C) the collar covered by plastic spongy material, and D) the extra lead weights applied on the ankle.
Since the head is not in the water, the weight $G$ has to be reduced by the weight $0.08G$ of the head, thus, the active tensile load at cervical level, at the collar yields

$$F_{c} = 0.92 \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_{w}}{\rho_{b}} \right) G.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In the lumbar level the weight $G - 0.58G = (1-0.58)G = 0.42G$ is considered (Figure 3), thus, the active tensile force at the lumbar level reads:

$$F_{l} = 0.42 \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_{w}}{\rho_{b}} \right) G.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

By considering $\rho_{b} = 1040 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and $\rho_{w} = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$, the above forces read

$$F_{c} = 0.92 \left( 1 - \frac{1000}{1040} \right) G = 0.036G \quad \text{and} \quad F_{l} = 0.42 \left( 1 - \frac{1000}{1040} \right) G = 0.016G,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

as seen in Figure 3. For the standard body weight of $G = 700N$ the cervical and lumbar active tension forces are:

$$F_{c} = 25.2N \quad \text{and} \quad F_{l} = 11.2N,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

respectively. Due to the buoyancy effect alone, the tensile forces acting on the spinal column of the suspended body in the water are surprisingly small. Caused by the weight of the body, traction forces at the lumbar column are generally insufficient for the required traction effect of the therapy. This experience led to the application of extra weights. Moreover, this effect is even smaller for mineral water, where the water density is higher than that of tap water. At the same time, it may happen that obese patients with smaller density than that of the water start to float. Consequently, in such cases the application of extra loads is inevitable. For that very reason, Moll applied extra lead weights to provoke a more intensive stretching effect. The extra weights $W$ are reduced also by the buoyancy

$$F_{c} = W \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_{w}}{\rho_{l}} \right) = 0.912W,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where the density of lead is $\rho_{l} = 11350 \text{ kg/m}^3$. This force is constant along the total length of the spinal column, as seen in Figure 3.

As a summary, in the case of one-point suspension with extra loads, the direct traction loads at cervical, lumbar, and ankle levels yield:
respectively, since at ankle level the buoyant force is considered to be zero by neglecting the small buoyant effect of the feet.

Finally, the total traction loads, as the sum of the indirect and direct loads at cervical, lumbar, and ankle levels are

\[
F_c = \left[0.08 + 0.92 \left(1 - \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_b}\right)\right] G + \left(1 - \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_l}\right) W, \\
F_l = \left[1.2 + 0.42 \left(1 - \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_b}\right)\right] G + \left(1 - \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_l}\right) W, \\
F_a = 1.8G + \left(1 - \frac{\rho_a}{\rho_l}\right) W,
\]

that in the standard case detailed above with 2 \times 20 = 40N extra lead loads yield

\[
F_c = 0.08G + 0.036G + 0.912W = 56.0 + 25.2 + 36.5 = 117.7N, \\
F_l = 1.2G + 0.016G + 0.912W = 840.0 + 11.2 + 36.5 = 887.7N, \\
F_a = 1.8G + 0.912W = 1260 + 36.5 = 1296.5N.
\]

From these expressions, the ratio of the decompressive, buoyant, and extra weight loads can be studied.

In the one-point cervical suspension mode, the extra loads can be positioned on other parts of the spine, for example on the waist, when the traction effect of the weights can be calculated over the positioned point, between that point and the collar. If there are no extra weights applied, in the above results \(W = 0\) is considered.

### Traction forces in two-point suspension

Occasionally, during WHT, the direct loading of the occipitoatlantal part of the spinal column must partly or totally be avoided. If WHT aims to stretch either the lumbar part of the spinal column or the big joints of the lower limbs, and the concentrated loading of the cervical segments is contraindicated, or simply, being suspended at the occipitoatlantal point is inconvenient for the patient, application of armpit supports may be used. Armpit support represents a two-point suspension.

In contrast to the one-point suspension, using armpit supports, the muscles must be active to keep the body in equilibrium in the water. Consequently, the decompressive effect of the muscles cannot be taken into consideration. Moreover, the weight of the head acts as compression force to the spine. Furthermore, with armpit support, the action lines of tensile forces are disadvantageous with regards to the stretching effect. Namely, in cervical suspension, the collar support, where the forces are concentrated, is very close to the spinal column, therefore the action line of the direct traction forces is close to the spinal column leading to an increased effect of stretching. However, with armpit bars, the action line of the direct traction forces are distributed along the back muscles towards the armpit supports, far away from the spinal column. These hypotheses have to be further researched. Consequently, the traction forces cannot be correctly calculated in this suspension mode.

### Traction forces in three-point suspension

The above mentioned analytical problems become more intensive in the case of the three-point suspension where there is a combination of cervical suspension and bilateral armpit bar support. Tensile forces of cervical suspension are highly influenced by the active muscle forces occurring from the bilateral armpit supports. Moreover, the armpit bars are generally applied as conditional supplementary supports only if it is necessary to unload the cervical segments. Regarding the numerical approach, the main problem is that the usage of supplementary armpit supports in itself, depends exclusively on the decision of the patient, and it is continuously changing during the therapy. Thus, during this mode of suspension, all combinations of pure one- and two-point suspension can happen; therefore, an infinite number of arbitrary combinations may occur at irregular time intervals. This makes the analysis very complicated.

At present, the only mechanical consequence which can be drawn for the three-point suspension is that the traction effect in the spinal column is smaller than in the case of pure cervical suspension. This problem needs more experimental and analytical investigation.

### WHT traction deformations

Elongations of segments L3–L4, L4–L5, and L5–S1 were measured by Kurutz and colleagues, during normal WHT of patients suspended cervically in lukewarm water for 20 minutes, without extra weights, and loaded by 20 N lead weights on each ankle. WHT is a typical viscoelastic...
process with initial instant elastic and 20-minute long creeping phases. Thus both the initial elastic and the further creeping elongations were measured by using a special subaqual ultrasound measuring method. The extension of the distance between two spinous processes of adjacent vertebrae was measured during the WHT, in special time instants, by a mobile ultrasound instrument. During the measuring process, physicians were in the bath, together with the suspended patient. The ultrasound pictures and measured results were digitally stored and evaluated by using an image analyzer.

At the beginning of the suspension without extra weights, 30%–50% of patients showed instant elastic elongations with a mean value of 0.4–0.6 mm per segment. At the end of the treatment, elongations were observed in 70%–85% of patients in the group with, and 55%–65% in the group without extra weights. The mean additional elongations per segment were approximately 0.2–0.35 mm without, and 0.5 mm with extra weights. The total final elongations were approximately 0.8–0.9 mm and 0.8–1.4 mm without and with extra weights respectively.

In analyzing age-effects, three age-classes were distinguished: young (under 40 years), middle aged (40–60 years) and old (over 60 years). In the group with extra weights, the mean initial/final age-related elongations for less than 40 years, between 40–60 years, and over 60 years were: 0.58/1.50 mm, 0.66/1.51 mm, 0.34/0.96 mm (L3–L4); 0.80/1.41 mm, 0.49/1.19 mm, 0.24/0.36 mm (L4–L5); and 1.06/1.63 mm, 0.24/0.79 mm, 0.07/0.25 mm (L5–S1), respectively. For the group without extra weights these values were 0.42/0.99 mm, 0.50/1.10 mm, 0.07/0.44 mm (L3–L4); 0.86/1.29 mm, 0.41/0.86 mm, 0.15/0.38 mm (L4–L5); and 0.27/0.53 mm, 0.50/0.87 mm, 0.15/0.61 mm (L5–S1), respectively.

For the lumbar segments, L3–S1, the mean initial/final age-related elongations with extra weights for less than 40 years, between 40–60 years, and over 60 years were: 0.82/1.51 mm, 0.48/1.19 mm, 0.23/0.55 mm, respectively.

**WHT clinical relations**

WHT has been successfully applied for more than fifty years in all spas, rheumatology hospitals, health resort sanatoria, and wellness centers in Hungary. Indications and contraindications of WHT have been developed during this time, based on medical experience only, without any clinical studies. Consequently, most of the indications and contraindications detailed below can be considered only as hypotheses to be verified by medical practice. However, a recent controlled pilot study with follow-up has been reported on the clinical effects of WHT in treating disorders of the cervical and lumbar spine. The clinical results of WHT were initially analyzed by Domokos and Szabó in an uncontrolled study of 790 patients. For lumbar discopathy, 50% of the patients recovered, 45% of them showed improvement, and 5% had no change. For degenerative diseases of the cervical segments, WHT was successful in 36%, partly effective in 60% and ineffective in 4% of the patients.

**WHT indications and contraindications**

WHT can be applied in the active recovering state of acute radiculitis, radiculoneuritis, after commencement of mobilization. Frequently, soon after the beginning of the first treatment, a sudden improvement can be observed.

WHT can be applied with success in treating compensated scoliosis in adults where the problem is secondary discopathy or myalgia. However, for conservative treatment of fixed posture problems or decompensated scoliosis, the decision on treatment must be based on orthopedic consultation, taking into consideration the individual patient, with respect for age and condition.

There is some success in applying WHT for mild osteoporosis if the mobility of the spinal column can be observed in every segment, due to a preceding pharmaceutical treatment and consistently applied physiotherapy.

As a conclusion, indications for the use of WHT are as follows: discopathy (osteocondrosis); spondylosis; spondylarthrosis; cervicobrachialgia; lumboischialgia (subacute, chronic radiculopathy, post-radiculitic neuralgia); neuralgia occipitalis; migraine cervicalis; Morbus Scheuermann; spondylarthritis ankylopoetica states I–II; contracture of hip and knee joints.

Contraindications for the use of WHT are as follows:

General contraindications: if the bath in itself is contraindicated; insufficient coronaria; ischemic heart disease; angina pectoris; hypertonia; hyperthyreosis; asthma bronchiale; emphysema pulmonum gravis; cor. pulmonale chr. decomp.; tumor; tbc.

Local contraindications: acute radiculitis, radiculoneuritis, spondylosis, spondylolysis; spondilitis tbc, aspecifica; tumor vertebrae, status post laminectomiam, and other postoperative states, (status post spondylodesim, screwed osteosynthesis op., Wiltsche op.); inflammation contractions of joints of hip and knee; varicositas cruris gravis; ulcus cruris.
WHT for discopathy achieves significant improvement, mitigates pain and enhances joint flexibility. Oláh and colleagues investigated clinical trials to verify the beneficial impacts of WHT on the clinical parameters and quality of life of patients with cervical and lumbar discopathy. A controlled pilot study with follow-up was investigated on ambulatory patients having cervical or lumbar discopathy, related to several clinical parameters, eg lumbar pain intensity, finger–floor distance, shifting of hand position on the thigh upon lateral flexion of the trunk, neck pain intensity, lateral and dorsal flexion of the cervical spine, SF-36 score, Oswestry index, magnetic resonance imaging changes of intervertebral disc protrusion, patient's subjective opinion, and physician's appraisal. WHT for cervical discopathy achieved significant improvement of all studied clinical parameters, which were still evident three months later. In the group with lumbar discopathy, all parameters improved significantly after WHT; the improvement proved to be lasting after three months and increased further in the case of two parameters.

Conclusions
Weightbath therapy aims to treat degenerative diseases of the spinal column and states of contracture in the joints of the lower limbs. The artificially produced permanent or intermittent traction force in the spinal column or in a section of it helps thecolumn to be stretched and elongated longitudinally – which yields the unloading of compressed nerves – leading to the repose of disc prolapses. Although WHT is a successful method in treating low-back pain or discopathy, to increase the efficiency of the treatment, a biomechanical analysis is useful. Namely, for deciding the adequate treatment method depending on the aim of the therapy, the knowledge of the mechanical effects of the therapy itself is necessary.

WHT application aspects
To prescribe any version of WHT, the following factors need to be taken into consideration: the class and type of the rheumatic disease to be treated by WHT; the localization of the disease; the severity and state of the disease; the natural constitution of the individual patient, age, sex, weight, height, muscles, body mass index, general state.

In applying WHT for the required tensile effect, the following possibilities should be considered simultaneously: mode of suspension; the position of the applied extra weights; the amount of the applied extra weights; the time duration of each treatment; and the time duration of the whole cure.

The above detailed analysis should help therapists decide on the optimal form of treatment.

Considering the mode of suspension
By applying one-, two- or three-point suspension, the therapist has to consider that localization of the tensile force can be modified by the mode of suspension.

In one-point suspension, the tensile effect is concentrated at the cervical segments of the spinal column, and the tensile force occurring in the lower segments decreases proportionally in terms of the distance from the support point (Figure 3). In two-point suspension, the cervical segments are unloaded while the tensile effect is concentrated into the distal segments and the hip joints. The three-point suspension works between the loading–unloading of the cervical segments mutually with the change of loading in the lumbar part of the spinal column due to muscle activation, depending on the self control of the patient.

Considering the extra weights
Generally, for the therapy of cervical segments, self weight of the body itself may be enough, if the density of the patient is higher than that of the water. In the case of obese patients or using mineral water of a higher density, or, for an effective stretching of the hip or knee joints, extra weights are needed. The required tensile effects are realized by applying them on waist or ankle belts.

In the case of lumbar therapy, for an effective stretching for women of average weight and muscles, 20–20 N, for men of higher weights and muscles, 30–30 N extra lead weights can be applied. The value of weights may also be increased during the therapy.

Interruption of the treatment
During treatment, the patient must not move or stretch their muscles. Patients should be suspended in the lukewarm water tranquilly, in a totally comfortable, relaxed position, almost sleeping with closed eyes. The patient must follow the instructions of the therapist to reach this optimal state.

However, the treatment must be interrupted immediately if the patient cannot tolerate it, or in the case of any sudden effects such as: a feeling of collapse; numbness; dizziness; headache; pain in chest (or elsewhere); or in any change in the normal state of the patient. Most of these problems can be avoided since generally these symptoms are the consequence of the incorrect application of the treatment, or incorrect assessment of the state of the patient. To avoid these problems, the therapist should consider the results of this paper.

Summary
Although WHT is a simple, noninvasive, effective stretching method that has been applied successfully in Hungary for
more than fifty years, it is relatively unknown elsewhere. This present study can be used to introduce and familiarize the method to rheumatologists and hydrotherapists anywhere.

The aim of this paper was to give an overview of the treatment with respect to its clinical and biomechanical background, and to give guidance to therapists to avoid complications or the inefficiency of the treatment. On the basis of the above detailed biomechanical results, WHT can be applied more effectively without any risk of side effects or complications.

The authors were the first to determine the traction effects of WHT by using biomechanical calculations and in vivo-measuring methods; and were the first to investigate the clinical evidence of the therapy by using a controlled pilot study. The approximate value of the tensile force occurring in the different points of the spinal column was calculated, depending on the mode of suspension, specific characteristics of the human body, and the value and position of the applied extra weight loads. Several beneficial clinical effects were verified for patients having cervical or lumbar discopathy.

Beside the biomechanical results, the WHT technique and the indications and contraindications of it are also detailed here. Using this paper therapists can obtain a more complete overview of WHT, with important information that can help in consideration of the circumstances for its use, and choosing the optimal mode of the cure.
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