Synergistic Effect of Pseudolaric Acid B with Fluconazole Against Resistant Isolates and Biofilm of Candida tropicalis
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Purpose: Candida tropicalis (C. tropicalis) has emerged as an important fungal pathogen due to its increasing resistance to conventional antifungal agents, especially fluconazole (FLC). Pseudolaric acid B (PAB), a herbal-originated diterpene acid from Pseudolarix kaempferi Gordon, has been reported to possess inhibitory activity against fungus. The present study aims to investigate the antifungal effect of PAB alone and in combination with FLC on planktonic and biofilm cells of C. tropicalis.

Methods: The antifungal activity of PAB against planktonic isolates was evaluated alone and in combination with FLC using the checkerboard microdilution method and growth curve assay. The anti-biofilm effects were quantified by tetrazolium (XTT) reduction assay, which were further confirmed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and fluorescent microscope to observe morphological changes of biofilm treated with PAB and FLC.

Results: It was revealed that PAB alone exhibited similar inhibitory activity against FLC-resistant and FLC-susceptible strains with median MIC ranging from 8 to 16 μg/mL. When administered in combination, synergism was observed in all (13/13) FLC-resistant and (2/9) FLC-susceptible strains with FICI ranging from 0.070 to 0.375. Moreover, the concomitant use of PAB and FLC exhibited a strong dose-dependent synergistic inhibitory effect on the early and mature biofilm, eliminating more than 80% biofilm formation. SEM found that PAB, different from azoles, could significantly inhibit spore germination and destroy the cell integrity causing cell deformation, swelling, collapse and outer membrane perforation.

Conclusion: PAB was highly active against FLC-resistant isolates and biofilm of C. tropicalis, particularly when combined with FLC. These findings suggest that PAB may have potential as a novel antifungal agent with different targets from azole drugs.
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Introduction

C. tropicalis has received widespread attention in recent years due to increased prevalence and high mortality. Although C. albicans remains the predominant pathogenic fungus causing invasive candidiasis (IC), C. tropicalis has emerged as the most or second frequently isolated non-albicans Candida (NCAC) species.1–3 Moreover, C. tropicalis exhibit higher levels of resistance and cross-resistance to azole drugs compared with C. albicans isolates, which led to the failure of therapeutic strategies.1,4

It was revealed that biofilm formation might be more influential than invasiveness on the development of persistent candidiasis.5 The National Institutes of
Health estimates that biofilms are responsible for approximately 80% of microbial infections in humans. With the surrounding of extracellular matrix, C. tropicalis biofilm is a closely packed microbial community constituted of yeast and hyphae, which can establish on implanted medical devices, such as intravascular catheters, dentures and pacemakers. Such growth characteristics of biofilm confer C. tropicalis cells some properties that are distinct from their planktonic counterparts, for example, they exhibit greater resistance to antifungal agent and host immune defenses, serving as reservoirs for persistent infections. It has been reported that biofilm of candida may be up to 1000 times more resistant to antifungal agents when compared with their planktonic cell. Therefore, efforts are needed to explore promising antifungal drugs that are effective against azole-resistant isolates and biofilms of C. tropicalis.

Pseudolaric acid B (PAB), a diterpene acid, is the major antifungal constituent of a traditional Chinese herb known as “Tu-Jin-Pi”, which has been prescribed as a treatment for fungal infections of skin during the 17th century. The antifungal activity of PAB against planktonic cells of FLC-resistant C. albicans has been demonstrated, displaying a significant synergistic effect when it was combined with FLC. However, it has not been described on the effectiveness of PAB alone or in combination with azoles against planktonic cells and biofilms of C. tropicalis, whose resistance to clinical commonly used antifungal agents is more serious.

The combination therapy is a common approach to improve the efficacy of antifungal agents and reduce the adverse reactions of these drugs. The present study aimed to elucidate the antifungal activity of PAB alone and in combination with FLC on planktonic and biofilm cells of C. tropicalis. It is hoped that our research will contribute to overcome the drug resistance of C. tropicalis.

Materials and Methods

Fungal Strains and Materials

Twenty-one clinical isolates of C. tropicalis involved in our study were kindly provided by the Clinical laboratory of Ruijin Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine. In addition, C. parapsilosis ATCC 22019 and C. tropicalis ATCC 750 were used as controls. Pseudolaric acid B (PAB), a diterpene acid from Pseudolarix kaempferi Gordon (Pinaceae), was purchased from the Tauto Biotech Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). And Fluconazole (FLC) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Stock solutions of PAB and FLC were both prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide. The final concentration of DMSO was not higher than 0.14%. What’s more, RPMI 1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), menadione (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai) and 2,3-bis (2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide, XTT, (Sigma-Aldrich) were used in this study.

Antifungal Susceptibility Testing

The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of antifungal agents (PAB and FLC) against the C. tropicalis strains were determined according to the broth microdilution assay in 96-well flat-bottomed microtitration plates as described by the method M27-A3 of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, formerly NCCLS). Twofold serial dilutions in RPMI 1640 medium were performed to obtain the final concentrations ranged from 0.125 to 64 μg/mL for PAB and from 0.125 to 512 μg/mL for FLC. To ensure the quality of susceptibility tests, reference strains C. parapsilosis ATCC 22019 and C. tropicalis ATCC 750 were included in each batch of test. All plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h, after which the MICs were determined by both visual reading and optical density (OD) determination as previously reported. Three independent experiments were performed.

Microdilution Chequerboard Assay

The synergistic effects of PAB with FLC against planktonic C. tropicalis isolates were analyzed using the method of microdilution chequerboard as previously described. Drug dilutions were prepared in RPMI 1640 medium to obtain four times of the final concentration. Then, 50 μL of medium containing PAB with different concentrations were added to rows A to H of the 96-well microtitration plates, and 50 μL of FLC medium was added to columns 1 to 11. Finally, 100 μL of Candida suspensions (10³ CFU/mL) was added to each well. Wells in column 12 served as the positive (only containing Candida suspensions) and negative (only containing medium) growth control. The final concentrations ranged from 0.125 to 16 μg/mL for PAB, 0.03125 to 32 μg/mL for FLC. After incubation at 37°C for 24h, the MICs were determined by visual analysis, which represent the lowest concentration of 100% growth inhibition.

The Fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) was used to evaluate the synergistic effects of drugs. FICI = FICA + FICB = C_A^comb/MIC_A^alone + C_B^comb/MIC_B^alone. MIC_A^alone and MIC_B^alone are the MIC values of drug A and B when acting alone. C_A^comb and C_B^comb are concentrations
of drug A and B in combination. The FICI value of ≤0.5 represented synergy, FICI value between 0.5 and 4 represented indifference and FICI value >4 represented antagonism.

Growth Curve Assay
Growth curve assay was used to dynamically monitor the synergistic effect of PAB with FLC against planktonic C. tropicalis isolates. The fungal supernatant (10⁴ CFU/mL) containing agents (1 µg/mL PAB, 2 µg/mL FLC, and 1µg/mL PAB + 2µg/mL FLC) was grown with constant shaking at 37°C. The control was free of FLC and PAB. At designated time points (0, 12, 24, 48 h), the cell broths were pipetted out to determine the OD with microplate reader at 495 nm. What’s more, 20 µL of cell broths was filled into the fast counting plate to observe the growth state of strains with microscope at 48h.

Effects of PAB and FLC Against Biofilm Formation
The effects of PAB and FLC against C. tropicalis biofilm were quantified by tetrazolium (XTT) reduction assays. Biofilms were produced on commercially available polystyrene, flat-bottomed 96-well microtiter plates. At first, 100 µL of a standardized cell suspension (10⁶ CFU/mL) in RPMI 1640 medium was transferred into selected wells for biofilm formation, and were incubated at 37°C. At the early phase of biofilm formation (0, 2h), 100 µL of RPMI 1640 medium containing PAB alone (or FLC) were added to each well. To detect the developmental and mature biofilms, drugs were, respectively, added at 6h and 24h, before which non-adhered cells were removed by sterile PBS. After incubation at 37°C for 48h, biofilm growth was analyzed with XTT assay as described. As for the anti-biofilm effect of combination, 50 µL of RPMI 1640 medium containing PAB or FLC was added to each well at designated time points (0, 2, 6, 24h) according to the method of microdilution chequerboard mentioned above.

Each experiment was repeated for three times.

Fluorescein Diacetate Assay
The fluorescence microscope assay was performed according to the method as reported. The fungal supernatant of ATCC750 (10⁶ CFU/mL) containing agents (4µg/mL PAB, 16 µg/mL FLC, and 4 µg/mL PAB + 16 µg/mL FLC) was incubated to form biofilms on 6-well plate at 37°C. The control was free of PAB and FLC. After 24 h culture, the plate was rinsed by sterile PBS to remove planktonic cell. Subsequently, 1.5mL fresh RPMI 1640 medium and 1.5mL diluent of 0.2 mg/mL fluorescein diacetate (FDA, Sigma, Shanghai, China) were added into each well. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 30 min in the dark. The morphology of biofilms was visualized by a fluorescent microscope (Oumeng,EUROStar III plus) at the excitation wavelength of 494 nm and the emission wavelength of 518nm.

Scanning Electron Microscope
Firstly, biofilms (ATCC750) were formed on 6-well plate with different concentrations of drugs (4µg/mL PAB, 16µg/mL FLC, and 4µg/mL PAB + 16 µg/mL FLC). The control had only fungal suspension (10⁶ CFU/mL) without drug. After incubated at 37°C for 24h, the wells were cut into square pieces of 1x1cm, which were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde overnight at 4°C. The pieces were washed three times with PBS, and post-fixed with 1% osmium acid. Thereafter, they were dehydrated sequentially by 30, 50, 70, 80, 95 and 100% (twice) ethanol for each step 15 min. After critical point drying, the biofilms on pieces were sputter coated with gold in a vacuum evaporator and observed by scanning electron microscope (FEI, Quanta-250).

Statistical Analysis
The MICs were presented as Median (Range). The inhibition rates were expressed as mean values with corresponding standard deviations. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 23.0. Differences between groups were determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA). A P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Antifungal Activities Against Planktonic Isolates
The antifungal activities of PAB and FLC alone were determined by the broth microdilution assay. Among the 22 isolates of C. tropicalis tested, 13 isolates were resistant to FLC with MIC values ranging from 8 to 256µg/mL, and 9 isolates were sensitive to FLC with MICs ranging from 1 to 4µg/mL. The median MICs of PAB were in a range of 8–16 µg/mL against all the C. tropicalis isolates, indicating that PAB exhibited similar inhibitory activity against FLC-resistant and FLC-susceptible strains when it was used alone (Table 1).

The synergistic effects of PAB with FLC against planktonic C. tropicalis isolates were analyzed using the method.
of microdilution chequerboard. As is presented in Table 1, a significant decrease in MICs of FLC was observed when planktonic cells were tested in the presence of PAB. For instance, MIC of isolate 1782 to FLC in combination with 0.5 μg/mL PAB was found to be 2 μg/mL, which was 128 times less than that of fluconazole alone (256 μg/mL). What’s more, the FICI values ranged from 0.070 to 0.375 for FLC-resistant isolates, showing a good synergistic effect against FLC-resistant *C. tropicalis* s isolates when PAB was combined with FLC. While for 9 of the FLC-S strains, including ATCC 750, the PAB/FLC combination utilization displayed synergy (2/9) or indifference (7/9) with FICI values ranging from 0.281 to 1.008. No antagonism interactions between PAB and FLC were observed in either FLC-resistant or FLC-susceptible *C. tropicalis* isolates.

In order to further evaluate the synergism of FLC and PAB against resistant *C. tropicalis*, we used the Growth curve assay. As shown in Figure 1, little differences in growth were seen among the 4 groups in the first 12h. At 48h, the OD value was reduced more than 2-fold in the combination group (FLC+PAB) than in the FLC-alone group, indicating the synergistic effect of 2μg/mL of FLC and 1μg/mL of PAB against *C. tropicalis* isolate (Figure 1). The microscope results showed reduction of spores and hyphae, which also confirmed the synergistic antifungal activity of PAB/FLC combination utilization (Figure 2).

### Effects of PAB/FLC Alone on Biofilm Formation

The effects of PAB/FLC on biofilm formation at different growth stages were analyzed using a tetrazolium salt XTT reduction assay by calculating the percent reduction in biofilm growth compared to untreated control (Figure 3). During the early phase (0h) of biofilm formation, drug intervention was added at the beginning of biofilm formation. PAB alone repressed the formation of biofilm in a dose-dependent manner, while no significant correlation was found between the FLC doses and its inhibitory effects on biofilm formation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Median MIC (Range) of Drug Alone (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Median MIC (Range) in Combination (FLC+PAB) (µg/mL)</th>
<th>FICI (Range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FLC</td>
<td>PAB</td>
<td>FLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1782</td>
<td>256 (128–256)</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
<td>256 (128–256)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>16 (16–32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>128 (64–256)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>128 (128–256)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>1 (0.5–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>128 (64–128)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>1 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>128 (64–128)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>16 (8–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
<td>128 (64–128)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>32 (32–64)</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>2 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>16 (8–16)</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>4 (2–4)</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>4 (4–4)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>2 (1–2)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>2 (2–4)</td>
<td>16 (8–16)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>2 (1–2)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
<td>8 (8–16)</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
<td>8 (4–8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>1 (0.5–1)</td>
<td>8 (8–8)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.5–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
<td>16 (8–16)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.125–0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC22019</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
<td>16 (16–32)</td>
<td>0.125 (0.125–0.125)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** The Interaction Between Pseudolaric Acid B and Fluconazole Against Isolates of *C. tropicalis* in vitro

**Note:** *FICI<0.5* represented synergy, *0.5<FICI<4* represented indifference, *FICI>4* represented antagonism.

**Abbreviations:** FICI, fractional inhibitory concentration index; PAB, pseudolaric acid B; FLC, fluconazole; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MIC range, the numerical range of the three repeated results; Median MIC, the result in the middle of the three repeated results arranged in order.
When PAB was used alone, the percent reduction in biofilm growth elevated with the increasing of drug concentration, and treatment with 32 µg/mL of PAB inhibited more than 80% biofilm formation of C. tropicalis isolates. However, the inhibition of biofilm in 256 µg/mL FLC was about 60% for ATCC750, although about 20% biofilms could be repressed at a lower concentration of 0.25 µg/mL at the early phase. At the developmental phase (6h), C. tropicalis cells grew for 6 hours to form metaphase biofilm, and then FLC+PAB was added. Both PAB and FLC decreased about 30% biofilm formation. Mature biofilms (24h) were completely resistant to PAB and FLC, when they were used alone. At the early stage (0h), the inhibition rate of 32 µg/mL PAB on biofilm formation was more than 80%, while at the same concentration, the inhibition rate of mature biofilm (24h) was less than 10% (Figure 3).

Effects of PAB and FLC Combination on Biofilm Formation

The in vitro activities of combinations against C. tropicalis biofilms were investigated with checkerboard assays. It was found that the combination utilization of PAB and FLC displayed strong inhibitory effects on both early and mature biofilms (Figure 4). And the percent reduction in biofilm formation increases with the increase of FLC concentration in the presence of PAB. During the early phase, the combination of 16 µg/mL of FLC and 2 µg/mL of PAB on ATCC750 could inhibit 80.36% biofilm formation, while the inhibition of biofilm in 256 µg/mL FLC alone was about 60.99%. FLC alone was ineffective against

---

**Figure 1** The Growth curve of PAB in combination with FLC against resistant C. tropicalis (365). The fungal supernatant (10⁵ CFU/mL) containing agents (1 µg/mL PAB, 2 µg/mL FLC and 1µg/mL PAB + 2µg/mL FLC) were grown with constant shaking at 37°C. The control was free of FLC and PAB. At designated time points (0, 12, 24, 48 h), the cell broths were pipetted out to determine the OD with microplate reader at 495 nm.

**Figure 2** The growth condition of C. tropicalis (365) treated with PAB alone and in combination with FLC (40x). At 48h of Growth curve assay, 20 µL of cell broths were filled into the fast counting plate to observe the growth state of strains with microscope. (A). The control was free of FLC and PAB; (B–D). Yeast cells respectively treated with 2 µg/mL FLC, 1µg/mL PAB and 1µg/mL PAB + 2µg/mL FLC.
mature biofilms, but when combined with 64 μg/mL of PAB, could inhibit about 50%, 70%, 80% biofilms at concentrations of 2,32,256 μg/mL, respectively. Treated with 4 μg/mL of PAB+16 μg/mL of FLC inhibited the biofilm formation significantly (P<0.05) compared with the separate use of PAB or FLC.

To further confirm the synergistic inhibitory effects of PAB/FLC on biofilm formation, we also employed fluorescent microscope (Figure 5) and SEM (Figure 6) to observe the morphological changes of C. tropicalis ATCC750 after the treatment of PAB and FLC. As shown in Figure 6A, biofilms of the drug-free control were mainly composed of blast conidia and elongated hyphae. When it was treated with 4 μg/mL PAB, both hyphal and blast conidia cells were reduced, especially blast conidia cells, indicating that PAB has strong ability to inhibit spore germination (Figure 6C). Moreover, PAB could cause alterations in hyphal morphology of C. tropicalis, such as distortion, swelling, collapse and perforated outer membrane. However, biofilm formed in the presence of 16 μg/mL FLC exhibited less hyphae and blast conidia, suggesting that fluconazole mainly postpone spore proliferation and mycelium formation (Figure 6B). It is noteworthy that 16 μg/mL FLC did not destroy cell structure, which was consistent with the results of XTT assay. Because the biofilm activity in the

Figure 3 Effects of FLC/PAB alone on biofilm formation at different stages. (A) The inhibition of FLC alone against biofilm formation. (B) The inhibition of PAB alone against biofilm formation. 0, 2, 6 and 24 h represent the time point of starting to add drug intervention in the process of biofilm formation. Early biofilm (0h): C. tropicalis cells were incubated continuously in the presence of FLC/PAB at 37°C for 48 h. Early biofilm (2h): C. tropicalis cells were allowed to adhere for 2 h then FLC/PAB was added and incubated further for 48 h. Developmental biofilm (6h): C. tropicalis cells grew for 6 hours to form metaphase biofilm, and then FLC/PAB was added to incubate further for 48 h. Mature biofilm (24h): After growing for 24 hours to form mature biofilm, C. tropicalis cells were treated with FLC/PAB for another 24 h. (C) The control group was free of antifungal agents, accepted as 0% inhibition. *p < 0.05, compared with the control.
Figure 4 Effects of PAB and FLC combination on biofilm formation. (A) Early biofilm (0h): C. tropicalis cells were incubated continuously in the presence of FLC+PAB at 37°C for 48 h. (B) Early biofilm (2h): C. tropicalis cells were allowed to adhere for 2 h then FLC+PAB was added and incubated further for 48 h. (C) Developmental biofilm (6h): C. tropicalis cells grew for 6 hours to form metaphase biofilm, and then FLC+PAB was added to incubate further for 48 h. (D) Mature biofilm (24h): After growing for 24 hours to form mature biofilm, C. tropicalis cells were treated with FLC+PAB for another 24 h. Abscissa refers to the concentration of FLC. Each curve shows the trend of biofilm inhibition with the increase of FLC concentration, when PAB is at a specific concentration. (E) Inhibition of biofilm formation at 16 µg/mL FLC, 4 µg/mL PAB, 16 µg/mL FLC+4 µg/mL PAB. *p < 0.05, compared with the combination group (16 µg/mL FLC+4 µg/mL PAB).
presence of 16 µg/mL FLC was about 50% of the control group, although the number of cells has been significantly reduced. When PAB and FLC were used in combination, the inhibitory effects of the two drugs were superimposed. Only a few scattered yeast cells could be found, showing broken and deformed morphology (Figure 6D).

**Discussion**

Due to the extensive use of conventional antifungal agents in past decades, azole-resistant *C. tropicalis* has emerged worldwide, particularly in the Asia Pacific region. In China, the fluconazole and voriconazole resistant rates of *C. tropicalis* substantially increased from <8% in 2009–2010 to over 22% in 2013–2014. In the US, *C. tropicalis* (4–9%) also has a higher incidence of fluconazole resistance than *C. albicans*(0.5–2%). It is reported that *C. tropicalis* appears to develop fluconazole resistance much more rapidly than other *Candida* species under in vitro selection. Thus, novel antifungal agents are urgently needed to relieve the pressure caused by *C. tropicalis*.

Pseudolaric acid B (PAB) is considered as the major antifungal component of Cortex pseudolaricis (tujingpi). Previous studies have shown that the extract of “tujingpi” is effective against *C. albicans, Trichophyton mentagrophytes, Microsporum gypseum* and *Torulopsis petrophilum* at micromolar levels. However, the anticandidal effect of PAB against *C. tropicalis* has rarely been reported. The present study evaluated the inhibitory activity of PAB against planktonic cell and biofilm of *C. tropicalis* in vitro.

As for planktonic cell, PAB exhibited the similar antifungal activity against clinically isolated FLC-resistant and FLC-susceptible *C. tropicalis* strains with MIC ranging from 8 to 16 µg/mL (Table 1), when it was used alone.
This potent nonselective effect of PAB on susceptible and resistant *C. tropicalis* suggests that it may have potential as a novel antifungal agent with different mechanism from azole drugs. What’s more, when PAB was combined with FLC, they showed better synergistic effects on FLC-resistant *C. tropicalis* isolates, rather than their FLC-susceptible counterparts. Such similar synergistic phenomenon has been reported on palmatine, berberine, and calcium channel blockers.17,24,25

It is necessary to find effective methods to inhibit the growth of biofilm, because the biofilm formed on living and non-living surfaces is better protected from immune defense and antimicrobial agents than their free-living cells, which are associated with the pathogenesis resistance of Candida.8,26 As it is known, *Candida* biofilm formation comprises several specific stages including the early phase (adherence of round yeast cells to a solid surface), the developmental phase (cell proliferation and early-stage filamentation), and the biofilm maturation stage.27 Mature biofilm is more difficult to be eradicated by antifungal agent, owing to the complex network of polymorphic cells encased in an extracellular matrix.

**Figure 6** The scanning electron micrographs of PAB in combination with FLC against biofilm (5000x). (A) The control (without FLC and PAB); (B) 16 µg/mL FLC; (C) 4 µg/mL PAB; (D) 4µg/mL PAB + 16µg/mL FLC.
In the present study, our results found that the concomitant use of PAB and FLC was applicable in the removal of developmental and mature biofilms. The morphological changes showed by SEM further confirmed the synergistic inhibitory effect of PAB and FLC on biofilm. PAB and FLC may have different antifungal targets, which contributes to their synergistic action. Unlike azoles, which target the ergosterol biosynthesis in fungal cell membranes, PAB not only inhibited spore germination and mycelium formation, but also destroyed the cell integrity, leading to cell deformation, swelling, collapse and outer membrane perforation. The morphological changes induced by PAB in *C. gloeosporioides* are similar to those caused by microtubule inhibitors.28 Recent studies have suggested that the antitumor activity of PAB could be associated with its ability to inhibit cell proliferation and induce cell apoptosis related to microtubule depolymerization.29 Microtubules, composed of α - and β-tubulin heterodimers, are responsible for a variety of biological functions including sustained shapes, the intracellular transport and the cell division.30 Disruption of microtubule dynamics leads to cell cycle arrest and cell death.30 These findings warrant further investigation to find the potential antifungal targets and molecular mechanism of PAB against resistance candida.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the present study confirmed the antifungal effects of PAB alone and in combination with FLC on planktonic and biofilm cells of *C. tropicalis*. PAB alone showed similar antifungal activity against FLC-resistant and FLC-susceptible planktonic cells, and exhibited better synergistic effects on FLC-resistant isolates and mature biofilms of *C. tropicalis* when combined with FLC. PAB not only inhibited spore germination and mycelium formation, but also destroyed the cell integrity. These findings suggest that PAB may have potential as a novel antifungal agent with different mechanism from azole drugs.
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