Serum BDNF Levels are Not Associated with the Antidepressant Effects of Nonconvulsive Electrotherpay
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Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD), a major mental disorder, is the leading cause of disability around the world, accounting for approximately 4.4% of the world’s population.¹ Numerous studies have demonstrated that decreased expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in limbic structures induced by stress could contribute to depression.²⁻⁴ BDNF is a neurotrophin essential for neuronal development and survival, neuroprotection, synaptic plasticity, and cognition.⁵

In support of the neurotrophin hypothesis of depression, a growing number of studies have shown that stress decreases the expression of hippocampal BDNF levels in rodents,⁶ and both chronic electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) and antidepressants increase the enhanced induction and prolong the expression of brain BDNF levels⁷ and reverse or block the effects of stress on BDNF.² Several studies have shown that BDNF levels are lower in the cerebrospinal fluid,⁸ plasma,⁹ serum,⁴,¹⁰ platelets,¹¹ and brain¹² in patients with MDD than in healthy controls. Most of these studies,¹⁰,¹³,¹⁴ but not all of them,¹⁵ reported that decreased BDNF levels are reversed in those who responded to antidepressants compared with those who were remittent to antidepressants.
ECT is the most reliably effective therapy, to date, in the treatment of patients with treatment-resistant depression (TRD).\textsuperscript{16-18} However, ECT is associated with adverse cognitive effects, resulting in some TRD patients refusing the use of ECT.\textsuperscript{19} Surprisingly, Regenold et al recruited 13 TRD patients who refused ECT and participated in an uncontrolled, open-label study adjunctive treatment study of nonconvulsive electrotherapy (NET).\textsuperscript{19} They found that the antidepressant effects of NET in treating TRD patients were similar to those of ECT, and adverse cognitive effects were not observed.\textsuperscript{19}

Although there are high clinical responses and remission rates of NET in TRD,\textsuperscript{19} its neurological mechanism of action remains unclear. To date, only one study has examined plasma BDNF levels in patients with treatment-resistant unipolar and bipolar depression following a course of NET, finding that plasma BDNF levels of TRD patients increased insignificantly following a course of NET, and changes in plasma BDNF levels did not significantly correlate with changes in the severity of depressive symptoms.\textsuperscript{19} However, the association of the antidepressant effects of NET and the changes in serum BDNF levels was unclear.

The objectives of this study were to examine the association of serum BDNF levels and the antidepressant effects of NET in Chinese patients with TRD. We hypothesized that serum BDNF levels would be lower in TRD patients than in healthy controls and that serum BDNF levels would be associated with the therapeutic response to NET in TRD patients.

**Methods**

**Participant Selection**

This study of adjunctive NET for patients with TRD occurred at the Affiliated Brain Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University between January 2017 and December 2017 and was approved by the Affiliated Brain Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University’s institutional review board. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects signed written informed consent before participation.

Inclusion criteria of participants included: (1) inpatients aged 18 to 50 years old; (2) satisfied the Structured Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) diagnostic criteria\textsuperscript{20} for MDD with a current major depressive episode; (3) had a baseline score of \( \geq 17 \) on the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD-17);\textsuperscript{21} and (4) with TRD, defined as a failure to respond to at least two classes of antidepressants at sufficient dosages for a full course of treatment.\textsuperscript{22}

Patients were excluded if they met one of the following criteria: (1) met any other serious mental disorder of DSM-IV diagnostic criteria, including schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, organic mental disorders, or substance use disorder; (2) had any neurological illness or serious medical conditions; (3) were pregnant or breast feeding; (4) had a history of seizures; (5) had undergone ECT within the past 3 months; (6) had a foreign body such as intracranial electrode or pacemaker; or (7) had an inability to follow the study procedure.

Twenty healthy individuals, who were frequency-matched to patients with TRD by sex and age, were enrolled from the local community during the same period. Healthy controls with a history of serious physical diseases and alcohol or other substance abuse/dependence were excluded.

**Study Procedure**

All subjects underwent detailed pre-NET examinations, including physical and neurological examinations, electrocardiogram (ECG), electroencephalography (EEG), blood and urine tests, and chest X-ray. TRD patients received propofol (1.0 mg/kg) as intravenous anesthesia during NET. Succinylcholine (1.0 mg/kg) was intravenously administered as a muscle relaxant after the induction of anesthesia. Patients received six consecutive bilateral NET sessions three times per week using a Somatics Thymatron \textsuperscript{®} IV device (Somatics LLC, Lake Bluff, Illinois, USA). All subjects continued to take psychotropic agents during NET.

The stimulus parameters of NET in this study included a pulse width of 0.5 ms, a constant current of 0.9 A, and a frequency of 20 Hz. As recommended by Regenold et al’s study,\textsuperscript{19} the stimulus intensity of NET in this study was set at 1/8 of the standard ECT dose as estimated by the half-age method. The usual ECT protocol was also utilized to evaluate seizures or a lack of seizures by observing tonic-clonic movements and using EEG. Blood pressure, pulse frequency, and respiratory rate were recorded by trained clinicians before anesthesia and 10 min after the NET procedure.

**Measurement of Depressive Symptoms**

The HAMD-17\textsuperscript{21} was used to evaluate depressive symptoms at baseline and after the first, third and sixth sessions of NET. Given that a large proportion of patients with severe depression showed a response to ECT,\textsuperscript{23} antidepressant response was defined as \( \geq 60\% \) improvement from the baseline.
depression score as measured by the HAMD-17 rather than ≥50% improvement (ie, the traditional criterion). It has been widely used in previous studies. Mulder et al found that using a 50% reduction in HAMD scores leads to a marginally higher sensitivity (96.1%) but a lower specificity (71.4%) and the sometimes used 60% reduction is close to the optimal compromise (87.4% sensitivity, 82.1% specificity).

Serum BDNF Levels
For the depression cohort, blood samples were collected at baseline and at the end of the course of NET. For the healthy controls, a single blood sample was collected at baseline. All blood samples were collected between 7:00 and 9:00 am after an overnight fast. After collection, blood samples were stored at −80 °C until assay. According to the manufacturer’s directions and as described previously, serum BDNF levels were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; sensitivity=0.08 ng/mL) (BDNF Emax Immunoassay System, Promega, USA). Serum BDNF levels were determined by absorbance at a wavelength of 450 nm using optical density values against standard curves.

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 23.0 for Windows. The comparison between TRD patients and healthy controls with regard to demographics and clinical variables was conducted by the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and by Student’s t-test or the Mann–Whitney U-test for continuous variables, as appropriate. Linear mixed model analysis was conducted to compare the changes in HAMD-17 scores and serum BDNF levels at each assessment time point between responders and nonresponders (defined as a ≥60% improvement from the baseline depression score as measured by the HAMD-17). Correlation analyses were conducted for relationships between HAMD-17 scores and serum BDNF levels in TRD patients. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

Participant Characteristics
Table 1 summarizes the demographic and clinical characteristics of TRD patients and healthy controls participating in this study. Figure 1 shows that the serum BDNF levels in 20 TRD patient samples were lower than those in 20 healthy control samples (p<0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>TRD Patients (n=20)</th>
<th>Healthy Controls (n=20)</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responder</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age (years)</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline serum BDNF level (ng/mL)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-NET serum BDNF levels (ng/mL)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline HAMD-17 scores</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-NET HAMD-17 scores</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bolded values are p<0.05.
Abbreviations: BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; df, degrees of freedom; HAMD-17, 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; NET, nonconvulsive electrotherapy; SD, standard deviation; TRD, treatment-refractory depression.

Serum BDNF Levels and Antidepressant Response
In linear mixed models, serum BDNF levels over time showed no significant difference between responders and nonresponders, even among the total sample (all p>0.05)
(Table 2 and Figure 2). However, HAMD-17 scores showed significant main effects of group-by-time interactions (F=5.1, p<0.005) and time (F=308.0, p<0.001) (Table 2). The antidepressant response rates were 60% (12/20) after NET.

Relationship Between Serum BDNF Levels and Depression Severity

No significant correlations were found between baseline serum BDNF levels and changes in HAMD-17 scores at each assessment point (all p>0.05) or between changes in serum BDNF levels and changes in HAMD-17 scores at each assessment point (all p>0.05) (Supplemental Table 1).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association between serum BDNF levels and the antidepressant response to NET. Our findings indicate significantly lower serum BDNF levels in patients with TRD than in healthy controls at baseline. NET did not significantly alter serum BDNF levels in either responders or nonresponders, even among the total sample. Additionally, no notable association was found between serum BDNF levels and changes in depression severity following the course of NET.

Consistent with our findings, numerous case-control studies and meta-analyses have consistently concluded that the serum BDNF levels of MDD patients were significantly lower than those of healthy controls. However, blood BDNF levels in MDD patients are quite varied when compared with those of healthy controls. For example, Bocchio-Chiavetto et al reported no significant difference in plasma BDNF levels between MDD patients and healthy controls, although they found significantly lower serum BDNF levels in patients with MDD than in healthy controls. In contrast, another study found that plasma BDNF levels were significantly lower in patients with MDD than in healthy controls.

In this study, serum BDNF levels increased insignificantly from a baseline mean (standard deviation) of 5.2 (2.9) ng/mL to 5.3 (2.8) ng/mL after completion of six NET treatments, which is consistent with the findings of Regenold et al’s study. The lack of a significant increase in serum BDNF levels following treatment with a course of NET should also be compared to findings reported on changes in serum BDNF levels with a course of ECT. Numerous studies have examined the effect of ECT on serum BDNF levels in patients with MDD, but with inconsistent findings. For example, a recent study found that when compared to baseline, serum BDNF levels were significantly higher after the final ECT session. Another study found no significant change in serum BDNF levels after ECT treatment.

This study found no significant association between changes in serum BDNF levels and changes in depression severity with a course of NET, supporting the findings of Regenold et al’s study. Similar to antidepressant therapy, BDNF appears to be a treatment biomarker for ECT outcome. However, the findings on the potential association between serum BDNF levels and ECT outcome are mixed. For example, Kranaster et al reported that serum BDNF levels after a course of ECT were positively correlated with the Seizure Quality Index scores for response and remission in older patients with MDD. However, two recent studies found no significant association between serum BDNF levels and the antidepressant effects of ECT.

Several methodological limitations should be noted. First, this was a single-arm, open-label study. Thus, these findings were susceptible to both subject and investigator bias. Second, the sample size of this study was relatively small, which can partly account for the negative findings. Third, bilateral NET was used in all patients with TRD, limiting the generalizability of these findings. Fourth, all subjects who continued to take the

Table 2 Comparisons of Serum BDNF Levels and HAMD-17 Scores Between Responders and Nonresponders Using Linear Mixed Model Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group-by-Time Interaction</th>
<th>Time Main Effect</th>
<th>Group Main Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAMD-17 scores</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>308.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum BDNF levels</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bolded values are p<0.05.

Abbreviations: HAMD-17, 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale. BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor.
treatment with psychotropic medications may exert some effect on serum BDNF levels. Participants in this study had generalizability to “real-world” patients since most of them wised to continue receiving psychotropic medications for management of depressive symptoms, although these drugs had failed to lead to an antidepressant response. Fifth, blood samples were only collected at baseline and at the end of the course of NET for the depression cohort in this study. However, changes in serum BDNF levels after each session were not examined. Sixth, cortical BDNF levels were not directly collected in the current study, while BDNF can cross the blood-brain barrier and serum BDNF levels can potential reflect cortical levels of BDNF. Finally, only serum BDNF levels were analyzed to predict ECT outcome in this study, but optimal biomarker assessments could incorporate multiple modes of data acquisition, including neuroimaging and quantitative electroencephalography.

Conclusion
Serum BDNF levels appear to have no clinical utility in the prediction of the antidepressant effects of NET in patients with TRD. Future studies of higher quality and with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm these findings and examine the effects of different parameter of NET and the potential influence on other molecular mediators involved in depression.
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