Molecular Characteristics of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacter cloacae in a Tertiary Hospital in China
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Background: Infections caused by the carbapenem-resistant Enterobacter cloacae (CREC) bring great challenges to the clinical treatment and pose a serious threat to public health. In this study, we investigated the molecular characteristics of CREC in a tertiary hospital.

Materials and Methods: A total of 12 non-duplicate CREC strains isolated during the period of November 2016 to July 2019 were subjected to automated microbial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) using the BD Phoenix-100 identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (ID/AST) system. The strains were also subjected to phenotypic screening for the detection of antibiotic resistance genes such as the carbapenemase and other β-lactamase genes, with the use of the polymerase chain reaction assay (PCR). Finally, multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)-based homology analysis were applied.

Results: Four types of carbapenemases namely IMP-26, NDM-5, NDM-1, and KPC-2 were identified in 12 CREC strains. IMP-26 was the most prevalent type (6/12 strains, 50%), followed by NDM-5 (3/12 strains, 25%). The results of MLST revealed that these 12 strains could be divided into five sequence types (STs) among which ST544 was the dominant type (6/12 strains, 50%). The PFGE results divided the 12 strains into four clusters.

Conclusion: Our study indicated that the epidemics of the IMP-26-producing E. cloacae ST544 strain did occur in the intensive care unit (ICU) of a tertiary hospital. Therefore, early surveillance and strict implementation of control measures are crucial for the prevention of nosocomial infections and transmissions in hospitals.
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Introduction

Enterobacter cloacae, which belongs to the genus Enterobacter of the family Enterobacteriaceae is widely distributed in nature and is part of the normal microbiota of warm blooded animals. However, it is also a conditional pathogen that has become one of the major nosocomial pathogens in recent years.1 E. cloacae is capable of causing infections in various organs and systems, such as the respiratory tract, urinary tract, skin and soft tissues, and blood.

E. cloacae often exhibits resistance to various antibiotics. Its mechanism of resistance is primarily conferred by the production of extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) and AmpC β-lactamases.1 Carbapenemases are a class of antibiotics possessing the broadest spectrum of activity to date with an extremely strong antibacterial effect. They are ideal antibiotics for the treatment of severe nosocomial infections caused by ESBL and/or AmpC-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Carbapenemases were once
considered the last-resort antibiotics for infections caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria. However, in recent years we have witnessed the worldwide emergence of the carbapenem-resistant \textit{E. cloacae} (CREC) as a result of antibiotic selective pressure following the extensive use of carbapenem antibiotics. The emergence of CREC has brought great challenges to the clinical treatment of infections.\(^2\)

The production of carbapenemases represents one of the main antibiotic resistance mechanisms in CREC. Carbapenemases are members of three (A, B, and D) out of the four molecular classes of \(\beta\)-lactamases. Class A carbapenemases mainly include NMC/IMI, SME, KPC, and GES. Class B carbapenemases include VIM, IMP, SPM, SIM, AIM, DIM, and NDM, while Class D carbapenemases includes OXA-48.\(^3\)--\(^5\)

IMP carbapenemases were first discovered in \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa},\(^6\) while \textit{Serratia marcescens} harboring the IMP genes was first reported in Japan in 1991.\(^7\) Subsequently, IMP-producing \textit{Enterobacteriaceae} were primarily detected in sporadic and epidemic cases in Japan, Taiwan, and Australia.\(^8\) IMP-positive bacteria include \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae}, \textit{S. marcescens}, \textit{Escherichia coli}, \textit{E. cloacae}, and other \textit{Enterobacteriaceae}. IMP carbapenemases are prevalent in \textit{E. cloacae} include IMP-1, IMP-4, and IMP-8,\(^3\) while the IMP-26 carbapenemase is rarely found in \textit{E. cloacae}. The main aim of this study was to understand the molecular characteristics of the carbapenem-resistant \textit{E. cloacae} in a tertiary hospital.

**Materials and Methods**

**Specimen Source**

From November 2016 to July 2019, all CREC (resistant to imipenem or meropenem) were collected from Yanbian University Hospital. All strains were non-duplicate (only the carbapenem-resistant \textit{E. cloacae} strains isolated at the first instance were retained for the same patient).

**Strain Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

Microbial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) were performed using the BD Phoenix-100 automated ID/AST system (Becton, Dickinson and Co., USA). \textit{E. coli} ATCC25922 was used as the quality control strain.

**Phenotypic Screening for Carbapenemases and the Detection of Antibiotic Resistance Genes**

The phenotypic screening for carbapenemase genes in CREC was carried out according to the modified carbapenem inactivation method (mCIM) provided in the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guideline (2017). Carbapenemase genes (\texttt{blaNDM}, \texttt{blaKPC}, \texttt{blaIMP}, \texttt{blaVIM}, and \texttt{blaOXA48like}) and other \(\beta\)-lactamase genes (\texttt{blaCTX-M}, \texttt{blaACT}, \texttt{blaTIMA}, and \texttt{blaCMY}) were detected using the PCR assay,\(^9\)--\(^10\) and the resulting PCR products were subjected to DNA sequencing (Beijing Tsingke Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Chian). Nucleotide sequences were compared by BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

**Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) and Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)**

MLST was performed according to a previously described method (https://pubmlst.org/ecoacae/). The Sequence Type Analysis and Recombinational Tests2 (START2) (http://pubmlst.org/software/analysis/start2/) software was used to generate the phylogenetic tree.\(^11\) \textit{E. cloacae} strains were characterized by PFGE according to the previously described by Cui et al.\(^12\) \textit{Salmonella enterica} serotype H9812 was used as a marker, and the PFGE was established using the XbaI digestion. The agarose gel electrophoresis was performed for 19 h at 14°C, with switch times from 2.2 s to 54.2 s at 6 V/cm on a Bio-Rad CHEF Mapper Pulsed Field Electrophoresis System. Comparison of the PFGE patterns was performed in BioNumerics 7.6 using the Dice Similarity coefficient.

**Statistical Analyses**

All analyses were performed using the WHONET software (version 5.6) and the SPSS software (version 25.0).

**Results**

**Isolation and AST of Bacterial Strains**

A total of 12 non-duplicate strains of CREC were isolated from the sputum (5/12 strains, 41.7%), blood (4/12 strains, 33.3%), or wound (3/12 strains, 25.0%) of patients. The majority of these strains were isolated from patients admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) (8/12 strains, 66.7%), followed by the department of pain management (2/12 strains, 16.7%), the department of orthopaedics (1/12...
strains, 8.3%), and the department of general surgery (1/12 strains, 8.3%). 10 patients were male (83.3.%), and the median age was 66.50 (60.25–71.00) years (Table 1). The AST results showed that all strains were resistant to imipenem, meropenem, and cefepime, but were susceptible to amikacin and colistin. Besides, the IMP-26-producing strains were susceptible to aztreonam, amikacin, and colistin (Table 2).

### Phenotypic Screening and Genotyping of Antibiotic Resistant Enzymes

All strains yielded positive mCIM results. Four types of carbapenemases, IMP-26 (6 strains, 50.0%), NDM-5 (3 strains, 25.0%), NDM-1 (2 strains, 16.7%), and KPC-2 (one strain, 8.3%), were detected in these strains. Moreover, our analysis also identified two CTXM-3-producing strains and one DHA1-producing strain (Table 2).

### MLST and PFGE

MLST divided the strains into five STs, ST544 (6 strains), ST114 (2 strains), ST78 (2 strains), ST171 (one strain), and ST97 (one strain) (Fig 1). The PFGE results showed that strains 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 11 (6 strains) shared 93.9% homology; strains 1, 9, and 12 shared 82.4% homology; strains 2 and 6 shared 80% homology. However, strain 10 lacked homology with the other strains (Figure 2).

### Discussion

Carbapenem-resistant E. cloacae strains that produce carbapenemases such as the OXA-48, KPC-3, VIM-1, NDM-1, and IMP-4, have been reported in numerous countries. It has been found that NDM-1-producing strains predominate in China. In this study, four types of carbapenemases were identified, the IMP-26 (6 strains, 50.0%), NDM-5 (3 strains, 25.0%), NDM-1 (2 strains, 16.7%), and KPC-2 (one strain, 8.3%), while the VIM and OXA-48 carbapenemases were not detected. In addition, there was no strain harboring more than one type of carbapenemases. Our results also identified the metallo-β-lactamases, mainly the IMP-26 carbapenemase, as the most prevalent type of carbapenemases, followed by the NDM-5 carbapenemase. This differs from the findings reported in some domestic studies. IMP carbapenemases are metallo-β-lactamases that can hydrolyze all β-lactam antibiotics with the exception of aztreonam. In 2010, IMP-26, which is an IMP-4 variant, was first reported by Koh et al in a clinical carbapenem-resistant isolate of P. aeruginosa in Singapore. Since then, there were only sporadic reports of IMP-26-producing Gram-negative bacilli, especially of bacilli belonging in the family of Enterobacteriaceae. It has been suggested that the IMP-26-expressing strains have a significantly greater resistance to meropenem than the IMP-1-expressing strains. In China, the IMP-8 and IMP-4 carbapenemases are the most frequently detected IMP subtypes in E. cloacae, while the IMP-26-producing E. cloacae isolates have been sporadically reported in Shanghai, Chongqing, and Ningxia. In this study, all IMP-26-producing strains displayed 100% susceptibility to aztreonam, amikacin, and colistin, and showed 100% resistance to meropenem, imipenem, cefepime, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, and tetracyclines. In addition, 83.3% of the IMP-26-producing strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate Number</th>
<th>Isolation Date</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2016.11</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2018.9</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2018.11</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>General surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2019.1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2019.1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2019.2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2019.2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2019.3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2019.6</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>Orthopaedics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2019.6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>Pain management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2019.6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2019.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>Pain management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were resistant to gentamicin, and 83.3% were susceptible to piperacillin/tazobactam. Hence, piperacillin/tazobactam, amikacin, aztreonam, and colistin, depending on the patient’s condition, can be selected as antibiotics for the treatment against IMP-26-producing *E. cloacae*.

The MLST results showed that there were five STs; the ST544 was the dominant ST that accounted for 50% of the strains, while the remaining strains were assigned with ST114 (2 strains), ST78 (2 strains), ST171 (one strain), and ST97 (one strain). Moreover, the MLST data revealed a polymorphism between those strains, among which, ST544 was the dominant ST. The rarely reported *E. cloacae* ST544 was first discovered by the Taiwan scholars in 2016 and does not produce carbapenemases. Besides, the meropenem-susceptible, DHA1-producing *E. cloacae* ST544 has been previously found in animal specimens. In this study, all *E. cloacae* ST544 strains were found to be IMP-26-producing strains that did not produce CTX-M, DHA, ACT, and CMY β-lactamases. There were five strains isolated from patients admitted to the ICU and one strain isolated from patients admitted to the department of general surgery, who were once also admitted to the ICU, suggesting that there were small outbreaks of the ST544-IMP-26 strain in the ICU. The results of cluster analysis using the START2 software shows that the ST544 strain is closer to ST114 and ST171 strains. Our study identified one NDM-1-producing ST171 strain and two ST78 strains, one of which produced the NDM-1 carbapenemase, and the CTX-M-3 and DHA1 β-lactamases, while the other strain produced the KPC-2 carbapenemase and the CTX-M-3 β-lactamase. The ST171 strain, which primarily produces KPC carbapenemases, is a major epidemic strain in the United States. There have also been sporadic reports of the ST171 strain in China. The clonal expansion of ST171 across the United States and its subsequent local transmission suggested that this high-risk clone requires increased attention. Therefore, there is a need for enhanced surveillance to prevent the spread of high-risk clones. ST78 was first identified by the Japanese scholar Tohru Miyoshi-Akiyama in 2013. The ST78 clone has been shown to produce various β-lactamases. Previous population analyses on the Multidrug resistance *E. cloacae* have demonstrated that ST78 is a widespread and globally dominant ESBL-producing clone, indicating that it is a very common clone associated with nosocomial infections with a unique ability to accept plasmids harboring antibiotic resistance genes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate Number</th>
<th>Carapenemase</th>
<th>Ampc</th>
<th>CTX-M</th>
<th>Mem</th>
<th>Ipm</th>
<th>ATm</th>
<th>Fep</th>
<th>CAZ</th>
<th>CTX</th>
<th>TZP</th>
<th>SAM</th>
<th>Gen</th>
<th>AMK</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th>LVX</th>
<th>COL</th>
<th>GEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NDM-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NDM-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IMP-26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Molecular Characteristics and Antimicrobial Susceptibilities of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacter cloacae Strains

Abbreviations: MEM, meropenem; IPM, imipenem; ATM, aztreonam; FEP, cefepime; CAZ, ceftazidime; CTX, cefotaxime; TZP, piperacillin/tazobactam; SAM, ampicillin/sulbactam; AMK, amikacin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; LVX, levofloxacin.
Previous studies have revealed that the NDM-1-producing \textit{E. cloacae} ST78 has a higher epidemic potential and is more likely to cause severe antibiotic resistance outbreaks.\textsuperscript{24} The NDM-1-producing \textit{E. cloacae} ST78 deserves clinical attention as it has been previously reported in China\textsuperscript{24,27} and has also been detected in this study. It has been demonstrated that the widespread of CREC is attributable to its higher tendency to acquire and spread multidrug resistance determinants instead of increased virulence,\textsuperscript{28} as well as its adaptability to the hospital environments. Both the NDM-5-producing ST114 strains in this study were isolated from the wound exudate of patients. Besides, our study has also identified an ST97 strain that produces NDM-5. The \textit{E. cloacae} ST114 strain has been detected in France, the United States, and China,\textsuperscript{24,29,30} while sporadic cases of the \textit{E. cloacae} ST97 strain have also been reported in China.\textsuperscript{27,31}

The PFGE results showed that the 12 strains could be divided into four clusters. There were six strains (strains 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 11) that shared 93.9\% homology, all of which were IMP-26-producing strains that belonged to ST544 (MLST). Strains 1, 9, and 12 shared 82.4\% homology and belonged to ST114 and ST171. Strains 2 and 6 shared 80\% homology and belonged to ST78. Finally, strain 10 lacked homology with the other strains. Taken
together, our analysis revealed that both sporadic cases and small outbreaks did occur in the hospital.

The occurrence of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae is related to many factors, including ICU admission, prior antimicrobial exposure, and invasive treatment. Research has shown that exposure to third or fourth-generation cephalosporins and carbapenems is an independent risk factor for nosocomial infection with carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Moreover, if the drug resistance gene is located on the plasmid, it can easily cause horizontal transmission of the drug resistance gene, resulting in disseminated infection in the same ward or department. In this study, small-scale prevalence of the strain IMP-26-producing E. cloacae ST544 was found. Analysis of clinical data showed that all 6 patients were only admitted or had once been admitted to the ICU. Endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation were used before the isolation of the strains. Owing to the severity of the infection symptoms, 5 patients were administered meropenem once to control the infection. A study by Wang et al shows that the IMP-26 gene exists on the CREC plasmid and it can be easily spread. Its prevalence in the hospital might be related to the above-mentioned factors. After the carbapenem-resistant Enterobacter cloacae was isolated in the hospital, several measures such as isolation of patients, enforcement of the hand hygiene practice, and nosocomial infection monitoring were put in place. Since the implementation of these measures, no other large-scale epidemic event has occurred in any department or in the hospital.

Conclusion
Our study provided evidence that IMP-26-producing E. cloacae ST544 is a major epidemic strain in a tertiary hospital in China. Early detection and surveillance can prevent the spread of the bacteria.
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