Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Infections: Clinical Characteristics and Factors Associated with Mortality of Hospitalized Patients

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Infection and Drug Resistance

Worachart Insuwanno¹ Pattarachai Kiratisin² Anupop litmuang³

¹Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand; ²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand; ³Division of Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand **Purpose:** To study the clinical characteristics and factors associated with mortality of patients who had *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* infections.

Patients and Methods: We conducted a retrospective study to determine the clinical characteristics and factors associated with mortality for *S. maltophilia* infections among hospitalized adult patients at Siriraj Hospital. The clinical and microbiological data were collected from medical records December 2013–December 2016.

Results: Of 1221 subjects whose clinical samples grew S. maltophilia, 213 were randomly selected for chart review. One hundred patients with a true infection were analyzed. Their median age was 66 years; 47 were males; 46 were critically ill with a median APACHE II score of 18 (2-32); and 91 received antibiotic treatment, mainly with carbapenems (56%), before being diagnosed with a S. maltophilia infection. Pulmonary (53%) and bloodstream infections (25%) were the most common infections. The median length of hospitalization was 19 days before infection onset. The in-hospital mortality rate was 54%. The following factors were associated with mortality: a pre-existing respiratory infection (OR 6.28, 1.33-29.78; p.021); critical illness (OR 3.33, 1.45-7.62; p.005); multi-organ dysfunction (OR 2.44, 1.05–5.70; p.039); being on mechanical ventilation (OR 4.44, 1.90–10.39; p.001); concurrent immunosuppressive therapy (OR 2.67, 1.10-6.47; p.029); intravascular (OR 4.43, 1.79-10.92; p.001) and urinary catheterization (OR 4.83, 1.87-12.47; p.001); and serum albumin <3 g/dL (OR 4.13, 1.05-16.33; p.043). A multivariate analysis identified two independent factors associated with mortality: being on mechanical ventilation (OR 4.43, 1.86–10.59; p 0.001) and receiving concurrent immunosuppressive therapy (OR 2.26, 1.04-6.82; p 0.042).

Conclusion: *S. maltophilia* can cause nosocomial infections with high mortality, particularly in patients with a prolonged hospitalization. Concurrent immunosuppressive therapy and being on mechanical ventilation are the independent factors associated with a fatal outcome.

Keywords: Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection, hospitalized patients, mortality

Introduction

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is a motile, aerobic, glucose non-fermenting, gramnegative bacterium.¹ *S. maltophilia* has been recognized as a cause of severe nosocomial infections, mainly in debilitated patients, such as bloodstream infections and pneumonia.²

S. maltophilia infections have been associated with high mortality,³⁻⁵ with one study reporting that the crude mortality rates in uncontrolled clinical trials ranged from 21% to 69%.⁶ In 2007–2008, *S. maltophilia* was the third most frequent,

Correspondence: Anupop Jitmuang Email anupopmix@yahoo.co.th



© 2020 Insuwanno et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/ the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

Infection and Drug Resistance 2020:13 1559-1566

non-fermentative, gram-negative bacterium causing hospital-associated bacteremia at Siriraj Hospital.⁷ The risk factors for *S. maltophilia* colonization and infections include previous exposure to broad-spectrum antibiotics, prolonged hospitalization, an intensive care unit stay, mechanical ventilation, use of intravascular devices, and an immunocompromised host.^{8,9}

S. maltophilia is usually resistant to several antibiotics because it confers various mechanisms of drug resistance, such as decreased permeability, the production of betalactamase and carbapenemase enzymes, the production of aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes, and the presence of multidrug efflux pumps. The treatment of S. maltophilia is challenging due to its multidrug resistance. The administration of appropriate antibiotics to combat this organism is occasionally delayed because physicians do not recognize the risk factors and clinical characteristics of S. maltophilia infections, which later leads to high mortality.^{10,11} The clinical characteristics and treatment outcomes of S. maltophilia infections in Thailand have rarely been described. This study set out to establish the clinical characteristics of patients who had S. maltophilia infections and to identify the risk factors associated with mortality. Knowing such information about S. maltophilia infections may increase physicians' abilities to make early diagnoses, thereby improving the clinical outcomes.

Patients and Methods

Study Population

We conducted a retrospective study to determine the clinical characteristics and factors associated with mortality of *S. maltophilia* infections among hospitalized adult patients at Siriraj Hospital. The clinical characteristics, microbiological data, and treatment outcomes were collected from medical records December 2013–December 2016. Subjects aged over 18 years who had at least one positive culture of *S. maltophilia* isolated from clinical samples were included. We only enrolled subjects who had clinical signs and/or findings of an *S. maltophilia* infection.

A positive culture without evidence of a clinical infection was considered to be colonization, and it was thus excluded from the analysis. The terms "infections" and "colonization" were defined according to the US CDC definitions for nosocomial infection surveillance.¹² In the case of patients who had multiple episodes of *S. maltophilia*, only the first infection episode was analyzed by the current study.

Data Collection

The medical records of the enrolled patients were reviewed to obtain relevant information. Details of the following were compiled: demographic data; hospitalization unit (namely, the medical, surgical, or intensive care unit); comorbidities; previous antimicrobial therapy; the use of systemic corticosteroids, chemotherapy, or radiation therapy; recent operations or medical procedures; placement of catheterization; duration of hospitalization; type of infection attributed to *S. maltophilia*, co-infection with other organisms; treatment; outcomes of the *S. maltophilia* infection; and all-cause in-hospital mortality.

In our institute, a conventional gram-negative biochemical-testing panel is usually performed to identify gram-negative bacterial isolates, including *S. maltophilia*. If the isolate identification remains unclear, the automated identification system, such as VITEK system, will be tested for the species identification. Regarding the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), a disk diffusion method of three antimicrobial agents, namely minocycline, levofloxacin, and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole is routinely performed for the antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) of *S. maltophilia* isolates.

In addition, the microbiological data collected comprised the source and type of clinical sample positive for *S. maltophilia* isolates; co-pathogens isolated from the same sample in which *S. maltophilia* was first identified; and the antimicrobial susceptibilities of the *S. maltophilia* isolates.

Definitions

A "hospital-acquired infection" was defined as an episode of infection that occurred more than 48 hours after hospitalization. A "community-acquired infection" was defined as an infection onset occurring at the home of a patient who had no recent contact with a healthcare facility or an infection onset occurring within the first 48 hours of hospitalization. "Immunosuppression" was defined as the administration of immunosuppressive therapy for an autoimmune disease, of chemotherapy for neoplasia, or of systemic corticosteroids; or the presence of leukemia, lymphoma, an HIV-infection, or a splenectomy. The source of each *S. maltophilia* infection was determined clinically and was based on the location of the active site of infection, with the *S. maltophilia* isolates identified and assessed by the investigators.

As to the antimicrobial treatments, "empirical antimicrobial therapy" was defined as the antimicrobial agent(s) administered from the infection onset until the initial isolation of *S. maltophilia*. "Definite antimicrobial therapy" was defined as the antimicrobial agent(s) administered soon after the culture and the antimicrobial susceptibility results were available.

The primary outcome was the all-cause in-hospital mortality, which was defined as death from any cause during hospitalization.

Sample Size Calculation

Our study used the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score being one of the factors significantly associated with the in-hospital mortality for sample size calculation. According to Saugel et al, the median SOFA score in the survival group was 8 (range 1–16), whereas the median SOFA score in the non-survival group was 12.5 (range 4–21).¹³ The sample size was determined by the Mann–Whitney *U*-test with 5%Type I error and 20% power of test, in which a total of 32 subjects were required for each factor. We estimated that at least three variables would be identified for being factors significantly associated with in-hospital mortality. Thus, 100 subjects were included in the study.

Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A univariate analysis was performed to assess the factors associated with all-cause in-hospital mortality. Student's *t*-test was used for continuous variables, and the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test was used for categorical variables, as appropriate. Any variable determined to have a significant association with mortality in the analysis was subsequently entered in a multivariate, forward, stepwise (likelihood ratio), logistic regression model. A *p*-value of \leq 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Of the 1221 subjects whose clinical samples grew *S. maltophilia* during the study period, 213 were randomly selected for chart review. A total of 100 patients with a true *S. maltophilia* infection were identified. The median age of those subjects was 66 years; 47 were males; 46 were critically ill, having a median Acute Physiological Assessment and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score of 18 (2-32); and 100 episodes (100%) of hospital-acquired infections were confirmed. Only 2 infection episodes were acquired infections following the hospital discharge. One of those 2 patients developed S. maltophilia septicemia following a 1-day-long endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography procedure, while the other patient was diagnosed with a complicated urinary tract infection due to a percutaneous nephrostomy infection. The most frequent infections were respiratory tract infections (53%) and bloodstream infections (25%), with the latter comprised of catheter-related bloodstream infections (14%) and primary bacteremia (11%). Forty-three patients (43%) developed bacteremia following the onset of the S. maltophilia infection. The median length of hospitalization was 19 days before infection onset. Only 16 (16%) patients received appropriate empirical antimicrobial treatment, whereas 72 (72%) patients received appropriate definite antimicrobial treatment after the culture results were known. Additional baseline characteristics and clinical data of the subjects are detailed in Table 1.

Regarding the clinical characteristics of the patients with an *S. maltophilia* infection, most (91%) had received prior antibiotic treatments, mainly with carbapenems (56%); and 58 (58%) were on mechanical ventilation (58%). Moreover, 77 (77%) had retained intravascular catheterization: 37 (37%) of these had a central venous catheter, while 40 (40%) had an indwelling catheter (usually a double lumen catheter, Hickman catheter, or arterial line). Additional clinical characteristics are listed in Table 2.

Microbiological Data

Sixty-five patients (65%) had isolations of polymicrobial organisms with two or more organisms. The samples with multiple organisms were obtained from the respiratory tract (35 samples), bloodstream (14), urine (7), intra-abdominal region (5), and hepatobiliary system (4 samples). Gramnegative bacteria were the most common organisms recovered concomitantly with *S. maltophilia*; they included *Enterobacteriaceae* (25 isolates), *A. baumannii* (19 isolates), and *P. aeruginosa* (12 isolates). Details of the organisms co-isolated with *S. maltophilia* are in Table 3.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the *S. maltophilia* isolated from the 100 patients revealed a 100% susceptibility to minocycline, 94% susceptibility to levofloxacin, and 91% susceptibility to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. The

Table I Baseline Characteristics, Clinical Data, and TreatmentOutcomes of Hospitalized Patients Who Had S. maltophiliaInfections

Characteristics	Total
	n = 100
Median age (range), years	66 (19–90)
Male, n (%)	47 (47)
Underlying disease, n (%)	
Diabetes mellitus	31 (31)
Hypertension	51 (51)
Cardiac disease	22 (22)
Chronic pulmonary disease	12 (12)
Chronic kidney disease	21 (21)
Chronic liver disease	13 (13)
Cerebrovascular disease	17 (17)
Malignancies	45 (45)
Organ transplant recipient	2 (2)
Autoimmune diseases	6 (6)
Receiving immunosuppressive therapy	20 (20)
Cause of hospitalization, n (%) Infections not related to S. <i>maltophilia</i> ^a	
-	
Respiratory tract infection	14 (14)
Urinary tract infection	8 (8)
Hepatobiliary tract infection	7 (7)
Bacteremia	6 (6)
Intra-abdominal infection	4 (4)
Others	6 (6)
Scheduled chemotherapy	6 (6)
Surgery	16 (16)
Diagnostic intervention	4 (4)
Others	19 (19)
Critically ill patient, n (%)	46 (46)
Median ICU LOS (range), days	10 (1–207)
Median APACHE II score (range)	18 (2–32)
Median SOFA score (range)	8 (1–19)
Type of S. maltophilia infection, n (%)	
Community acquired infection	0
Hospital acquired infection ^b	100 (100)
Type of in-patient unit during the infection, n (%)	
Medicine ward	36 (36)
Surgery ward	7 (7)
ICU	35 (35)
Others	22 (22)
Median duration before the infection (range), days	19 (1–207)
Median SOFA score at the infection (range)	6 (1-19)
S. maltophilia infection with bacteremia, n (%)	43 (43)
Primary focus of infection, n (%)	
Primary bacteremia	
CRBSI	14 (14)
Pneumonia	53 (53)

Table I (Continued).

Characteristics Intra-abdominal infection Hepatobiliary tract infection Urinary tract infection Ocular infection Antimicrobial treatment, n (%) Empirical antimicrobial treatment Appropriate	Total n = 100 6 (6) 8 (8) 7 (7) I (1)
Hepatobiliary tract infection Urinary tract infection Ocular infection Antimicrobial treatment, n (%) Empirical antimicrobial treatment	6 (6) 8 (8) 7 (7)
Hepatobiliary tract infection Urinary tract infection Ocular infection Antimicrobial treatment, n (%) Empirical antimicrobial treatment	8 (8) 7 (7)
Urinary tract infection Ocular infection Antimicrobial treatment, n (%) Empirical antimicrobial treatment	7 (7)
Ocular infection Antimicrobial treatment, n (%) Empirical antimicrobial treatment	. ,
Antimicrobial treatment, n (%) Empirical antimicrobial treatment	1 (1)
Empirical antimicrobial treatment	
Appropriato	
Appi opi late	16 (16)
Inappropriate	84 (84)
Definite antimicrobial treatment	
Appropriate	72 (72)
Inappropriate	28 (28)
Median duration of definite antimicrobial treatment	14 (2–60)
(range), days	
Treatment outcomes at discharge, n (%)	
Survived	46 (46)
Deceased	54 (54)

Notes: ^aPre-existing infection prior to the onset of *S. maltophilia* infection. ^bTwo cases acquired *S. maltophilia* infection after the hospital discharge. One patient had bacteremia post endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, another patient had urinary tract infection post-percutaneous nephrostomy.

Abbreviations: APACHE II, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; CRBSI, catheter-related bloodstream infection; ICU, intensive care unit; LOS, length of stay; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment.

Table 2 Clinical Characteristics at the Onset of the S. maltophilia	
Infections	

Characteristics	Total n = 100
Antimicrobial agent used in the preceding 2 weeks,	91 (91)
n (%)	
Carbapenems	62 (56)
Piperacillin-tazobactam	18 (16)
Cephalosporins	11 (10)
Serum albumin level <3 g/dL, n (%)	88 (88)
Urinary catheterization, n (%)	71 (71)
On mechanical ventilator, n (%)	58 (58)
Surgery during the preceding month, n (%)	43 (43)
Intravascular catheterization, n (%)	40 (40)
Multi-organ dysfunction, n (%)	37 (37)
Central venous catheter, n (%)	37 (37)
Concurrent immunosuppressive treatment, n (%)	33 (33)
Hemodialysis, n (%)	22 (22)
Previous S. maltophilia colonization, n (%)	19 (19)
Chemotherapy during the preceding month, n (%)	13 (13)

(Continued)

Table 3The Number of Organisms Co-Isolated withS. maltophilia in the Index Culture Specimen for Diagnosis ofS. maltophilia Infections from 65 Patients

Type of Organism	Total
Gram-negative bacteria, n	
Enterobacteriaceae	25
Acinetobacter baumannii	19
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	12
Others	8
Gram-positive bacteria, n	
Staphylococci	12
Enterococci	10
Others	1
Candida spp., n	13

percentage of antimicrobial susceptibility testing profile of 100 *S. maltophilia* isolates from the study subjects is shown in Table 4.

Factors Associated with in-Hospital Mortality

The overall, in-hospital mortality rate was 54%. In the univariate analysis, the variables that were significantly associated with increased, all-cause in-hospital mortality were a pre-existing respiratory infection (OR 6.28, 1.33-29.78; p.021); a critical illness (OR 3.33, 1.45-7.62; p.005); multiorgan dysfunction (OR 2.44, 1.05-5.70; p.039); being on mechanical ventilation (OR 4.44, 1.90-10.39; p.001); receiving concurrent immunosuppressive therapy (OR 2.67, 1.10--6.47; p.029); intravascular catheterization (OR 4.43, 1.79–10.92; p.001); urinary catheterization (OR 4.83, 1.87– 12.47; p.001); and a serum albumin level of <3 g/dL (OR 4.13, 1.05–16.33; p.043). In 65 patients with the polymicrobial isolations, 29 (44.6%) survived, and 36 (55.4%) had fatal outcomes. In 35 patients who had S. maltophilia infection alone, 17 (48.6%) survived, and 18 (51.4%) had fatal outcomes. The subsequent multivariate logistic regression

 Table 4 Percentage of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Profile

 of 100 S. maltophilia Isolates from the Study Subjects

Agent ^a	s	I	R
Minocycline	100	-	-
Levofloxacin	94	-	6
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	91	-	9

Note: ^aTesting by disk diffusion method according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).

Abbreviations: I, intermediate; R, resistant; S, susceptible.

model was adjusted for the polymicrobial organism variables. That analysis revealed that 2 factors were independently associated with mortality: concurrent immunosuppressive therapy (OR 2.26, 1.04–6.82; p 0.042) and mechanical ventilation (OR 4.43, 1.86–10.59; p 0.001; Table 5). Inappropriate empirical antimicrobial therapy did not have a significant impact on mortality in this study. Of the 54 patients who died, 44 (81.5%) received inadequate empirical antimicrobial treatment for *S. maltophilia*, whereas of the 46 who survived, 40 (87%) received inadequate empirical antimicrobial treatment (p 0.459). The administration of definite antimicrobial treatment was also not associated with mortality: 36 out of the 46 (78.3%) patients who died, received appropriate definite antimicrobial therapy (p 0.201).

Discussion

In this study, we reviewed 100 cases with an *S. maltophilia* infection during a 4-year period at a large tertiary care center, Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand. The cases of *S. maltophilia* infection were drawn from several hospital wards, but mainly from the medicine ward and the intensive care unit. Almost all infections occurred during inpatient care. The median duration of hospitalization before the onset of the infections was 19 days, which was similar to the findings of several other studies.^{14,15}

The main characteristics of the patients who developed *S. maltophilia* infections were the presence of prior antimicrobial treatment (especially with carbapenems); being cannulated with a vascular catheter; being on mechanical ventilation; having had previous surgery; and a low serum albumin level. A number of patients had immunosuppressed conditions due to underlying disease, receiving corticosteroids, or chemotherapy. Those characteristics were also identified by earlier studies.^{16–20} Moreover, the most frequent *S. maltophilia* infections in the current study were similar to those observed in other relevant studies, namely, respiratory tract infections, followed by bloodstream infections and intra-abdominal infections.^{3,4,15}

Although the in-hospital mortality rate of 54% in our study was high, it was consistent with the rates of 20–60% reported by a number of published studies.^{20–22} While polymicrobial organism isolations constituted a considerable proportion in our study, other more virulent pathogens may be a more important mortality risk factor. The multivariate analysis was adjusted for the polymicrobial organism variables because it was difficult to ascertain the pathogenic role of *S. maltophilia*, especially in cases of polymicrobial

1563

Variables	Univariate		Multivariate	
	OR (95% CI)	P-value	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Pre-existing respiratory infection	6.28 (1.33–29.78)	0.021		
Critical illness	3.33 (1.45–7.62)	0.005		
Multi-organ dysfunction	2.44 (1.05–5.70)	0.039		
On mechanical ventilator	4.44 (1.90–10.39)	0.001	4.43 (1.86–10.59)	0.001
Concurrent immunosuppressive therapy	2.67 (1.10–6.47)	0.029	2.26 (1.04–6.82)	0.042
Intravascular catheterization	4.43 (1.79–10.92)	0.001		
Urinary catheterization	4.83 (1.87–12.47)	0.001		
Serum albumin level <3 g/dL	4.13 (1.05–16.33)	0.043		
Polymicrobial organism isolated	1.17 (0.51–2.67)	0.705		

organism isolation. However, the mortality rate of patients with polymicrobial organism isolations was not significantly different from that of patients with only S. maltophilia isolation. Co-infection with multiple isolates might not indicate the true polymicrobial infection, but it may represent microbial colonizations in a prolonged hospitalized patient, which did not alter the patient's prognosis. The only independent risk factors associated with mortality in patients with S. maltophilia infections were receiving concurrent immunosuppressive therapy and being on mechanical ventilation, which was similar to the results of other research.^{10,22} Two independent risk factors associated with mortality that were identified by some previous studies-namely, admission to an intensive care unit and an APACHE II score of $>15^{16,17,22}$ are not consistent with our research. These discrepancies were most probably because of different indications being used by our hospital for intensive care unit admission, and inaccurate APACHE II scores in our medical records. Surprisingly, the inappropriateness of empirical and definitive antimicrobial therapy was not associated with mortality in the current study. In contrast, previous studies have reported that the initial administration of inappropriate antimicrobial treatment was a significant predictor of mortality.^{19,23,24} Several conditions, such as age, comorbidity, severity of illness, immunosuppression, and malnutrition, may contribute to an increase in the rate of mortality.

For the treatment of *S. maltophilia* infections, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole has long been considered as the firstline therapeutic agent; nevertheless, a 2%–25% resistance rate has been reported.²⁵ The present research demonstrated that levofloxacin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole were still highly active against *S. maltophilia* isolates, which is different from the findings of previous studies.^{15,17} Nevertheless, those two agents are frequently prescribed by treating physicians in hospitals as the empirical and targeted therapy for patients who have *S. maltophilia* infections. When the isolates were susceptible to those agents, levofloxacin did not show significantly more benefit over trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole in patients with *S. maltophilia* infections or bacteremia.^{26,27} However, the rates of susceptibility of fluoroquinolones and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole have varied (21%–82%, and 81%–85%, respectively) in different studies.^{15,17} Some previous studies found fluoroquinolone-resistant strain and inappropriate fluoroquinolone treatment when the organisms resistant to were factors predicting the non-survival outcome.^{28,29} Although minocycline has demonstrated very promising in vitro activity against the isolates, this agent is unfortunately not presently available in Thailand.

Our study had some limitations. The chief one was its retrospective design, which prevented us from accurately differentiating between true infections and colonization with *S. maltophilia* isolates and other polymicrobial organisms, thereby hampering accurate diagnosis. Moreover, as we used the in-hospital all-cause mortality rate as the primary outcome, the effects of underlying diseases or other medical conditions could not be excluded. In addition, given that the subjects were drawn from only a single tertiary center, our results may be limited and not able to be applied to different settings. A prospective, well-designed, case–control study should be conducted to more precisely evaluate the risk factors of *S. maltophilia* infections, the impact of appropriate antimicrobial therapy, and the mortality outcome.

Conclusion

S. maltophilia can cause nosocomial infections with high mortality, particularly in patients who have prolonged hospitalization, have a critical illness, are on mechanical ventilation, have been cannulated with a vascular catheter

or are malnourished. The independent factors associated with a fatal outcome are being on a mechanical ventilator and receiving concurrent immunosuppressive therapy.

Abbreviations

APACHE II, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation; AST, antimicrobial susceptibility testing; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CRBSI, catheter-related bloodstream infection; CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; I, intermediate; ICU, intensive care unit; LOS, length of stay; R, resistant; S, susceptible; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; US, United State.

Data Sharing Statement

Primary datasets from this study will be available from the corresponding author upon request.

Ethics Approval and Informed Consent

The study protocol was approved by the Siriraj Institutional Review Board [Reference number 317/2560 (EC2)] with waiving written informed consent for deidentified patient information.

Acknowledgments

We express our sincere thanks to staff at Bacteriology Unit, Department of Microbiology, Siriraj Hospital, for assisting with the microbiological data in this study, and Ms. Khemajira Karaketklang for helping with the data analyses.

Author Contributions

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Brooke JS. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: an emerging global opportunistic pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012;25(1):2–41.
- Looney WJ, Narita M, Muhlemann K. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: an emerging opportunist human pathogen. Lancet Infect Dis. 2009;9 (5):312–323. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(09)70083-0

- Fihman V, Le Monnier A, Corvec S, et al. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*–the most worrisome threat among unusual non-fermentative gramnegative bacilli from hospitalized patients: a prospective multicenter study. *J Infect.* 2012;64(4):391–398. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2012.01.001
- Senol E, DesJardin J, Stark PC, Barefoot L, Snydman DR. Attributable mortality of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteremia. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2002;34(12):1653–1656. doi:10.1086/340707
- VanCouwenberghe CJ, Farver TB, Cohen SH. Risk factors associated with isolation of *Stenotrophomonas (Xanthomonas) maltophilia* in clinical specimens. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 1997;18 (5):316–321. doi:10.2307/30141224
- Paez JI, Costa SF. Risk factors associated with mortality of infections caused by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*: a systematic review. *J Hosp Infect*. 2008;70(2):101–108. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2008.05.020
- Rattanaumpawan P, Ussavasodhi P, Kiratisin P, Aswapokee N. Epidemiology of bacteremia caused by uncommon non-fermentative gram-negative bacteria. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2013;13167.
- Jeon YD, Jeong WY, Kim MH, et al. Risk factors for mortality in patients with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteremia. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*). 2016;95(31):e4375. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000004375
- Xun M, Zhang Y, Li BL, Wu M, Zong Y, Yin YM. Clinical characteristics and risk factors of infections caused by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in a hospital in northwest China. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2014;8(8):1000–1005. doi:10.3855/jidc.4236
- Sanchez MB, Hernandez A, Martinez JL. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia drug resistance. Future Microbiol. 2009;4(6):655–660. doi:10.2217/fmb.09.45
- Vila J, Marco F. Interpretative reading of the non-fermenting gram-negative bacilli antibiogram. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin*. 2002;20(6):304–310. doi:10.1016/S0213-005X(02)72803-6
- Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting. *Am J Infect Control.* 2008;36 (5):309–332. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2008.03.002
- 13. Saugel B, Eschermann K, Hoffmann R, et al. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in the respiratory tract of medical intensive care unit patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;31(7):1419–1428. doi:10.1007/s10096-011-1459-8
- 14. Garazi M, Singer C, Tai J, Ginocchio CC. Bloodstream infections caused by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*: a seven-year review. *J Hosp Infect*. 2012;81(2):114–118. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2012.02.008
- 15. Samonis G, Karageorgopoulos DE, Maraki S, et al. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infections in a general hospital: patient characteristics, antimicrobial susceptibility, and treatment outcome. PLoS One. 2012;7(5):e37375. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.00 37375
- 16. Aisenberg G, Rolston KV, Dickey BF, Kontoyiannis DP, Raad II, Safdar A. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia pneumonia in cancer patients without traditional risk factors for infection, 1997-2004. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2007;26(1):13–20. doi:10.1007/s100 96-006-0243-7
- Del Toro MD, Rodriguez-Bano J, Herrero M, et al. Clinical epidemiology of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* colonization and infection: a multicenter study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2002;81(3):228–239. doi:10.1097/00005792-200205000-00006
- Denton M, Kerr KG. Microbiological and clinical aspects of infection associated with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 1998;11(1):57–80.
- Hanes SD, Demirkan K, Tolley E, et al. Risk factors for late-onset nosocomial pneumonia caused by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in critically ill trauma patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2002;35(3):228–235. doi:10.1086/341022
- Muder RR, Harris AP, Muller S, et al. Bacteremia due to *Stenotrophomonas (Xanthomonas) maltophilia*: a prospective, multicenter study of 91 episodes. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1996;22(3):508–512. doi:10.1093/clinids/22.3.508

- Lai CH, Chi CY, Chen HP, et al. Clinical characteristics and prognostic factors of patients with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteremia. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect.* 2004;37(6):350–358.
- Wang WS, Liu CP, Lee CM, Huang FY. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia bacteremia in adults: four years' experience in a medical center in northern Taiwan. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2004;37(6): 359–365.
- Friedman ND, Korman TM, Fairley CK, Franklin JC, Spelman DW. Bacteraemia due to *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*: an analysis of 45 episodes. *J Infect*. 2002;45(1):47–53. doi:10.1053/jinf.2002.0978
- 24. Kwa AL, Low JG, Lim TP, Leow PC, Kurup A, Tam VH. Independent predictors for mortality in patients with positive *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* cultures. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2008;37(10):826–830.
- Chang LL, Lin HH, Chang CY, Lu PL. Increased incidence of class 1 integrons in trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole-resistant clinical isolates of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;59 (5):1038–1039. doi:10.1093/jac/dkm034

- 26. Ko JH, Kang CI, Cornejo-Juárez P, et al. Fluoroquinolones versus trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for the treatment of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2019;25(5):546–554. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2018.11.008
- Wang YL, Scipione MR, Dubrovskaya Y, Papadopoulos J. Monotherapy with fluoroquinolone or trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for treatment of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(1):176–182. doi:10.1128/AAC.01324-13
- Kim EJ, Kim YC, Ahn JY, et al. Risk factors for mortality in patients with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteremia and clinical impact of quinolone-resistant strains. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2019;19(1):754. doi:10. 1186/s12879-019-4394-4
- 29. Sumida K, Chong Y, Miyake N, et al. Risk factors associated with Stenotrophomonas maltophilia bacteremia: a matched case-control study. PLoS One. 2015;10(7):e0133731. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.01 33731

Infection and Drug Resistance

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed openaccess journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal

Dovepress

antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peerreview system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.