Evaluation of Efficacy of Mirtazapine on Pruritus and Serum Histamine and Serotonin Levels in Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis: A Before–After Pilot Clinical Trial

Maryam Mehrpooya1, Mahmoud Gholya2,3, Fatemeh Yasrebifar1, Younes Mohammad4, Vida Sheikh1,2,3

1. Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran; 2. Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran; 3. Clinical Research Development Unit of Shahid Beheshti Hospital, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran; 4. Modeling of Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

Background: Although chronic kidney disease-associated pruritus (CKD-aP) remains a frequent and frustrating symptom in patients with advanced kidney diseases, its optimal treatments are not well defined. Based on its mechanism of action, as a histamine-1 (H1), 5-(hydroxytryptamine) HT2, and 5HT3-receptor blocker, mirtazapine may be effective in the treatment of CKD-aP. Hence, this study aimed to investigate the efficacy of mirtazapine for the treatment of pruritus in patients undergoing hemodialysis (HD).

Methods: A before–after clinical trial was conducted from September 2018 until March 2019, on 30 HD-patients that had been referred to the HD ward of a tertiary hospital, in Hamadan, Iran. After the 2-week washout period, mirtazapine was administered with a dosage of 15 mg/day for an additional 2 weeks. At baseline and at each dialysis session, the effects of the mirtazapine on the pruritus severity based on the visual analogue scale (VAS) and degree of sleep interference resulting from the pruritus were asked and recorded. Additionally, at the baseline and the end of 2 weeks of treatment, the serum histamine and serotonin levels, as the main chemical pruritogens evoking pruritus symptoms, were also determined.

Results: Twenty-seven patients completed the entire course of the study. Based on the general linear model analysis, a progressive decline in the mean VAS score was observed over time during the study. The mean VAS score decreased from 8.48 ± 1.01 at baseline to 1.04 ±0.79 at the end of treatment (P-value<0.001). Similarly, the mean sleep interference scores were also significantly improved throughout treatment (decreased from 8.07±1.43 to 2.81± 0.74; P-value=0.001). Further, at the end of the treatment, a noticeable decrement in the serum histamine level was also seen (P-value = 0.006). The drug was acceptably well-tolerated and a majority of the patients were satisfied with this treatment.

Conclusion: This pilot study suggests that mirtazapine may be an effective treatment option for the management of CKD-aP. However, further studies would be needed to confirm these results.
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Introduction
Chronic kidney disease-associated pruritus (CKD-aP) is one of the most frustrating and potentially disabling symptoms among uremic patients that already burdened with chronic disease.1 It has been reported that at least 50% of patients undergoing hemodialysis (HD) treatment experience moderate or extreme CKD-aP and of
Based on its unique influence on the quality of life, CKD-aP is often generalized in up to 50% of patients. It can substantially impair the patient’s quality of life (QOL) because of serious discomfort, anxiety, depression, and sleeping disorders. CKD-aP has been also associated with adverse medical outcomes and is independently associated with mortality. Despite its high prevalence, morbidity, and marked influence on the quality of life, CKD-aP is often unreported by patients and the clinicians frequently underestimate the prevalence of pruritus among their patients.

Although the pathophysiology of CKD-aP is not well understood yet, several theories on etiologic or contributing factors have been proposed. A combination of abnormalities specific to uremia including, high levels of blood urea nitrogen, elevated β2-microglobulin, hypervitaminosis A, high aluminum levels, anemia, erythropoietin insufficiency, elevated ferritin, low transferrin, low albumin, and secondary hyperparathyroidism with elevated divalent ions such as calcium and phosphate may have contributing roles in the etiopathogenesis of CKD-aP. Dermatological abnormalities, increased systemic inflammation and immune dysregulation, opioid-receptor derangements, and alterations in nociceptive sensory pathways in the peripheral and/or central nervous system are potential pathological pathways that are thought to be implicated in the pathogeneses of CKD-aP. Among possible prurito gens that can evoke pruritus symptoms, histamine is a well-known pruritogen that through H1 and H4 receptors has a role in the pathophysiology of chronic pruritus conditions like CKD-aP. Elevated serum level of histamine has been also observed in patients with advanced CKD suffering from pruritus. Serotonin is another endogenous pruritogen that has a possible role in the generation and/or sensation of CKD-aP. Findings from some human studies have demonstrated that serum serotonin levels were also markedly increased in patients with CKD-aP and there was a significant relationship between the presence and severity of pruritus and serotonin levels. Additionally, extensive researches have shown that psychotropics drugs with antagonistic effects on 5-(hydroxytryptamine) HT2 and 5HT3 receptors may have antipruritic effect in patients with chronic pruritus which further supports the possible serotonin role in the pathogenesis of pruritus.

Due to poorly understood its pathophysiological mechanisms, optimal treatments for CKD-aP are not well defined. Kidney transplantation remains the definitive treatment in patients with end-stage kidney disease (ESKD). Among those who are not transplantation candidates or are waiting for surgery, other therapeutic approaches to minimize the severity of pruritus such as, modification of the dialysis techniques, application of emollients, ultraviolet irradiation, acupuncture, and drug therapy should be considered. However, no treatment has been shown to have sufficient efficacy and safety and most of the CKD patients continue to suffer chronic pruritus. Because the mechanism of renal pruritus is likely multifactorial, the use of multiple modalities or treatment with medications with multiple anti-pruritus actions may more effectively control this difficult medical problem.

Mirtazapine is an antidepressant that exhibits both noradrenergic and serotonergic activity. By blocking 5-HT2 and 5-HT3 receptors, mirtazapine enhances 5-HT1A-mediated serotonergic neurotransmission. Mirtazapine also has a high affinity for the H1 receptor and strongly blocks this type of histamine receptors. In addition to its potential usefulness in treating depression and anxiety, because to the unique mechanism of action, mirtazapine also appears to be effective in treating some other medical and neurological disorders as well as in ameliorating some of the debilitating symptoms such as weight loss, insomnia, pain, and nausea and vomiting. Based on its unique receptor profile, as an H1, 5HT2, and 5HT3-receptor blocker, mirtazapine may be also an option for treatment of chronic pruritus and some preliminary evidence has been reported regarding its potential effectiveness in relieving pruritus symptoms attributed to dermatological conditions and malignancies.

Considering that the antipruritic effect of mirtazapine for the treatment of CKD-aP has not been addressed in previous studies and the little data that exists is limited to case reports, the present pilot study was conducted to estimate the efficacy and safety of mirtazapine as a possible treatment for pruritus symptoms in patients undergoing maintenance HD. We also hypothesized that mirtazapine ameliorates pruritus via decreasing serum histamine and serotonin levels in HD patients.

Materials and Methods
This was a before–after clinical trial that conducted from September 2018 until March 2019, among eligible HD patients that had been referred to the HD ward of the Shahid-Beheshti hospital, a tertiary hospital affiliated to Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan Iran. The study was performed according to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and its later
amendments. After obtaining the approvals by the Ethics Committees of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, the study was registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT20150629022965N18). Information about the study was given to the participants and written and signed informed consent forms were obtained from those who were willing to participate.

**Sample Size Calculation**

A total sample size of 30 patients was calculated according to the Gill et al study and expected changes of the serum histamine level at least 2 as a primary variable, α=0.05, 80% power (1-β=0.8), and 10% possible losses to follow-up.

**Participants**

Patients were recruited in this study if the following inclusion criteria were met at baseline: patients over 18 years of age who were suffering from persistent pruritus during the past 6 weeks with mean pruritus intensity greater than 4 based on the visual analogue scale (VAS) during last week before beginning study and on maintenance HD three times a week for at least three months. The implemented exclusion criteria were as follows: kidney transplantation, anemia (hemoglobin<7g/dl), hyperparathyroidism (serum parathormone >300 pg/mL), serum phosphorus > 5.5 mg/dl, serum calcium > 10.5 mg/dL (albumin-corrected), calcium × phosphorous product > 60, dermatologic, liver or metabolic diseases associated with pruritus, receiving chronic opiate therapy or corticosteroids, pregnancy or lactation or expecting to get pregnant during the study, being non-adherent to the treatment, consuming any antipruritic agents other than prescribed medications during study, known history of hypersensitivity to mirtazapine, and presence of any adverse effects resulting in patients’ intolerance or complications.

All HD patients (106 patients) attending the HD ward of the mentioned hospital were assessed for eligibility. Of those, 36 patients did not meet the inclusion/exclusion criteria and 17 patients did not agree to take part in the study. Of the remaining 53 patients who met the study criteria and had an interest in study participation, 30 patients were selected via a random sampling method.

Required demographic and clinical data of the patients were recorded from their medical records. They included age, sex, causes of kidney disease, duration suffering from pruritus, and duration on HD therapy. Study patients received standard thrice-weekly (four hours per session) bicarbonate-based hemodialysis containing 138 mmol/L sodium, 2.5 mEq/L calcium, and 34 mmol/L bicarbonate and polysulfone dialyzer (0.8–1.3 m2 surface area). Blood flow and dialysate flow were 250–300 and 500 mL/min, respectively. Dialysis efficacy was calculated using the urea kinetics model and expressed as Kt/Vurea and adequacy of dialysis was defined as Kt/V > 1.2 (where k: dialyzer clearance of urea in a single treatment, in mL/min; t: time; V: volume of body fluid).

**Intervention**

Patients were required to discontinue any medication that had an antipruritic effect for two weeks before the study. After this washout period, mirtazapine (Remeron, Pfizer Pharmaceutical Company) was administered with a dosage of 15 mg once daily, at bedtime for two weeks. Renal dose adjustment for mirtazapine was done based on the recommendations of manufacturers in HD patients and related literature. The recommended dose of mirtazapine in HD patients is 15 mg/day that increasing its dose above 15 mg/d should be done cautiously. Thus, we also cautiously chose a dose of 15mg/day in the study. Adherence to treatment was determined by counting drugs left in the container at the end of the study treatment and patients were considered adherent to treatment if at least 80% of all doses were taken.

Data on the blood levels of the hematological and biochemical parameters including, hemoglobin (Hg), white blood cells (WBC), Platelet (PLT), calcium, phosphorus, albumin, creatinine, and intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) were obtained from the most recent records of routine analysis laboratory of the patients.

**Efficacy and Safety Assessment**

VAS was used to quantify the severity of pruritus in the study patients. VAS is one of the most commonly used methods of pruritus severity assessment. For this, a 10-cm horizontal line was used for self-assessment of the severity of pruritus and patients were asked to rate the severity of their pruritus by crossing the line at the point that corresponded to their pruritus severity, being informed that 0 denoting no pruritus and 10 denoting worst possible imaginable pruritus. The severity of pruritus at baseline (before the initiation of treatment) and at each dialysis session (seven times during the 2-week treatment period) on the VAS scale was questioned and recorded. Further, the severity of pruritus was classified according to the VAS scale, in 4 steps as follows: no pruritus (VAS 0–1.0), mild...
pruritus (VAS 2.0–3.0), moderate pruritus (VAS 4.0–6.0), and severe pruritus (VAS ≥ 7.0) and based on this pointing system, the severity of pruritus at baseline and the end of the treatment period was assessed. To evaluate the influence of pruritus on sleep, the patients at baseline and at each dialysis session (seven times) were asked to verbally quantify the degree of sleep interference resulting from the pruritus from 0 (does not interfere with sleep) to 10 (completely interferes/unable to sleep). Furthermore, at the end of the study, all patients were asked to express their overall level of satisfaction regarding the therapy on a 4-point scale as follows: 1= very satisfied, 2= satisfied, 3= indifferent, and 4= unsatisfied.24

For assessing safety and tolerability of the treatment, any reported adverse effect suspected to be associated with the use of mirtazapine from the day of first administration and at each dialysis session during 2 weeks treatment period was recorded.

Measurement of Serum Histamine and Serotonin Levels

For measurement of serum histamine and serotonin levels, 5 mL blood samples were drawn during the first 15 minutes of dialysis from each patient at the baseline (before treatment) and at the end of week 2 of the treatment protocol. The serum specimens thereafter were separated by centrifugation (10 minutes at 3000 rpm) and stored at −70°C until analysis. In all cases, the serum levels of the biomarkers were assessed in duplicate. The serum levels of histamine and serotonin were determined according to the high-sensitivity sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent (ELISA) technique with immunoassay kits of ZellBio GmbH Company made of Germany and their values were expressed as nanograms per milliliter (ng/mL).

Data Analysis

Per-protocol analysis approach was used to analyze data of all individuals who completed the study. Data were analyzed using the SPSS software (version 16.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and qualitative data were presented as frequency and percentages. For comparison of normally distributed data, paired *t*-test was used. For non-parametric data, Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used. Chi-squared test was used to compare qualitative data. Moreover, to determine the change of mean pruritus score over time and controlling the baseline differences and confounding factors, a General Linear Model (GLM) ANOVA repeated measure was used. A *P*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Demographic and Baseline Characteristics

30 HD patients who fulfilled the inclusion/exclusion criteria randomly were enrolled in this study. During the follow-up period, 3 patients (experiencing intolerable adverse effects: 1 patient and loss to follow-up: 2 patients) were excluded from the trial. All of the following results were related to 27 patients that completed the entire course of the study.

The demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of the study patients are shown in Table 1. Fifteen of the patients were male (55.6%) and twelve were female (44.4%). Their age ranged from 37–84 years with the mean age of 61.11 ± 10.39 years. The main causes for which patients undergoing HD were as follows: diabetes mellitus (13 patients: 48.15%), hypertension (7 patients: 25.93%), and chronic glomerulonephritis (2 patients: 7.41%). The mean duration of HD was 5.8 ± 3.9 years (range 1–18 years) and mean duration patients suffering from pruritus ranged from 1–10 years (3.5 ± 2.8 years). Dialysis for all patients was performed at ≥1.2 Kt/V which indicates the adequacy of HD treatment in the study patients. As shown in Table 1, there were no critical values in the basic laboratory data characteristic of the study population that may influence the severity of pruritus.

Efficacy and Safety Results

Figure 1 and Table 2 show the mean changes in the VAS scores at different time points during the study period. Based on the general linear model analysis, there was a progressive decline in the mean VAS score at a different time point during the study. Before treatment with mirtazapine, the mean value of the VAS score was 8.48 ± 1.01 (range 6–10), while after a 2-week treatment it decreased to 1.04 ± 0.79 (range 1–6) which demonstrated statistically significant changes (difference: −7.44±1.31; *P*-value<0.001). Table 3 shows the distribution of severity of pruritus by a 4-point classification system based on the VAS score before and after a 2-week treatment with mirtazapine. Before starting the treatment, the severity of pruritus in 7 (25.9%) patients was moderate (define as VAS score 4.0–6.0) and in 20 (74.1%) patients was severe.
After 2-week treatment with mirtazapine, severity of pruritus in 7 (25.9%) patients was no pruritus (defined as VAS score 0–1.0), in 17 (63%) patients was mild (defined as VAS score 1.0–3.0), and only 3 (11.1%) patients had moderate pruritus (defined as VAS score 4.0–6.0). Therefore, as shown in Table 3, compared to the starting of the treatment, the severity of pruritus was improved after therapy with mirtazapine that was statistically significant (P-value<0.001).

Similarly to the VAS scores, the mean sleep interference scores (SIS) resulting from the pruritus were also significantly improved over the course of the treatment (Figure 2 and Table 2). Mean SIS reduced from 8.07±1.43 at baseline to 2.81±0.74 at the end of therapy (difference: −5.25±1.61; P-value<0.001).

In respect of the occurrence of adverse effects, 9 cases experienced adverse effects (4 cases reported drowsiness, 2 cases reported dry mouth, one case reported headache, and 2 cases reported dizziness); nevertheless, only one case discontinued the mirtazapine due to the experiencing intolerable drowsiness. As these adverse effects were mild, none of the reported adverse effects was serious or caused any complication for the patients.

### Table 1 The Demographic and Relevant Clinical Data of the Patients at the Beginning of the Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, Years</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61.11 ± 10.39</td>
<td>37–84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex, N (%)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 (55.6%)</td>
<td>12 (44.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes for which patients undergoing HD, N (%)</th>
<th>Diabetes mellitus</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Chronic glomerulonephritis</th>
<th>Un-known</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 (48.15%)</td>
<td>7 (25.93%)</td>
<td>2 (7.41%)</td>
<td>4 (14.61%)</td>
<td>1 (3.70%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of HD therapy, years</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td>1–18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration suffering from pruritus, years</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values of relevant laboratory data</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg (g dL⁻¹)</td>
<td>12.25 ± 1.91</td>
<td>10–13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (cell mm⁻³)</td>
<td>5230 ± 2340</td>
<td>2500–8200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT (10⁵ µL⁻¹)</td>
<td>213.45 ± 85.60</td>
<td>138–427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg dL⁻¹)</td>
<td>8.85 ± 0.62</td>
<td>6.9–9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (mg dL⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.75 ± 0.58</td>
<td>2.8–4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g dL⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.58</td>
<td>3.3–5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPTH (g dL⁻¹)</td>
<td>249.22 ±80.15</td>
<td>150–350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.2–1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg dL⁻¹)</td>
<td>8.8 ± 3.8</td>
<td>5.9–12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** HD, hemodialysis; Hg, hemoglobin; WBC, white blood cells; PLT, Platelet; iPTH, intact parathyroid hormone; Kt/V. Kt stands for dialyzer clearance multiplied by time (mL min⁻¹) and V for volume of water a patient’s body contains.

### Table 2 The Values of VAS and SIS at Different Time Points During the Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>VAS scores</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
<th>SIS scores</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>8.48 ± 1.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>8.07±1.43</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>6.93 ± 1.21</td>
<td>6.06±1.07</td>
<td>5.22±1.15</td>
<td>4.44±0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>4.44 ± 1.45</td>
<td>4.11±1.01</td>
<td>3.41±0.92</td>
<td>2.81±0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>2.93 ± 1.39</td>
<td>4.44±0.97</td>
<td>4.11±1.01</td>
<td>3.41±0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>2.29 ±0.98</td>
<td>4.11±1.01</td>
<td>3.41±0.92</td>
<td>2.81±0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 11</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.89</td>
<td>4.11±1.01</td>
<td>3.41±0.92</td>
<td>2.81±0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>1.04 ±0.79</td>
<td>4.11±1.01</td>
<td>3.41±0.92</td>
<td>2.81±0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Values represent as mean ± SD.

**Abbreviations:** VAS, visual analogue scale; SIS, sleep interference score.
Moreover, regarding the satisfaction with treatment, at the end of the study, the majority of the patients (21 cases, 77.8%) were “very satisfied” or “satisfied” with treatment and 6 patients (22.2%) were indifferent. Importantly, dissatisfaction with treatment was not reported by any of the study patients. Therefore, overall satisfaction with treatment was relatively high among the study patients.

Changes in Serum Histamine and Serotonin Levels

The results regarding changes in the serum histamine and serotonin levels are presented in Table 4. As showed, before treatment with mirtazapine the mean serum histamine and serotonin levels were 25.40±8.21 and 92.44±27.79 respectively. Compared to the starting of the treatment at the end of the treatment period a noticeable decrement on serum histamine level was seen that its change was statistically significant (decreased from 25.40±8.21 at baseline to 22.48±7.07 at the end of the treatment period; P-value = 0.006). Although, after 2 weeks of treatment the serum serotonin level was also decreased compared to the beginning of the study, its changes did not reach the significant level (P-value = 0.10).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first clinical study evaluating the antipruritic effect of mirtazapine in HD patients. This pilot before–after clinical trial demonstrated that mirtazapine use at a dose of 15 mg daily is associated with an improvement in CKD-aP and sleep interference score resulting from pruritus. Importantly, the drug was acceptably well-tolerated in the patients and at the end of the study the majority of the patients were satisfied with this treatment. Moreover, the decline in the serum histamine level showed the clinical efficacy of mirtazapine may be mediated at least in part, through this pathway.

Among main chemical pruritogens, histamine, serotonin, and endogenous opioids have key contributory roles in the pathogenesis of pruritus. Releases of these mediators by activating a subset of unmyelinated C-fibres in the dermal-epidermal junction that transmit the signal to the central nervous system evoke sensations of pruritus. Importantly, the drug was acceptably well-tolerated in the patients and at the end of the study the majority of the patients were satisfied with this treatment. Moreover, the decline in the serum histamine level showed the clinical efficacy of mirtazapine may be mediated at least in part, through this pathway.

Increased expression of 5-HT receptors and serotonin transporter protein on skin cells is closely associated with a typical itchy disorder such as allergic contact dermatitis and atopic dermatitis. Increased serum levels of histamine and serotonin have been also reported in HD patients with pruritus. It is hypothesized that serotonin at the periphery, indirectly by stimulating histamine release from mast cells and at the central nervous system, through opioids participation leads to pruritus generation. Related research in renal pruritus also have demonstrated that endogenous opioids imbalance between the activities of the most antagonistic acting µ- and κ-opioid receptors in favor of µ-receptor activation has been implicated in the pathogenesis of CKD-aP. Thus, taking into consideration these causative mechanisms, antagonizing the activity of these chemical pruritogens may have a potential beneficial effect in the pharmacological management of CKD-aP.
Mirtazapine as a noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant is clinically used in the treatment of depression or anxiety disorders. On account of its unique receptor profile and mechanism of action, the use of the mirtazapine in a wide range of pathological conditions has been growing. Preliminary evidence also has been raised regarding its potential effectiveness in the management of pruritus. To date, only in case reports and small case series potential antipyretic effects of mirtazapine have been reported. Davis et al reported mirtazapine at doses ranging from 15 to 30 mg per day was effective in treating pruritus in four patients suffering from adenocarcinoma and multiple liver metastases, Hodgkin’s disease, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and renal cancer. Marked improvement in nocturnal and daytime pruritus symptoms was also observed after treatment with mirtazapine (15mg once daily) in a series of patients with inflammatory skin diseases suffering from severe resistant nocturnal pruritus.

Kulaç et al also reported a significant response with the usage of oral mirtazapine in three patients with persistent chronic urticarial. Further, in two case reports mirtazapine was used as an effective agent in the management of debilitating pruritus associated with cutaneous metastatic of carcinoma en cuirasse as well as cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. However, there is a paucity of clinical trials by the use of mirtazapine in the treatment of pruritus and only in one randomized control clinical trial, the effect of preoperative mirtazapine administration on intrathecal morphine-induced pruritus has been investigated. Results of this study showed premedication with mirtazapine can reduce the incidence, severity, and duration of postoperative pruritus induced by intrathecal morphine administration. Herein, we also showed that mirtazapine treatment effectively treated pruritus symptoms in HD patients.

On the basis of its pharmacologic profile, mirtazapine at least, in theory, have a number of possible mechanisms of action in the treatment of pruritus. It seems the antipruritic effects of mirtazapine mainly stem from its strong antagonistic activity against central and cutaneous receptors of serotonin (5HT2, 5HT3) and histamine (H1) that are known as major receptors associated with pruritus. Further, it is hypothesized that mirtazapine via antagonism of central alpha-2-auto- and hetero-adrenoreceptors may inhibit the neuronal transmission during itch processing. Additionally, in an experimental study, it was found that 5HT3-receptor antagonists like mirtazapine may have inhibitory effects on histamine release from mast cells. Consistent with this, in the percent study, we also observed mirtazapine significantly reduced serum levels of histamine. Considering possible involvement of the opioid system in the pathogenesis of renal pruritus, large numbers of experimental and human studies have focused on the effects of kappa-opioid agonists as a novel therapeutic modality in the management of CKD-aP. Preliminary evidence demonstrated that mirtazapine can also act as a kappa-opioid receptor agonist agent in the central nervous system. Therefore, it is assumed that the activation of the κ-opioid system is another potential mechanism that may be responsible for the antipruritic effects of mirtazapine. Apart from these mechanisms, given that stress exacerbates pruritus, the antianxiety property of mirtazapine may also indirectly attenuate pruritus sensation.

In addition to effectiveness for the treatment of pruritus, based on its mechanisms of action, mirtazapine can have other potentially beneficial effects in patients with CKD, particularly in HD patients. Increased appetite and weight gain induced by mirtazapine which is a common side-effect of mirtazapine can be advantageous in HD patients that anorexia and weight loss are relatively common among them. Nevertheless, considering that diabetes mellitus is a common cause of CKD, one important consideration in selecting an antipruritic agent in diabetic patients with CKD is the potential impact of the agent on appetite, total body weight, blood sugar, and cholesterol. So, potential negative impact of mirtazapine on blood sugar control and serum lipid profiles should be considered in diabetic patients. However, on the other hand, because of impaired renal gluconeogenesis, malnutrition, chronic inflammation, decrease renal insulin clearance, and the increased half-life of hypoglycemic agents, hypoglycemia is common among ESRD patients. Moreover, a previous study on mirtazapine in diabetic patients reported at least in the short-term (less than 6 months), mirtazapine is safe for diabetic patients in a stable state and undergoing appropriate diabetic treatment and even one study reported that non-diabetic depressive patients taking mirtazapine for 2 to 6 weeks gained weight but exhibited improved glucose tolerance. Because of its unique characteristic of antagonizing 5-HT3 receptors, mirtazapine also may alleviate nausea and vomiting that are common complications during HD treatment. Additionally, given that somnolence being one of the most common side effects of mirtazapine, its beneficial effects in the treatment of insomnia without potential risk for dependency, respiratory suppression, or
disturbance of deep-stage sleep have been reported.\textsuperscript{15} Therefore, mirtazapine may be also attractive treatment option in improving the quality and quantity of sleep in patients with CKD who have high-frequency insomnia problems.\textsuperscript{48} Pain has been also reported to be a common problem among patients with CKD that affects >60–70\% of patients with advanced kidney disease.\textsuperscript{49} Preliminary experimental and clinical data have also demonstrated the potential beneficial effect of mirtazapine in the treatment of pain associated with a wide array of etiologies.\textsuperscript{50,51} However, despite this evidence, to date yet the potential effectiveness of mirtazapine on these symptoms in patients with CKD has not been assessed in clinical trials and needs to be investigated in future studies.

Additional advantage of mirtazapine is its little potential to interact with other drugs. Further, because its elimination half-life is approximately one day, mirtazapine can be given once daily which enhances patients’ compliance.\textsuperscript{14} These properties may be also useful especially in HD patient population who often require the use of several medications.\textsuperscript{52}

Despite the novelty of the findings, we acknowledge several limitations of the present study. Our study was a before–after not a placebo-controlled clinical trial with a relatively small sample size and short follow-up period that may influence the results. Further, sleep interference resulting from pruritus was evaluated only by a single question instead of using validated instruments such as the Athens insomnia scale\textsuperscript{53} and Pittsburg sleep quality index.\textsuperscript{54} Moreover, only two samples were taken from each patient at baseline and at the end of the treatment period. Therefore, the quality of the data does not allow a deeper inspection and understanding of the biochemical changes of biomarkers. Additionally, the statistical data analysis was performed per protocol, while an intent-to-treat analysis might have allowed observing a modified pattern of results. Therefore, further studies using a randomized controlled-placebo design in larger sample size and longer follow-up period are needed to confirm these observations.

**Conclusion**

Together, this pilot study suggests that mirtazapine may be an effective option for the treatment of CKD-aP and sleep interference resulting from pruritus in patients undergoing HD. It is possible that the antipruritic effect of mirtazapine at least in part is attributed to its ameliorative effect on serum histamine levels. However, further studies would be needed to establish the potential therapeutic effects of mirtazapine in the management of CKD-aP.
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