Epidemiological Data on LCL and PCL Injuries Over 17 Seasons in Men’s Professional Soccer: The UEFA Elite Club Injury Study
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Background: There is limited epidemiological information on injury rates and injury mechanisms for lateral collateral ligament (LCL) and posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) injuries in male professional soccer. In addition, time trends and lay-off times for these injuries have not yet been determined.

Aim: To determine injury rates and circumstances of LCL and PCL injuries over 17 seasons in men’s professional soccer.

Methods: A prospective cohort study, in which 68 professional European soccer teams were followed over 17 consecutive seasons (2001/2002 to 2017/2018). The teams’ medical staff recorded player exposure and time-loss injuries. Lay-off time was reported as the median and the first and third quartile. Injury rate was defined as the number of injuries per 1000 player-hours.

Results: One hundred and twenty-eight LCL and 28 PCL injuries occurred during 2,554,686 h of exposure (rate 0.05 and 0.01/1000 h, respectively). The median lay-off time for LCL injuries was 15 (Q1=7, Q3=32) days, while it was 31 days for PCL injuries (Q1=15, Q3=74). The match injury rate for LCL injuries was 11 times higher than the training injury rate (0.21 vs 0.02/1000 h, rate ratio [RR] 10.5, 95% CI 7.3 to 15.1 p<0.001) and the match injury rate for PCL injuries was 20 times higher than the training injury rate (0.056 vs 0.003/1000 h, RR 20.1, 95% CI 8.2 to 49.6, p<0.001). LCL injuries saw a significant annual decrease of approximately 3.5% (p=0.006). In total, 58% (63/108) of all LCL injuries and 54% (14/26) of all PCL injuries were related to contact mechanism.

Conclusion: This study with prospectively registered data on LCL and PCL injuries in men’s professional soccer shows that the median lay-off from soccer for LCL and PCL injuries is approximately 2 and 4 weeks respectively. These rare knee ligament injuries typically occur during matches and are associated with a contact injury mechanism.
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Introduction

Knee injuries are common in male professional soccer players, where the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury has interested many researchers. There is, however, a paucity of studies which focus primarily on injuries to the other major knee ligaments. Previous studies from the Union of European Football Associations (UEFA) Elite Club Injury Study have reported on ACL injuries and on medial collateral ligament (MCL) injuries. It has been reported that an MCL injury is around four times more common than an ACL injury in these studies and that MCL injuries involve a considerably higher percentage of contact-related injury...
mechanisms. So far, there are no similar reports of injuries to the lateral collateral ligament (LCL) and posterior cruciate ligament (PCL). It is noteworthy that soccer has been reported to be one of the most common sports causing PCL injuries, but, in spite of this, PCL injuries have never been specifically investigated in a large injury cohort in professional soccer. One plausible explanation for the discrepancy is that PCL injuries constitute a small proportion of injuries sustained in soccer, as a recent study of cruciate ligament injuries in German professional soccer reported that PCL injuries constituted only 2.0% of all injuries.

A PCL injury is often referred to as “a dashboard injury”, describing the mechanism of PCL injury in motor vehicle accidents, when a posterior force is directed at the tibia with the knee in flexion, due to the sudden impact of the dashboard in a collision, suggesting that a contact mechanism in professional soccer is a frequent cause of PCL injury. In an athletic situation, it has been reported that the most frequent injury mechanism is knee hyperflexion. Moreover, the contact or non-contact situation in which a player runs the greatest risk of sustaining a PCL injury has not been determined. The majority of grade III PCL injuries are associated with multiligament knee injuries and the severity of PCL injuries usually corresponds well with the presence of some other ligamentous injury, suggesting that lower grades of PCL injuries may have a shorter lay-off.

In contrast to the commonly seen isolated MCL injuries, an injury to the lateral side of the knee usually involves not only the LCL but also damage to multiple structures. Isolated injuries to the LCL usually involve a lower magnitude of trauma, resulting in a less severe injury to the ligament, while more severe LCL injuries usually require a higher magnitude of force. Isolated high-grade injuries to the LCL are therefore fairly rare. It is nevertheless important to evaluate the injury mechanisms and epidemiology of LCL injuries in professional soccer and to determine what the prognosis is when these injuries are sustained in terms of lay-off time.

The aim of this study was to investigate the rates and circumstances of LCL and PCL injuries over 17 consecutive seasons in the UEFA Elite Club Injury Study in soccer.

**Materials and Methods**

This is a prospective cohort study carried out in collaboration with UEFA, the so-called UEFA Elite Club Injury Study, investigating men’s professional soccer in Europe since 2001. For the purpose of this study, 68 teams with 4389 individual players from the highest national leagues in 19 European countries were followed from 2001 to 2018. All contracted players listed in the first team squads each season were invited to participate in the study. Players who left the team during the season were only included while playing for the team.

**Study Design and Definitions**

The full methodology and the development of the study design have previously been reported in detail. The overall study design followed the consensus on definitions and data collection procedures in studies of soccer injuries. An overview of the general definitions used in the present study is given in Table 1.

**Data Collection**

Baseline data in terms of anthropometrics and dominant leg (preferred kicking leg) were collected at player inclusion every season. Individual player exposure during training and matches was registered in minutes by the teams’ medical staff on a standard exposure form sent to the study group every month. Additionally, the teams’ medical staff recorded injuries on a standard injury form that was sent to the study group each month. The injury form provided information about the diagnosis, nature and circumstances of injury occurrence. The circumstances include if the injury occurred during match or training, if the injury was sustained in a contact or non-contact situation, and match minute of occurrence, etc. All injuries resulting in a player being unable to participate fully in training or match play (ie, time-loss injuries) were recorded. The player was regarded as injured until the medical team in the club allowed full participation in training and availability for match play. All injuries were followed until the final day of rehabilitation. The study has expanded over the study period and the number of details collected on the standard injury form has increased. Consequently, data on contact/non-contact injury were recorded from the 2004/2005 season, match minute of injury from the 2005/2006 season and specific injury mechanisms from a 20 tick-box list from the 2008/2009 season.

**Ethical Approval**

The study design was approved by the UEFA Medical Committee and the UEFA Football Development Division. All players provided written informed consent prior to participation.
Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA). Lay-off time is presented as the mean ± SD, median and range or quartiles (Q1=25th percentile and Q3=75th percentile). Due to skewed distribution in lay-off time, group differences were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U-test. Chi-square test was used for comparisons between non-ordered categorical variables. Fisher’s exact test was used for comparisons between dichotomous variables. Injury rate was reported as the number of injuries per 1000 player-hours. The rate ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated for comparisons of injury rate between groups. Seasonal trend, expressed as the average annual percentage of change, was analyzed using linear regression with injury rates as the dependent variable. No seasonal trend was analyzed for PCL injuries because of the small number of injuries recorded, with several seasons without any PCL injuries at all. For each seasonal injury, rate and 95% exact Poisson confidence limits were computed. A 2-year moving average and a log-transformed moving average approach, calculated by summarizing two consecutive seasons, were used to smooth out the large seasonal variation. A binomial test was used to analyze the probability of being injured during the last 15 min of the first or second half. All tests were two-sided and the significance level was set at p < 0.05.

Results

In all, 2,554,687 h of exposure (2,160,908 h of training and 393,778 h of match play) were registered. A total of 17,322 injuries were documented, of which 128 (0.7%) were LCL injuries and 28 (0.2%) were PCL injuries. The match injury rate for LCL injuries was almost 11 times higher than the training injury rate (0.21 vs 0.02/1000 h, RR 10.5, 95% CI 7.3 to 15.1, p<0.001). The match injury rate for PCL injuries was 20 times higher than the training injury rate (0.056 vs 0.003/1000 h, RR 20.1, 95% CI 8.2 to 49.6, p<0.001) (Table 2).

LCL and PCL Injury Rates per Season

The LCL injury rate varied between 0.018 and 0.144/1000 h over the 17 seasons. The overall incidence of LCL injuries was 0.05/1000 h, meaning that a team can expect to have 0.28 (95% CI 0.24–0.33) LCL injuries a season, ie, one LCL injury...
every third season. The crude LCL injury by season rate and the 2-year moving average injury rate are illustrated in Figure 1. The crude injury rate had a non-significant average annual significant decrease of 4.0% (p = 0.08), while the log-transformed moving average regression model indicated a significant average annual decrease of approximately 3.5% (R2=0.43, β=-0.035, 95% CI -0.059 to -0.012, p=0.006).

The PCL injury rate varied between 0.0 and 0.04/1000 h over the 17 seasons. The overall incidence for PCL injuries was 0.01/1000 h, meaning that a team can expect to have 0.06 (95% CI 0.04-0.09) PCL injuries a season, ie, one PCL injury every 17th season.

### Lay-Off Time

The median lay-off time in LCL injuries was 15 (Q1=7, Q3=32) days. In total, 59.4% (n=76) of the LCL injuries affected the dominant leg and 40.6% (n=52) affected the non-dominant leg (Table 2). There was no difference in lay-off time between LCL injuries to the dominant leg compared with the non-dominant leg (median=14, Q1=8, Q3=42 vs median=15, Q1=8, Q3=32, p=0.79).

The median lay-off time for PCL injuries was 31 (Q1=15, Q3=74) days. In total, 46.4% (n=13) of the PCL injuries affected the dominant leg and 53.6% (n=15) affected the non-dominant leg (Table 2). There was no difference in lay-off time between PCL injuries to the dominant leg compared with the non-dominant leg (median=30, Q1=8, Q3=32 vs median=31, Q1=8, Q3=42, p=0.82).

### Injury Mechanism

Over 58% (63/108) of all LCL injuries were due to contact with another player or an object. The most common mechanisms of contact injuries were being tackled (24.4%) and collision (11.6%), while twisting/turning was the most common non-contact injury mechanism (23.3%). There was no difference in lay-off time between contact (median=19, Q1=8, Q3=35) and non-contact (median=11, Q1=6, Q3=32) injuries (p=0.36).

Nearly 54% (14/26) of all PCL injuries were due to contact with another player or an object. The most common mechanisms of contact injuries were being kicked (13.6%), followed by being tackled (9.1%) and collision (9.1%), while twisting/turning was the most common non-contact injury mechanism (18.2%). No difference in lay-off time between contact (median=26, Q1=15, Q3=85) and non-contact (median=33, Q1=12, Q3=63) injuries was detected (p=0.90).

### Variation of Injury Risk During Matches

Of the match-related LCL injuries, 48.4% (30/62) occurred during the last 15 min of the first or second halves (Figure 2). This number is significantly higher than would be expected, which would be 1/3 of the injuries in each 15-min period in each half of the game (p=0.021). There were no differences in the quarterly distribution between the first and second halves (p=0.95).

Of the match-related PCL injuries, 36.8% (7/19) occurred during the last 15 min of the first or second halves (p=0.73) (Figure 3). There were no differences in the quarterly distribution between the first and second halves (p=0.73).

### Re-Injuries

In total, 8.3% of all LCL injuries were classified as re-injuries. There was no difference in lay-off time between
index injuries (median=17, Q1=8, Q3=37) and re-injuries (median=14, Q1=11, Q3=26; p=0.73). One PCL injury was classified as a re-injury.

**Discussion**

The most important finding from 17 consecutive seasons in men’s professional soccer in this study was that LCL and PCL injuries were uncommon, and a team can expect one LCL injury and one PCL injury every third and 17th season, respectively. Both injuries typically occurred during matches and as contact injuries.

**Between-Season and Within-Season Variations**

Both LCL and PCL injuries are rare in men’s professional soccer, with incidences of 0.05 and 0.01, respectively, per
1000 h of exposure. The low incidence of PCL injuries found in this study differs from what was found in the newly implemented professional German football league, where the PCL injuries constituted 2.0% of injuries overall. The reason for this may be the increase in intensity of the training and match play where 90% of the injuries occurred during preseason and in players that played in a lower level the previous season. Also, this was a one season cohort with a considerably smaller study sample. There was an overall decrease in LCL injuries of 3.5% a year over the 17-season-long study period; this was not possible to compute for PCL injuries, due to the small number of cases. This decrease is promising and in line with the results found for MCL injuries from the same cohort. The reason for this decrease is unknown, but might be related to an increased understanding on how to prevent knee ligaments injuries in general.

Lay-Off Time

A player who has sustained an LCL or PCL injury can expect a median lay-off time of just over 2 and 4 weeks respectively. In this study, we chose to include all LCL and PCL injuries with a very short lay-off time. Including these injuries gives the study a true reflection on the incidence of LCL and PCL injuries which, according to the medical teams, occur in elite-level soccer. In cases of total PCL injuries, elite-level soccer players sometimes choose to undergo surgical reconstruction of the ligament, although non-surgical treatment including bracing and rehabilitation is a viable option. Future studies may include data on treatment in the analysis of lay-off times for both LCL and PCL injuries in soccer to help clinicians understand the effect of different treatment options.

Injury Mechanism

In most cases, the LCL and PCL injuries occurred predominantly during contact with another player or object, as has been described previously for MCL injuries. Being tackled was the cause of approximately a quarter of the LCL injuries, while the most common contact mechanism for PCL was being kicked by another player. A contact mechanism as the most common cause of injury is different from ACL, where a non-contact mechanism is the most common. There were, however, a number of injuries with non-contact mechanisms for both LCL and PCL injuries. The most common non-contact situation was twisting/turning and it represented around a fifth of the LCL and PCL injuries. Prevention programs have been reported to reduce injury rates in soccer, but it is not known whether the use of preventive programs contributed to the small number of LCL and PCL injuries recorded in men’s professional soccer.

The LCL is a primary lateral stabilizer of the knee joint. An injury to the LCL is typically caused by excessive varus stress or lateral rotation of the knee when weight-bearing and with the knee in extension. The findings in this study support this hypothesis, since the most common injury mechanism was contact injury, where it is reasonable to believe that a physical impact on the medial aspect of the leg caused an excessive varus force.
The most frequent mechanism of PCL injury is a direct blow to the anterior aspect of the knee, resulting in the posterior translation of the tibia. The injury can also occur as a result of hyperextension and rotational or varus/valgus stress, hyperflexion or pivoting, with or without contact. In athletes, the most frequent injury mechanism is knee hyperflexion. The distribution of contact and non-contact PCL injuries was similar. This indicates that there is some variability in the injury mechanism of PCL and it should be noted that rotational trauma might be a common cause.

Variation in Injury Risk During Matches
Almost half the LCL injuries occurred during the last 15 min of either the first or second halves, with no difference in the proportion of injuries in either half. The time of LCL injury in soccer is similar to that of MCL injuries, suggesting that there is an increased risk of sustaining a collateral ligament injury during the last 15 min of either half during matches. The rationale for why collateral ligament injuries occur during this time period is unknown, but it may be related to increased risk behaviour among players or fatigue, together with contact with other players. To reduce the number of LCL injuries, it may potentially be beneficial for patients to adhere to prevention training, including components of endurance and muscle strength. There was no specific pattern for when PCL injuries occurred during matches and this is likely related to the typical contact mechanism of injury and the low incidence of PCL injuries.

Re-Injuries
Re-injuries to the LCL occurred in fewer than one in 10 players and did not entail a difference in lay-off time. This indicates that, independent of injury mechanism, LCL injuries are sustained with moderate severity on average in men’s professional soccer. The recurrence rate is, however, somewhat lower than the reported recurrence rate for other injuries in the study cohort (12%). Only one PCL re-injury was recorded during the study period.

Limitations
There were eight LCL injuries with a lay-off of 0–3 days only and two injuries with a lay-off of 0–7 days for PCL, which are very short lay-off times for knee ligament injuries. Some misdiagnosis within these less severe groups that might explain the recorded injuries can be expected. For instance, it is difficult for clinicians to differentiate between a contusion and a grade I LCL injury with tenderness.

One important factor in epidemiological research projects is the validity of data. The large-scale involvement of different clinicians, from the medical teams at the clubs, in the data collection could be a source of bias. The injury form has also undergone some slight modification during the study period. This explains the missing data on injury mechanism, which was only recorded from 2004, and match minute, which was collected from 2005. Injuries were reported on the basis of clinical examinations, meaning that there was no requirement to confirm the knee ligament injuries with MRI. Therefore, one important aspect to consider in terms of potential limitations is that different examinators were involved in the diagnosis of the injury, ie, the medical teams in each club. There were no specific criteria or recommendations from the study group on how to examine and diagnose injuries including knee ligament injuries. Future studies are warranted to also examine the accuracy of the diagnosis of LCL and PCL injuries among medical teams in men’s professional football, as previously has been done for MCL injuries. The injuries reported in this study were cases in which LCL or PCL injury was reported as the main diagnosis, ie, more LCL and PCL injuries may have occurred during the 17 seasons, with these injuries reported as concomitant injuries. Moreover, it is unknown how potentially concomitant injuries to the LCL or PCL injuries might have affected the outcome, since it is possible that players who sustained an LCL or PCL injury may have associated injuries in terms of meniscal or cartilage injuries. Lay-off times might be influenced by the presence of concomitant injuries to the menisci or articular cartilage.

Conclusion
This cohort study with prospectively registered data on LCL and PCL injuries in men’s professional soccer shows that LCL and PCL injuries are uncommon and the median lay-off from soccer for these injuries is 15 and 31 days, respectively. These knee ligament injuries typically occur during matches and are associated with a contact injury mechanism.
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