Lash Impaction in Meibomian Gland Orifice
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Purpose: To analyze potential risk factors associated with eyelash impaction into meibomian gland orifice.

Methods: Retrospective review of one tertiary clinic over a period of 10 years.

Results: In this study, 18 patients were included, with a mean age of 61.6 years at diagnosis. Ten patients were symptomatic in the form of ocular irritation or foreign body sensation. The location of the impaction included 15 nasal half and 3 temporal half of the lid, 6 upper lid and 12 lower lid, 8 right side and 10 left side. Risk factors included dry eyes (10), allergic conjunctivitis (4), severe rubbing of the eye (6), sleeping on the eye (2), and recent phacoemulsification (1).

Conclusion: Ocular rubbing from either ocular allergy or dryness is associated with impaction of the lash into the orifice of the meibomian gland duct.
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Introduction
Eyelashes provide a cosmetic role and a protective role (from insects and injury via blink-reflex trigger or mechanical sensor), but also dispense some sunshade and render an air filter action (dust catcher and diversion of airflow away from the surface of the eye). The upper eyelid carries about 150 lashes while the lower eyelid supports around 75 lashes.1–10 Lashes fall after an average of 4 months1 and at least 1–2 lashes are replaced daily.4 While most inferior lashes fall uniformly outside the eye, superior lashes can fall inside the eye; hence, there is a regular supply of loose lashes in the conjunctiva cul-de-sac.7–10 Despite recent advances in lash research, very little has been written about the subject of this report, lash impaction into meibomian gland orifice.1,2

Methods
This is a retrospective review of photographic files archived under the diagnosis of eyelash in the meibomian gland orifice in a single tertiary referral center (AMM) from January 2009 to September 2018. The ocular examination files were retrieved and an excel sheet was filled with the various clinical variables focusing on history of ocular rubbing, dry eyes, and ocular allergies. The study received Institutional Review Board approval (Rafic Hariri University Hospital ID 692018). Written informed consent for the research was obtained from the patients. The study followed the tenets of Helsinki.

Results
The collection data spanned 10 years among a total of about 70,000 patient visits. Mean age of the series was 61.6 years (range: 47–78, standard deviation 12.4) with 18 Caucasians, 9 males, 7 females, 2 unspecified. The location of the impaction included...
15 nasal half and 3 temporal half 6 upper lid and 12 lower lid 8 right side and 10 left side. All except one had the bulb inside the duct while one had the bulb end protruding into the eye (Figure 1). Ten patients were symptomatic in the form of ocular irritation or foreign body sensation. Potential risk factors included 10 had dry eyes, 4 had allergic conjunctivitis, 6 admitted severe rubbing of the eye, 2 had the habit of sleeping face down on the eye, and 1 had recent phacoemulsification. One patient each had corneal graft, old herpetic corneal scar, plaque radiotherapy for choroidal melanoma, and proliferative diabetic retinopathy.

Discussion

It appears from the current study that ocular rubbing from either ocular allergy or dryness constitutes a risk factor in the causation of lash impaction. Loose cilia cause mild-to-severe ocular irritation and are often mistaken for allergic conjunctivitis, nodular episcleritis or keratoconjunctivitis sicca. Lash can inadvertently enter the subconjunctival space, especially with previous scars and rarely find their way into the vitreous without a history of trauma or into the anterior chamber following phacoemulsification. Cilia entrapped in the lacrimal ductule may play a role in the formation of lacrimal ductular cysts. Cilia constitute a nidus for dacryoliths of the lacrimal excretory and secretory systems.

Lash impaction into meibomian gland orifice appears to be very often overlooked and also quite rare occurring in 18/70,000 patient visits in the current study. Nagashima et al collected 39 cases of lash impaction into the meibomian gland duct out of a total of 30,456 outpatients. Treatment is simple removal of the lash and simultaneous treatment of underlying ocular cause that will help in avoiding eye rubbing. Differential diagnosis includes cilia incarnata interna (misdirected in-growing cilia present subconjunctivally), distichiasis (growth of cilia from meibomian gland orifice), and cilia impacted in lacrimal punctum.

In conclusion, the current study suffers from being retrospective and with a small number of patients. Clinicians need to include impacted cilia in meibomian gland orifice in the differential diagnosis of ocular irritation. Impacted cilium is a rare cause of ocular irritation very often overlooked. The risk factor for its occurrence appears to be forceful ocular rubbing that allows cilia to get impacted.

Figure 1 Composite showing eyelash protruding from a meibomian gland orifice (arrow) in lower eyelids (left) or upper eyelids (right) in 6 patients.
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