Engineering of Long-Term Stable Transparent Nanoemulsion Using High-Gravity Rotating Packed Bed for Oral Drug Delivery
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Background: Oil-in-water drug nanoemulsion forms drug delivery systems with high oral bioavailability. The conventional fabrication methods of nanoemulsion are low energy emulsification methods and high energy emulsification methods. However, both two methods are not ideal for industrial production. The problem of low energy emulsification methods is the high dosage of surfactant and co-surfactant which has potential biosecurity issues. What is more, high energy emulsification methods have some disadvantages, like the destruction of drug components, the price of equipment and the difficulties of industrial production. Hence, there have been a few commercial drug nanoemulsions so far.

Methods: In this work, we reported a novel method for the fabrication of stable and transparent drug nanoemulsion which contains hydrophilic drug rosuvastatin (ROS) calcium or hydrophobic drug silybinin (SYN) by using high-gravity rotating packed bed (RPB). The drug nanoemulsion was systematically characterized by droplet size, size distribution, stability in vitro drug release as well as membrane permeability.

Results: Compared with the self-emulsification method (SE), high-gravity technology could reduce 75% amount of mixed surfactants. The as-prepared nanoemulsion exhibited a very narrow droplet size distribution with a size of 13.53 ± 0.53 nm and a polydispersity index of 0.073 ± 0.018. Meanwhile, the drug nanoemulsion was physicochemically stable at 25°C and 4°C for one-year storage. Furthermore, both ROS and SYN nanoemulsion displayed higher cell permeability and in vitro dissolution than that of commercial formulations.

Conclusion: These results demonstrate that RPB can be a potential device to facilitate the industrial production of drug nanoemulsion.
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Introduction

Oral route is the most common and preferred route of administration due to its painless convenience and cost-effectiveness. Oral bioavailability of drugs is strongly influenced by their formulations.¹ Nanoemulsions are considered as an ideal alternative for the oral administration of drugs because they exhibit various advantages such as high solubilization capacity for both hydrophilic and hydrophobic drugs due to the presence of emulsifier-based interface between oil and water,²,³ ability to improve lymphatic absorption henceforth avoiding the first-pass metabolism and enhancing bioavailability.⁴,⁵ Some of the drug nanoemulsions, ie cyclosporine (Neoral®, Gengraf®), saquinavir (Fortovase®), and ritonavir (Norvir®) have been approved by the FDA for clinical uses.⁶ Fabrication
methods for nanoemulsion can be classified as low energy emulsification methods and high energy emulsification methods. Low energy methods involve spontaneous emulsification (SE) and phase inversion. Up to now, the commercial formulation of nanoemulsion was made by using these methods. A key character of these methods is the utilization of energy stored in the system to produce ultra-fine droplets. Low energy methods are sometimes limited by oil type and emulsifiers that can be used, and also required a large amount of surfactants for stabilization of droplets, which can cause biomembrane fluidization. High energy emulsification is widely used in industrial operations because of the flexible control of emulsion droplet size distribution and the ability to produce fine emulsions from a wide variety of materials. High-energy emulsification techniques include high-pressure homogenization, microfluidizer and ultrasonication. External energy is given in the form of shear, ultrasonic waves and pressure, which breaks the droplets in nanosize range. However, extreme heat generated during these processes may cause decomposition of active pharmaceutical ingredients or surfactant molecules. On the other hand, equipment of these techniques are expensive and difficult to mass-produce and all these methods have not yet been commercialized in the drug delivery system. Hence, developing efficient and large-scale preparation methods is still one of the most important tasks for the production of nanoemulsion.

The preparation of nanoemulsions is to mix oil and aqueous phases in the presence of an emulsifier. When the two immiscible phases meet in the reactor, the oil phase diffuses rapidly into the aqueous phase; therefore, a tight control of the mixing process is very important to the preparation of nanoemulsion, because that homogeneous nanodroplets of oil calls for uniformity of spatial distribution of oil droplets in the aqueous phase in molecular scale. Rotating packed bed (RPB), also known as Higee (high gravity), has been used as an effective process intensification technology, which can generate an acceleration of 1–3 orders of magnitude larger than gravitational acceleration on the earth. The fluids going through the packing of RPB are spread or split into very fine droplets, threads, and thin films by the strong shear, resulting in a significant intensification of micromixing and mass transfer between the fluid elements. Thus, RPB benefits the form of uniform concentration distribution of fluids. RPB has been proved to be an ideal device for the preparation of nanoparticles and successfully applied in the pharmaceutical industry.

To our best knowledge, the preparation of nanoemulsion using high-gravity technology has not been reported until now. The aim of this work was to investigate the feasibility of the preparation of long-term stable nanoemulsion with less surfactant dosage using high-gravity technique. Rosuvastatin calcium (ROS) and Silybinin (SYN) were selected as two model drugs. ROS, a biopharmaceutics classification system (BCS) class III drug, exhibits good water solubility and poor permeability. SYN, a BCSII drug, displays poor water solubility and good permeability. Both drugs show a first-pass metabolism. Droplet size, size distribution, long-term stability were characterized and compared with that prepared by SE method. In vitro dissolution and Caco-2 cell permeability were performed and compared with the commercial formulation.

Materials and Methods

Materials
ROS and SYN were purchased from Beijing Zhongshuo Pharmaceutical Technology Development Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). Glycerol, propylene glycol, oleic acid, Tween 80 was purchased from Macklin. Soybean oil, corn oil was purchased from Aladdin. RH-40, tween-20 was purchased from Shanghai Yuanye Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Anhydrous ethanol, 1,2-propanediol, n-butanol, 1,4-butanediol, PEG-200 was purchased from Beijing Chemical Works (Beijing, China). ROS commercial tablets purchased from AstraZeneca. SYN commercial capsules purchased from Tianjin Tasis Sants Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Pancreatin, penicillin–streptomycin and fetal bovine serum were purchased from Gibco. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Amresco. Nonessential amino acid was purchased from Macgene Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd. Caco-2 cells were provided by Peking University Health Science Center.

Equilibrium Solubility Studies
Oil phase components for the development of nanoemulsion were selected on the basis of equilibrium solubility studies. An excess amount of drug (ROS or SYN) was mixed with the oil phase and kept on a water bath shaker at 37°C±2°C for 24 h. Samples were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was analyzed using a validated high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) method.
Preparation of Nanoemulsion

Based on our pre-test (pseudo-ternary phase diagram) and determination of drug solubility, we formulated the blank nanoemulsion by glycerol triacetate/RH-40/1, 2-propanediol/aqueous phase=8.75:2.5:1.25:87.5 (w/w/w/w). The saturated drug (ROS or SYN) oil solution was first configured and then the excess drug solids were removed by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. After that, the surfactant and co-surfactant were slowly added to the oil phase and vortexed for a period of time (solution A). In this case, solution A and purified water (solution B) were pumped into a high gravity rotating packed bed at a feed ratio of 1:10 (w/w) at a room temperature of 25°C. It was cycled at 1000 rpm for 10 min. The resulting clear formulation was a drug nanoemulsion. For comparison, we chose a conventional mixing device to prepare another group of nanoemulsions. Solution B was quickly added to solution A at 25°C and stirred at 1000 rpm for 1 h. Then, the collected nanoemulsion was transferred to a container for storage and used for subsequent characterization and experimentation. Each sample was prepared and measured three times separately.

Characterization

Droplet Size and Polydispersity Index

The droplet size and PDI value of the different drug nanoemulsion were measured by using ZNANO-ZS90 nanoparticle analyzer (Malvern, UK) at room temperature (25°C ± 0.5°C) which was used dynamic light scattering technique and scattered at an angle of 173°. For the measurement, the samples were diluted with deionized water (1:200) and injected into the sample cell. Intensity distribution was observed to evaluate the physical stability of the drug nanoemulsion. The amount of drug was determined by a NANO-ZS90 nanoparticle analyzer.

Long-Term Stability

About 15 mL of the prepared drug nanoemulsion was stored in a 20 mL clean clear glass bottle and tested for stability within 2 weeks at high temperature (4°C and 60°C). Among them, samples were taken on the 1st, 5th, 7th, 10th, and 14th day, respectively. Centrifugal stability was tested by a high-speed centrifuge and the nanoemulsion was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min. The appearance, the average droplet size, and the polydispersity index (PDI) and the drug content were then observed to evaluate the physical stability of the drug nanoemulsion. The amount of drug was determined by HPLC and the droplet size and PDI were determined by a NANO-ZS90 nanoparticle analyzer.

Stability Study

Accelerate Experiments

About 15 mL of the prepared drug nanoemulsion was stored in a 20 mL clean clear glass bottle and tested for stability within 2 weeks at high temperature (4°C and 60°C). Among them, samples were taken on the half a month, 1 month, 3 months, 6 months and 1 year, respectively. Centrifugal stability was tested by a high-speed centrifuge and the nanoemulsion was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min. The appearance, the average droplet size, and the polydispersity index (PDI) and the drug content were then observed to evaluate the physical stability of the drug nanoemulsion. The amount of drug was determined by HPLC and the droplet size and PDI were determined by a NANO-ZS90 nanoparticle analyzer.

In vitro Dissolution Test

Dissolution test was performed following the USP Apparatus II (paddle) method by using a dissolution apparatus (D-800LS, Tianjin, China) at 100 rpm and 37.0°C±0.5°C. Phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution (pH 6.8) with 0.5% Tween-80 was employed as the dissolution medium. 1 mL of SYN nanoemulsion which contains about 3.5 mg SYN drug, and 3.5 mg of commercially available capsule drug were placed in treated dialysis bags, respectively. And...
The concentration flux is the apparent permeability coefficient ($P_{\text{app}}$)

$$P_{\text{app}} = \frac{dQ}{dt} \times \frac{1}{A C_0} \quad (1)$$

where $P_{\text{app}}$ is the apparent permeability coefficient (cm/s), $\frac{dQ}{dt}$ is the steady-state flux, $A$ is the surface area of cell membrane (cm$^2$), $C_0$ is the initial concentration of drug contents in the apical (for A to B transport) or basolateral (for B to A transport) side.

The efflux rate (ER) was calculated according to the following equation 2:

$$ER = \frac{P_{\text{app \, ba}}}{P_{\text{app \, ab}}} \quad (2)$$

where $P_{\text{app \, ba}}$ is the permeability coefficient of B-to-A transport; $P_{\text{app \, ab}}$ is the permeability coefficient of A-to-B transport.

Transport Study of Drug Nanoemulsion

For the in vitro transport study of drug nanoemulsion, the colon adenocarcinoma cell line (Caco-2 cells) obtained from Peking University Health Science Center (Beijing, China) was cultured in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% nonessential amino acid solution and 1% penicillin streptomycin at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$.

MTT assay was conducted to investigate the cytotoxic effects of each drug nanoemulsion’s concentrations (1, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80,100, 200 μg/mL) on Caco-2 cells. Caco-2 cells were harvested and seeded in standard 96-well microplates at a density of 6×103 cells/well and treated with nanoemulsion solution and the control (PBS) for 2 h. The cells were then incubated with MTT (20μL, 5%) at 37°C for 4 h, added 100 μL DMSO and incubated for 10 min at 37°C. Optical density (OD) was observed at 570 nm using a Microplate Reader. Cell viability was calculated according to: cell viability (%) = (mean OD of experiment/mean OD of control)*100%.

Determination of the Permeation Rate Across Caco-2 Cell Monolayers. The procedures for Caco-2 cell monolayers permeation assay followed others’ study. Briefly, for apical to basolateral (A to B) transport study, 0.5 mL of each experimental solution was added to the apical side, while 1.5 mL of Hank’s solution was added to the basolateral side, and then cultured at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$. The 0.5 mL samples from the basolateral side at collected at the predetermined time intervals of 0, 30, 60, 90,120 min and replaced with fresh medium. For basolateral to apical (B to A) transport study, 1.5 mL of each experimental solution was added to the basolateral side while 0.5 mL of Hank’s solution was added to the apical side, and then cultured at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$. The 0.2 mL samples of the apical side were collected at the predetermined time intervals of 0, 30, 60, 90,120 min, and replaced with fresh medium. The concentration of drug was determined by HPLC analysis and the cumulative amount of drug permeation was plotted as a function of time. The apparent permeability coefficient ($P_{\text{app}}$) is determined from the linear slope of the plot using the following equation 1:

Effect of Surfactants on Droplet Size and Size Distribution

In this work, glycerol triacetate was used as the oil phase, which has significant solvency for both ROS (37°C, 291.65 ±8.41mg/mL) and SYN (37°C, 35.04±1.64 mg/mL). In the process of selecting the excipients, the screening of the system can be completed by drawing the pseudo-ternary phase diagram or droplet size screening. Among them, surfactant is one of the most influential factors for the formation of nanoemulsion. We compared five common surfactants, Rh-40/Tween-20/Tween-80/El-40/Span-60. The experimental phenomenon shows that the first four emulsifiers can produce a transparent emulsion, but the droplet size has a significant difference under the same dosage of the different surfactants (Table 1). The use of Rh-40 produces the smallest droplet and polydispersity index, its size is much lower than the others. Taylor’s equation emphasizes the key role of amphiphilic surfactants in reducing interfacial tension and affects emulsion stability. The effect of different surfactants is not the same, which may be related to the structure and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surfactants</th>
<th>Droplet Size (nm)</th>
<th>PDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rh-40</td>
<td>15.81±0.18</td>
<td>0.073±0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween-20</td>
<td>97.41±23.73</td>
<td>0.153±0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween-80</td>
<td>165.37±45.62</td>
<td>0.127±0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El-40</td>
<td>428.94±105.94</td>
<td>0.147±0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span-60</td>
<td>976.03±192.46</td>
<td>0.178±0.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 The Effect of Different Surfactants on Droplet Size of Nanoemulsion

Results and Discussion
hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB) of the surfactant, and determines the type of nanoemulsions that is ultimately obtained.

The type of co-surfactant, the ratio of surfactant to co-surfactant (Km), was also selected by the method described above. We compared five common co-surfactants, anhydrous ethanol/1,2-propanediol/n-butanol/1,4-butanediol/PEG-200 and different values of Km, 4:1/2:1/1:2/1:4. We chose glycerol triacetate, RH40 and 1,2 propanediol, and the value of Km is 2:1 as the formulation of nanoemulsion.

What is more, we did the experiment on the ratio of oil phase to mixed surfactant (1:9 to 9:1) by self-emulsification method (SE) and successfully produced a transparent emulsion in a certain range on the ratio of oil phase to mixed surfactant (1:9 to 7:3). We chose 7:3 as the ratio of oil phase to mixed surfactant because the minimum amount of surfactant and co-surfactant can ensure the impact of excipients used on biological security. As the oil-in-water nanoemulsion, the critical amount of aqueous phase was chosen as the formula and the ratio of aqueous phase to other phases was 10:1. Finally, we formulated the nanoemulsion by glycerol triacetate/RH-40/1, 2-propanediol/aqueous phase=8.75:2.5:1.25:87.5 (w/w/w/w). The pseudo-ternary phase diagram of this system provides a reference for preparation of RPB samples (Figure 1).

Based on the clinic dosage of ROS, we investigated the influence of drug loading (0, 25, 50, 75, 100 mg/mL) on the droplet size and polydispersity index (Figure 2). The drug loading amount can hardly affect the size of droplets. Because the drug was dissolved in oil phase and existed as a drug molecular form during the mixing process. The drug molecules were protected by emulsion. Nanoemulsion transported the drug molecules into the bloodstream like carriers. We can also know from the TEM image (Figure S2) that the drug loading (SYN) has no influence on the droplet size and the morphology of nanoemulsion.

**Preparation of the Nanoemulsion by RPB**

Generally speaking, an ideal oral emulsion formulation is supposed to form stable small droplets using the lowest amount of emulsifiers for economic, taste, and safety reasons. Thus, we try to decrease the amount of surfactants with the help of RPB. High-gravity level \( \beta \), an important parameter, describes the strength of the high-gravity field, which also represents the intensification degree of the mass transfer and micromixing.28 The \( \beta \) has a few effects on the droplet size, firstly, the size decreased a little with the \( \beta \) increase; then, it kept almost unchanged when the \( \beta \) continuously increased (Figure 3). Because the oil droplets are so soft, they are easily broken up into smaller droplets in the high-gravity field. When fluid turbulence in the RPB was intense at high \( \beta \), the formation of large droplets was subjected by strong shear forces. Due to the homogeneous space distribution of the droplets in RPB, the size does not significantly change.

Fixing the \( \beta \) at 88, we reduced the amount of surfactants. It is interesting that even if the mixed surfactant is much reduced, the droplet size does not change, and the size distribution is still concentrated. As shown in Figure 4, when the amount of the surfactant is 75%, 50%, 25% of the original ratio, small droplets of 14 nm can still be observed. After 1 month of storage at room temperature, the appearance of

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/fig1.png)

**Figure 1** The pseudo-ternary phase diagram of the selected system.

**Abbreviation:** Sm, Surfactant mixed.

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/fig2.png)

**Figure 2** The effect of different drug content on droplet size and PDI of nanoemulsion.

**Abbreviation:** PDI, polymer dispersity index.
these samples remained transparent and showed good fluidity. However, when the amount of surfactant is reduced to 10% of the SE sample, droplets became larger, and the appearance was turbid after 1 month of storage. The main reason is due to the intensified micro-mixing ability of RPB, in which oil-water interfacial tension is further reduced.

In addition, we took other parameters into consideration, like feed speed and mixing time. The feed ratio is the ratio of aqueous phase to other phases as 10:1 and we chose the maximum speed of the pump (300:30) due to the viscosity of surfactant in mixed phase. Mixing time (cycle-index) as another parameter was tested after different time, 0/5/10/30/60min, which shows no significant effect on droplet size (Table S1). In the special shear force environment of RPB, its huge mixing capacity helps the oil and water interface update fast, and the droplet can be formed in a short time. After the continuous circulation, the droplet size is nearly uniform. The high-gravity RPB has high productivity and low energy consumption. When the High-gravity level $\beta$ is 88, the output can reach 39.6L/h, and its energy consumption is just $6.31 \times 10^{-3}$kw·h/L.

More importantly, comparing the droplet of nanoemulsion prepared by SE in Table 1 and Figure 2 and the droplet prepared by RPB in Figures 3 and 4, we found that the droplet size cannot be changed and the appearance of all samples by different preparation methods can remain transparent and good fluidity. In conclusion, we found the feasibility of RPB as a new preparation device.
Stability Study

For practical applications, it is important that emulsion-based delivery systems remain stable during storage and utilization. In this work, both SE nanoemulsion and RPB nanoemulsion contained drug ROS. We first test the effect of temperature on stability. Compared with SE nanoemulsion, samples prepared by RPB displayed more stability at a higher temperature of 60°C. After storage for 2 weeks at 60°C, the droplet size of the RPB sample varies only in a small range, only from 12.99 nm to 15.37 nm (p<0.001). However, the SE sample shows strong instability in droplet size, from 14.88 nm to 593.53 nm (p<0.01). The content of both samples decreased, but the drug content of the RPB sample decreased by 21.09% (p<0.001), which was significantly lower than the 43.67% (p<0.01) decrease of the SE sample (Figure 4). The RPB samples stored at 40°C exhibited high stability, its droplet size and content remained almost unchanged after 2 weeks of storage. At the same time, although the droplet size of SE samples only changed from 15.15 nm to 15.73 nm (p<0.001), its content still decreased by 12.22% (p<0.01) (Figure 5).

And then, 1-year long-term stability test at 25°C and 4°C represents normal room temperature and frozen storage temperature was tested. The droplet size of the

![Figure 5](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 5** The sample changes of different nanoemulsion prepared by RPB and SE within 2 weeks.

**Notes:** (A) Size changes of RPB and SE sample within 2 weeks at 60°C. (B) Content change of RPB and SE samples within 2 weeks at 40°C. (C) Size changes of RPB and SE sample within 2 weeks at 40°C. (D) Content change of RPB and SE samples within 2 weeks at 40°C. Scale bars: A and B 50 nm, C and D 100 nm.

**Abbreviations:** RPB, high gravity rotating packed bed; SE, self-emulsification method.
RPB-prepared nanoemulsion did not change significantly both store at 25°C and 4°C (Figure 6), the average droplet size of the sample is still 15 nm (p<0.001). The TEM photos also showed that the PRB sample has almost no change in droplet size and dispersion after 1 year (Figure 7). The drug content of RPB samples decreased by 27% (p<0.01) and 17% (p<0.01) after storage for 1 year at 25°C and 4°C, the reduction in drug content is largely due to the explanation of the drug’s own instability. However, in the case of the nanoemulsion prepared by SE, after a long period of storage, the droplet size gradually increases, after storage for 1 year at 25°C, the droplet size increases to 259 nm (p<0.05), and after 1-yea storage at 4°C, the droplet size increases to 163 nm (p<0.01), the drug content in the SE sample has a further significant decrease relative to the RPB sample, after 1 year of sample storage at 25°C, the drug content was reduced by 49% (p<0.05), and the sample content was reduced by 35% (p<0.05) at 4°C (Figure 6). Statistically, both RPB samples and SE samples had a significant difference. Intuitively, the appearance of SE samples gradually became cloudy (Figure 7). These phenomena indicate that RPB has great advantages in the preparation of nanoemulsion, the prepared nanoemulsion is more uniform, and the strong micro-mixing can further reduce the oil-water interfacial
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**Figure 6** The samples changes of different nanoemulsion prepared by RPB and SE within 1 year. A, B at 25°C and C, D at 4°C.

**Notes:** (A) Size changes of RPB and SE sample within 2 weeks at 60°C. (B) Content change of RPB and SE samples within 2 weeks at 40°C. (C) Size changes of RPB and SE samples within 2 weeks at 40°C. (D) Content change of RPB and SE Samples within 2 weeks at 40°C. Scale bars: A and B 50 nm, C and D 100 nm.

**Abbreviations:** RPB, high gravity rotating packed bed; SE, self-emulsification method.
tension, thereby greatly reducing the droplet accumulation caused by the Ostwald ripening, therefore, the stabilization time of the RPB-prepared nanoemulsion is greatly extended.

For both ROS and SYN nanoemulsion, the drug loading has no significant effect on droplet size as we mentioned above. In stability study, for the changes of SYN drug content, we did the study and had the same trend as well as ROS. Its drug content would be influenced by temperature and long-term storage. The RPB-prepared nanoemulsion was obviously much better than SE samples, and the result indicated that the feasibility of RPB as a novel technique for preparing the nanoemulsion which has a prominent feature of its storage stability.

Dissolution Test
In vitro dissolution testing based on the BCS theory is the best alternative to in vivo bioequivalence studies. In this research, we did the dissolution test about ROS nanoemulsion compared with its raw drug and its commercial tablets but the test showed no significant differences between them because ROS is a type of BCS III drug with good water solubility.

SYN release study was performed in phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) +0.5% Tween-80 to simulate the endosomal condition. The drug released from nanoemulsion was extremely significant (p<0.001) in comparison to commercial capsules, having the same quantity of SYN (Figure 8). The SYN nanoemulsion was released at a faster rate in the phosphate buffer, after 24 h, the drug release rate of the SYN nanoemulsion reached 95%. In contrast, the commercial
capsules of SYN are slow to release and the release rate is significantly lower, after 24 h, only 33% of SYN drugs are released in the phosphate buffer. The significant increase in the solubility properties of the nanoemulsion type is mainly due to the hydrophilic properties and the smaller size of the droplets.

**In vitro Permeability Study Across Caco-2 Cell Monolayers**

Before the in vitro permeability study, we did MTT assay to obtain drug concentration and measured the TEER value, which can ensure the integrity of Caco-2 cells monolayers. MTT assay was conducted to assess the cytotoxic effects of nanoemulsion. Nanoemulsion showed non-significant cytotoxicity (cell viability >80%) at the concentration between 5 and 20 μg/mL. Thus, the concentration of 20 μg/mL was selected for the in vitro permeability study.

We measured Caco-2 permeation of the as-prepared drug nanoemulsion and compared with that of the commercial formulation. Figure 9 displays the permeability of BCSII drug ROS in 2 h. When absorptive transport from apical to basolateral (A to B), ROS raw material hardly passed, commercial tablets passed a little with \( P_{\text{app}} \) of 1.03×10^{-5} cm/s, while the ROS nanoemulsion could smoothly pass through with \( P_{\text{app}} \) of 3.64×10^{-5} cm/s (p<0.001). When efflux from basolateral to apical (B to A), the cumulative transport rate of the ROS raw material was 4.48×10^{-5} cm/s, higher than that of commercial tablets 4.36×10^{-5} cm/s (p<0.01). ROS nanoemulsion was extremely significant by comparing with raw ROS and its commercial tablet. The results demonstrated that ROS nanoemulsions improved permeation over commercial tablets.

Permeability of BCSII drug SYN is shown in Figure 10. In the apical to basolateral direction (A to B), the nanoemulsion has the highest cumulative amount transported of 10.39×10^{-5} cm/s, which is 1-fold than that of commercial capsules 5.36×10^{-5} cm/s (p<0.005); in the basolateral to apical direction (B to A), \( P_{\text{app}} \) of nanoemulsion was 7.56×10^{-5} cm/s, quite smaller than that of capsules 12.72×10^{-5} cm/s (p<0.01), which indicated nanoemulsion also has the effect of improving the permeability of BCSII drugs. Statistically, SYN nanoemulsion had a significant difference compared with its commercial capsules.

Compared with conventional oral capsules and tablets, nanoemulsion formulation not only enhanced the permeability on the Caco-2 cell monolayers but also inhibited the efflux effect of P-glycoprotein. The main reason is due to the use of surfactants and the formation of small oil-in-water droplets. Therefore, nanoemulsion dosage form, it is possible to effectively solubilize the drug, avoid the first-pass effect of the drug, improve cell permeability, and ultimately lead to an increase in bioavailability.

**Conclusions**

In summary, stable nanoemulsion for oral drug delivery was successfully prepared by high-gravity technology, which offered a platform for continuous manufacturing. Emulsifier

![Figure 9](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Notes:** (A) apical to basolateral and (B) basolateral to apical transport profiles of nanoemulsion, commercially tablet and raw ROS across the Caco-2 cell monolayer at 37°C. Each data point represents the mean± S.D. of three determinations.

**Abbreviations:** ROS, Rosuvastatin calcium. ROS-NE, Rosuvastatin calcium nanoemulsion. Raw, raw material.
dosage was greatly reduced by using RPB so that the biosafety of the emulsion was improved. Droplets of the nanoemulsion prepared by RPB were 14 nm with narrow size distribution. Meanwhile, nanoemulsion greatly enhanced the BCS class II drug SYN release rate, and the apparent permeability of the BCS class III drug ROS and BCS class II drug SYN were both enhanced. Moreover, the appearance of drug nanoemulsion kept clear and transparent without size change during 1-year storage at 25°C and 4°C.
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