A method for evaluating antiviral drug susceptibility of Epstein-Barr virus

Introduction

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is associated with a wide spectrum of clinical entities ranging from asymptomatic infection to the potentially lethal post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD). Although the use of antiviral agents in the treatment of EBV-related diseases is controversial, we have shown that valacyclovir therapy reduced oral excretion of EBV and possibly produced a clinical benefit in infectious mononucleosis.1 To assist in the selection of antiviral drugs for further clinical studies, we developed an in vitro EBV drug susceptibility assay that can be used to screen potential anti-EBV compounds. This method could also be adapted for testing patient-derived EBV strains. We evaluated acyclovir (ACV), ganciclovir (GCV) and R-9-[4-hydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)butyl]guanine (H2G) because these three compounds have orally bioavailable prodrugs, which make them attractive for prevention or treatment of EBV diseases in both immunocompetent and immunocompromised hosts.

Materials and methods

Antiviral compounds

ACV and GCV were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). H2G was kindly provided by Epiphany Biosciences (San Francisco, CA, USA).
Subjects
The subjects were university students participating in a prospective study of risk factors for the acquisition and severity of primary EBV infection, which was approved by the Research Subjects Protection Program of the University of Minnesota (0608M90593). All subjects gave informed consent prior to enrollment. Subjects donated oral washes and blood samples approximately every 6 weeks. Blood samples from subjects who acquired primary EBV infections during the study were utilized for the establishment of lymphoblastoid cell lines. The subjects had not received any antiviral drugs before the samples were collected.

P3HR1 cells
P3HR1 cells (ATCC HTB-62) obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, (Manassas, VA, USA) were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco®; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum ([FBS], Gemini Biologics, West Sacramento, CA, USA), 100 IU/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Fisher/Media Tech, Waltham, MA, USA).

Establishment of lymphoblastoid cell lines from subjects
Peripheral blood samples from subjects with acute infectious mononucleosis were collected in 10-mL EDTA Vacutainer® tubes (Fisher Scientific, Houston, TX, USA). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were separated by Histopaque® 1077 (Sigma-Aldrich) density gradient centrifugation. Samples were centrifuged at 800 × g for 15 minutes. The layer above the frit was collected and washed twice by pelleting in phosphate buffered saline (Cellgro, MediaTech, Waltham, MA, USA), counted in a hemocytometer (American Optical, Fisher Scientific, Houston, TX, USA) and resuspended in a cryopreservative solution containing 90% FBS and 10% dimethylsulfoxide ([DMSO], Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were frozen at −80°C and stored in liquid nitrogen for later use in the in vitro susceptibility assay.

In vitro antiviral susceptibility assay
Exponentially growing P3HR1 or subject-derived lymphoblastoid cells were centrifuged at 600 × g for 10 minutes, resuspended, and enumerated. Cells were seeded into 25 mL flasks (1 × 10^6 cells/mL), followed by 20 ng/mL of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate ([TPA], Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) to induce the production of EBV. The cells were then incubated with a range of 2-fold drug concentrations determined to encompass the IC_{50} in preliminary experiments. The total volume with cells, TPA, drug and medium was 10 mL. Cells were harvested on day 7 of the culture by centrifugation of the culture at 600 × g for 10 minutes. Cells from flasks were resuspended in 2 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and enumerated using trypan blue and a hemocytometer. An aliquot equal to 1 million cells was removed and DNA extracted. If the extraction could not be performed immediately, cells were frozen at −20°C until extraction. Total cellular DNA was extracted from cell pellets using the Qiagen Blood Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol with the following exception: DNA was eluted in 500 µL of elution buffer rather than the recommended 200 µL.

Real time PCR detection of viral DNA
A real time quantitative in-house PCR, (polymerase chain reaction), assay was performed to assess the antiviral activity of various drugs against EBV. Briefly, the amplicon was a 71bp portion of the EBNA1 gene. The primers and probe were designed with the assistance of Primer Express® software (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The forward primer was: 5’-GAC TGT GTG CAG CTT TGA CGA T-3’; the reverse primer was: 5’-CGG CAG CCC CTT CCA-3’; and the probe was: 5’-(FAM) TAG ATT TGC CCT GGT TTC CAC CTA TG-(TAMRA)-3’. The 25 µL PCR
sample contained 5 µL of DNA, a 1 × concentration of ABI TaqMan® Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 300 nM of the forward and reverse primers, and 400 nM of the probe. PCR was performed in an ABI Prism® 7700 oligonucleotide sequence detector. The PCR program consisted of 1 cycle at 50°C for 2 minutes followed by 1 cycle at 95°C for 10 minutes, after which 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds followed by 60°C for 1 minute were carried out. For each drug, the concentration required to reduce EBV DNA in TPA-stimulated P3HR1 cells or subject-derived cells by 50% (IC$_{50}$) was determined by at least 3 independent experiments. Each experiment was performed in duplicate or triplicate. The IC$_{50}$s were determined using KaleidaGraph data analysis software (version 4.03, Synergy Software, Brentwood, Tenn) and by visual inspection of the inhibition plots.

**Results**

**Assay optimization**

The data we initially reported for this assay were derived from cultures in 25 mL flasks. TPA was left in the flasks for the entire 7 days and the cells were not fed during that time. A high percentage of cell death was observed during the process of enumerating the cells at the time of harvest. Lower viable cell numbers sometimes resulted in unacceptable variability among replicate dilutions. In an effort to minimize cell death and maximize EBV viral production, the procedure was modified. On day 2, 20–24 hours after TPA stimulation, the cells were pelleted, washed with phosphate-buffered saline, and resuspended in 10 mL of fresh medium containing the same antiviral drugs but without TPA. On day 4, 10 mL of medium containing the same concentrations of antiviral drugs was added to the flasks. We demonstrated enhanced viability of the P3HR1 cells when TPA was removed on day 2 as compared with TPA being in contact with the cells for all 7 days. Data from 8 separate experiments showed that the ratio of viable to nonviable cells was improved from a mean of 1.55 (median, 1.53; standard deviation [SD], ±0.20) after 7 days of TPA exposure to a mean of 8.69 (median, 8.01; SD, ±2.37) when TPA was removed on day 2. Similarly, the ratio of viable to nonviable subject-derived lymphoblastoid cells was improved from 0.94 to 2.29 when TPA was removed on day 2.

A 24-well plate format, rather than the 25 mL flasks, was investigated in an effort to reduce the time and cost of the assay. Sixteen parallel experiments were performed in 24-well plates and 25 mL flasks. For the plate assay, 24-well plates were seeded with 1 × 10⁶ cells per well (1 × 10⁶ cells/mL). Cells were induced with 20 ng/mL of TPA, and incubated with the same concentrations of antiviral drugs used in the flask experiments. The total volume with cells, TPA, drug and medium was 1 mL. The TPA was removed on day 2. On day 4, 1 mL of medium containing the same concentrations of antiviral drugs was added to the wells. Cells were harvested on day 7 by removing a 200 µL aliquot of resuspended cells and media for extraction rather than enumerating the cells for each of the drug dilutions. This decreased the time required for harvesting cultures from 3 hours to 30 minutes. Performing the cultures in 24-well plates resulted in a 10-fold reduction in the amount of supplies needed for the assay and a 4-fold reduction in the time required to perform it. Flasks and plates showed similar ratios of EBV in the cells exposed to drug versus no drug controls. The mean flask ratio was 0.21 (median, 0.12; SD, ±0.18) as compared with a mean of 0.16 for the plates (median, 0.12; SD, ±0.12). There was no apparent difference in cytotoxicity in wells containing drug as compared with those containing no drug for any of the 3 antiviral compounds tested.

**IC$_{50}$ values for ACV, GCV, and H2G**

The median inhibition curves for the candidate antiviral drugs ACV, GCV, and H2G against EBV contained in P3HR1 cells or in lymphoblastoid cell lines (L32, L52, and L57) derived from 3 different subjects with infectious mononucleosis are shown in Figure 1, Panels A, B and C. For the EBV in P3HR1 cells, the median (range) of IC$_{50}$s were: ACV, 3.4 µM (2.8–6.2 µM); GCV, 2.6 µM (1.5–3.7 µM), and H2G, 2.7 µM (0.8–2.9 µM). The median IC$_{50}$s were determined using KaleidaGraph data analysis software (version 4.03, Synergy Software, Brentwood, Tenn) and by visual inspection of the inhibition plots.

**Discussion**

Numerous studies have described the in vitro susceptibility of EBV to candidate antiviral drugs. In all instances, the EBV evaluated was in standard laboratory cell lines, most often in P3HR1 cells, clones derived from it, Raji cells superinfected...
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Figure 1 Inhibition plots of anti-EBV drug activity for acyclovir (Panel A), ganciclovir (Panel B), and H2G (Panel C). The median values obtained at each drug concentration from 52 separate experiments are shown for EBV in the producer lab cell line P3HR1 and in the lymphoblastoid cell lines L32, L52 and L57 established from the peripheral blood of subjects with infectious mononucleosis.

Abbreviations: AcV, acyclovir; gcV, ganciclovir; H2G, R-9-[4-hydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)butyl]guanine; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus.

Table 1 IC_{50} (µM) for ACV, GCV and H2G in the EBV producer cell line P3HR1 and in 3 peripheral blood lymphoblastoid cell lines of subjects with infectious mononucleosis (L32, L52 and L57)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antiviral drug</th>
<th>IC_{50} (µM)</th>
<th>P3HR1</th>
<th>L32</th>
<th>L52</th>
<th>L57</th>
<th>Subject cell lines L32, L52, L57 combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acyclovir</td>
<td>Median (mean)</td>
<td>3.4 (4.0)</td>
<td>3.3 (3.4)</td>
<td>3.1 (3.3)</td>
<td>1.5 (2.7)</td>
<td>2.5 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>2.8–6.2</td>
<td>1.3–5.5</td>
<td>1.5–5.4</td>
<td>1.4–5.6</td>
<td>1.3–5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganciclovir</td>
<td>Median (mean)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.9)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.9)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.5–3.7</td>
<td>0.9–3.7</td>
<td>0.8–3.1</td>
<td>1.1–2.6</td>
<td>0.8–3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2G</td>
<td>Median (mean)</td>
<td>2.7 (2.3)</td>
<td>2.1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>1.6 (2.4)</td>
<td>1.9 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.8–2.9</td>
<td>0.8–12.0</td>
<td>0.6–4.0</td>
<td>1.1–4.2</td>
<td>0.6–12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IC_{50}: Concentration of drug inhibiting at least 50% of EBV DNA synthesis measured by real-time PCR. Data are from 52 separate experiments.

Abbreviations: ACV, acyclovir; GCV, ganciclovir; H2G, R-9-[4-hydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)butyl]guanine; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; IC_{50}, 50% inhibitory concentrations; PVR, polymerase chain reaction.
inhibition of EBV DNA replication. The majority of the cited studies quantified EBV replication by DNA-DNA or cRNA-DNA hybridization. In 2004, Frederichs and colleagues showed that IC$_{50}$ values using real-time TaqMan PCR were similar to those generated by DNA-DNA hybridization. Because PCR is easier to perform, it is destined to replace hybridization in IC$_{50}$ assays. Recently, Ballout and colleagues described a real-time quantitative PCR method for assaying antiviral drug activity against EBV DNA replication and late mRNA expression. Their target DNA sequence was a portion of the EBV BxLF1 gene whereas ours was a piece of the EBNA1 gene. This could account for the difference in the IC$_{50}$ for GCV, which was the only antiviral drug we both tested. They reported an IC$_{50}$ of 1.1 µM ±0.24 (SD) to GCV in P3HR1 cells, whereas our median IC$_{50}$ in P3HR1 cells was 2.6 µM (range, 1.5–3.7 µM). They also measured antiviral drug effects on EBV late mRNA expression, which was an interesting addition, although it was no more informative than DNA quantification for evaluating anti-EBV activity. However, as these authors aptly point out, the mRNA assay could prove valuable in future as more potent anti-EBV drugs with new mechanisms of action are developed.

In our assay, the anti-EBV activity of ACV, GCV, and H2G was assessed in vitro using both a standard laboratory cell line (P3HR1) and lymphoblastoid cell lines from subjects with infectious mononucleosis as the source of EBV. GCV appeared to have a more clear-cut dose-response anti-EBV effect than H2G or ACV, because its IC$_{50}$ slope was steeper.

Unfortunately, there is no standard formula for equating IC$_{50}$ values with the clinical efficacy of an antiviral compound. The approach most often used is to strive for a plasma C$_{max}$ of the antiviral drug that is above or a certain multiple above the IC$_{50}$. However, the plasma C$_{max}$ may not be the best drug exposure metric to use for this comparison. Other metrics such as the area-under-the-concentration-time curve (AUC) or the minimum post-dose concentration (C$_{min}$) may be more clinically relevant and should be evaluated in future clinical trials. Moreover, ACV, GCV, and H2G plasma concentrations do not reflect the active antiviral drug moiety. Nucleoside analogues must first be taken up by virus-infected cells and phosphorylated to their active triphosphate derivatives that inhibit viral DNA synthesis. Although intracellular nucleoside triphosphate concentrations are difficult to measure analytically, the AUCs and half-lives of these active metabolites will most likely be the in vivo pharmacokinetic metrics that best correlate with antiviral efficacy. Half-lives for ACV triphosphate and H2G triphosphate in cells infected with herpes simplex virus type-1 were approximately 1 and 14 hours, respectively. The half-life of GCV triphosphate in cells infected with cytomegalovirus was approximately 12 hours. Half-lives for these triphosphate derivatives in EBV infected cells have not been reported, however the data described above align well with our potency findings for ACV, GCV, and H2G.

We previously described IC$_{50}$ values of 100 µM for foscarnet and 31 µM for penciclovir against EBV in P3HR1 cells. We chose not to include these drugs in the present study because our focus was on orally bioavailable drugs with the potential to be used for prevention and treatment of EBV diseases in both immunocompetent as well as immunocompromised hosts. There is no oral formulation of foscarnet and the relatively high IC$_{50}$ value for penciclovir made it problematic. We did not test cidofovir because of its unfavorable toxicity profile. Although we wanted to test maribavir we were unable to obtain it from the manufacturer.

EBV strains derived from subjects with infectious mononucleosis had slightly lower IC$_{50}$s to the antiviral drugs ACV, GCV and H2G than those found in the EBV producer laboratory cell line P3HR1. Also, there were differences in the median and mean IC$_{50}$ values for EBV among the 3 subject-derived lymphoblastoid cell lines. Such differences could be informative if antiviral therapy is used, for example, in children who acquire primary EBV infection after transplantation and are at relatively high risk for post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (PTLD).

The viability of the producer cells was enhanced at least 5-fold and that of the subject-derived lymphoblastoid cells at least 2-fold when TPA was left in culture for only the first day as compared with all 7 days. Adapting the assay to 24-well plates resulted in a 10-fold reduction in the supplies required and a 4-fold reduction in technologist time required.

In conclusion, we have described IC$_{50}$ values for 3 drugs that have orally bioavailable formulations and exhibit promising activity against EBV. In addition, we are reporting for the first time, to our knowledge, a method for determining drug susceptibilities of viruses from subjects with acute infectious mononucleosis. This in vitro method may be useful for monitoring development of resistance especially when immunocompromised hosts with serious EBV diseases are treated with antiviral agents.

**Acknowledgments**

All authors state that they have no conflicts of interest that could inappropriately influence this study. This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health grants...
M01-RR00400 National Center for Research Resources, and 2 P01 DK13083-40A, and by the University of Minnesota International Center for Antiviral Research and Epidemiology.

References


