Advances in Medical Education and Practice

Open Access Full Text Article

LETTER

225

Using Multiple Mini-Interviews for Students' Admissions – An Insight from UK-Based Medical Students [Letter]

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Advances in Medical Education and Practice

Shlok Patel¹ Dharti Patel²

¹Faculty of Medicine, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, UK; ²Faculty of Health and Medicine, Lancaster Medical School, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

Dear editor,

We read with great interest the article by Haider et al which provided an insight into using Multiple Mini Interviews (MMI) as an assessment method at medical school.¹ We appreciate the author's effort and as UK medical students who have participated in both MMI & traditional interviews, we would like to share our opinion.

Haider et al reported that 75% of candidates and 95% of assessors preferred MMI to traditional interviews.¹ Whilst all interviewers had an experience of traditional interviews, it would have been beneficial to have data regarding how many candidates had previous exposure to traditional interviews. For many candidates, the MMI may have been their first-ever interview. As a result, we believe it makes it difficult to compare the two interview methods in this study. We recommend including a traditional interview station along with MMI stations to allow comparison.

Furthermore, whilst it is completely acceptable to include only MMI or standard interviews as part of the selection process, we believe a hybrid model as highlighted by Zaidi et al will be more beneficial to implement initially.² This can address concerns highlighted by students such as not enough time to discuss particular issues in detail and fast-paced questioning. Moreover, whilst MMI allows students to showcase their well-roundedness, it only provides a snippet of the candidate's personality. Traditional methods allow an in-depth understanding of the individual.

Additionally, Bing-you et al mention certain steps that should be considered when implementing MMI such as rigorous training for faculty before implementation and contingency plans which have not been addressed in this study.³ This is crucial due to the complexity of MMI and can help deal with unforeseen circumstances in the future.

Finally, one of the issues highlighted by the interviewers was the length of MMI stations.¹ We recommend having 5–7 mins stations instead of 9 mins. This is something we have experienced during our MMI at UK medical schools. It has worked very well however the questions and phrasing need to be carefully selected. The study did not mention which questions were asked in the eight stations and it would have been useful to have this information.

To conclude, we appreciate this study highlights the reliability and acceptability of MMI as an assessment method. The findings are important, and we have

submit your manuscript

Correspondence: Dharti Patel

Email d.s.patel@lancaster.ac.uk

© 2020 Patel and Patel. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/ the work you bereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for Commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (thisty://www.dovepress.com/ the work you bereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for Commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (thisty://www.dovepress.com/ terms.php).

DovePress **F D** in **http:**//doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S253072

suggested a few alterations to further improve the process for the following year. Future research should include using a hybrid model of MMI or including data on traditional interview methods to allow comparison, if suitable for their institution.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- Haider SI, Bari MF, Ijaz S. Using multiple mini-interviews for students' admissions in Pakistan: a pilot study. *Adv Med Educ Pract*. 2020;11:179–185. doi:10.2147/AMEP.S246285
- Zaidi NL, Santen SA, Purkiss JA, Teener C, Gay SE. A hybrid interview model of medical school interviews: combining traditional and multisampling formats. *Acad Med.* 2016;9(11):1526–1529. doi:10. 1097/ACM.00000000001218
- Bing-You R, Linder J, White P, Neumeyer D. Twelve tips on implementing multiple mini-interviews in a hybrid admissions model. *MedEdPublish*. 2016;5(3):78. doi:10.15694/mep.2016.000164

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Advances in Medical Education and Practice 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Advances in Medical Education and Practice editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Advances in Medical Education and Practice

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Advances in Medical Education and Practice is an international, peerreviewed, open access journal that aims to present and publish research on Medical Education covering medical, dental, nursing and allied health care professional education. The journal covers undergraduate education, postgraduate training and continuing medical education including emerging trends and innovative models linking education, research, and health care services. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/advances-in-medical-education-and-practice-journal