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Background and Aim: The imbalance between pro-oxidant and antioxidant systems often leads to further oxidative damage in the pathogenesis of both diabetes and periodontal disease. This study aimed to investigate the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of melatonin in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients with periodontal disease (PD) under non-surgical periodontal therapy (NSPT).

Materials and Methods: In this double-blind clinical trial study, 50 T2DM patients with PD were randomly allocated to intervention and control groups and received 250 mg/day (2 tablets) either melatonin or placebo 1 h before bedtime for 8 weeks. The NSPT was performed for all patients in both groups at the beginning of the study. The serum levels of interleukin-1b (IL-1b), malondialdehyde (MDA), total antioxidant capacity (TAC), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) were measured pre- and post-intervention.

Results: Supplementation with melatonin in adjunct to NSPT significantly increased the serum levels of TAC, SOD, CAT, and GPx in the intervention group (P = 0.02, 0.008, 0.004 and 0.004, respectively). The mean changes of SOD, CAT, and GPx were significantly (P = 0.02, 0.04 and 0.04, respectively) greater in the intervention group compared with the control group. Also, after adjusting for confounding factors, the results did not change in terms of significance (P < 0.05). After the intervention, serum levels of MDA and IL-1b were significantly reduced in the intervention group (P < 0.001 and P = 0.008, respectively). The intervention group exhibited lower mean changes of MDA compared with the control group, and these changes were statistically significant (P = 0.008). In addition, after adjusting for confounding factors, the results did not change in terms of significance.

Conclusion: The adjunctive effects of melatonin and NSPT may improve inflammatory and antioxidant parameters in T2DM patients with PD.

Keywords: type 2 diabetes mellitus, periodontal disease, melatonin, inflammatory markers, antioxidant enzymes

Introduction

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) is rapidly increasing around the world specifically in developing countries. The global prevalence of DM is greater than 300 million people. In Iran, 11.4% of adults have been estimated to suffer
from T2DM. T2DM is related to several clinical complications including central obesity, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, oxidation of low-density lipoproteins (LDL), inflammation, hypertension, and the prothrombotic state. Several studies have shown that obesity, especially abdominal obesity plays a major role in diabetes and metabolic complications associated with it. Also, it is thought that basic inflammation caused by obesity increases the risk of cancer. The adipose tissue secretes various active compounds called adipokines (IL-6, TNF-α, leptin, adiponectin, and other). These compounds produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reduce activity of antioxidant enzymes (glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, and catalase). Therefore, improvement in these adipokines can play a beneficial role in diabetes by decrease oxidative stress. Infact, T2DM is characterized by chronic inflammation and high levels of oxidative stress. An increase in the amount of ROS and nitrogen species can result in a redox imbalance and plays an important role in the pathogenesis of diabetic complications such as periodontal disease.

Chronic periodontitis (CP), the most common chronic infection worldwide, and DM are bi-directionally related to each other. DM is considered as a predisposing factor in the etiology of CP. On the other hand, CP can impair metabolic control in patients with DM. Patients with T2DM have a greater prevalence, extent, and severity of CP than non-diabetic subjects. The accumulation of anaerobic gram-negative bacteria can cause the CP and formation of calculus. CP is a multifactorial, chronic inflammatory disorder and if it is not treated, it may lead to non-reversible damages of oral supportive tissues (periodontal ligament, cementum, and alveolar bone) surrounding the teeth and finally result in tooth loss. There are growing evidence suggesting that the pro-inflammatory cytokines produced by gingiva in CP transfer to the systemic circulation and exacerbate DM. Conversely, the elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in DM can move to the gingiva and aggravate periodontal disease. In fact, the chronic inflammation in CP can increase plasma levels of glucose, insulin resistance, and exacerbate the complications of diabetes. It seems that oxidative stress is the main link between DM and periodontitis and can activate pro-inflammatory pathways common in both pathologies. The increase in the concentrations of protein carbonyl and sulfhydryl contents, MDA and also the reduction in TAC have been proposed as the hallmarks for oxidative stress. The other factor involved in the pathogenesis of DM is the disturbance in the antioxidant system. The antioxidant defense system consists several complex components such as metal-binding proteins, specific enzymes (SOD, CAT, GPx, and other), and a number of low molecular weight antioxidants such as glutathione, ascorbate, cysteine, and urate.

There are several dietary components with natural antioxidant properties. Melatonin is an active component with antioxidant properties, reported by several studies. It is an indoleamine (a derivative of tryptophan), secreted mainly by pinealocytes. The main function of melatonin is to regulate the sleep cycle. Studies reported that melatonin is also involved in homeostasis and energy metabolism. Melatonin can activate brown adipose tissue and subsequently increase energy expenditure. Moreover, new research found its anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory as well as antioxidant properties. Melatonin can increase the expression of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, and GPx) and scavenge free radicals. It is indicated that NSPT (several sessions of scaling and root planning) alone or in combination with the other therapies for 1- to 3-weeks, can lead to clinical improvement in patients with T2DM. The available evidence indicates the beneficial effects of consumption of melatonin supplement as a therapeutic tool in some chronic disorders. The hypothesis of the present study was that the use of melatonin with NSPT is effective in improvement of biomarkers of oxidative stress against the lack of effect. To the best of authors’ knowledge, there are no published reports related to the effects of melatonin supplementation along with NSPT in diabetic patients with PD. The aim of this study was to investigate the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of melatonin supplementation in T2DM patients with PD under NSPT.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design and Subjects**

This study was a double-blinded, placebo-controlled and single-center trial. In this study, 96 patients with T2DM and PD were recruited from the patients referred to the endocrinology and metabolism clinics of Golestan Hospital of Alhzav Jundishapur University of Medical Science, Iran from March 2017 to August 2017. Of the 96 patients, 46 patients were excluded (due to lack of inclusion criteria and disapproval to participate in the study) and 50 subjects were selected to participate in the study. The main inclusion criteria were patients with known T2DM (no more than five years since diagnosis), male and
female aged 30–60 years and body mass index of 18.5–30 kg/m², patients with the severity periodontitis of mild to moderate (PD ≥ 4 mm and CAL = 1–4 mm). The exclusion criteria were as follows: patients with kidney failure, pregnancy, breastfeeding, thyroid disease, traveling more than two weeks, smoking, using any immunosuppressive medications, antioxidants, anti-inflammatory agents, insulin, any mouthwash and antibiotic and noticeable change in consumption of medications, patients with severe periodontitis, and following a specific diet over the past six months. The diagnosis of DM was done based on the American Diabetes Association guidelines: those with the fasting plasma sugar (FBS) ≥126 mg/dL and HbA1c ≥6.5% or 2 hr glucose (2 hpp) ≥200 mg/dL.

The subjects were randomly (by a random permuted block procedure, block design, based on the combined analysis) allocated to two groups of intervention (n = 25) and placebo (n = 25). The intervention group received 250 mg melatonin (as 2 tablets; purchased from Nature Made, USA with the ingredients of sodium starch glycolate, magnesium stearate, and 3 mg net melatonin) and the control group received 250 mg placebo (as 2 tablets made by the Faculty of Pharmacy in Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences with the ingredients containing cellulose, silicon dioxide, magnesium stearate, starch and a few taste of peppermint oil matching with the melatonin tablets for shape, color, size, and taste) for 8 weeks. The melatonin and placebo tablets were recommended to use one hour before sleeping at night. Furthermore, both groups underwent the NSPT along with melatonin supplementation at baseline. The assessment method of periodontal disease has been previously reported in our published paper. Also, some instructions for dental hygiene (how to brush and use dental floss correctly) were explained to patients. The patients were asked to avoid use mouthwash.

In this study both the researchers and patients were blinded. To ensure consuming supplements or placebo by the patients, they were reminded through phone calls or text messages and were asked to return any untaken tablets. The subjects who did not consume more than 10% of the tablets were excluded from the study.

Assessment of Anthropometric Parameters
Anthropometric measurements were done by a trained researcher at baseline and the end of the 8-week intervention. Height was measured without shoes to the nearest 0.5 cm, and weight was measured without shoes and with light clothing using Seca scale (Seca, Hamburg, Germany). BMI was also calculated as the body weight in kg by height in m². Waist circumference (WC) was measured by a tape measure, while the subjects were at the end of breathing out and the midpoint of lower rib and iliac crest.

Outcomes
In the present study, the primary outcome was the malondialdehyde (MDA) and the secondary outcomes were inflammatory and other oxidative stress biomarkers.

Assessment of Biochemical Parameters
After an overnight fasting, the venous blood samples were collected at baseline and the end of the study and were immediately centrifuged (3000×g, 10 min, 4°C). The serum supernatant was separated and stored at −70°C until the analysis of interleukin-1β (IL-1β), malondialdehyde (MDA), total antioxidant capacity (TAC), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx). Serum levels of MDA, TAC, SOD, CAT, and GPx were measured by reliable spectrophotometric methods using Zell Bio GmbH kit (Germany). Serum levels of IL-1β were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit according to the kit instructions (Human IL-1β Elisa kit [IBL, Germany]).

Dietary Intake and Physical Activity Assessment
A three-day food record was used to assess the dietary intake at baseline and end of the study. The data extracted from dietary intakes were analyzed by Nutritionist 4 software. The national food composition tables were used as a reference to analyze food intake. A classified physical activity questionnaire based on physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ) was used to measure the physical activity of the subjects. The validity and reliability of this questionnaire were previously confirmed.

Ethics Approval
This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Science (Ref No. IR.AJUMS.REC.1396.157) and was registered in the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials website (IRCT2017030831993N4). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Statistical Analysis
Considering MDA as the main variable and the Alamdari NM and coworkers’ study, the sample size was calculated
with a 95% confidence interval according to the following formula.

\[ n = \frac{\left( z_1 - \frac{a}{2} + z_1 - \beta \right)^2 (\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2)}{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2} \]

The calculated sample size was 23 subjects in each group, however, considering with a 10% probable withdraw, 25 subjects were involved in each group.

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to assess the normality distribution of variables. The continuous and categorical data are reported as means ± standard deviations and frequency (%), respectively. The Paired sample \( t \)-test was also used to compare the results within groups post-intervention. The Chi-square test was used to compare the qualitative variables. Also, the Independent sample \( t \)-test was used to compare the results between two groups. The Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was applied for assessment any differences between two groups at the end of study after adjusting for covariates (age, sex, energy, BMI, physical activity, disease duration, and drugs). \( P < 0.05 \) were considered as significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS version 19.

## Results

### Baseline Variables

Table 1 shows the baseline data. All data in this study were normally distributed. Forty-four subjects (22 subjects in both groups) completed the study. The mean age of the subjects in the intervention and control group was 53.72 ± 6.68 and 51.45 ± 5.03 years old, respectively. There were no significant differences in age, sex, weight, BMI, duration of suffering from DM, and medications (data not shown) between two groups at baseline (\( P \geq 0.05 \)). Also, no significant differences were observed in physical activity and dietary data such as intakes of energy, macronutrients, and micronutrients including antioxidant vitamins C, E, A, beta-carotene, \( \alpha \)-tocopherol and selenium between two groups at baseline and after the intervention (\( P \geq 0.05 \)). The data of dietary intakes of the subjects in this study were previously published.

### The Effects of Melatonin on IL-1\( \beta \) and MDA

There were no significant differences in the mean serum levels of IL-1\( \beta \) and MDA between two groups (\( P \geq 0.05 \)) at baseline. Melatonin supplementation along with NSPT significantly decreased the mean serum levels of IL-1\( \beta \) and MDA in the intervention group compared with the baseline (2.41 ± 0.55 vs 2.06 ± 0.48 pg/mL, respectively; \( P = 0.008 \)) and MDA (17.2 ± 1.82 vs 16.13 ± 1.76 \( \mu \)M, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)). Whereas, the decrease in these parameters were not significant in the control group (Table 2). Moreover, the mean changes of serum levels of MDA were significantly lower in the intervention group compared with the control group (\( -1.07 \pm 0.92 \) vs \( -0.31 \pm 0.88 \) \( \mu \)M, respectively; \( P = 0.008 \)). Also, after adjusting for confounding factors, the results did not change in terms of significance (Table 3).

### The Effects of Melatonin on SOD, CAT, GPx, and TAC

No significant differences were observed in the mean serum levels of SOD, CAT, GPx and, TAC between two groups at baseline (\( P \geq 0.05 \)). The mean serum levels of SOD, GPx, CAT, and TAC were significantly increased in the intervention group compared with the baseline after the intervention (13.91 ± 2.75 vs 15.53 ± 4.37 U/mL, respectively; \( P = 0.008 \)), (243.04 ± 68.37 vs 262.04 ± 62.45 U/mL, respectively; \( P = 0.004 \)), (24.23 ± 4.54 vs 27.47 ± 4.12 mM, respectively; \( P = 0.004 \)) and (0.289 ± 0.04 vs 0.313 ± 0.05 mM, respectively; \( P = 0.02 \)) (Table 2). In addition, the mean changes of serum levels of SOD, GPx, and CAT were significantly greater in the intervention group compared with the control group (\( P = 0.02 \), \( P = 0.04 \) and \( P = 0.04 \); respectively). Also, after adjusting for confounding factors, the results did not change in terms of significance (Table 3).

### Melatonin and Periodontal Status (PD, CAL, BOP, and Plaque)

The effects of intervention on periodontal indices have been reported in our previous article.

---

**Table 1** Baseline Characteristics of the Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group (n=22)</th>
<th>Intervention Group (n=22)</th>
<th>( P )-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>51.45 ± 5.03</td>
<td>53.72 ± 6.68</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/Male (N (%))</td>
<td>16 (72.7)/6 (27.3)</td>
<td>14 (63.6)/8 (36.4)</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>73.68 ± 8.38</td>
<td>72.54 ± 6.94</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>27.21 ± 2.19</td>
<td>27.36 ± 2.11</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years)</td>
<td>7.36 ± 2.87</td>
<td>7.77 ± 2.59</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (met-min/week)</td>
<td>320.86 ± 170.58</td>
<td>293.31 ± 172.15</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Values are expressed as means ± SD. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered as significant. *\( P < 0.05 \) was considered as significant using Independent \( t \)-test between the two groups at baseline. †\( P < 0.05 \) was considered as significant using Chi-square test.
Figure 1 Flow Diagram of the study.

Abbreviations: IL-1β, interleukin-1β; TAC, total antioxidant capacity; MDA, malondialdehyde; SOD, superoxide dismutase; CAT, catalase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; ELSA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; NSPT, non-surgical periodontal therapy.
Table 2 The Mean ± SD of Inflammatory and Antioxidant Markers at Baseline and Post-Intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group (n=22)</th>
<th>Intervention Group (n=22)</th>
<th>P value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1b (pg/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.48</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.55</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 weeks</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.34</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.48</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (µM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>17.49 ± 1.38</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.82</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 weeks</td>
<td>17.17 ± 1.39</td>
<td>16.13 ± 1.76</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC (mM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.318 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.289 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 weeks</td>
<td>0.327 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.313 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD (U/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>14.27 ± 2.52</td>
<td>13.91 ± 2.75</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 weeks</td>
<td>14.49 ± 2.58</td>
<td>15.53 ± 4.37</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT (U/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>23.14 ± 3.52</td>
<td>24.23 ± 4.54</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 weeks</td>
<td>22.72 ± 5.58</td>
<td>27.47 ± 4.12</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPX (U/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>231.18 ± 67.28</td>
<td>243.04 ± 68.37</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 weeks</td>
<td>233.18 ± 62.66</td>
<td>262.04 ± 62.45</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are expressed as means ± SD. *P <0.05 was considered as significant using Independent t-test. **P <0.05 was considered as significant using Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) between the two groups post-intervention after adjusting for confounding factors (age, sex, energy, BMI, physical activity, disease duration, and drugs).

Abbreviations: IL-1β, interleukin-1β; TAC, total antioxidant capacity; MDA, malondialdehyde; SOD, superoxide dismutase; CAT, catalase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase.

Table 3 The Mean Changes of Inflammatory and Antioxidant Markers at Post-Intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Group (n=22)</th>
<th>Intervention Group (n=22)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
<th>P value**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1b (pg/mL)</td>
<td>−0.14 ± 0.43</td>
<td>−0.34 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (µM)</td>
<td>−0.31 ± 0.88</td>
<td>−1.07 ± 0.92</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC (mM)</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD (U/mL)</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.57</td>
<td>1.61 ± 2.57</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT (U/mL)</td>
<td>−0.41 ± 6.71</td>
<td>3.23 ± 4.67</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPX (U/mL)</td>
<td>2 ± 25.14</td>
<td>19 ± 27.89</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values are expressed as means ± SD. *P <0.05 was considered as significant changes using Independent t-test between the two groups post-intervention. **P <0.05 was considered as significant difference using Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) between the two groups post-intervention after adjusting for confounding factors (age, sex, energy, BMI, physical activity, disease duration, and drugs).

Abbreviations: IL-1β, interleukin-1β; TAC, total antioxidant capacity; MDA, malondialdehyde; SOD, superoxide dismutase; CAT, catalase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase.

Discussion

Increased oxidative stress is a widely accepted agent in the development and progression of DM and its complications such as PD. Melatonin is proposed to have a potent antioxidant capability and protective properties against oxidative stress. This study was the only clinical trial investigating the effects of melatonin supplementation along with the NSPT in T2DM patients with PD. The results of the present study showed that the 8 weeks consumption of melatonin supplementation in adjunct to the NSPT significantly increased the serum levels of TAC, SOD, CAT, and GPx. Also, serum levels of MDA and IL-1b were significantly decreased in the intervention group.

Similar to the present study, a clinical trial showed that consumption of melatonin either with the dosage of 5 mg or with the dosage of 2 mg for 30 days significantly increased SOD-1 activity in two groups of T2DM patients and healthy controls. Whereas, only the 2 mg dosage significantly increased CAT activity in two groups and 5 mg did not have a significant effect. Also, only the 5 mg dosage significantly increased GPx-1 activity in diabetic patients and the 2 mg did not have a significant effect. Both dosages significantly decreased MDA (Lipid peroxidation marker).

The results of this study suggest that both dosages of melatonin may have similar therapeutic effects on antioxidant defense system. Therefore, it is suggested that melatonin can exert its antioxidant properties at low dosages. However, in our study, the 6 mg dosages of melatonin significantly increased all three antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, and GPx). It is suggested that the design of our study, greater dosage of melatonin, using melatonin supplementation along with NSPT, and the longer period of the intervention in this study may be some reasons behind obtaining significant results in our study.

In another study, Özdem et al found that melatonin administration reduced MDA and increased GSH-Px levels in heart tissue in rats with periodontitis, but in contrast to the results of this study, there was no significant increase in serum levels of SOD. The difference in the target subjects, the method of research, the supplement dosage, and the duration of the intervention are suggested to be possible reasons leading to the diversity in the results for SOD. In agreement with the findings of this study, in a clinical trial the consumption of melatonin supplementation (10 mg for 12 weeks) in diabetic patients with coronary heart disease resulted in significant increases in plasma GSH and nitric oxide (NO) and significant decreases in MDA, protein carbonyl (PCO) and serum high sensitivity C-reactive protein.
Moreover, the treatment with melatonin (10 mg/kg) in type 2 diabetic rats for 3 weeks caused a significant decrease in reactive oxygen species (ROS), oxido-nitrosative stress markers, including thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), nitrite, and depleted glutathione (GSH) levels in the hippocampus of melatonin-treated group. It is suggested that melatonin is one of the most functional scavengers of free radicals. Melatonin acts as a direct scavenger and neutralizes several free radicals such as singlet oxygen, superoxide anion radical, hydroxyl radical, hydroperoxide, lipid peroxide radical, and peroxynitrite. Melatonin can also protect against the oxidative stress by improving the mitochondrial function, stimulating the expressions and activating the antioxidant enzymes including CAT, SOD, and GPx. It is indicated that the potent anti-oxidative effect of melatonin is partially related to its lipophilic and hydrophilic properties, allowing it to easily transfer through all bio-barriers where it is highly available in subcellular organelles such as cell membrane and mitochondria. Regarding that the mitochondria is considered as the main site of ROS production, melatonin can strongly protect these organelles against the oxidative damage. Also, there are decreasing in the oxidative stress and increasing in the antioxidant capacity reported in the literature after the NSPT. Brock et al reported that NSPT with some improvements in clinical parameters can also improve the antioxidant defense in gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) and serum in CP patients. In another study, it was found that there was a significant increase in GPx and total antioxidative status (TAS) of saliva and decrease (but not significant) in SOD activity in CP patients after the NSPT. In our study, the oxidative and inflammatory status of the control group (received only NSPT) did not significantly change. Perhaps, the low level of systemic inflammation in the gum and a small amount of bleeding on probing caused that patients do not respond well to NSPT in the control group. The study limitation in our study is the inadequate number of study groups. It is suggested that further studies are needed to be conducted with four study groups in future (group1; Diabetes + no periodontal treatment + placebo, group2; Diabetes + no periodontal treatment + melatonin, group3; Diabetes + NSPT + placebo, group4; Diabetes + NSPT + melatonin).

**Conclusion**

It is suggested that supplementation with melatonin along with the NSPT may be effective in the improvement of the oxidative and inflammatory status in T2DM patients with PD. Therefore, the consumption of melatonin supplement in adjunct to NSPT may be recommended as a part of the therapeutic approach in controlling DM and CP.

**Abbreviations**

CAT, catalase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; GR, glutathione reductase; GST, glutathione S-transferase; HC, hip circumference; IL-1b, interleukin-1b; MDA, malondialdehyde; NSPT, non-surgical periodontal therapy; PD, periodontal disease; SOD, superoxide dismutase; TAC, total antioxidant capacity; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; WC, waist circumference; WHR, waist to hip ratio.
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