The Rural Pharmacy Practice Landscape: Challenges and Motivators

Background: Health outcome delivery for rural and remote Australian communities is challenged by the maldistribution of the pharmacy workforce. High staff turnover rates, reduced pharmacist numbers, and reliance on temporary staff have placed great strain on both state health services and rural community pharmacies. However, recent changes to the demographic profile of the rural pharmacist including a lower average age and increased time spent in rural practice highlights a more positive future for the delivery of better health outcomes for rural communities. The aim of this study was to investigate the factors that motivate and challenge pharmacists’ choice to practice rurally.

Methods: Rural pharmacists were invited to participate in semi-structured interviews using purposive non-probability sampling. Twelve pharmacists were interviewed with early-, middle- and late-career pharmacists represented. Participants described their experiences of working and living in rural and remote locations. Three themes emerged: workforce, practice environment and social factors, which were examined to determine the underlying challenges and motivators impacting rural and remote pharmacy practice.

Results: Lack of staff presented a workforce challenge, while motivators included potential for expanded scope of practice and working as part of a multidisciplinary team. While social isolation has often been presented as a challenge, an emerging theme highlighted that this may no longer be true, and that notions of “rural and remote communities as socially isolated was a stigma that needed to be stopped”.

Conclusion: This study highlights that despite the challenges rural pharmacists face, there is a shift happening that could deliver better health outcomes for isolated communities. However, for this to gain momentum, it is important to examine both the challenges and motivators of rural pharmacy practice to provide a platform for the development and implementation of appropriate frameworks and programs to better support the rural pharmacy workforce.
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Introduction

There has, for many years, been a shortage of pharmacists in rural and remote Australia, with only 7.8% of Australian pharmacists working outside major cities or inner regional areas, as reported in 2017. In addition to being one of the most frequently consulted health professionals in Australia, pharmacists are often the first contact for primary health, especially in rural areas. Due to limited accessibility of health care in these isolated communities, rural pharmacists play an essential role in rural communities, often providing an expanded scope of pharmacy and health-care services compared to their metropolitan counterparts, including health promotion and education,
vaccinations, and disease screening and management. However, there are currently no specific programs in Australia for rural pharmacy to play an enhanced role.

Despite an increase in pharmacists reported in Australia, analysis of global workforce capacity trends from 2006 to 2012, the authors mentioned that an increase in workforce density does not necessarily describe distribution. This has implications for accessibility, as health-care professionals generally tend to gravitate to urban rather than rural areas. It is therefore questioned whether the predicted over supply of pharmacists in Australia by 2025, will address the current maldistribution of pharmacists. A demographic profile of a rural and remote pharmacist in Australia was identified by Smith et al in 2013, which places these pharmacists as 15 years older than the national average, over 50% from a rural background with an even gender distribution. Rural pharmacists have expressed satisfaction with their role, giving reasons such as a rewarding and close relationships with their patients, lifestyle, business opportunity and financial incentives to practice rural. On the negative side, balancing workload with lifestyle, and family commitments, professional isolation and some financial constraints have been put forward as being challenging.

Taylor and Glass recently published on the influence of curriculum and clinical placements on pharmacists’ choice to practice rural, where they found that rural placements had a significant impact, while the curriculum had little effect. There also appears to have been a shift in the demographic profile of the rural pharmacist from 2013, with 65% being female, which more accurately reflects the current gender distribution of pharmacists in Australia. This together with the fact that over 60% of the respondents were in the age group 25–45 years, having spent over 6 years in rural practice, signals a more positive future for the rural pharmacist from 2013, a rural origin was not found to be a significant determinant of choosing to practice rural. The aim of this study was to investigate factors that motivate and challenge pharmacists’ choice to practice in rural and remote Australia.

Methods
A qualitative method of semi-structured interviews was chosen due to the complexity of the research area, which is largely unexplored. Following a review of the literature, semi-structured interview questions were designed and developed to ensure alignment with the research aim, while allowing in-depth discussion. The interview questions were piloted with two pharmacists and minor changes to language were made.

Purposive non-probability sampling of pharmacists from membership lists of the following rural pharmacist networks was undertaken: Pharmaceutical Society of Australia, Society for Australian Rural and Remote Allied Health, Rural Pharmacists Support Network and James Cook University School of Pharmacy Alumni.

Pharmacists were invited to participate via multiple methods including email, newsletter distribution and Facebook posts. Semi-structured interviews (see Table 1) were conducted in September 2018 with 12 participants via telephone. Interviews took, on average, 30 mins to complete until a saturation point with the emerging themes was achieved. These interviews were audiotaped and transcribed verbatim.

Data Analysis
The methodology for this project aligns with constructivist grounded theory tradition within qualitative research. The aim was to achieve a detailed understanding of the varying perspectives presented in interviews, and to position them within a continuum of broader underlying values and experiences, both personal and professional. This approach to data collection was progressive, in that knowledge and themes generated in the first interviews were considered, compared, and built upon throughout subsequent interviews.

Interview transcripts were thematically analyzed using NVivo (NVivo qualitative data analysis software; QSR International Pty Ltd. Version 12, 2018, http://www.qsrinternational.com/product) using line by line analysis with themes coded into common categories, independently validated by a second researcher and a third researcher dealt with any inconsistencies to ensure coherence and analysis triangulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Semi-Structured Interview Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interview Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Can you describe your relationships and connection to rural pharmacy practice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Rural background, family, spouse/partner, placement, internship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Why did you choose to practice rural/stay in a rural area?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Current role, previous role, scope of practice, salary, recruitment, customers/patients, social/community, lifestyle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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James Cook University Human Research Ethics Committee granted ethical approval (H7228). Participants provided written informed consent to participate in the study. All interview transcripts were de-identified, including the removal of any potentially identifying words removed, to ensure participant confidentiality.

Results
Participants’ Profile
Table 2 describes the demographic characteristics of the 12 rural pharmacists (aged 21–65; 58% female) who participated in the study. While the largest proportion of interviewees (n=5) were in the early stages of their career (≤10 years), middle and late career pharmacists were also represented. Half of the participants (n=6) had been working in remote and very remote areas for over 15 years, while 5 of the participants originated from a rural or remote region of Australia. Remoteness was measured using the Modified Monash Model, used by Australian Government departments to classify towns and regions as metropolitan, regional, rural or remote according to population size and geographical remoteness. Areas assigned to categories MM3-7 can be classified as rural or remote.

Figure 1 represents the analysis of the semi-structured interviews, which resulted in the emergence of three themes from the data: rural and remote pharmacy workforce, practice environment, and social factors. Locations and workplace names have been de-identified. Figure 2 provides additional verbatim quotes from participants, characterized as challenges and motivators for rural practice. These were also categorized according to location (rural and remote or metropolitan and regional).

Pharmacy Workforce
A variety of factors that contribute to the stability of the rural and remote pharmacy workforce were discussed, including a lack of staff, length of time to fill vacant positions, high turnover of staff, and difficulty finding both permanent staff and locums.

I went back to Sydney, went into the (pharmacy) guild, said, I would like a position somewhere on the coast, near the beach, and they said, (rural location) … They were looking for a pharmacist for five years.

We struggled to have permanent pharmacists … it wasn’t until August last year we got our first full-time permanent, and she’s still here.

Fear and unfamiliarity of rural and remote locations were identified by five participants to be a barrier for choosing to relocate rurally for work. Having a rural background was described as having a positive influence on the choice to go rural.

You don’t come and work in a rural town if you’re not familiar with rural areas and you’ve lived in the city all your life.

Having grown up rurally and then living in the city … Definitely ready to go back to a rural setting.

Financial incentives for rural and remote practice were discussed frequently, with seven of the participants stating that they received better pay for working in a rural or remote location, compared to a metropolitan or regional area. Better job security and permanent fulltime employment were also stated to be motivating factors in the choice to work rurally.

I suppose the reason I have stayed in rural pharmacy … money would be the primary motivating factor.

### Table 2 Demographic Profile of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since graduation/years in practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in rural practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural/remote origin status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural or Remote (MM3-7)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional or Metropolitan (MM1-2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas Rural or Remote</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas Regional or Metropolitan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current employment location rural status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM 3–4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM 6–7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When I was in (metropolitan city) I could not get full-time work . . . so security, a good remuneration package and paid holidays are good.

In contrast however, one of the pharmacists who had been in the workforce for over 20 years stated that they earned less now than they did 20 years ago, despite their experience and range of skills.

Working rurally was also associated with better career satisfaction, with participants reporting that they felt more appreciated and challenged compared to metropolitan areas.

I definitely find rural pharmacy more fulfilling than I do community pharmacy in a city . . . my role is more important and diverse, and people rely on pharmacy as a service more in a rural town.

Lifestyle factors included working hours, work-life balance, and reduced travel/commute time. However, one participant reported found that they were working longer hours, which impacted their lifestyle.

In these rural areas . . . You are working generally longer weeks because quite often you are the sole pharmacist.

**Practice Environment**

Participants in management positions highlighted some of the major differences between a metropolitan and rural or remote pharmacy, particularly the need for resilience, good stock control, and understanding the reduced access to services and logistical issues that affect rural and remote areas.

So many of the things that you do on a day-to-day basis in very remote areas, it's different, it's more difficult because you just don't have access to the same resources as you do closer to the coast. You have to be on top of things . . . Organized and pay more attention to stock control due to potential delays in delivery.
Differences in health issues between metropolitan/regional and rural or remote regions were also described, such as the mental health crises following loss of livestock and property due to long-term drought or other natural disasters.

I think that there are public health issues to be more aware of... communities that have been really struggling for decades with drought and all sorts of other natural disasters. Some of those things are very challenging and very confronting.

An expanded scope of practice for pharmacists in rural and remote areas was viewed positively by participants, as it allowed them to gain a wider range of skills and experience while providing essential services to their communities. In very remote areas without a full-time doctor, pharmacy was viewed as filling an important health service gap.

We’ve got a doctor that comes out for two three-hour sessions a week... (patients) go to the pharmacy and hope that there’s something that you can do, so your triage skills have to be a lot better in rural pharmacy I think.

It’s just really quite bizarre where rural pharmacy has been; it’s taken a long time to realize that rural pharmacy has a role that’s distinct from other pharmacy.

Figure 2 Challenges and motivators: verbatim quotes from participants.
Working as part of the multidisciplinary health team and being more deeply involved with the healthcare system were also viewed positively.

It was brilliant, because I think the thing that a lot of people don’t realize about very rural areas like that is the potential to really function as part of the whole multidisciplinary healthcare team … professionally it was very satisfying.

A difference in patient relationships was an important factor that positively affected participants’ choice to remain in a rural or remote location, including familiarity with patients and feeling trusted. Furthermore, patient contact experiences in metropolitan areas were often viewed negatively.

One of my patients came in for a prescription for antidepresants and she was so ashamed and so embarrassed … but she knew she could trust me enough to have this discussion and not judge her.

Participants also described that professional isolation was an issue, as they could sometimes be working alone as a sole pharmacist. Difficulties in attending conferences and a lack of professional development opportunities were also discussed.

Social Factors
One of the most frequently discussed positive social factors was a stronger feeling of community, familiarity with community members, and friendships and relationships made within remote areas. A sense of camaraderie was also felt with others that had relocated for work, and communities were admired for their resilience.

I think you either are used to it or you’re not, that familiarity that there is no anonymity, like it takes me half an hour to go and buy milk, because you bump into everybody, which is great. But some people like it and some people don’t. I do. I love it.

People are really nice; I’ve met some really great people up here … So everyone’s away from home, everyone’s up here for work, so everyone’s trying to make the most of an opportunity, while you’re living in such a great part of the world.

Some of these more rural communities are absolutely brilliant. (Very remote community) was just fabulous, absolutely fabulous community spirit, wonderful people, despite the drought, the resilience of the people is quite, quite amazing.

Despite these positive views of rural and remote communities, personal and social isolation were common themes, discussed by several participants. Difficulties making new friends and having to leave friends and family were perceived as negative consequences of choosing to live and work rurally. Additionally, the cost, distance, and frequency of plane travel to rural and remote areas exacerbated this issue, making it difficult to leave town.

In a small town that can actually be lonelier than living in a really big place. danger can be limitation to the provision of support services and there is lack of access to public and affordable transport e.g. flights which when they are available might be unreliable and costly.

In particular, the difficulties of finding a long-term partner in rural and remote areas were highlighted.

These populations are very mobile, so you could meet someone and they could be gone in three months or six months … even if they’re happy … creates problems with sustaining relationships.

However, two participants had different experiences, with one even saying that the notion of rural and remote communities being socially isolated was a “stigma” that needed to be stopped:

I met somebody, had a family, all of that. Got a lot of friends in this area. Decided it was pretty much one of the perfect areas on this earth and stayed here.

I think that’s one area that we can work on … to break down that stigma, because I can tell you right now that in (rural town), I don’t think that anyone is feeling socially isolated.

Discussion
The majority of Australia’s health workforce reside in metropolitan or regional cities, while rural and remote areas are often chronically understaffed. This mal-distribution has been well documented in the past, in the fields of medicine, nursing, and allied health disciplines as well as pharmacy. High rates of turnover, a lack of staff, and reliance on the recruitment of short-term locums not only has a high financial cost, but may lead to safety risks to staff and patients and a lower quality of healthcare provision. In pharmacy, this may include limited medication management services and reduced access to services. Despite programs and incentives being in place to improve the state of the pharmacy health
workforce throughout rural and remote Australia, such as
the Sixth Community Pharmacy Agreement Rural Support
Programs (6CPA)\(^2^4\) and rural placement opportunities\(^2\)\(^5\)
for undergraduate pharmacy students, the pharmacy work-
force maldistribution persists.\(^4\)

Pharmacy Workforce

In addition to high turnover rates, health professionals also
tend to have shorter stays in rural areas.\(^2\)\(^2\) Rural and
remote health professionals tend to have a higher workload
than their regional and metropolitan counterparts, which
can be exacerbated by short staffing, difficulty accessing
locums, a wider range of duties, and being a sole
pharmacist.\(^1\),\(^9\),\(^10\),\(^19\),\(^26\) Despite this, rural and remote phar-
macists have often reported to have a better lifestyle or
quality of life than their metropolitan counterparts, and job
satisfaction is generally high.\(^1\),\(^10\),\(^18\),\(^25\),\(^26\) Additionally, 50% of
participants in this study have worked rurally for over 15
years, demonstrating a long-term commitment to rural
pharmacy.

Practice Environment

Lack of access to professional development opportunities
and conferences are not uncommon to the rural and remote
pharmacy workforce.\(^9\),\(^10\),\(^17\),\(^19\),\(^25\) Geographical distance, cost
of travel and accommodation, and inability to replace phar-
macy staff on leave are factors that may interfere with the
ability to attend educational training and conferences.\(^18\)
However, pharmacists generally view rural practice posi-
tively, particularly enjoying the challenges of an expanded
scope of practice and working as part of a multidisciplinary
team.\(^1\),\(^10\),\(^15\) Rapport and familiarity with patients, and feeling
valued and respected are also consistently cited as drivers for
staying in rural and remote practice.\(^1\),\(^10\),\(^18\),\(^25\),\(^26\)

Social Factors

Perhaps the most important factors influencing pharmacists’
decision to live and work rurally are those related to percep-
tions of personal and social isolation. Experiences and
perceptions regarding distance from family and friends,
loneliness, and concerns regarding making new friends are
often reported in the literature.\(^1\),\(^18\),\(^25\),\(^26\) However, family can
also be a motivating factor, as some may choose to relocate
to meet their partner’s needs or move their family to a rural
area. Interestingly, the lack employment for partners and
availability or quality of rural and remote schooling, which
have been previously described were not discussed by par-

cipants in this study.\(^1\),\(^9\),\(^10\) Feelings of belongingness and

“sense of community” are also important to rural and
remote pharmacists, and may increase retention.\(^1\),\(^16\),\(^19\),\(^26\)

Having a rural background is known to be associated
with willingness to live and work rurally.\(^1\),\(^10\),\(^26\),\(^27\) Of the
twelve participants of this study, seven were of rural
origin, and five of these had spent over 15 years working
in rural pharmacy, which supports this association.

Conclusion

Pharmacists are well-recognized health-care providers
working in diverse and complex rural and remote loca-
tions around Australia. As the chronic disease burden
rises, the need to look closely at the current motivators
and challenges is pertinent to addressing poor health
outcomes from the perspective of access to quality med-

cines and pharmaceutical care. Studies from 10 years
ago describe some similar enablers and barriers to rural
pharmacy practice, which indicates that many of the
issues that rural pharmacists face are ongoing. This high-
lights the importance of considering this data closely,
and the notion that change is needed to support the
rural pharmacy workforce and consequently improve
health outcomes for rural and remote Australians. The
findings of this study can be applied to future pharmacy
workforce recruitment and retention strategies in rural
and remote locations in Australia.
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