Color Doppler Ultrasonography in Evaluating the Outcomes of Pyeloplasty in Ureteropelvic Junction Obstruction
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Purpose: To evaluate the accuracy of Color Doppler ultrasonography for diagnosing post pyeloplasty elimination of obstruction in Ureteropelvic Junction Obstruction patients.

Methodology: Patients with the diagnosis of UPJO enrolled in the study and underwent open pyeloplasty. Three to 6 months after the operation, patients were recalled and underwent isotope scan as the gold standard test and renal color Doppler ultrasonography to assess the success rate of pyeloplasty.

Results: A total of 39 patients were evaluated and analyzed. The average follow-up time for patients was 9.1 months. The success rate of surgery in the study population was 100%. The mean RI of the affected side before the operation was 0.69 ± 0.01 and after the pyeloplasty, it reached to 0.65 ± 0.01. The difference between the mean RI of the affected side before and after the operation is 0.04 (P < 0.001). Age, type and severity of obstruction and the technique of surgery did not have any impact on these parameters. The difference between the RI of the affected and healthy side was termed ΔRI. ΔRI before and after the operation was 0.084 and 0.014, respectively. The decrease of ΔRI in the case of pyeloplasty is 0.07 on average (P < 0.001), which can be predicted for pyeloplasty success.

Discussion: Color Doppler ultrasonography can be used as a non-invasive, fast, non-expensive, and available modality for evaluating the outcome of pyeloplasty instead of the nuclear scan or IVP.
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Introduction

Ureteropelvic junction obstruction (UPJO) is the most common cause of childhood hydronephrosis with the prevalence of 0.05–0.1% and also most common cause of neonatal obstructive uropathy.1 Ultrasound examination of the kidneys and bladder is a safe and the preferred primary postnatal imaging not only for fetal hydronephrosis but also for detecting most of the Congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT).2,3 With the aid of Color Doppler Ultrasonography (cDUS), we can evaluate the flow of the vessels in the kidney and calculate the Resistive Index (RI; that is calculated by (peak systolic velocity – end diastolic velocity)/peak systolic velocity) by the normal range of <0.7 that increases by obstruction;4 however, there are controversy on the sensitivity.5 Computed tomography (CT) scan is an alternative imaging in the symptomatic patient but, it is not the choice modality because of radiation exposure. In UPJO,
the CT scan shows hydronephrosis without a dilated ureter.7 Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is commonly used in children to role out UPJO. Contrast-enhanced MRI, can measure differential renal function and Renal Transient Time.9 Even in terms of decision-making for surgery, MRI has shown a sensitivity equivalent to the nuclear scan and higher attribute.9,10 In this study, our aim is to evaluate the accuracy and sensitivity of Color Doppler ultrasonography as a cheap, available, non-invasive, and rapid modality for post-pyeloplasty evaluation of UPJO patients.

Materials and Methods

Population Study

Patients who referred to the urology clinic of Urmia Imam hospital, who were evaluated for renal hydronephrosis, enrolled in the study. In the complementary assessments, intravenous pyelogram (IVP), dynamic renal scans (diethylene triamine penta acetic acid [DTPA], ethylene-dicysteine [EC], or mercapto acetyl tri glycine [MAG3]) and voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) were performed. All of the patients who have been nominated for pyeloplasty in an open surgical procedure were examined by routine paraclinical tests including complete blood count, prothrombin time, INR, partial thromboplastin time (PTT), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, Na, K, urine analysis and urine culture after admission.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients with UPJO who have had a history of previous failed pyeloplasty, patients with renal anomalies including vascular anomalies, horseshoe and pelvic kidneys, obstructions secondary to the nephrolithiasis, tumor or abdominal mass, patients with surgery contraindication, including active urinary tract infections and uncorrected coagulopathy or severe comorbidity preventing anesthesia and patients who have no follow-up condition in terms of social culture and situations, delisted from the study.

RI has been measured with interlobar vascular flow and all ultrasonographies were taken by one expert radiologist by Toshiba nemio 30 with curve array probe 3.7–6 MHz.

Treatment and Follow Up

Patients underwent open pyeloplasty. The surgical technique was determined according to renal pelvic anatomy and stenosis length during operation. For all patients, ureteral and urethral catheters were inserted. Foley catheters were removed 24–36 hrs later and the patient was discharged on the second or third day of post-operation. Double J stents were removed 6 weeks after surgery. After 3 to 6 months of operation, patients were recalled and underwent isotope scan and renal color Doppler ultrasonography to assess the success rate of pyeloplasty. The ultrasound was again performed by the radiologist, and radiologist was blinded to the primary results. The results of a renal scan as gold standard determined the success rate of the pyeloplasty. The results of the color Doppler ultrasound compared with renal scan results.

Data Analysis

After collecting data, Kolmogorov–Smirnov’s nonparametric test was performed to determine the normality of the variables. In case of normalization, independent t-test was used for dual comparison and in case of non-normality, Mann–Whitney nonparametric test was used. Paired t-test was used to compare the effects of independent variables on RI. The significance level of all tests was considered to be 5%.

Ethics

This study was approved by the ethics committees of Imam Medical Center and Urmia University of Medical Sciences, and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. After oral and written explanations all patients provided written informed consent. For any patient under the age of 18 years, a parent or legal guardian provided written informed consent. All patients had the opportunity to withdraw from the study at any time. Patient information remained confidential. Patients beared no costs for the evaluation with color Doppler ultrasonography or nuclear imaging.

Results

The number of patients enrolled in the study was 42, of whom 2 were missed in the next follow-up and one patient was excluded from the study due to the fact that she was pregnant during the follow-up period and did not undergo a nuclear scan. Therefore, a total of 39 patients were evaluated and analyzed.

The average follow-up time for patients was 9.1 months (range 3 to 18 months). The primary surgery success rate in the study population was 100% (in 2 weeks). A dynamic renal nuclear scan (as the gold standard method) was performed in the follow-up phase. It should be noted that the reported final success rate of the pyeloplasties was >95% (Table 1).
The difference between the RI of the affected and healthy side was termed ΔRI and was calculated by differ-
ing of before and after the operation values. The mean ΔRI before the operation was 0.084 with a range of 0–0.22 ±
0.008 which and after pyeloplasty, was 0.014 ± 0.003
(range 0–0.09). The difference between these two numbers
was significant by P<0.001. The decrease of ΔRI in the
case of pyeloplasty was 0.07 on average, which can be
predicted the pyeloplasty success if the decrease is within
the range (0.052–0.088) with a 95% confidence interval.

**Affected Side**

The mean RI of the affected side before the operation was
0.69 ± 0.01 (range 0.57–0.80) with a 95% confidence
interval. The mean RI of the affected side after the pyelo-
plasty was 0.65 ± 0.01 (range 0.56–0.81). The difference
between the mean RI of the affected side before and after
the operation is 0.04 (P<0.001) which shows a significant
difference between these two numbers, and it can be
declared that the RI of affected side after pyeloplasty is
decreased 0.04 on average. On the right UPJO: The mean
of ΔRI before the operation was 0.08 ± 0.013 and after
operation 0.014 ± 0.001, and the difference between these
two numbers was significant with P<0.001. Also on the
left UPJO, mean ΔRI before the operation was 0.087 ±
0.012 and after the operation was 0.014 ± 0.005 (with
a mean difference of 0.073), which difference with
P<0.001 is significant. In comparison of two sides, did
not show a significant difference between them.

**Gender**

In the female group, the preoperative RI was 0.71 ± 0.01,
which was reduced to 0.68 after the operation. This change
was not significant (P=0.06). In the male group mean RI
before the operation was 0.69, which decreased to 0.63 ±
0.009 after the operation which was significant (P<0.001).
In the female group, the mean ΔRI before surgery was 0.091 ±
0.006, which after the operation was changed to 0.02 ± 0.009,
which is equivalent to 0.071 in ARI. The difference between
the data before and after the operation is significant with
P<0.001. In the men’s group, the mean ΔRI before the
operation was 0.082 ± 0.11, that after the operation was
changed to 0.122 ± 0.014, there is a significant differ-
ence between the two numbers by P<0.001. Analysis of ΔRI
decreases in men and women showed a decrease of 0.071 in
women and a decrease of 0.07 in men with a P=0.9 which
means that the sex of the patient has no significant effect on
the rate of ΔRI reduction following pyeloplasty.

**Age**

In children, the mean RI before and after surgery was 0.69
and 0.64 ± 0.02, and with P=0.01: this was a significant
decrease in RI. In adults, the mean RI before surgery was
0.69 ± 0.01 and the follow up was 0.64 ± 0.01, which
indicates a significant difference between the two scales.
Therefore, it can be concluded that the patient’s age does
not affect the outcome of the RI. On the other hand, in
children, the mean ΔRI before surgery was 0.118 ± 0.025
and after the operation was 0.009 ± 0.003, the difference
between them was significant (P=0.003). In adults, mean
ΔRI before the operation was 0.074 ± 0.008 and after the
operation changed to 0.016 ± 0.004 that P<0.001 showed
a significant difference between the two numbers. In com-
parison of the two groups, the ΔRI changes in children
were 0.109 and 0.058 in adults, and the difference between
these two numbers is not significant with P=0.09.

**UPJO Type**

In the intrinsic types, the mean RI of the affected side was 0.69
before surgery, and 0.64 ± 0.009 after the operation, and with

---

**Table 1** Demographic Information and Variable Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Frequency, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10 (25.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29 (74.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric</td>
<td>9 (23.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult*</td>
<td>30 (76.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPJO type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic</td>
<td>35 (89.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrinsic</td>
<td>4 (10.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPJO intensity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>13 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>26 (66.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>22 (56.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>17 (43.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical technique</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismembered</td>
<td>29 (74.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-V plasty</td>
<td>6 (15.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Flap</td>
<td>2 (5.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureterocalicostomy</td>
<td>2 (5.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes:* *a* Distinguished by age of ≥16 years old. *b* Determination was made during surgery. *c* Based on the severity of the obstruction.
respect to \( P < 0.001 \), this change was significant in RI. In extrinsic types, the mean RI before surgery was 0.67 ± 0.05, which was the same after the operation, but due to the small number of samples (4 cases), statistical analysis was not possible. In the intrinsic types, the mean ΔRI before the operation was 0.086 ± 0.009 and after the operation was 0.012 ± 0.002 and in extrinsic types, the mean ΔRI before the operation was 0.065 ± 0.009, which after the pyeloplasty, reached 0.033 ± 0.021, with an average drop of 0.032. However, statistical analysis was not feasible due to the small sample size.

**UPJO Intensity**
In mild types, the mean RI before the operation was 0.69 ± 0.02 and after the operation, it was changed to 0.64 ± 0.02. Also in severe UPJO types, the mean RI before and after the operation was 0.69 ± 0.01 and 0.65 ± 0.01, respectively, which both were significant with \( P < 0.001 \). In mild types, the mean ΔRI before the operation was 0.082 ± 0.018 and after the operation was 0.006 ± 0.002 which \( P < 0.001 \) showed a significant difference between the two numbers. In severe types, the mean ΔRI before and after the operation was 0.085 ± 0.009 and 0.018 ± 0.004 that is significant with \( P < 0.001 \). Comparing the changes in ΔRI in these two groups showed, the mean ΔRI reduction in the mild group following the pyeloplasty was 0.076 and in the severe group was 0.067, which were not significant (\( P = 0.7 \)). Therefore, the UPJO intensity has no effect on the amount of ΔRI changes following pyeloplasty.

**Pyeloplasty Technique**
In the dismembered technique, the mean of RI before and after surgery was 0.7 ± 0.01 and 0.65 ± 0.01 after surgery, which is significant with \( P < 0.001 \). In the Y-V plasty technique, the mean RI before and after surgery was 0.72 ± 0.009 and 0.69 ± 0.009 after surgery. In the vertical Flap method, the mean RI before and after surgery was 0.69 ± 0.03 and 0.6 ± 0.03. In the ureterocalicostomy method, the mean RI before and after the operation was 0.57 ± 0.02 and 0.56 ± 0.02. There were no statistical analyzes in the last three techniques due to the low sample size; however, there seems to be no significant reduction in RI after surgery in the ureterocalicostomy technique. In the dismembered technique, the mean ΔRI before surgery was, 0.083 ± 0.01 and after the operation was 0.019 ± 0.003, which is significant with \( P < 0.001 \). In the Y-V plasty technique, the mean ΔRI before and after the operation was 0.087 ± 0.004 and 0.02 ± 0.006, respectively, which with \( P < 0.001 \) indicated a significant difference between the two numbers. In the Vertical Flap technique, the mean ΔRI before surgery was 0.16 and after the operation was zero. In the ureterocalicostomy technique, the mean ΔRI before surgery was 0.02 and after the operation was 0.02. The vertical Flap and ureterocalicostomy technique techniques were not statistically analyzable because of the small number of samples (Figure 1).

**Non-Affected Side**
In non-affected side evaluation, we found that the mean RI before the operation was 0.61 (range 0.52–0.75), which

---

**Figure 1** The comparison of pre- and post-operation resistive index changes (ΔRI) based on the affected side, age, sex, UPJO type, and intensity and pyeloplasty technique.
Discussion

UPJO is the most common cause of childhood hydronephrosis and obstructive uropathy. In UPJO, intra-pelvic pressure increases, the pelvis dilates, and if this continues, gradual dilatation of renal tubules will lead to glomerulosclerosis, inflammation, fibrosis, cortex atrophy and reduction of GFR. After relief of the obstruction, destruction process will stop and the function of tubules and glomeruli improves. Open pyeloplasty is a standard method of UPJO treatment. According to available statistics, the success rate of pyeloplasty is more than 90–95%. Routine follow-up technique after the pyeloplasty is performing an ultrasound 6 weeks after surgery and by nuclear scan or IVP, 3 months after surgery. Further follow-ups are recommended by performing ultrasonography for 12 to 24 months after surgery, and in the case of no clinical symptoms, there will be no need for further examinations. Since IVP and renal scans have problems like high cost, radioactivity exposure, nephrotoxicity and allergic reaction, the idea of using a color Doppler ultrasound as a non-invasive, affordable, quick and available modality to examine the level of UPJ obstruction and evaluate the success of pyeloplasty was introduced.

In pre-operative and post-pyeloplasty RI assessment, our data indicated that the pre-operative mean RI of 0.69, with a confidence level of 95%, in the range of 0.67 to 0.71, suggests the presence of urinary obstruction. After the pyeloplasty, this rate dropped to 0.65, indicating a significant change in RI with P<0.001. According to previous studies, RI ≤0.7 is a sign of non-obstructive hydronephrosis, which our findings are consistent with them, but obstruction RI was considered to be RI ≥0.7 in previous studies, which is 0.67 in our study. When comparing pre and post-operative RI and effect of different variables on that, it was found that age, type of UPJO and surgical techniques have no significant effect on the results of RI. However, there was no significant difference in the RI of pre- and post-operation in the women group comparing to the men. This difference was significant in men, so it seems that RI is not a valid criterion for assessing urinary obstruction in women. Of course, to prove such a claim, there is a need for more cases, because it should be noted that the number of women in our study was only 10. Such a difference has not been reported in previous studies.

According to some of the in-vivo studies, RI only increases in acute and in complete ureteral obstruction. Therefore, according to the previous studies, there should be no significant difference in the RI, but our results concluded against this issue. RI assessment of contralateral intact kidney revealed that increasing of RI in normal kidney after pyeloplasty in the opposite side is significant and previous studies confirmed this finding. So the question is why the RI changes significantly over time, without any manipulation in

Figure 2 The comparison of pre- and post-operation resistive index (RI) based on the affected side, age, sex, UPJO type, and intensity and pyeloplasty technique.
the healthy kidney, and does not this invalidate the results of RI in the pyeloplasty side? It seems we need to use a scale that compares both kidneys rather than merely a one-way examination, and that scale is ΔRI. In ΔRI assessment pre and post-operation, there was a highly significant decrease after pyeloplasty in mean ΔRI. Some studies have reported such a decrease and some others have not. On the evaluation of ΔRI changes, it was determined that the gender, the affected side, the grade of obstruction and the technique of pyeloplasty are not effective factors to change ΔRI after the pyeloplasty. However, there is two important points to mention: 1. In the comparison of the age group of pediatric patients and adults, ΔRI changes after pyeloplasty are not significant, so the age factor is not effective on ΔRI. Thus, maybe with larger sample size, we can declare that the ΔRI decrease in the pediatric group may be more significant. It should be noted that previous studies have suggested that RI is higher in all children less than 1 year old than in adults. 2. The mean ΔRI in extrinsic UPJO after pyeloplasty, had a decrease of 0.032, but it was not statistically significant due to the small number of extrinsic UPJO samples (4 cases). But it seems to be much lower than the mean reduction of ΔRI (0.07). Therefore, it may be hypothesized that in extrinsic UPJOS, after pyeloplasty, the ΔRI reduction is less than what is expected. ΔRI do not depend on any of the underlying variables including age, sex, affected side, UPJO severity and type, and surgical technique. However, in the pediatric age group, ΔRI reduction may be more obvious after pyeloplasty. Considering all evidence, we recommend color Doppler ultrasonography to post-surgery evaluation of UPJO. However, more extended studies with greater study populations can be more accurate to redecide about post-surgery patient follow-ups.

Conclusion
Color Doppler ultrasonography can be used as a non-invasive, fast, non-expensive, and available modality for evaluating the outcome of pyeloplasty instead of the nuclear scan or IVP.
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