Negative Symptoms in Schizophrenia: A Review and Clinical Guide for Recognition, Assessment, and Treatment
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Abstract: Schizophrenia is frequently a chronic and disabling disorder, characterized by heterogeneous positive and negative symptom constellations. The objective of this review was to provide information that may be useful for clinicians treating patients with negative symptoms of schizophrenia. Negative symptoms are a core component of schizophrenia that account for a large part of the long-term disability and poor functional outcomes in patients with the disorder. The term negative symptoms describes a lessening or absence of normal behaviors and functions related to motivation and interest, or verbal/emotional expression. The negative symptom domain consists of five key constructs: blunted affect, alogia (reduced quantity of words spoken), avolition (reduced goal-directed activity due to decreased motivation), asociality, and anhedonia (reduced experience of pleasure). Negative symptoms are common in schizophrenia; up to 60% of patients may have prominent clinically relevant negative symptoms that require treatment. Negative symptoms can occur at any point in the course of illness, although they are reported as the most common first symptom of schizophrenia. Negative symptoms can be primary symptoms, which are intrinsic to the underlying pathophysiology of schizophrenia, or secondary symptoms that are related to psychiatric or medical comorbidities, adverse effects of treatment, or environmental factors. While secondary negative symptoms can improve as a consequence of treatment to improve symptoms in other domains (ie, positive symptoms, depressive symptoms or extrapyramidal symptoms), primary negative symptoms generally do not respond well to currently available antipsychotic treatment with dopamine D2 antagonists or partial D2 agonists. Since some patients may lack insight about the presence of negative symptoms, these are generally not the reason that patients seek clinical care, and clinicians should be especially vigilant for their presence. Negative symptoms clearly constitute an unmet medical need in schizophrenia, and new and effective treatments are urgently needed.
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Introduction

Schizophrenia is frequently a chronic and disabling disorder, characterized by heterogeneous positive and negative symptom constellations.1 The distinction between positive and negative symptoms originated in the field of neurology and was later adopted in psychiatry; in schizophrenia, this distinction corresponds to clinical observations and allows the disorder to be described in terms of symptom domains. While positive symptoms reflect an excess or distortion of normal function (eg, delusions, hallucinations, disorganized behavior), negative symptoms refer to a diminution or absence of normal behaviors related to motivation and interest.
(eg, avolition, anhedonia, asociality) or expression (eg, blunted affect, alogia). Negative symptoms are a core component of schizophrenia and they account for a large part of the long-term morbidity and poor functional outcome in patients with the disorder.2–4 Associated with significant deficiencies in motivation, communication, affect, and social functioning, negative symptoms are a multifaceted concept with dimensions that may have different impacts on functional outcomes.5

Although positive symptoms are generally effectively managed with available antipsychotic medications, limited treatment options are available for negative symptoms and despite advances in understanding the epidemiology, etiology, biology, and psychopharmacology of schizophrenia, they remain an unmet medical need.6 Although numerous articles about negative symptoms of schizophrenia are available in the literature, many are focused on research and contain details that may be less relevant or helpful to clinicians who encounter patients with schizophrenia and negative symptoms in usual clinical care settings. The objective of this narrative review is to provide clinicians with a comprehensive source of information about the diverse attributes of negative symptoms of schizophrenia. This current information about the anatomy, assessment, and management of negative symptoms aims to be useful to clinicians treating patients with this challenging manifestation of schizophrenia.

**Methods**

Reference databases were searched to identify articles relevant to our topic. A multistep literature search was undertaken using PubMed and Google Scholar to retrieve articles using the term “negative symptoms schizophrenia.” Results were limited to review articles and meta-analyses published in the past 10 years (2009–2019) in an effort to find current information that did not directly report clinical trial results. This search retrieved 7229 entries. We narrowed our results by searching in conjunction with more specific terms, such as definition, assessment, symptom domains, factors, secondary negative symptoms, functional impairment, and treatment. Additionally, reference lists of relevant reviews and primary studies were manually searched to find articles that did not appear in our computerized search. English language articles that were published in peer-reviewed journals were included. The content of the search results was divided into the following sections: Terminology, Course, Prevalence, Burden, Neurobiology, Clinical Presentation, Assessment, Treatment, and Best Clinical Practices.

**Results**

**Negative Symptom Terminology**

“Negative symptoms” is a general descriptive term used without consideration of the cause, longitudinal stability, or duration of the symptoms. Although some negative symptoms are signs that can be observed by a clinician (eg, affective flattening, alogia), other aspects of behavior, such as social withdrawal or diminished engagement in productive or pleasurable activities, may or may not be associated with negative symptoms. As such, asking patients about their interests, emotions, and motivation adds great value to patient observation during negative symptom assessment. Although various more specific terms are used in the literature to describe the nature and etiology of negative symptoms (eg, deficit, predominant, prominent, persistent) as they pertain to research, there is no consensus definition for any term (Table 1). While some descriptors (eg, prominent versus predominant, enduring/persistent versus nonenduring/nonpersistent) have clinical meaning and implications for treatment, the academically appropriate term may not be as important as appropriately identifying and treating all patients in the clinic who have clinically significant negative symptoms or signs.

Differentiating primary negative symptoms (intrinsic to the underlying pathophysiology of schizophrenia) from secondary negative symptoms (related to other factors) can be challenging. Secondary symptoms, which can respond to treatment, occur in association with or result from positive symptoms, affective symptoms, medication side effects, environmental deprivation, or other treatment- or illness-related factors (Figure 1).7 For example, negative symptoms could be a secondary effect of primary positive symptoms in a patient who becomes socially withdrawn after experiencing delusions of persecution or paranoia; or diminished expression could be a coping strategy in a patient who is unable to process overwhelming external stimuli associated with psychotic episodes in schizophrenia.

Although several antipsychotics have demonstrated negative symptom improvement in clinical trials that were designed to study patients with acute exacerbation of schizophrenia defined by positive symptoms, treatment response should not be inferred in this context since secondary negative symptoms can improve as a consequence of improvement in other symptom domains.8 The most likely interpretation of these results is that when symptoms such as delusions improve, patients may become less socially withdrawn. Although any improvement in negative symptoms may represent an important advance for
patients with schizophrenia, primary negative symptoms or negative symptoms that persist once a patient’s positive symptoms are effectively managed, remain a considerable clinical challenge since they generally do not respond well to currently available antipsychotic treatment with dopamine D2 antagonists or partial D2 agonists.

While the distinction between primary and secondary negative symptoms is important for researchers and clinical trial design, these symptoms can be difficult to differentiate. As such, the clinical focus should be on managing all negative symptoms that affect patients, do not respond to the current treatment, persist during periods of clinical stability, and interfere with normal role functions. From this viewpoint, clinicians can appreciate that any patient with a clinically significant level of negative symptoms, either alone (ie, predominant negative symptoms) or concurrently with positive symptoms (ie, prominent negative symptoms), can benefit from appropriate clinical management.

**Negative Symptom Course**

Negative symptoms have been reported as among the most common first symptom of schizophrenia, although they generally do not represent the reason that clinical care is initially sought for patients. Genetic contributions, prenatal events, and poor premorbid adjustment may all contribute to the development and evolution of early negative symptoms in psychotic illnesses. Negative symptoms commonly appear during the prodromal phase of schizophrenia and before the first acute psychotic episode (Figure 2). Among patients with negative symptoms, 73% had them before the onset of positive symptoms and 20% experienced them within the same month as positive symptoms. Prodromal onset of negative symptoms has been characterized as a risk factor for the switch to psychosis and it is associated with negative symptoms in the first psychotic episode. Negative symptoms can also present during the psychotic phase of illness, so clinicians should be mindful of positive symptoms that are accompanied by decreased emotional expression, social withdrawal, and functional deterioration.

The long-term course of negative symptoms is unclear, with some studies reporting relative stability of symptoms over time, and others finding that negative symptoms could fluctuate or be reversible. Similarly, the distribution of negative versus positive or mixed presentations is unclear and may differ across samples.

### Table 1 Proposed Negative Symptom Terminology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Proposed Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative symptoms</td>
<td>Broadly defined as a reduction of normal functions either related to motivation and interest (eg, avolition, anhedonia, and asociality) or to expressive functions (eg, blunted affect and alogia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit syndrome</td>
<td>A symptom complex characterized by primary and enduring negative symptoms that are present for most of the preceding 12 months (including during periods of clinical stability); they are caused by a specific disease process that is separate from the genetic and neurobiological factors that contribute to nondeficit schizophrenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predominant negative symptoms</td>
<td>Clinically relevant negative symptoms of greater relative severity than co-occurring positive symptoms; no duration is specified so symptoms could be present for a relatively short time period or they could be long-standing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prominent negative symptoms</td>
<td>Pronounced and clinically relevant negative symptoms of unspecified duration; reflects the clinical reality of most patients whose illness does not have a clear prominence of either positive or negative symptoms, and may be characterized by both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary negative symptoms</td>
<td>Negative symptoms that are thought to be intrinsic to the underlying pathophysiology of schizophrenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary negative symptoms</td>
<td>Negative symptoms that are thought to be related to other factors, such as psychiatric or medical comorbidities, treatment adverse effects, or environmental factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent (enduring) negative symptoms</td>
<td>Primary negative symptoms or secondary negative symptoms that have not responded to treatment for a minimum of 6 months, interfere with normal role functioning, and persist during periods of clinical stability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with reduced functioning. Although negative symptom prevalence varies according to what defining terminology is used, clinicians should be mindful that up to 60% of patients with schizophrenia have prominent or predominant negative symptoms that are clinically relevant and need treatment.

Negative symptoms are common and can occur at any point during the course of illness; for example, at least 1 negative symptom was noted in up to 90% of patients having a first psychotic episode, while 35–70% of patients continued to have clinically significant negative symptoms that persisted after treatment. In routine clinical practice, 61% of stable outpatients with schizophrenia who were receiving antipsychotic treatment were found to have at least 1 symptom of moderate severity or worse; 5 PANSS Negative Subscale items (blunted affect, emotional withdrawal, poor rapport, social withdrawal, verbal fluency) were included in the evaluation. Social withdrawal (48%), emotional withdrawal (42%), and poor rapport (39%) were among the most common symptoms, and 19% of patients had all 5 negative symptoms. Likewise, in an analysis of 20 placebo-controlled studies of second-generation antipsychotics (n=7450), 62% of patients met the criteria for prominent negative symptoms (score of at least moderate or moderately severe on multiple PANSS Negative symptoms) and after 6 weeks of treatment, one-third of actively treated patients still had prominent negative symptoms. In the same analysis, 50% of patients were characterized as having predominant negative symptoms, defined as a PANSS Negative Subscale score greater than a Positive Subscale score. Further, in the Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) study (n=1442), one of the largest individual controlled studies in schizophrenia, prominent negative

Figure 1 Actionable factors that cause or contribute to secondary negative symptoms.
Notes: *Including Huntington’s disease, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease, traumatic brain injury, chronic pain, sleep apnea, temporal lobe epilepsy.
Symptoms were also common (40%), with 19% of outpatients having prominent negative symptoms without prominent positive symptoms and 21% having both prominent positive and negative symptoms. Collectively, studies support that negative symptoms are common in schizophrenia and can occur in every phase of the illness.

Burden of Negative Symptoms, and Functional and Cognitive Impairment

Compared with positive symptoms, which can be managed in many patients with currently available dopamine D2 partial agonists and antagonists, negative symptoms have a higher burden of illness. This pronounced illness burden can be attributed to the limited number of effective and evidence-based treatment options, although underrecognition of these symptoms also plays a role. It is very important to assess and address negative symptoms in an effort to lessen the associated burden on patients, caregivers, and healthcare systems.

Negative symptom severity in schizophrenia has been consistently linked to worse functional outcomes in areas such as impaired occupational and academic performance, household integration, social functioning, participation in activities, and quality of life. Avolition has been proposed as a key negative symptom construct related to functional deterioration. Loss of motivation is thought to be associated with clinical features that are observable as changes in both individual (ie, apathy, blunted affect) and social (ie, asociality and alogia) behaviors. Although affective symptoms may appear to be anhedonic in nature, individuals with schizophrenia seem to be able to experience consummatory pleasure, with functional decline specifically related to the inability to anticipate pleasure in pursuing or achieving goal-directed behavior. Deficits in anticipatory pleasure and reduced pleasure-seeking behavior may be related to reward processing disturbances (eg, deficits in reward learning, reward prediction, accurate and adaptive internal value representations), with subsequent impairment resulting from the inability to use reward-associated information to guide future motivated behavior.

Negative symptoms and neurocognitive dysfunction affect around 40% and 80% of individuals with schizophrenia, respectively. Although negative and cognitive symptoms are considered separate domains of psychopathology in schizophrenia, shared features suggest that symptoms from one domain may reinforce the other or that they may...
originate in similar neurobiological structures. For example, impairment of executive function may contribute to avolition by interfering with the goal-directed behavior that is necessary to acquire reward. Similarly, impairment in the ability to retrieve information from memory may underpin alogia and poor social cognition, being caused by or resulting in asociality. Negative symptoms and cognitive deficits are closely associated with real-world functioning in schizophrenia, although adequate functioning is a complex phenomenon and several factors contribute. Interventions for cognitive and negative symptoms partly overlap, with a combination of psychopharmacological and psychosocial interventions needed to adequately treat secondary negative symptoms that may be contributing to impairment and to address patient’s individual cognitive needs.

The Neurobiology of Negative Symptoms

Negative symptoms of schizophrenia are likely to be the result of irregularities of distributed neural networks (eg, frontocortico-temporal, cortico-striatal), as opposed to the disruption of any discrete region of the brain. Although several hypotheses may be implicated in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and negative symptoms, the dopamine and glutamate hypotheses are among those that are best supported by current evidence. The dopaminergic hypothesis of schizophrenia proposes that positive symptoms are the result of hyperactivity of dopaminergic neurotransmission in limbic pathways, while negative symptoms, loss of motivation, and impairment in cognition are thought to arise from hypodopaminergic functioning in the frontal lobe and additional mesolimbic structures. However, recent imaging studies suggest that abnormal dopamine function in schizophrenia may be in the dorsal rather than in the limbic striatum.

Due to their localization in mesolimbic regions of the brain that control reward, emotion, and motivation, dopamine D₃ receptors may also play a role in the modulation of negative symptoms, mood, and cognition. This hypothesis has been supported by findings from animal models, which have suggested that antagonism and partial agonism at dopamine D₃ receptors can mediate improvements in social interaction, novel object recognition, as well as displaying D₃-receptor mediated anti-anhedonic and procognitive effects in rodents. The mechanism by which these effects may occur is not clear, although it is possible that antagonism of D₃ receptors in the midbrain (eg, ventral tegmental area) could enhance dopamine neurotransmission to the prefrontal cortex and the nucleus accumbens, two areas of the brain where hypodopaminergic functioning has been linked to negative symptoms and mood deficits. This normalization of dopamine release in the prefrontal cortex could result in increased activation of D₁ receptors, which in turn could mediate improvements in cognition and negative symptoms. D₃ receptors have also been associated with increased acetylcholine release in the prefrontal cortex, regulation of glutamatergic excitability in the prefrontal cortex, and regulation of dopamine, CREB phosphorylation, and gamma oscillations in the hippocampus, all mechanisms that could potentially contribute to the modulation of cognition and/or mood symptoms associated with schizophrenia. As such, D₃ antagonists and partial agonists may provide benefits in negative or cognitive symptoms.

Alternatives to the dopamine hypothesis suggest that other neurotransmitters, particularly glutamate, contribute to the development of symptoms in schizophrenia. The glutamate hypothesis is based on the clinical observation that chronic blockade of glutamate neurotransmission by antagonists at the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor subtype (eg, ketamine, phencyclidine) produces a pathophysiological state resembling schizophrenia, including both positive and negative symptoms (whereas the dopamine model of amphetamine-induced psychosis only produces positive symptoms). Although several studies have found that drugs facilitating glutamate neurotransmission by acting at the glycine accessory site of the NMDA receptor (eg, D-cycloserine) improve symptoms of schizophrenia and enhance the efficacy of antipsychotic drugs, notably against the negative symptoms of the disease, evidence is equivocal. Positive findings have led to the supposition that schizophrenia may result from glutamate deficiency, with suspected abnormalities in NMDA receptor function contributing to antipsychotic-resistant symptoms, but additional studies are needed. Additional mechanisms of action, including metabotropic glutamatergic receptor (mGluR2) agonism, alpha-7 nicotinic receptor agonism, and central nervous system stimulant activity, are also targets for drug development in negative symptoms of schizophrenia.

Of note, however, the dopamine and glutamate hypotheses of schizophrenia are not mutually exclusive, with evidence in the literature supporting a role for both in the neurobiology of schizophrenia. Indirect clinical evidence suggests an important interaction between dopamine receptors and NMDA receptors in critical brain regions, such as the hippocampus, and between glutamatergic afferents and subcortical dopaminergic nuclei. Additionally, a link between cortical glutamate/NMDA deficiency and subcortical dopamine hyperactivity, particularly in
the mesolimbic pathway, has been hypothesized in schizophrenia, with some evidence that hyperactivity produced by NMDA receptor blockade is dependent upon stimulation of the dopamine D3 receptor subtype. In any case, a better understanding of the neurobiology of negative symptoms constitutes an important step toward the identification of treatment targets and the development of novel interventions for negative symptoms that may improve treatment efficacy and outcomes overall.

Clinical Presentation, Diagnosis, and Identification of Negative Symptoms

It is generally accepted that negative symptoms include 5 key constructs, which can be further categorized into 2 independent factors (Figure 3). Although the presence of negative symptoms is not mandatory for a diagnosis of schizophrenia, negative symptoms (ie, diminished emotional expression and avolition), are 1 of the 5 symptom criteria taken into consideration in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). Diminished expression, which includes reduction in the expression of facial emotions, eye contact, and speech intonation, and reduction in head, hands, and face movement that gives emotional emphasis to speech, is generally observable during a clinical interview. Avolition, indicating decrease in self-motivated and self-initiated purposeful activities, requires inquiry into patient’s behaviors outside the interview setting. A level of functioning in work, school, relationships, or self-care that is markedly below the level that has previously been achieved is also diagnostic and suggests the presence of avolition and the reduced drive to pursue goal-directed behavior.

Clinical recognition of negative symptoms is challenging because patients with schizophrenia may not be aware of the impact of negative symptoms and they rarely present with negative symptom complaints. If patients lack insight into their symptoms and corroborating information from people in the patient’s life is lacking, additional responsibility is placed on clinicians to recognize negative symptoms, which is particularly important because high negative symptom burden predicts poor treatment response and functional outcomes. Positive symptoms (eg, delusions, hallucinations) and signs of relapse, hostility, or suicidality often take clinical precedence over the less obvious and emergent negative symptoms, further complicating the identification of negative symptoms during a short clinical visit. Furthermore, while clinicians have been well trained to assess and treat positive symptoms of schizophrenia, many are unsure of the best way to identify and treat negative symptoms.

When seeing a patient with schizophrenia, clinicians should be on the lookout for a general presentation that suggests the presence of negative symptoms, including signs such as communication difficulties, flat affect, limited emotion, social inactivity, low motivation, and retarded psychomotor activity (Figure 4). While some of these problems are observable during clinical assessment, others need to be elicited through questions and discussions with the patient, family members, and caregivers, making informant input critical to the accurate assessment of the presence, severity, and impact of negative symptoms.

Negative Symptom Assessment Rating Scale Assessments

Several validated and well-established assessment tools, such as the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)

**Figure 3** Key negative symptom constructs. Blunted affect=decreased expression of emotion; alogia= reduction in quantity of words spoken; avolition= reduced initiation and persistence of goal-directed activity due to decreased motivation; asociality= reduced social interactions and initiative due to decreased interest in relationships with others; anhedonia= reduced experience of pleasure during an activity or in anticipation of an activity.
(PANSS), the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS), and the 16-item Negative Symptom Assessment (NSA-16) are available to evaluate negative symptoms and track their course over time. Of note, these scales only measure negative symptoms at a single timepoint and may be limited by the inclusion of items that are no longer considered relevant to the negative symptom domain (e.g., difficulties in abstract and stereotyped thinking, inattentiveness). Newer scales that have been developed include the Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms (CAINS), which covers all 5 negative symptom domains, and the Brief Negative Symptom Scale (BNSS). The BNSS includes the 5 negative symptom constructs and was developed with clinical setting use in mind; 13 items are categorized into 6 subscales and ratings are based on a short interview of ~20 mins. None of these scales consider whether symptoms are primary or secondary to another aspect of illness, and administration may be limited by time constraints and the availability of trained raters.

Given the challenges associated with negative symptoms in the clinic, an easy-to-use instrument for rapid screening and assessment can benefit clinicians, patients, and families alike. One such tool is the NSA-4, a condensed version of the NSA-16 that rates behavior,
not psychopathology; due to its focus and brevity, it is much more scalable and usable in busy clinical contexts. The NSA-4 consists of 4 verbatim items from the full rating scale (restricted speech quantity, reduced emotion, reduced social drive, and reduced interests) and a global rating of the overall impression of negative symptom severity. Each item compares the patient’s behavior with that of a healthy person and anchor points are provided to help clinicians rate the severity of each symptom. In a study of over 400 mental health professionals, all NSA-4 items were rated by participants within 1 rating point of an expert consensus rating 82–91% of the time, regardless of geographical region, professional credential, or familiarity with the use of schizophrenia rating scales. This study and others suggest that measurement-based assessment can be used successfully in clinical practice by trained direct-care staff, potentially improving symptom recognition and evaluation of treatment response in daily practice.

Assessment Beyond the Rating Scale
Timely and successful assessment and management of negative symptoms are critical aspects of good patient care. Since some patients may have limited self-awareness of negative symptoms as a part of their illness, clinicians must rely on observations during the interview, input received from informants, and information collected through revealing questions. Careful assessment of patient responses to questions can help determine if a diagnosis other than negative symptoms is applicable or may rule out other conditions (eg, comorbid depression) that are common in people with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders. Answers to questions such as “What kind of mood are you in?” or “How do you feel today?” can help a clinician judge a patient’s affect and may provide important correlates to vocal tone, gestures, and facial expressions when evaluating the emotional expression. Questions should be phrased to elicit broad responses in order to demonstrate whether a patient is able to elaborate on a response without prompting, participates in enjoyable activities to assess for anhedonia, has social contacts to assess social drive, and is goal oriented and productive. Additional examples of questions that can elicit informative responses include: How do you spend a typical day? What do you do for fun? Have you had a chance to meet up with people outside of your family recently? and Would you like to have a job? Follow-up responses such as “Tell me about that” or “What would be a good first step to get a job?” should encourage further communication from the patient.

For patients with more severe negative symptoms, some fundamental signs can be identified solely by observation of behaviors, such as poor grooming and hygiene, and reduced or impoverished content of speech. In the future, data collected through digital technology, such as smartphone applications, are expected to become increasingly available and used to enhance various aspects of patient assessment and care in the management of schizophrenia generally and negative symptoms in particular. Technologies to track symptoms in outpatient, prevent relapse, encourage medication adherence, offer real-time support, encourage physical activity, and increase access to services may help clinicians better assess and manage negative symptoms and patient status.

Although negative symptoms are classically described in relationship to schizophrenia, they also occur in other illnesses. It is important for clinicians to rule out differential medical (eg, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease) or psychiatric (eg, depression, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, autism, intellectual disability, substance misuse) conditions that may have negative symptom-like presentations so that appropriate treatment can be offered (see Figure 1).

While being aware that negative symptoms can be intrinsic to schizophrenia or related to an underlying cause is important, differentiating between them can be difficult, if not impossible. As such, clinicians should be vigilant for all clinically relevant negative symptoms in order to manage them properly and improve patient outcomes. Given that a majority of patients with schizophrenia may have prominent negative symptoms, a personalized medicine approach, in which treatment is tailored to the patient’s individual symptom profile, is advocated. Clinicians can provide the most appropriate treatment for each patient by observing their behavior, questioning them and their family members or friends, administering a formal assessment such as the NSA-4, considering differential medical diagnoses, and addressing factors that are associated with secondary negative symptoms.

Treatment
Pharmacologic Treatment
Antipsychotic medication is the cornerstone of treatment for acute psychotic episodes, improving symptoms of schizophrenia in 81% of patients with first episode schizophrenia on average and improving symptoms of chronic schizophrenia in 51% of patients. Further, after an acute episode has resolved, antipsychotic medications
reduced the risk of psychotic relapses at 7–12 months (drug=27%, placebo=64%). However, most currently available drugs have limited effects on negative symptoms of schizophrenia that are not secondary to positive symptoms and to date no agent is approved by the FDA for the treatment of negative symptoms.

Few studies have been prospectively designed to assess the effect of treatment on persistent negative symptoms, with most reports of improvement in negative symptoms being based on short-term studies in patients with acute psychotic illness and concurrent negative symptoms. As such, it is difficult to determine if negative symptom improvement in most reported studies is a genuine effect of treatment or secondary to improvements in other symptom domains (ie, positive, depressive, extrapyramidal), and long-term evidence is lacking. In a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled, blinded, antipsychotic drug trials in patients with schizophrenia and either predominant or prominent negative symptoms, low-dose amisulpride, which is approved for negative symptoms in a limited number of European countries, was the only antipsychotic that was superior to placebo in the treatment of predominant negative symptoms; however, a parallel reduction of depression was also observed making it difficult to assess whether the reduction in negative symptoms was a function of improvement in depression.

To date, the only prospective, large-scale, randomized, double-blind evidence demonstrating the superiority of one approved antipsychotic over another in the treatment of negative symptoms comes from a rigorously designed 26-week study comparing the effects of fixed-dose cariprazine (3 mg/d, 4.5 mg/d [target dose], or 6 mg/d) and risperidone (3 mg/d, 4 mg/d [target dose], or 6 mg/d) on predominant negative symptoms in patients with stable and limited positive symptoms, and without relevant depression or extrapyramidal symptoms. This study was conducted to test the hypothesis that cariprazine, as a dopamine D3/preferring D3/D2 receptor partial agonist and serotonin 5-HT1A receptor partial agonist, may be more beneficial than a D3-preferring antagonist for treating negative symptoms and cognition in patients with schizophrenia. In this 26-week study, significant differences and clinically relevant improvement in both negative symptoms and functional impairment were demonstrated in favor of cariprazine over risperidone, suggesting a clinically meaningful treatment benefit for cariprazine in negative symptoms. Of note, changes in symptoms from other domains were small and similar for cariprazine and risperidone, indicating that improvement was specific to negative symptoms and not related to changes in positive symptoms, EPS, or depressive symptoms; rates of EPS-related TEAEs were also similar for cariprazine (14%) and risperidone (13%). Moreover, superiority in regard to negative symptom improvement was also accompanied by significant advantages for cariprazine over risperidone on the Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement Scale and the Personal and Social Performance Scale, indicating that the improvement of the negative symptoms with cariprazine additionally led to clinically meaningful advantages.

Beyond antipsychotic monotherapy, other drugs have also been used adjunctively with antipsychotics for the treatment of negative symptoms. Several trials have shown modest efficacy for adjunctive antidepressants, and limited evidence against negative symptoms exists for other adjunctive agents including glutamatergic compounds (eg, glycine, D-serine, D-cycloserine), minocycline, dopamine agonists (eg, selegiline, modafinil), and cholinergics (eg, galantamine, donepezil). Evidence for adjunctive efficacy is limited by methodological issues in several studies, suggesting that higher-quality trials and patient-based meta-analyses are needed to determine whether some patients might benefit from combination treatment. Additionally, some research has been done on repurposed drugs (ie, minocycline, estrogen, raloxifene, folate), but support for treatment trials in drugs that are already approved for other uses, or are generic or over-the-counter, is limited by lack of financial incentive. For now, no single cotreatment strategy has sufficient evidence to be recommended for the treatment of patients with schizophrenia.
was partly due to a rarely observed absence of negative symptom improvement on placebo. Further, meta-analyses have shown that antidepressants may potentially be beneficial in treating negative symptoms.\textsuperscript{89,90} Notably, in these analyses, effect sizes were generally small and it is unclear if improvement in undeclared or unrecognized depression may have been responsible for some of the negative symptom improvement. Moreover, the quality of some of the meta-analyzed studies may have been problematic, which further confounds the overall outcomes.

Additionally, some medical devices, using approaches such as deep transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct current stimulation, are also under investigation for the treatment of negative symptoms.\textsuperscript{97,98} While treatments that are eventually determined to be effective all begin with small proof-of-concept studies, early success does not guarantee efficacy in later stages of development and the efficacy of new drug candidates for the treatment of negative symptoms is still uncertain.\textsuperscript{94}

### Psychosocial Treatment

Given the limited effective pharmacologic interventions to treat patients with negative symptoms of schizophrenia, it is important that clinicians are aware of psychosocial interventions that can be used in conjunction with antipsychotics. Several psychological variables, including defeatist beliefs, negative expectations, and asocial preferences, are associated with negative symptoms.\textsuperscript{95} Therefore, interventions aimed at addressing attitudes, behaviors, and poor psychosocial functioning may help patients gain insight into how their symptoms affect their outlook. General behavioral interventions, including a focus on healthy lifestyles, with emphasis on exercise, sleep, diet, smoking cessation, appropriate alcohol consumption, and social participation, should always be suggested in the course of treatment.\textsuperscript{96} While skill-based interventions, such as social skills training,\textsuperscript{76,97} and cognitive remediation therapy,\textsuperscript{98,99} have some evidence for negative symptom improvement, the most widely studied psychological intervention is cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). CBT supports awareness of the link between a patient’s thoughts, behaviors, and feelings in an effort to change symptoms and functioning.\textsuperscript{76} As an adjunct to antipsychotic treatment, CBT has demonstrated positive, but moderate, effects on negative symptoms, with a reduction of apathy and improved motivation.\textsuperscript{98,100,101}

Family interventions provide support to help patients and family members cope with the burden of negative symptoms through psychoeducation, communication training, behavioral problem solving, and crisis management.\textsuperscript{76} Motivation and Enhancement Training (MOVE), a novel treatment that combines environmental support, CBT, skills training, and other psychosocial modalities, has also been assessed as a specific negative symptom intervention, with preliminary results suggesting some improvement, but only after 9 months of therapy.\textsuperscript{102,103} Despite mixed and inconsistent results, referring patients to psychosocial treatment may be an important way for clinicians to support patients and their families as they cope with negative symptoms and attempt to improve outcomes and quality of life. Although additional clinical trials are needed to improve the evidence base for psychosocial interventions in negative symptoms of schizophrenia, positive outcomes in some trials suggest a benefit for some patients and even small changes could be clinically relevant for patients with negative symptoms who have limited treatment options.

### Best Practices for Managing Negative Symptoms in the Clinic

Negative symptoms are common in schizophrenia and over half of patients will experience clinically relevant negative symptoms that need treatment at some point.\textsuperscript{21,22} In addition to the limited efficacy of most available pharmacological treatments, negative symptom characteristics are a challenge in the clinic since some patients may lack insight into the extent and impact of their symptoms. Patients rarely present with negative symptom complaints and more immediately pressing positive symptoms may distract clinicians from the negative symptom burden. Given the difficulty in differentiating negative symptoms that are intrinsic to schizophrenia from negative symptoms resulting from another underlying cause, clinicians should carefully monitor and actively manage all clinically relevant negative symptoms (Table 2).

### Conclusion

Clinically relevant negative symptoms of schizophrenia, which occur in a majority of patients, need to be recognized, assessed, and as well managed as possible in order to achieve improved outcomes for patients. Because negative symptoms are often not recognized by clinicians and limited evidence-based treatment is available, negative symptoms are more closely related to poor patient functioning, worse quality of life, and lowered productivity.
than are positive symptoms, which can be better addressed by available treatment options. Targeting negative symptoms for drug development has yielded positive outcomes for select monotherapy agents in a limited number of recent well-designed clinical trials, but to date, effective treatment of negative symptoms remains an unmet medical need in schizophrenia.
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