Surface engineering of macrophages with nanoparticles to generate a cell–nanoparticle hybrid vehicle for hypoxia-targeted drug delivery
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Abstract: Tumors frequently contain hypoxic regions that result from a shortage of oxygen due to poorly organized tumor vasculature. Cancer cells in these areas are resistant to radiation- and chemotherapy, limiting the treatment efficacy. Macrophages have inherent hypoxia-targeting ability and hold great advantages for targeted delivery of anticancer therapeutics to cancer cells in hypoxic areas. However, most anticancer drugs cannot be directly loaded into macrophages because of their toxicity. In this work, we designed a novel drug delivery vehicle by hybridizing macrophages with nanoparticles through cell surface modification. Nanoparticles immobilized on the cell surface provide numerous new sites for anticancer drug loading, hence potentially minimizing the toxic effect of anticancer drugs on the viability and hypoxia-targeting ability of the macrophage vehicles. In particular, quantum dots and 5-(aminoacetamido) fluorescein-labeled polyamidoamine dendrimer G4.5, both of which were coated with amine-derivatized polyethylene glycol, were immobilized to the sodium periodate-treated surface of RAW264.7 macrophages through a transient Schiff base linkage. Further, a reducing agent, sodium cyanoborohydride, was applied to reduce Schiff bases to stable secondary amine linkages. The distribution of nanoparticles on the cell surface was confirmed by fluorescence imaging, and it was found to be dependent on the stability of the linkages coupling nanoparticles to the cell surface.
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Introduction

The cell membrane, a semipermeable lipid bilayer, defines the cell boundary and consists of lipids, proteins and carbohydrates that are responsible for selective uptake of molecules, cell–cell interactions, cell–matrix interactions, and many other vital cell activities. Because of the importance of cell surface interactions to cell and tissue function, various cell surface engineering approaches have been explored to modify the cell surface to manipulate cell behavior and function.¹,²

Considerable progress has been made in introducing nonnative chemical species to the cell membrane, permitting a wide range of applications in biology, medicine, drug delivery, and tissue engineering.³ A molecule of interest can be attached to the cell surface through a fatty tether including glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins⁴ and cholesterol-tethered compounds.⁵ A viable alternative is to apply enzyme-catalyzed chemical reactions to modify carbohydrates on the cell surface. For example, existing surface glycoforms can be utilized as acceptors for reactions with an exogenously applied glycosyltransferase and appropriate activated sugar donor.⁶ Sialic acids are the most common terminal sugar residue on the cell surfaces.
and play an important role in cell adhesion and recognition. Unnatural sialic acid precursors can be incorporated into cell surface glycoforms by metabolic engineering. Direct covalent reactions can also be applied to enable chemical modification of cell surfaces. One possible approach is to couple biomolecules of interest to the cell surface via reactive cell surface groups such as aldehydes and ketones. The generation of these reactive cell surface groups may be achieved by direct chemical or enzymatic treatment, or by the metabolic incorporation of an unnatural molecule that contains the chosen chemical species.

Cell surface engineering has generated tremendous advantages for drug delivery and tissue engineering. For example, a synthetic adenovirus receptor inserted to the cell surface via a metabolic engineering approach facilitates the entrance of adenovirus into cells that are normally resistant to infection by this virus. Uptake of exogenous proteins could be enhanced by inserting appropriate synthetic receptors. Selectively killing tumor cells could be realized by controlling the targeting of an antibody through tagging tumor cells with a non-natural sugar into the polysialic acid molecules on the cell surface. Cell surface modification approaches have also been applied to generate three-dimensional cell aggregates or tissue-engineered constructs through cell cross-linking. A recent study reported that polyethylene glycol (PEG) can be attached to the surface of islets to circumvent immune rejection during transplantation of pancreatic islets from donor to a patient.

In this work, we designed a novel hypoxia-targeted drug delivery vehicle by hybridizing macrophages with nanoparticles through cell surface modification. Tumors frequently contain hypoxic regions that result from a shortage of oxygen due to poorly organized tumor vasculature. Cancer cells in these areas are resistant to radiation- and chemotherapy, limiting the treatment efficacy. Macrophages and monocytes have inherent hypoxia-targeting ability and hold great advantages for selective delivery of anticancer therapeutics to cancer cells in hypoxic areas. However, most anticancer drugs cannot be directly loaded into macrophages or monocytes because of their toxicity. Hybridizing a macrophage or monocyte cellular vehicle with a synthetic carrier, particularly nanoparticles, may represent a novel approach for effective delivery of anticancer drugs to hypoxic regions in solid tumors. Immobilizing nanoparticles on the cell surface provide numerous new sites for anticancer drug loading, hence potentially minimizing the toxic effect of anticancer drugs on the viability and hypoxia-targeting ability of the macrophage or monocyte vehicles.

The focus of the current work was to demonstrate the feasibility of immobilizing nanoparticles including polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimers and quantum dots (Qdots) to the macrophage surface through cell surface chemical modification. Dendrimers are highly branched macromolecules with low polydispersity and well-defined surface functionality. Utility of dendrimers in this work allowed us to take advantage of their versatility to explore optimal approaches for cell-nanoparticle hybridization and realize a high drug payload and assembly of multiple functional entities necessary for hybridization and drug delivery. Commercially available quantum dots coated with amine-derivatized PEG was also studied for cell-nanoparticle hybridization. Qdots have been explored for fluorescence imaging of living cells. Although the limited number of surface groups of Qdots is not advantageous for drug loading, hybridizing cells with Qdots possessing long-term photostability offers a noninvasive way for cell tracking in vivo and would provide further evidence to demonstrate the feasibility of the cell-nanoparticle hybridization approach explored in this work.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Qdot® 525 ITK™ amino (PEG) quantum dots (simply referred to as QD525) and 5-(aminoacetamido) fluorescein (AAF) were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). PAMAM dendrimer G4.5 was purchased from Dendritech (Midland, MI). PEG diamine (MW = 3350 g mol⁻¹), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), sodium cyanoborohydride (NaCNBH₃), sodium periodate (NaIO₄), and sodium phosphate buffer (10×) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM), sodium hydroxide, paraformaldehyde, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin, and Trypan blue were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA).

**Preparation of AAF-labeled PEGylated G4.5 dendrimers (AAF-G4.5-PEG) Synthesis**

The synthesis of G4.5-PEG followed our previous work. Upon removal of methanol from G4.5 PAMAM stock solution by rotary evaporation, G4.5 PAMAM dendrimer (5 mg) was dissolved in 2 mL of sodium PBS (0.1 M, pH = 5.5). To this solution were added 5.4 mg of EDC and 3.2 mg of NHS. After a 15 minute reaction at room temperature, 68.5 mg of PEG diamine (MW = 3350 g mol⁻¹) predissolved in the
buffer solution was added to the reaction solution dropwise. Subsequently, 1.4 mg of AAF predissolved in the buffer was slowly added to the reaction solution. The reaction mixture solution was stirred in the dark overnight. The resultant AAF-G4.5-PEG nanoparticles were purified by dialysis and then freeze dried.

**Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H-NMR) spectroscopy**

The 1H-NMR spectra of dendrimer derivatives were recorded on a Varian Mercury-300 MHz NMR spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA). The solvent used was deuterium water (D2O), which has a chemical shift of 4.8 ppm.

**Fluorescence spectroscopy**

Fluorescence emission spectra of AAF and AAF-G4.5-PEG in water were recorded on a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer with an excitation wavelength of 488 nm.

**Preparation of macrophage–nanoparticle hybrid vehicles**

As illustrated in Scheme 1, nanoparticles (QD525 or AAF-G4.5-PEG) were coupled to the surface of macrophages via either a transient Schiff base linkage or a stable secondary amine linkage.

**Macrophage–nanoparticle hybrids with a transient linkage (macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids)**

RAW264.7 macrophages were plated on coverslips at a density of 1.2 × 10^4 cells/coverslip. At 70% confluence, the cells were treated with 0.1 mM cold NaIO_4 in 100 µL of PBS (pH 7.4), incubated with shaking for 15 minutes at 4 °C in the dark, and then rinsed with cold PBS three times to remove NaIO_4. Following the NaIO_4 treatment, the cells were incubated with 12 µg of nanoparticles dissolved in 100 µL of PBS for 0–24 hours. The resultant macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids were washed with PBS and incubated in PBS. At predetermined time points, macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids were fixed with 300 µL of 4% paraformaldehyde for fluorescence or confocal imaging. Untreated macrophages incubated with nanoparticles were used as a control.

**Macrophage–nanoparticle hybrids with a stable linkage (macrophage–S-nanoparticle hybrids)**

Macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids were further treated with 100 µL of 0.1 mM NaCNBH_3 for 1–2 hours to convert the transient Schiff base linkages to stable amide linkages, washed with PBS, and incubated in PBS. At predetermined time points, macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for imaging. Untreated macrophages incubated with nanoparticles were used as a control.

**pH-dependent cell viability assay**

Since RAW264.7 macrophages were subjected to surface modification and the rate of the stabilizing amide reaction is pH-dependent, the effect of pH of modifying solutions on the RAW264.7 macrophage viability was studied. In brief, RAW264.7 macrophages were initially incubated for two hours in media at different pH values, including DMEM (pH 7.4, control) and PBS (pH 8, pH 9 and pH 10, adjusted with sodium
hydroxide), washed with PBS (pH 7.4) three times, and grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 5% penicillin for 48 hours. Viable cells were counted by using the Trypan blue assay. In addition, toxicity of 0.1 mM NaCNBH₃ in DMEM at pH 7.4 was also evaluated. Cell viability was then determined as follows: Cell viability (%) = total number of viable cells in each group/total number of viable cells in the control ×100.

Fluorescence image analysis

True-color fluorescence images of macrophage–nanoparticle hybrids were taken under a Zeiss Axiovert 200 inverted fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) or a Leica TCS-SP2 AOBS confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica, Solms, Germany). DAPI nuclear stain was applied for colocalization of nanoparticles. Images were analyzed with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

Results and discussion

Preparation of macrophage–nanoparticle hybrids

In this study commercial QD525 coated with an amine-derivatized PEG layer and synthesized PEGylated carboxyl terminated PAMAM dendrimer G4.5 were employed for hybridization with macrophages. PEGylated PAMAM dendrimer G4.5 was labeled with AAF to allow confirmation of immobilization of nanoparticles on the cell surface by fluorescence imaging. Polyanionic PAMAM dendrimer G4.5 has a relatively high number of surface groups, negligible toxicity and immunogenicity, and favorable biodistribution. The negatively charged dendrimers have negligible cellular uptake due to their low non-specific interaction with the negatively charged cell surface. Inclusion of PEG provides dendrimers with favorable pharmacokinetic and tissue distribution and reduces potential accumulated toxicity and immunogenicity of the nanoparticles. Importantly, PEG helps nanoparticles to escape from phagocytosis of macrophages. This is a critical step for constructing the proposed hybrid delivery system. PEGylated dendrimers of larger molecular weight and with more branches tend to have a lower accumulation in cells as demonstrated previously.

Similarly to the QD525 employed in this study, PEGylated G4.5 was designed to have amine-derivatized PEG chains on the surface, based on which cell surface modification chemistry was explored. PEGylated dendrimers have been well characterized previously. According to the ¹H-NMR measurement, an average of eight PEG chains were coupled to G4.5. PEGylated G4.5 was labeled with a moderately water-soluble fluorescence probe, AAF. As shown in Figure 1, AAF coupled to the dendrimer has an emission wavelength of 515 nm, which is identical to the emission wavelength of free AAF. The unaltered emission wavelength of AAF following this coupling strategy was also found in a previous report. Furthermore, the fluorescence emission intensity of AAF coupled to PEGylated G4.5 is significantly higher than that of AAF prepared in water at saturation, suggesting that AAF coupled to PEGylated G4.5 has higher water solubility than its unmodified form. The increase in the water solubility of AAF was attributed to the successful conjugation of AAF to the PEGylated dendrimer.

A number of methods have been developed to enable the chemical modification of cell surfaces. In this project, we employed a simple and well-documented methodology to immobilize nanoparticles to the macrophage cell surface. In particular, sialic acid residues embedded on the cell surface were converted to aldehydes with sodium periodate. Our results showed that the toxicity of NaIO₄ was negligible at the concentration of 0.1 mM. This was supported by the work of Ong and coworkers. Aldehydes reacte with primary amine end groups of PEG on the nanoparticle surface to form Schiff bases. Schiff base linkage is labile and can be cleaved in aqueous solution by hydrolysis. Accordingly, the macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids constructed are expected to readily release nanoparticles through the cleavage of the transient Schiff base linkages. We also applied a reducing agent sodium cyanoborohydride to reduce Schiff bases to stable secondary amine linkages. It has been reported that sodium cyanoborohydride has high specificity toward the Schiff base. According to our cell viability studies (Figure 2), sodium cyanoborohydride at 0.1 mM was nontoxic to RAW264.7 macrophages. As the reaction proceeds more efficiently at basic pH, the viability of RAW264.7 macrophages at high pH (8–10) was evaluated to determine whether the immobilization chemistry can be performed at high pH. As shown in Figure 2, pH affects the cell viability. The viability of RAW264.7 macrophages remains intact at pH 8 or pH 7.4 with 0.1 mM sodium cyanoborohydride. The cell viability dropped slightly to 88% at pH 9. By contrast, the viability was reduced drastically to 52% at pH 10. The results suggest that mild basic pH has a minimal impact on the viability of RAW264.7 macrophages and the optimum pH within that range can be explored for increasing the immobilization efficiency.

Fluorescence image analysis of macrophage–Qdot hybrids

Fluorescence microscopy and confocal microscopy were applied to confirm the hybridization of nanoparticles...
Figure 1 Fluorescence emission spectra of AAF and AAF-G4.5-PEG.
Abbreviation: PEG, polyethylene glycol.

Figure 2 pH-dependent viability of RAW264.7 macrophages. Cells were incubated for two hours at the indicated pH, and then assessed by the Trypan blue assay 48 hours later. Nontoxicity of 0.1 mM sodium cyanoborohydride in DMEM at pH 7.4 was confirmed.
Note: Bar = SD.
Abbreviations: DMEM, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium; SD, standard deviation.
with macrophages. As shown in Figure 3, both macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids and macrophage–S-nanoparticle hybrids prepared with QD525 exhibit strong fluorescence intensity at the edge of the cells, clearly outlining the cell surface. Qualitatively, more QD525 were taken up in macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids as opposed to macrophage–S-nanoparticle hybrids, reflecting the stability of the linkage between the nanoparticles and the cell. Confocal microscopy images in z-sections were taken to further examine the distribution of QD525 over the course of time. Without cell surface modification, QD525 were phagocytosed quickly and evenly distributed in the cytoplasm (Figure 4A – 4 h and 14 h). Although QD525 were still internalized, a significant amount of QD525 accumulated at the

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3** Fluorescence microscopy images of macrophage–Qdot hybrids. A) Macrophage–T-Qdot: macrophages treated with sodium periodate, incubated with QD525 for four hours, and fixed; B) Macrophage–S-Qdot: macrophages treated with sodium periodate, incubated with QD525 for four hours, treated with sodium cyanoborohydride, and fixed.

**Notes:** Original magnification, ×400; scale bar, 10 μm.

**Abbreviation:** Qdot, quantum dot.
Figure 4 Confocal microscopy images of macrophage–Qdot hybrids at four hours (left panel) or 14 hours (right panel) post-treatment. A) QD525 incubated with untreated macrophages (control); B) Macrophage–T-Qdot hybrids; C) Macrophage–S-Qdot hybrids.

Notes: Original magnification, ×630.

Abbreviation: Qdot, quantum dot.
edge of the cells treated with sodium periodate (Figure 4B) or a combination of sodium periodate and sodium cyanoboro-
hydride (Figure 4C). QD525 immobilized on the cell surface through a stable secondary amine bond displayed good stabil-
ity overnight (Figure 4C – 14 h). In contrast, QD525 linked
to the cell surface via Schiff base linkages were found to be
re-distributed in the cell overnight (Figure 4B – 14 h).

Fluorescence image analysis
of macrophage–dendrimer hybrids
Macrophages hybridized with AAF-labeled PEGylated
PAMAM dendrimer G4.5 were also studied. As shown in
Figure 5A, the level of the internalization of AAF-G4.5-PEG
by untreated macrophages is low. This confirmed that
cationic surface charges and PEGylation indeed helped
to reduce nonspecific internalization by macrophages.
Further, an apparently biased distribution of AAF-G4.5-PEG
nanoparticles was observed on the surface of macrophages
that were treated with sodium perioate (Figure 5B) or the
combination of sodium periodate and sodium cyanobo-
rohydride (Figure 5C). A significant increase in fluores-
cence intensity of macrophage–T-dendrimer hybrids and
macrophage–S-dendrimer hybrids as compared to the control
suggests that more dendrimer nanoparticles have been immo-
bilized to the cell surface and/or internalized by the cells. The
amine linkages connecting dendrimers to the cell enabled
macrophage–S-dendrimer hybrids to carry dendrimers
stably on the surface for an extended period of time. We also
observed that when the surface-treated macrophages were
incubated with the same amount of AAF-G4.5-PEG (12 μg),
a condition of a high concentration (12 μg/100 μL) and a
short incubation (one minute) was relatively equivalent to a
condition of a low concentration (12 μg/1.5 mL) and a long
incubation (10 minutes) in terms of hybridization efficiency
as determined by confocal image analysis. Cell viability
remained good during the surface treatment.

To further evaluate the intracellular localization of
nanoparticles, we performed a colocalization assay on
AAF-G4.5-PEG with nuclear DAPI staining. Clearly shown
in Figure 6A, a significant amount of AAF-G4.5-PEG
nanoparticles were internalized within one-minute incuba-
tion and accumulated evenly in the cytoplasm with no
selectivity towards the cell surface after overnight culture.
Entry of the nanoparticles into nuclei was also observed
in the macrophages. This became more evident when the
cells were incubated with AAF-G4.5-PEG for an extended
period of time (overnight incubation, Figure 6B). In addition,
more nanoparticles were internalized by the cells following
Figure 6 Colocalization assay of AAF-G4.5-PEG (green) with nuclei (blue) by confocal microscopy. A) Control 1: untreated macrophages incubated with AAF-G4.5-PEG for one minute, washed and cultured overnight (24 hours), then fixed and counterstained with DAPI; B) Control 2: untreated macrophages incubated with AAF-G4.5-PEG overnight (24 hours), then fixed and counterstained with DAPI; C) Macrophage–T-dendrimer hybrids: sodium periodate-treated macrophages incubated with AAF-G4.5-PEG for one minute, washed and cultured overnight (24 hours), then fixed and counterstained with DAPI; D) Macrophage–S-dendrimer hybrids: sodium periodate-treated macrophages incubated with AAF-G4.5-PEG for one minute, treated with sodium cyanoborohydride, cultured overnight (24 hours), then fixed and counterstained with DAPI.

Notes: Original magnification, ×630.
the overnight incubation as indicated by the increase in the fluorescence intensity. Macrophage–S-dendrimer hybrids (Figure 6D) show a stronger fluorescence intensity at the cell surface and less in nuclei as compared to macrophage–T-dendrimer hybrids (Figure 6C), confirming that the stability of immobilized dendrimers in macrophage–S-dendrimer hybrids was higher than macrophage–T-dendrimer hybrids.

It is apparent that fluorescently labeled nanoparticles were taken into the macrophages after each treatment. Qualitatively, there is a uniform distribution of fluorescence throughout the untreated control groups, suggesting cellular uptake pathways are responsible for this occurrence. Following surface modification, a pronounced ring of fluorescence is observed towards the cell surface. Quantitative analysis of the distribution of nanoparticle fluorescence was attempted with the intensity profile generated by ImageJ software (Figure 7). Since all confocal images were taken under identical image acquisition settings, the fluorescence intensity profiles were comparable across treatments.

Figure 7 Quantitative analysis of the distribution of fluorescence intensity in representative cells. A) Control 1; B) Control 2; C) Macrophage–T-dendrimer hybrid; D) Macrophage–S-dendrimer hybrid. The treatment conditions are detailed in Figure 6.

Notes: Original magnification, ×630.
intensity profiles generated allowed us to quantitatively analyze fluorescence distribution in individual cells based on their relative fluorescence intensity. For each group, three representative cells were chosen and analyzed. Individual cells were measured three times from different orientations with each orientation approximately bisecting midlines. From each profile, intensity values were recorded from fluorescence peaks at the two cell boundaries and from a fluorescence peak near the midline of each trace. The results are summarized in Table 1. The results from the intensity traces show that for a nanoparticle incubation of one minute, there is 20.8% more fluorescence at the cell boundaries versus the cell center. For an overnight incubation, there was an even distribution of fluorescent intensity where the cell walls exhibited a fluorescence value that was 99.8% of the fluorescence of the midpoint of the slice. Surface modification drastically increased the ratio of fluorescence between the cell walls versus the cell interior. The macrophage–T-nanoparticle hybrids showed an 85.2% increase in fluorescence near the cell exterior, whereas the macrophage–S-nanoparticle hybrids showed a 94.4% increase in cell wall fluorescence. Based on these measurements we show that there is markedly more fluorescence near the cell surface for surface-treated groups, whereas there is an even distribution of nanoparticles in the control groups.

From a chemistry perspective, this work demonstrated the proof-of-principle of chemically hybridizing macrophages with nanoparticles through cell surface modification. The reaction conditions explored in this study were mild to the cells. It should be noted that internalization of nanoparticles by macrophages seems to be an inevitable process because of their innate phagocytic capability. Nonetheless, our studies disclosed that cell surface modification provides a means to retard the internalization progress and alter the intracellular distribution of nanoparticles. A comprehensive understanding of the trafficking and dynamic distribution of nanoparticles is needed in order for us to optimize the hybridization process. Reducing nonspecific phagocytic internalization of nanoparticles will be pursued in our laboratory. The sizes of the Qdots and PAMAM dendrimers as an important factor affecting nanoparticle internalization by cells will be studied. PAMAM dendrimers have a versatile structure ideal for construction of drug delivery systems and have been extensively studied by many groups including us.31–34 Future work will include hybridization of drug-carrying dendrimers and/or QD525 with macrophages and studying the delivery efficiency of such a new drug vehicle in terms of drug distribution in hypoxic areas using in vitro spheroid models and animal models.

**Conclusions**

QD525 and dendrimers were immobilized to the macrophage cell surface through either a transient Schiff base linkage or a stable amine linkage. The distribution of nanoparticles on the cell surface was confirmed by fluorescence imaging and was found to be dependent on the stability of the linkages connecting nanoparticles to the cell surface. Achieving homogeneous distribution of anticancer drugs within tumors remains one of the major challenges in cancer chemotherapy and is critical for treatment effectiveness. The current study has explored an innovative way of utilizing nanoparticles and cellular vehicles for anticancer drug delivery. Development of a cell–nanoparticle hybrid vehicle through cell surface modification would utilize the best aspects of both cellular carriers and nanoparticles and may help to improve anticancer drug distribution and penetration in tumors.
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**Table 1**

Summary of the distribution of AAF fluorescence intensity in macrophages subjected to various treatments as indicated below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>[L] (μm)</th>
<th>[I] (μm)</th>
<th>[R] (μm)</th>
<th>([L] + [R])/[I] (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>70.3 ± 12.5</td>
<td>55.0 ± 3.7</td>
<td>62.6 ± 7.3</td>
<td>120.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>58.4 ± 10.6</td>
<td>57.8 ± 13.5</td>
<td>57.0 ± 6.4</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>64.3 ± 10.2</td>
<td>33.4 ± 8.7</td>
<td>59.3 ± 12.5</td>
<td>185.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>67.5 ± 8.1</td>
<td>33.6 ± 5.2</td>
<td>63.2 ± 6.1</td>
<td>194.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) Control 1; (B) Control 2; (C) Macrophage–T-dendrimer hybrid; (D) Macrophage–S-dendrimer hybrid (The treatment conditions are detailed in Figure 6). [L]: average fluorescence intensity at the left cell wall; [I]: average fluorescence intensity at the interior of the cell; [R]: average fluorescence intensity at the right cell wall. The original images (n = 3) were analyzed with Imagej.
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