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Abstract: Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is a frequent disorder associated with premature atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Different clinical diagnosis criteria are available, and cost of genetic testing has been reduced in the last years; however, most cases are not diagnosed worldwide. Patients with FH are at high cardiovascular risk and the risk can be reduced with lifelong lifestyle and pharmacological treatment. Statins and ezetimibe are available as generic drugs in most countries reducing the cost of treatment. However, the use of high-intensity statins combined with ezetimibe and PCSK9 inhibitors, if necessary, is low for different reasons that contribute to a high number of patients not reaching LDL-C targets according to guidelines. On the other hand, cardiovascular risk varies greatly in families with FH; therefore, risk stratification strategies including cardiovascular imaging is another element to consider for improving care and management of FH. There are numerous barriers depending on the awareness, knowledge, perception of risk, management and care of patients living with FH that impact in the diagnosis and treatment of the disorder. In this contemporary review, we analyze different barriers in the diagnosis and care of patients to improve patients’ care and prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and describe recent advances and strategies to improve the gaps in the care of FH, including global collaboration and advocacy.
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Introduction

Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is one of the most common monogenic disorders associated with premature atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), but it remains substantially underdiagnosed. If identified and not treated, convey a risk of early onset ASCVD of 3- to 10-fold compared to the general population. It is caused by pathogenic variants involving genes related to low-density lipoprotein (LDL) clearance, like the LDL receptor gene (LDLR) in 70–90% of cases, and less frequently in apolipoprotein B-100 (APOB), and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 genes (PCSK9).

Familial hypercholesterolemia is not a rare disease. The current prevalence is estimated in 1 in 250 individuals in the general population. In patients with acute coronary syndrome, the prevalence of genetically confirmed FH is 9% in those cases ≤65 years and with LDL-Cholesterol (LDL-C) levels ≥160 mg/dL, increasing to 14% in those below 45 years. The estimated prevalence is similar using DNA-based diagnosis or the Dutch Lipid Clinic Network (DLCN) score criteria.
Due to lifetime exposure to elevated LDL-C levels since birth, patients with FH may suffer from coronary artery disease since the third decade of life. Data from the Spanish FH registry showed that mean age for first CV event was 42 years for men and 51 years for women, being myocardial infarction the most frequent event in males. However, most patients are unaware of their FH condition, and the diagnosis is frequently done late in life or after the first cardiovascular event.

The prognosis for patients with FH has improved in the last 30 years due to the availability of effective lipid-lowering treatments (LLT) with statins and ezetimibe, and recently with the development and availability of PCSK9 inhibitors that have proven to be very effective and safe in FH. Cardiovascular risk was reduced up to 79% in those patients with FH and no history of CAD treated with statins compared to not-treated patients.

**General Barriers**

There are several barriers related to early diagnosis and treatment of FH patients (Box 1). Less than 1% of cases has been diagnosed in most countries, being the detection rate higher in those countries that have developed screening programs. Current efforts are focused on finding individuals with FH through opportunistic screening in high-risk patients, and cascade screening using LDL-C levels and/or genetic testing. Most severe FH cases are usually identified in specialist care setting, in coronary or intensive care unit or in lipid clinics, whereas less severe phenotypes are usually seen in primary care. Therefore, knowledge and awareness of the disorder and how to identify a potential FH case is essential in primary care.

Many individuals and family members with FH suffering ASCVD have other common risk factors and genetic hypercholesterolemia is not always suspected. Regarding treatment, a significant proportion of patients are treated with low or moderate statin doses and combination treatment is used too sparingly; therefore, many patients do not reach recommended LDL-C targets. Moreover, patients and physicians must understand that treatment is lifelong and therefore, adherence to chronic LLT recommendations must be improved. Therapy is often started in the late stages of disease when atherosclerosis has already developed as a result of life-long high LDL-C concentrations. Strategies for improving early diagnosis and care of FH among physicians require adequate knowledge, awareness and appropriate practices concerning this condition.

### Box 1 Some Known Barriers to Early Diagnosis and Management of Familial Hypercholesterolemia

#### Diagnosis
- Physicians
  - Knowledge of the disorder
  - Awareness of current guidelines
  - Identification of probable cases in different health care levels
  - No uniform clinical criteria for diagnosis
  - Screening methods (opportunistic, universal, cascade)
  - Age to screen
  - Lipid or metabolic disorder specialists (multidisciplinary and integrated with general practitioners)
- Availability of genetic testing
- Patients
  - Education to the family
  - Awareness in the family
  - Perception of risk

#### Cardiovascular risk:
- Underestimation of risk
- Other cardiovascular risk factors not identified and/or not controlled
- Use of imaging like Coronary CT-scan or carotid ultrasound, and biomarkers (ie, lipoprotein(a)) to stratify risk.

#### Treatment:
- LDL-C targets
- Adherence to long-term lifestyle changes
- Cost of medications
- Access to new medications (iPCSK9)
- Cost/effectiveness studies required
- Adherence to long-term treatment
- Mass-media and social network information

#### Registries
- Development
- Clinical information
- Research
- Audit

#### National programs for detection and management of FH
- Health system
- Policy-makers

Different studies have shown that there are several gaps in the knowledge, perception and practice in FH among general practitioners from different countries. Less than 50% are familiar with the disorder, most of them do not know the prevalence of the disorder and the majority underestimate the increased cardiovascular risk. Most of the physicians recognize statins to best treat hypercholesterolemia, and between 30% and 70% recognized statin and ezetimibe combination as an alternative to treat severe hypercholesterolemia. Finally, health care systems
are not sufficiently aware of the problem and there is a lack of screening programs.

From the point of view of public health, the best strategy for covering this gap in diagnosis and treatment of FH is the implementation of a family-based cascade screening program. This process consists of diagnosing FH in the family members of an individual, the index case (IC), identified as having FH ideally with genetic confirmation.1,12

Clinical Diagnosis and Genetic Testing

Familial hypercholesterolemia should be suspected in all adult with a total cholesterol level >300 mg/dL or an LDL-C level >190 or 220 mg/dL depending on the guidelines (Figure 1, Table 1), or in those individuals presenting with atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases before age 60 years, and/or in those with physical signs like xanthomas or premature arcus cornealis.1,12,19 In children and adolescents, the diagnosis should be suspected when LDL-C is over 150 mg/dL.12 or 160 mg/dL.19 Then, family history should be investigated. To make the phenotypic diagnosis of FH, there are different criteria worldwide. The most used are the DLCN criteria assigning a score to different variables like LDL-C levels, family history of hypercholesterolemia in children and adults, history of premature ASCVD in the proband and relatives, and the presence of tendon xanthomas and/or arcus cornealis. According to the final score, the diagnosis can be probable (6–7) or certain (≥8). It should be highlighted that the use of the DLCN criteria must be used only in adults and require to know the family history.

Familial Hypercholesterolemia meets the WHO criteria for systematic disease screening: it is a common disorder, the etiology is well known, it causes premature cardiovascular morbidity, there are criteria for the diagnosis and there are different effective treatments.1

Genetic testing for FH is a Tier 1 genomic application, defined by the Center for disease control and prevention as having significant potential for positive impact on public health based on available evidence-based guidelines and recommendations. Tier 1-related interventions include joint work of public health department with medical providers and payers, in order to screen FH through cascade screening using cholesterol levels with or without DNA testing, identifying new cases and start treatment as early as possible before ASCVD is developed.21 Despite FH is a well-known genetic disorder, genetic testing is underused. Genetic testing provides a definitive diagnosis of the disorder, helps to stratify the risk and improves cascade screening.13 Recently, a scientific expert statement described the limitations and risks of genetic testing highlighting potential discrimination by care insurances in the United States.13

Recently, a systematic review of the literature using a consolidated framework for implementation research (CFIR) identified 26 potential barriers and 15 potential facilitators to FH genetic testing in the United States.22 The cost of FH genetic testing uncovered by insurance payers, stigmatization or discrimination by health insurers, privacy over genetic information among others were prevalent barriers identified in this analysis. This systematic and flexible analysis can guide future research to understand FH genetic testing implementation in diverse clinical settings and identify strategies to reduce the number of barriers and leverage current facilitators for genetic testing.

Screening Strategies

Low awareness and knowledge of FH in specialty and general practice highlight the need to implement different strategies to improve the early detection of FH and ensure adequate treatment to prevent the development of ASCVD, improve quality of life and care of patients with FH.

Universal Screening

Universal screening (US) program means that all individuals in a defined population would be offered a blood test to measure total cholesterol and/or LDL-C levels. Those individuals with cholesterol levels above a defined cut-off level should be considered at high risk of FH and can be referred for a confirmatory diagnostic assessment by a GP or lipid specialist, which may include DNA testing if available. The benefits of this strategy are associated with an increased detection rate in the population, and individuals diagnosed with FH through the program would also represent new index cases, supporting a more effective cascade screening approach to identify other family members. In this sense, universal screening during childhood would maximize the early detection and treatment before atherosclerosis has been established. Universal screening (US) has been proposed to be performed before age 20 years, ideally before puberty. Although the efficacy has been demonstrated in children, the experience is very limited,23 and the yield of the screening in efficacy and cost-effectiveness remains unclear. The National Lipid Association recommended in 2011 that US should be carried out at age 9–11 years when lipid changes associated
Total Cholesterol > 300/310 mg/dL and/or LDL-C > 190/220 mg/dL and/or Premature ASCVD

Repeat lipid profile (fasting blood) Secondary causes should be ruled-out

LDL-C > 190/220 mg/dL and triglycerides are < 150 mg/dL and No secondary cause for high cholesterol (or is treated)

YES

Family history of PASCVD Physical signs (arcus cornealis Xanthomas). Apply any clinical Criteria for diagnosis (DLCN score widely used)

YES

If available: genetic testing Manage as High or very high CV risk patient

NO

Calculate 10-year CV risk+ and manage according

Evaluate possibility of Familial Combined Hyperlipidemia if Triglycerides are elevated or polygenic hypercholesterolemia

Figure 1  Attitude towards an adult with suspected familial Hypercholesterolemia according to different guidelines.
Table 1 Criteria for Familial Hypercholesterolemia in Adults, Screening, Lipid-Lowering Treatment and LDL-C Targets According to Different Guidelines or Consensus Panels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria to suspect FH and to make diagnosis</th>
<th>Spanish FH Foundation&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Statement&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; and ESC/EAS Guidelines 2019&lt;sup&gt;61&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>NICE-UK&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>National Lipid Association 2011&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt; and AHA/ACC 2018&lt;sup&gt;74&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Japan&lt;sup&gt;84&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 220 and I of the following:</td>
<td>&gt; 18 years TC &gt; 310 mg/dL PCHD in case or family member</td>
<td>&gt; 16 years TC &gt; 290 mg/dL LDL-C &gt; 190 mg/dL Personal or family history of PCHD (before age 60 years) Then use Simon Broome or DLCN criteria to make the diagnosis</td>
<td>&gt; 20 years LDL-C &gt; 190 mg/dL Non-HDL-C &gt; 220 mg/dL Any validated sets of criteria can be used: US MEDPED, DLCN score, Simon Broome )</td>
<td>&gt;15 years At least 2 of the following: LDL-C ≥ 180 mg/dL Tendon or tuberosum xanthomas History of FH or PCHD within 2nd degree relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- LDL-C &gt;150 mg/dL in children</td>
<td>- Xanthoma in case or family member Sudden cardiac death in family member Then use DLCN criteria to make the diagnosis</td>
<td>- LDL-C &gt;300 mg/dL</td>
<td>- to confirm IC and then in cascade screening</td>
<td>- Not needed for diagnosis</td>
<td>- Is desirable to use genetic testing in cases suspected FH (those cases with only 1 criteria)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- LDL-C &gt; 190 mg/dL in adults</td>
<td>- unknown family history: LDL-C &gt;300 mg/dL</td>
<td>- Xanthoma in case or family member</td>
<td>- To confirm IC and then in cascade screening</td>
<td>- Not needed for diagnosis</td>
<td>- Is desirable to use genetic testing in cases suspected FH (those cases with only 1 criteria)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PCVD in IC or relative</td>
<td>- Xanthoma in IC or relative unknown family history: LDL-C &gt; 300 mg/dL</td>
<td>- Unknown family history: LDL-C &gt; 300 mg/dL</td>
<td>- To confirm IC and then in cascade screening</td>
<td>- Not needed for diagnosis</td>
<td>- Is desirable to use genetic testing in cases suspected FH (those cases with only 1 criteria)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA testing</td>
<td>IC with DLCN score ≥ 6 and then in cascade screening</td>
<td>Strongly recommended if DLCN score ≥ 6, and in cases with xanthomas and/or hypercholesterolemia plus PCHD Then in cascade screening</td>
<td>To confirm IC and then in cascade screening</td>
<td>- Not needed for diagnosis</td>
<td>- Is desirable to use genetic testing in cases suspected FH (those cases with only 1 criteria)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lipid-lowering treatment

- High intensity statin + ezetimibe
- In severe cases, consider to add PCSK9i

European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Statement<sup>1</sup> and ESC/EAS Guidelines 2019<sup>61</sup>

PCSK9i are recommended in very-high-risk FH patients if the treatment goal is not achieved on maximal tolerated statin plus ezetimibe.

High intensity statin combined with ezetimibe and/or Resins ESC/EAS 2019

Patients 30-75 years with FH and with on-treatment LDL-C ≥100 mg/dL despite maximally tolerated statin and ezetimibe therapy, consider PCSK9i

High intensity statin Ezetimibe combined with statin when LDL-C is not controlled with high intensity statin Ezetimibe in monotherapy when statins are contraindicated or not tolerated.

High intensity statin

Consider to add Ezetimibe and resins AHA/ACC 2018

High intensity statin

Statins and up-titrate according efficacy and tolerability

Consider ezetimibe and PCSK9i and others if more efficacy is required

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Statement and ESC/EAS Guidelines 2019</th>
<th>NICE-UK 19</th>
<th>National Lipid Association 201124 and AHA/ACC 201825</th>
<th>Japan 84</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL in FH with at least 1 CVRF</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 70 mg/dL</td>
<td>Reduction of LDL-C ≥ 50%</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL in FH with at least 1 CVRF</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 70 mg/dL, LDL-C &lt; 55 mg/dL, and significant subclinical atherosclerosis are present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 70 mg/dL if CVD, T2DM and significant subclinical atherosclerosis are present</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 70 mg/dL</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL in FH with at least 1 CVRF or with another major CVRF (very high risk)</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL in FH with ASCVD or with another major CVRF (very high risk)</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL in FH with at least 1 CVRF or with another major CVRF (very high risk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 50 mg/dL, and LDL-C &lt; 70 mg/dL are recommended in FH without other major CVRF</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 70 mg/dL</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL in FH with at least 1 CVRF or with another major CVRF (very high risk)</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL in FH with ASCVD or with another major CVRF (very high risk)</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 70 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Secondary causes should be excluded in all guidelines.

Abbreviations: EAS, European Atherosclerosis Society; NLA, National Lipid Association; DLCN, Dutch Lipid Clinical Network criteria; LDL-C, LDL-cholesterol; PCVD, premature cardiovascular disease; PCHD, premature coronary heart disease; CVRF, cardiovascular risk factor.

Opportunistic Screening

Opportunistic detection is another approach that can be implemented in different health care levels. Primary care physicians should be involved actively in the screening, and the degree of knowledge that primary care physicians have about the disorder and care of FH. One of the barriers to patients' adherence is the knowledge of diagnosis and management. The knowledge and management practices of General practitioners was performed in Australia. The first study of the knowledge and management practices of General practitioners was performed in Australia in 2014. Bell et al showed that 2% of GPs rated their familiarity with FH as average or above, and 80% correctly defined FH; however, awareness of national guidelines for diagnosis and management, and knowledge in hereditability, prevalence and diagnostic criteria were suboptimal (<30%). Similar gaps have been described later.

Opportunistic screening is another approach that can be implemented in different health care levels. Primary care physicians should be involved actively in the screening, and the degree of knowledge that primary care physicians have about the disorder and care of FH. One of the barriers to patients' adherence is the knowledge of diagnosis and management. The knowledge and management practices of General practitioners was performed in Australia in 2014. Bell et al showed that 2% of GPs rated their familiarity with FH as average or above, and 80% correctly defined FH; however, awareness of national guidelines for diagnosis and management, and knowledge in hereditability, prevalence and diagnostic criteria were suboptimal (<30%). Similar gaps have been described later.

In primary prevention, LDL-C < 100 mg/dL or LDL-C reduction ≥ 50%

In secondary prevention, LDL-C < 70 mg/dL

Note: Secondary causes should be excluded in all guidelines.

Abbreviations: EAS, European Atherosclerosis Society; NLA, National Lipid Association; DLCN, Dutch Lipid Clinical Network criteria; LDL-C, LDL-cholesterol; PCVD, premature cardiovascular disease; PCHD, premature coronary heart disease; CVRF, cardiovascular risk factor.
in other regions of the world and also among cardiologists and other health practitioners like pharmacists. 30,31 A survey performed among Cardiologists in 2011 showed that knowledge about FH was also limited, only 10% reported to be very or extremely familiar with the disorder, and most of them (80%) were unaware of the real prevalence, 60% about heritability and all of them did not know about the real high cardiovascular risk. 30 A large-scale multinational survey assessing FH knowledge and management gaps was performed in 10 countries from the Asia-Pacific region and results were compared with data from the UK where specific guideline for the care of FH patients exists. 17 Important gaps in awareness and knowledge were identified. Only 34% considered to be familiar with FH and less than 50% were aware of national or international guidelines. Knowledge of prevalence (24%), inheritability (41%) and CVD risk (9%) of FH were also suboptimal. However, familiarity and knowledge with statins as an appropriate cholesterol-lowering therapy for FH management was very high (89%). Results of this survey suggest that the implementation of country-specific guidelines and the development of educational and awareness programs are imperative to improve the care of FH in the region. 17

**Strategies for Opportunistic Screening**

Detection of the initial individual of a family with FH (index case) is a crucial step for cascade testing. Different approaches like analysis of cholesterol levels in the laboratory, electronic case-finding programs with alerts in laboratory reports, the addition of interpretative commenting, phone-calls, electronic health records, development of predictive diagnostic algorithm and combination of these strategies has been shown to be useful to detect FH cases in different community settings. 32–38

The role of community laboratories, where most of the analysis are requested by GPs, to screen for individuals with potential FH has been demonstrated by Bell et al. 33 Considering an LDL-C  \( \geq 251 \text{mg/dL} \) (99.75 percentile) after the exclusion of secondary causes, prevalence of FH was 1:398 irrespective of age, emphasizing the potential of a community laboratory in the detection of FH. Moreover, the addition of an interpretative comment to the lipid results report raising FH as a possible diagnosis associated with a high risk of ASCVD in those individuals with LDL-C \( \geq 251 \text{mg/dL} \) recommending a specialist referral increased the number of cases referred to a lipid clinic and the detection of FH within the community. 35 However, the overall referral rate is still low (18%) suggesting that knowledge and awareness of FH among GPs is also low, emphasizing the need for an educational program to improve FH detection.

Large numbers of patients at risk of FH can be systematically identified using electronic methods. 36–38 Effectiveness of these methods depends in part in the quality of information recorded in databases, and they should be integrated into clinical practice to consume less time and resources. The use of a simple specific software (FH Audit tool) to identify and flagged all subjects at risk of FH according to LDL-C levels and the subsequent nurse-led review of cases at risk, allowed the identification of 433 new cases of FH and reduced the number of cases at risk and unscreened. 36

More sophisticated approaches include the development of predictive algorithm (FAMCAT) including more clinical variables interacting among them to enhance the identification of individuals in primary care. Data from 2,971,562 individuals were analysed using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink in UK, and 5050 documented cases of FH were included in the study. 37 FAMCAT model significantly improved discrimination between cases and non-cases when compared to model adapted from variables in the DLCN score, in the Simone-Broome and using only total cholesterol. An important clinical implication is that FAMCAT algorithm could be integrated within electronic health records to rank patients about their probability of having FH rationalizing the use of primary care and specialist resources. 37

Another study in Australia showed that the combination of a specific electronic screening tool (TARB-ex) estimating DLCN score with clinical follow-up by GP is an efficient and accurate method of systematically identifying FH risk patients, consuming less time and resources, and that strongly correlates with clinical examination. 38 Estimating DLCN score from 3708 medical records by TARB-ex took 10 mins and identified 32 patients at risk of FH (1:116) compared to the 60 hrs that took to a trained GP to identify 22 potential cases (1:168) after manual reviewing of 360 records with high cholesterol. Finally, 10 patients were considered at high risk of FH (31%) and after clinical assessment, clinical diagnosis was confirmed in 6 of 7 patients (86% sensitivity). 38

**Cascade Screening**

Screening programs, using cascade testing of first-degree relatives of identified adults (index case) and also the
reverse cascade testing of first-degree relatives of affected children, using cholesterol levels and/or genetic testing (if available), have been reported successfully. These strategies permit the identification of untreated individuals, which are usually younger and free of ASCVD. The sustainability of cascade testing relies on identifying new unrelated index cases. Different studies have shown that the most cost-effective approach for detecting new cases of FH is cascade screening of relatives of a diagnosed index case using lipid levels and genetic testing.

Detection based on genetic testing can establish the definitive diagnosis if a pathogenic variant is found in any of the genes causative of FH. If DNA-testing is not available, the cascade should be done using country-specific cut-off points of LDL-C plasma levels. However, it should be emphasized that using only cholesterol levels, up to 20% of relatives with LDL-C levels below the 90th percentile, may have a causative mutation in the LDLR gene and will not be diagnosed if genetic testing is not performed.

Possible FH cases should be considered in those subjects with a history of premature cardiovascular disease or are admitted to a hospital with an acute cardiovascular event before age 60 years. Receiving a medical diagnosis or genetic confirmation of FH empowered individuals to take control of their condition, providing motivation to initiate or continue lifestyle changes and LLT. The positive influence of diagnosis on medication efficacy beliefs and adherence has been reported.

Cardiovascular Risk Assessment in FH

Patients with FH are considered to be at high or very high ASCVD risk; however, a considerable number of these vulnerable subjects do not develop cardiovascular events, regardless lifelong exposure to very high LDL-C levels, while others do so despite intensive LLT, suggesting that the ASCVD risk is very variable in this population. Khra et al showed that for any observed LDL-C level, individuals with molecular defined FH have four times increased risk for CAD compared with those individuals without a mutation. FH accelerates atherosclerotic coronary disease by 10 to 40 years. The analysis of different cohorts has shown a risk for CAD or premature ASCVD 3 to 13 times higher in familial hypercholesterolemia subjects compared with their unaffected relatives or with the general population. That variability may be due in part to differences in lipid-lowering treatment. However, the individual risk for ASCVD can vary widely in FH patients belonging to the same family or sharing the same mutation, suggesting that other genetic, environmental or risk factors can modulate the risk. Some approaches have been made to re-stratify the risk in these individuals in order to improve and intensify lipid-lowering therapy with the use of PCSK9i.

Recently, in the SAFEHEART Study (Spanish Familial Hypercholesterolemia Cohort Study), the most comprehensive registry-based prospective study to date, a pragmatic equation was developed using age, sex, history of ASCVD, blood pressure, body-mass index, smoking, LDL-C, and Lipoprotein(a) [Lp(a)] levels that predicts with a high discriminant value of first and recurrent ASCVD events. This model was derived from a well-defined, adult cohort already on statin therapy and although requires validation in other populations, it has demonstrated superior in predicting ASCVD in FH patients compared to Framingham and ACC/AHA risk prediction tools. This accurate prediction equation (SAFEHEART-RE) provides a global approach to the ASCVD risk and LDL-C remains as an important predictor of the risk, and also Lp(a), confirming the association between high Lp(a) levels and ASCVD in familial hypercholesterolemia. Its use in clinical practice in primary and specialist care settings may increase the efficiency in patients care and also optimize the selection of patients for a rational use of the new PCSK9i.

In recent years, substantial information has emerged regarding the role of Lp(a) as a predictor of risk in FH. Individuals with FH have higher levels of serum Lp(a) compared with their non-affected relatives and an independent association with the type of mutation has been described. Moreover, Lp(a) is a strong predictor for CAD in FH patients independent of other cardiovascular risk factors and it is recommended to measure Lp(a) once in those individuals with FH. Effectiveness of cascade screening for high Lp(a) levels alone has not been assessed and established. However, Lp(a) is an heritable risk factor transmitted in a dominant manner, and the testing of relatives of a patient with very high Lp(a) levels may be useful. It has been shown that systematic testing for elevated Lp(a) during cascade screening for FH in relatives of index cases with FH and high Lp(a) is highly effective identifying 1 new case of elevated Lp(a) for every 2.4 relatives screened.

Role of Imaging in CV Risk Re-Stratification

In recent years, emerging studies have suggested a large variation in the presence and distribution of coronary artery calcium (CAC), a surrogate marker of atherosclerotic plaque...
burden in FH. Therefore, assessment of subclinical atherosclerosis may be a valuable clinical tool for determining the ASCVD risk in asymptomatic FH patients. It has been recommended as a key modifier that allows patients to be reclassified from high to very-high cardiovascular disease risk with subsequent implications in therapy.

The presence and degree of CAC predicts coronary events in asymptomatic, middle-aged FH patients treated with statins and this is partly mediated by associations with other risk factors, particularly the burden of LDL-C-years. Moreover, the extent of plaque burden on computed tomography coronary angiography (CTA) in FH is also related to the number of circulating microvesicles. Abnormal Coronary CTA findings are associated with favorable changes in lifestyle, intensification of lipid-lowering therapy and patient behavior.

Therefore, it has been suggested that the screening of subclinical coronary atherosclerosis in FH individuals may be integrated into their overall care, and will permit to identify those cases that will require intensification of lifestyle changes and additional medical therapies.

Carotid intima media thickness (IMT) has also been reported to be significantly thicker in children with FH compared with their unaffected siblings and non-FH children, and in adults with FH compared with polygenic hypercholesterolemia. Carotid IMT is related to gender, LDL-C levels, age, family history of CVD and the type of mutation. Carotid plaques can also be found in 10% of FH children. Therefore, carotid IMT is a feasible method for detecting subclinical atherosclerosis in FH and also to evaluate the impact of statin treatment on progression of carotid atherosclerosis.

Treatment of Adults Living with FH

LDL-C Targets and Therapeutic Options in FH (Table 1)

In the last years, LDL-C targets in FH have undergone major changes. The consensus statement of the European Atherosclerosis Society in 2013 recommended LDL-C targets below 70 mg/dL for adults with FH and CAD or diabetes, and below 100 mg/dL for those FH cases without CAD or diabetes. Other guidelines also recommended a relative reduction in LDL-C levels of at least 50% from baseline values. Recently, the 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines recommended an LDL-C relative reduction of ≥50% from baseline values and an absolute LDL-C goal <55 mg/dL for FH patients with ASCVD (class I, level of evidence A), and the same targets should be considered in primary prevention FH patients with another major CV risk (class IIa, level of evidence C). In those primary prevention FH individuals without other CV risk factor, an LDL-C reduction of ≥50% and an LDL-C goal <70mg/dL are recommended (class I, level of evidence A).

All patients should be counselled on lifestyle changes, especially dietary modification, promotion of physical activity and avoidance and cessation of smoking. FH patients usually have healthier habits compared to their non-affected relatives. They eat less saturated fats and sugar, and more vegetables and fish. They also do more physical activity and smoke less.

The cornerstone in FH therapy is the use of high-intensity statin and ezetimibe. Both have been demonstrated to be effective and safe in adolescents and adults with FH.

However, in the real-world clinical practice, recommended targets are difficult to achieve in most FH patients with common LLT (statin and ezetimibe) available in most countries as generic drugs. A recent study showed that less than 5% of FH patients with ASCVD receiving LLT to reduce LDL-C levels at least 50% achieved an absolute LDL-C level below 70 mg/dL. In this scenario, most of patients with FH could potentially require PCSK9i to achieve the targets. It has been shown that alirocumab and evolocumab are well tolerated and yield an additional 50% to 60% reduction in LDL-C levels in patients with FH under LLT. However, the high price of PCSK9i has forced to do cost-effectiveness studies, consensus statements, and attempts to identify those patients who will benefit most from these more intensive treatments.

In Norway, an economic evaluation study showed that PCSK9i are cost-effective for some groups of molecularly diagnosed FH patients considering age, gender, statin tolerance and history of ASCVD. In Spain, it has been shown that using the SAFEHEART risk equation the lowest number necessary to treat to avoid a cardiovascular event (N=12) is among those FH patients receiving high-intensity statin with 5-year risk of ≥5% and an LDL-C ≥160 mg/dL.

Treatment of Children Living with FH (Table 2)

There is an agreement that LLT should be started at younger ages. The risk of accelerated atherosclerosis in FH is the main reason to start statin treatment in early childhood to avoid atherosclerosis progression. The European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) consensus panel and the current American
Table 2: Criteria for Familial Hypercholesterolemia in Children and Adolescents, Screening, Lipid-Lowering Treatment and LDL-C Targets According to Different Guidelines or Consensus Panels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria to suspect FH</th>
<th>When to Screen</th>
<th>DNA testing</th>
<th>Lipid-lowering treatment</th>
<th>Targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spanish FH Foundation</strong>&lt;sup&gt;1,2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 190 mg/dL</td>
<td>FH-causing mutation known in parent</td>
<td>Start statin at age 10 in boys and preferable after menarche in girls. Can be considered before in case of PCHD. In Homozygous FH start at age 2 years.</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 130 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 150 mg/dL + high cholesterol and/or PCVD in one parent, or DNA+ in one parent. Screen between age 2–8 years. Cascade screening is recommended.</td>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 190 mg/dL on 2 successive occasions after 3 month diet. LDL-C &gt; 160 mg/dL + PCHD and/or high cholesterol in one parent. Screen from age 5 years.</td>
<td>FH-Causing mutation known in parent; if parent died from PCHD and child has hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>Consider statin by age 10 years. Start statin at age 8–10 years old with lowest recommended dose and up-titrage according LDL-C and tolerability.</td>
<td>&gt;10 years: LDL-C &lt; 130 mg/dL especially those with high risk conditions or CVRF. 8–10 years: 50% reduction from baseline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>European Atherosclerosis Society</strong>&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 160 mg/dL by cascade screening or another reason. Screen by age 10 years.</td>
<td>FH-causing mutation known in parent</td>
<td>Consider statin by age 10 years.</td>
<td>&lt;10 years: 30-50% reduction in LDL-C or LDL&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 135 mg/dL. 10 years: &gt; 50% LDL&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt; reduction or LDL-C &lt; 135 mg/dL. 14 years: LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL if other co-morbidities or strong family history of PCHD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart – UK Statement of care</strong>&lt;sup&gt;85&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 160 mg/dL by cascade screening or another reason. Screen by age 10 years.</td>
<td>FH-causing mutation known in parent</td>
<td>Consider statin by age 10 years. AHA/ACC 2018: LDL-C ≥ 160 mg/dL. At age 10 years.</td>
<td>&lt;10 years: 30-50% reduction in LDL-C or LDL&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 135 mg/dL. 10 years: &gt; 50% LDL&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt; reduction or LDL-C &lt; 135 mg/dL. 14 years: LDL-C &lt; 100 mg/dL if other co-morbidities or strong family history of PCHD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Lipid Association 2011 &amp; AHA/ACC 2018</strong>&lt;sup&gt;74&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 160 mg/dL by cascade screening or another reason. Screen by age 10 years.</td>
<td>Not needed for diagnosis</td>
<td>Consider statin from age 10 years if LDL-C is persistently ≥ 180 mg/dL despite lifestyle changes. And &lt;10 years if LDL-C ≥ 200 mg/dL.</td>
<td>LDL-C reduction ≥ 50% or LDL-C &lt; 130 mg/dL. More aggressive target should be considered if additional CVRF are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Japan</strong>&lt;sup&gt;84&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>LDL-C &gt; 160 mg/dL by cascade screening or another reason. Screen by age 10 years.</td>
<td>Not considered in guidelines for heterozygous FH</td>
<td>Consider statin from age 10 years if LDL-C is persistently ≥ 180 mg/dL despite lifestyle changes. And &lt;10 years if LDL-C ≥ 200 mg/dL.</td>
<td>LDL-C &lt; 140 mg/dL.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Secondary causes should be excluded in all guidelines.

**Abbreviations:** EAS, European Atherosclerosis Society; NLA, National Lipid Association; LDL-C, LDL-cholesterol; PCVD, premature cardiovascular disease; PCHD, premature coronary heart disease; CVRF, cardiovascular risk factor.
College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines for FH advocate initiation of statins between 8 and 10 years old.27,74

Safety and efficacy of statins are well-established in children. There are no concerns regarding the effect of statin on sexual maturity and growth.75,76 On the other hand, the value of initiating statin treatment has been demonstrated initially in carotid intima media thickness (IMT) studies. A mean LDL-C reduction of 41% after 2 years of treatment with rosuvastatin was associated with a significant reduction in the progression of carotid atherosclerosis.77 Moreover, the 10-year follow-up of children with mean age 12 years old treated with pravastatin during 2 years showed that the statin treatment initiated in childhood normalizes the carotid intima media thickness in the young adults (mean age 24 years old).78 Recently, the 20-year follow-up of this population has been published comparing the incidence of ASCVD among these patients that started statins since childhood and their affected parents, assuming that parents started statin treatment later in life. A 32% reduction in LDL-C since baseline in the original trial was observed in this period of time, and carotid IMT in patients was similar to that of their unaffected siblings. Furthermore, the cumulative incidence of fatal and non-fatal CV events at 39 years of age was lower in patients with FH than in their affected parents (1% vs 26%, and 0% vs 7%).79 Therefore, modest and sustained LDL-C reductions since childhood despite not achieving LDL-C targets can have a major impact on reducing ASCVD morbidity and mortality. This is an important issue because only 20% of children reached an LDL-C below 100 mg/dL and most guidelines suggest an LDL-C target below 130 mg/dL or a reduction over 50% from baseline levels (Table 2).

In children and adolescents, the ASCVD risk can be stratified according to the presence and magnitude of risk factors or co-morbidities, such as obesity, hypertension, diabetes, high Lp(a) levels and a family history of premature ASCVD.80 According to the American statement, those children and adolescents with FH are at moderate risk and can be reclassified as high risk if two or more CV risk factors or co-morbidities are present. LDL-C recommended target is <100 mg/dL in the high-risk condition and <130 mg/dL in the moderate-risk condition.80 On the other hand, the 2015 European statement recommended for children aged 8 to 10 years, that LDL-C should be ideally reduced by 50% from pretreatment levels; and for children aged ≥10 years, the target LDL-C should be <130 mg/dL, especially if there are additional cardiovascular risk factors, including elevated Lp(a).27

Patient Networks and Support Groups

Patient support groups and networks may play an important role in FH detection and are essential for advocating value-based health care, especially because healthcare and government policies differ widely across the countries. Sharing experience and networking remain valuable especially for supporting nascent organizations. Beyond providing awareness raising through social media, general education, health professionals counselling, concrete international achievements to date are evidenced by national registries, development of ASCVD risk prediction algorithms, support for new screening strategies and improvements in access to effective therapies. The last role is particularly relevant at a time of access barriers to new and expensive therapies. The value of patient support networks is exemplified by the activities of several organizations like the US FH Foundation, Spanish FH Foundation and the European FH Patient Network.81

Clinical Registries

Clinical registries on FH may improve the knowledge of the disorder and can have an impact on research and precision medicine.82 Registries capture “real world” clinical practice data and these are important not only to raise overall awareness and understanding of FH, but also for gathering information for clinical trials and for health service research, as a mean for improving the quality of patient care and outcomes. Registries of genetically defined patients, such as the SAFEHEART, have generated a wealth of new data that bear on the care of patients with FH.52,55,56 The Familial Hypercholesterolemia Studies Collaboration (FHSC) is an international registry that captures the largest global dataset on FH.83 International registries have provided key information on FH, including the gaps in late diagnosis in those with ASCVD. While the value of statins in diminishing ASCVD has been well emphasized by registry data, there are marked shortfalls in the attainment of treatment targets and in the use of PCSK9 inhibitors. Enrolment in registries may enable attainment of LDL-C targets but significant gaps in treatment persist. The rapid initiation and expansion of clinical registries on FH should take account of expert recommendations on the need to collect high-quality data. Registries may contribute to research for generating new evidence that will inform adaptive models of care and better policy decisions.
Conclusion

Familial Hypercholesterolemia is a frequent and treatable disorder. There is agreement among different guidelines and statements that an early diagnosis when patients are asymptomatic, and adequate treatment is essential to reduce the cardiovascular risk burden and to prevent the development of premature ASCVD. However, there are several barriers on the part of patients, their families, physicians and health systems that must be identified to improve the identification of cases and their long-term treatment and care. Cost and access for medication, long-term adherence to lipid-lowering therapy and lifestyle changes, familiarity of FH and awareness of clinical guidance by general practitioners, low perception of high cardiovascular risk are some of them.

Differents detection strategies and models of care have been suggested and implemented in some countries to address the barriers, including patients, their families and physician awareness of FH, highlighting the value of screening for FH and the necessity to follow and adhere to a long-term treatment.
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