Bio Micro-Nano Technologies of Antioxidants Optimised Their Pharmacological and Cellular Effects, ex vivo, in Pancreatic β-Cells

Introduction: Recent formulation and microencapsulation studies of probucol (PB) using the polymer sodium alginate (SA) and bile acids have shown promising results but PB stability, and pharmacology profiles remain suboptimal. This study aimed to investigate novel polymers for the nano and micro encapsulation of PB, with the anti-inflammatory bile acid ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA).

Material and methods: Six formulations using three types of polymers were investigated with and without UDCA. The polymers were NM30D, RL30D, and RS30D and they were mixed with SA and PB at set ratios and microencapsulated using oscillating-voltage-mediated nozzle technology coupled with ionic gelation. The microcapsules were examined for physical and biological effects using pancreatic β-cells.

Results and discussion: UDCA addition did not adversely affect the morphological and physical features of the microcapsules. Despite thermal stability remaining unchanged, bile acid incorporation did enhance the electrokinetic stability of the formulation system for NM30D and RL30D polymers. Mechanical stability remained similar in all groups. Enhanced uptake of PB from the microcapsule by pancreatic β-cells was only seen with NM30D-UDCA-intercalated microcapsules and this effect was sustained at both glucose levels of 5.5 and 35.5 mM.

Conclusion: UDCA addition enhanced PB delivery and biological effects in a formulation-dependent manner.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is an epidemic and affects 8% of the world population and has two main types, Type-1 (T1D) and Type-2 (T2D) with T2D being the most dominant. DM prevalence has been rising rapidly worldwide. In Australia alone, one person is diagnosed with diabetes every 5 mins and this is costing the Australian health budget more than $10 billion a year. Current treatment for T1D and T2D aim to control symptoms and reduce complications, but more than half of diabetic patients have uncontrolled diabetic symptoms despite strict adherence to diabetes therapy. The disease is a major cause of vision loss, heart attacks, kidney failure, stroke, and limb amputation. In 2016, 1.8 million deaths were directly attributed to DM, with the majority occurring before the age of 70 years. The World Health Organisation projects diabetes to be the seventh leading cause of
death in 2030, unless new and far more robust and effective therapeutics are introduced to the market.\textsuperscript{5,6}

DM-associated inflammation is seen throughout DM development and progression, and has been strongly associated with T2D complications such as heart disease and dyslipidaemia, although many patients may not exhibit strong clinical inflammation or severe macro/micro vascular complications.\textsuperscript{7} Obesity is a risk factor in T2D, and has been linked to increased morbidity and poor glycaemic control. In a study by Szpigiel, et al; the authors showed that certain types of lipids in T2D can induce oxidative stress and inflammation, in mechanisms which are driven by immune cells.\textsuperscript{8} In another study by de Souza, et al; the authors showed that even in T2D patients with well-controlled symptoms, dyslipidaemia worsens diabetes-associated-inflammation and prognosis, which suggests direct link, in T2D, between inflammation, complications and glycaemic control.\textsuperscript{9} A study by CY, et al has shown that lipids and oxidants are not only exacerbating in inflammation, but also play an important role in initiating and promoting inflammation at the early stages of T2D.\textsuperscript{10} Thus, there is a need for new antidiabetic therapies, which are powerful, and can control symptoms, and alleviate diabetes-associated inflammation and complications. New treatments may include drugs with potent antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and lipid-lowering effects.

Probucol (PB) is an anti-atherosclerotic drug with potent antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and lipid-lowering effects. PB is taken orally and has poor solubility in water and variable gut absorption profile, which results in potentially severe side effects. Variation in gut absorption of PB can be due to variation in expression of gut proteins responsible for PB uptake, or the current formulations having unideal targeted delivery properties resulting in inconsistent uptake of PB and poor bioavailability.\textsuperscript{11} In one study, Rinninger, et al showed that specific protein transporters can have significant influence on the rate of PB cellular uptake.\textsuperscript{12} In another study, Ma, et al showed that the transporter ABCB1 exerted direct cellular and biological effects which significantly impacted PB cellular permeation, efficacy and safety profiles.\textsuperscript{13} PB targeted delivery is anticipated to optimise its absorption profile, and this can be achieved using the microencapsulation technology.\textsuperscript{14} Multiple studies in our laboratory have shown that sodium alginate (SA) polymer can be used to form microcapsules containing PB, for targeted delivery of PB, and incorporating bile acids into PB microcapsules can enhance further PB absorption and targeted delivery in the gut.\textsuperscript{15–20} However, to date, ideal SA-based microcapsules with robust targeted-delivery profiles have not been established, and thus, new polymers may need to be incorporated for better PB targeted delivery and cellular absorption. Eudragit polymers have good stability and have been proposed to enhance the targeted delivery and absorption of orally administered drugs.\textsuperscript{21–24} Accordingly, this study investigates the design and testing of new PB microcapsules incorporating bile acids and the polymer Eudragit, in terms of formulation stability, physical features, PB targeted release profile, and formulation effects on pancreatic β-cells. This study is part of our ongoing work on bile acids applications in drug delivery and diabetes therapy.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagents**

Alginate salt (99%, medium viscosity with 65% M to G), probucol, ursodeoxycholic acid, and (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-Diphenyltetrazolium Bromide) (MTT) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (Australia). Eudragit polymers were obtained from Evonik Industries (Victoria, Australia). 2',7'-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (DCFH-DA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (New South Wales, Australia) and 2,2'-azobis-2-methyl-propanimidamide, dihydrochloride (AAPH) was purchased from Sapphire Bioscience (New South Wales, Australia).

**Pancreatic NIT-1 β-Cells**

Cells were grown and processed in a Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s media with 11.5% foetal bovine serum, as per our protocols.\textsuperscript{25,26}

**Matrix Fabrication**

Formulations containing sodium alginate, a polymer: NM30D, RL30D or RS30D, and probucol were prepared without ursodeoxycholic acid (control) or with ursodeoxycholic acid (test). Microcapsules formed using our Ionic gelation vibrational jet flow technology, via Buchi-based system incorporating concentric nozzle connected with air supply, as per our established methods.\textsuperscript{19,27}

**Morphological, Chemical, Thermal and Electrokinetic Stability Measurements**

Microcapsule morphology was analysed using scanning electron microscopy, chemical stability was analysed using Fourier Transform Infra-Red spectroscopy, thermal stability was analysed using differential scanning
In brief, morphology was analysed using Zeiss Neon 40EsB FIBSEM (USA) of freshly made microcapsules, which were coated and multiple images taken, while for chemical analysis, microcapsules were placed in PerkinElmer Spectrum 2 IR (PerkinElmer, USA) and analysed for chemical bond-formation spectrometry. For thermal analysis, melting points were measured for the different microcapsules using single furnace DSC 6000 instrumentation (PerkinElmer, USA) via isothermal analysis, while for electrophoretic stability, electrophoretic potential of the formulations were assessed using the Malvern Zetasizer 3000HSa (Malvern, UK), using 1mL of micro-encapsulating formulations for each analysis, as per our well-established methodologies.\(^{29-31}\)

### Microcapsule Physical and Mechanical Resistance, Osmotic Stability and Drug pH-Release Measurements

The mechanical resistance of microcapsules was assessed by measuring their physical ability to remain intact, under continuous shaking for 2 weeks at acidic and alkaline pH values of 1.5 and 7.4, using our established methods, while osmotic stability was measured using changes in water contents and thus weight of microcapsules over 2 weeks period, as per our well-established methods.\(^{32,33}\) Release of probucol from each microcapsule was measured as percentage of cumulative drug uptake by media surrounding the microcapsules, over 8 hrs period at acidic and alkaline pH values (1.5 and 7.4). Probucol concentrations were measured using a spectrophotometer incorporated in a UV-dissolution instrument (PerkinElmer, USA), set at wavelength 241 nm, as per our established methods.\(^{32,34}\)

### Probucol Concentrations in NIT-1 Cells, Effects on Cell Number (Viability) and Cellular Antioxidant Activity Measurements

Intracellular uptake of probucol by NIT-1 cells was measured by incubating the microcapsules with the cells for 2 days, washing the cells to remove any media, rupturing them by sonication and analyzing intracellular contents for probucol concentrations. Intracellular uptake was measured at two different glucose concentrations, 5.5 and 35.5 mM to assess uptake at normoglycemia and hyperglycaemia. High pressure liquid chromatography was used to measure probucol concentrations as per our established methods.\(^{35}\) Cell number (expressed as viability percentage) was assessed using cell number provided by MTT assays established in our laboratory, where microcapsules were incubated with NIT-1 cells for 48 hrs prior to viability measurements.\(^{36}\) Antioxidant activity measurements were carried out at normoglycemia and hyperglycemia, for 48 hrs, using fluorescent activities through a mixture of dichloro-dihydro-fluorescein diacetate and 2,2'‑azobis-2-methyl-propanimidamide, dihydrochloride, which were mixed with the cells and microcapsules. The fluorescent activities were measured using an Enspire Multimode Plate Reader (PerkinElmer, USA) at 485 nm absorption wavelength and 538 nm emission wavelength. Data were normalized for cell viability.

### Statistical Analysis

Data are stated as means ± standard error of the mean and statistical analysis was done using parametric/non-parametric or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey posthoc as appropriate, using GraphPad Prism Version 7.04 (GraphPad, USA). Difference was reported when p-value <0.05 or p-value <0.01.

### Results and Discussion

#### Microcapsule Morphology, Chemical and Thermal Stability

The presence of different polymers (NM30D, RL30D or RS30D) or the bile acid ursodeoxycholic acid did not result in significant changes in the microcapsules’ size, morphology, shape (Figure 1A), chemical (Figure 1B) and thermal (Figure 1C) compatibilities. Size was assessed using SEM scale bar and shape was determined using visual assessment of the micrographs, while chemical and thermal compatibilities were assessed by comparing test with control in terms of peaks. This suggests that microcapsules’ constituents including probucol are compatible, and the encapsulating method is robust and consistent. Ursodeoxycholic acid incorporation with NM30D and RL30D polymers, in probucol formulation, enhanced Zeta-potential, with NM30D having the highest value of 94±3mV (Figure 1D). The increase in Zeta-potential suggests improved stability and such effects by ursodeoxycholic acid were formulation-dependent. This is in line with a previous study where ursodeoxycholic acid enhanced Zeta-potential of alginate-poly-l-ornithine formulation.\(^{37}\) Compatibility of microcapsules’ constituents
and the high electrokinetic stability is likely to produce microcapsules with stable mechanical properties and consistent drug release profiles.

**Microcapsule Physical and Mechanical Resilience, Osmotic Stability and Drug pH-Release Measurements**

Figure 2 shows microcapsules’ mechanical properties and resilience (Figure 2A), resistance to swelling and moisture loss (Figure 2B), and probucol release profiles (Figure 2C) at acidic and alkaline pH values of 1.5 and 7.4. Microcapsules resilience to mechanical and shear stress remained high regardless of the formulation or ursodeoxycholic acid incorporation, while water uptake and osmotic stability were enhanced by ursodeoxycholic acid incorporation only at alkaline pH. Results suggest that all six formulations had sufficient structural integrity to sustain their shape, and remain intact, regardless of changes in H⁺ concentrations and acidity of media, while the positive effects of ursodeoxycholic acid on osmotic stability are in line with previous studies showing membrane-stabilizing effects on alginate-based microcapsules. The three Eudragit polymers were chosen due to their wide solubility range and aqueous dispersion with NM30D being the only neutral copolymer with low viscosity, while RL30D having very high permeability and RS30D having very low permeability and widely used for its control release properties. The reason for osmotic stability being improved by ursodeoxycholic acid is possibly due to membrane-stabilizing effects, when combined with sodium alginate matrix and NM30D, RL30D or RS30D polymers. The consistently high mechanical resilience of the microcapsules is desirable, and mechanical strength is widely investigated in the literature. Chang, et al showed that incorporation of a unique short-chain alginate – co-MPEG biocompatible polymer into alginate-based microcapsules can significantly enhance mechanical strength and produce better delivery properties, while, Sun G and Zhang Z, compared mechanical strength of three different microcapsules made of different wall materials, and showed significant formulation-dependent properties as a result of changes of membrane composition of microcapsules and subsequent changes to microcapsules’ resilience when exposed to compression, deformation or rupture forces. Probucol release from microcapsules was negligible at the acidic pH, likely due...
to the alginate maintaining its chemical integrity and shape, and resisting disintegration and drug release. This is consistent with osmotic stability of microcapsules where they showed no water uptake at the acidic pH (Figure 2B). Probucol release from microcapsules was significant at alkaline pH, which suggests targeted-delivery properties at the lower part of the ileal mucosal, after oral administration of microcapsules. Probucol release at alkaline pH was low in RL30D microcapsules, and that was significantly lowered further by ursodeoxycholic acid, which suggests that RL30D polymer interacts with probucol or microcapsule’s excipient(s) and prevents full microcapsule disintegration and probucol release, and ursodeoxycholic acid exacerbate this effect. Ursodeoxycholic acid exists in two physicochemical states, amorphous and crystalline. The crystalline state may reduce solubility of microcapsule’s constituents including probucol, and this can result in lowering of probucol release from microcapsules due to slower disintegration and dissolution rates. Probucol release profile from the microcapsules at alkaline pH may influence probucol uptake by β-cells, and this was investigated in this paper (Figure 3).

**Probucol Cellular Uptake**

Probucol afflux into NIT-1 pancreatic cells was consistent with little variation of concentrations among all tested cells (Figure 3). There is a visible and strong intracellular uptake from the NM30D microcapsules incorporated with ursodeoxycholic acid (F2), which suggests that interaction between NM30D and ursodeoxycholic acid promotes probucol uptake by both improving cell mass and numbers, or by increasing amount of probucol accumulating in each cell. The positive anti-apoptotic effects of ursodeoxycholic acid are in line with the literature. In two published works, Rodrigues et al showed that ursodeoxycholic acid improved mass and function of hepatocytes, while Houten, et al have proposed that bile acids affect expression and functions of protein transporters located within cell membranes, and these transporters are responsible for drug uptake into the cells. Since ursodeoxycholic acid improved probucol uptake only in the NM30D group, this effect seems to be formulation-dependent. In order to ascertain if increasing probucol intracellular uptake was due to increase in cell mass and numbers, cell viability and oxidative stress were measured (Figure 4). Oxidative stress could be used to assess cell survival, long term, and the effects of microcapsules on oxidative stress may correlate to their long-term effects on viability and functions.

**Cellular Viability and Oxidative Stress Activities**

At normoglycemic state (5.5 mM glucose), cell exposure to microcapsules did not have any significant effect on cell

---

**Figure 2** Mechanical stability (A), osmotic stability (B) and probucol release profile from the microcapsules at pH 1.5 and 7.4. Data are mean ± SEM, n=3. **p<1%.
viability or oxidative stress, and all measured values remain very similar and with very little variations between measurements (Figure 4). At hyperglycemic state (35.5 mM glucose), the three control groups (F1, F3 and F5) showed similar cell viability, and oxidative stress levels, and incorporation of ursodeoxycholic acid enhanced cell viability among all

Figure 3 Pancreatic β-cells uptake of probucol release from the microcapsules at glucose 5.5 mM and 35.5 mM. Data are mean ± SEM, n=3. **p<1%.

Figure 4 Viability of NIT-1 cells after exposure to the microcapsules, and corresponding fluorescence (cellular oxidative stress) levels. Each treatment group (F2, F4 or F6) was compared with its corresponding control (F1, F3 or F5). Data are mean ± SEM, n=3. *p-value <0.05, ** p-value <1%. 
microcapsules, but reduced oxidative stress only in the NM30D group (F2). Some of our previous studies\textsuperscript{45–47} suggest that UDCA in microcapsule formulation may exert a protective effect on pancreatic β-cells, desirable insulin production, and cell functionality and reduced inflammatory profile suggesting potential applications in diabetes. The positive effects of NM30D-ursodeoxycholic acid on cell viability and oxidative stress (Figure 4) may be associated with the positive effects observed on the electrokinetic voltage and Zeta-potential (Figure 1), and strong targeted-release effects observed on microcapsules’ release of probucol (Figure 2C) as well as the significant increase in probucol cellular-uptake observed (Figure 3). It is worth stating that cell exposure to probucol was enabled by its encapsulation, due to its lack of solubility in media.

Accordingly, findings of this paper indicate positive effects of NM30D-ursodeoxycholic acid incorporation into alginate-probucol microcapsules, in terms of microcapsules’ stability, physical characteristics, drug release, drug cellular uptake, and cell viability and anti-oxidative stress effects, which suggest potential applications in probucol delivery and effects on pancreatic β-cells.
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