Prevalence of Facet Effusion and Its Relationship with Lumbar Spondylolisthesis and Low Back Pain: The Wakayama Spine Study
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Introduction: Facet effusion represents a magnetic resonance imaging finding suggesting accumulation of fluid in the facet joint, potentially predictive of lumbar spondylolisthesis and low back pain. However, its prevalence and epidemiological characteristics in the general population remain unclear, because previous studies only included patients or volunteers. The aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of facet effusion in the general population and to describe its potential relationship with spondylolisthesis and low back pain.

Material and methods: Our study enrolled 808 participants from the Wakayama Spine Study who underwent magnetic resonance imaging investigations in supine position. Facet effusion was defined as a measurable, curvilinear, high-intensity signal within the facet joint, closely matching that of cerebrospinal fluid on the axial T2 images. We used standing lateral radiographs to diagnose L4 spondylolisthesis.

Results: We found that the prevalence of facet effusion in the lumbar spine was 34.3%, which did not differ significantly between men and women (p=0.13) and did not tend to increase with age, either in men (p=0.81) or in women (p=0.65). Additionally, we found no significant association between facet effusion and low back pain (odds ratio, 1.04–1.49; 95% confidence interval, 0.80–1.47) or between facet effusion and L4 spondylolisthesis (odds ratio, 1.55; 95% confidence interval, 0.80–2.86; p=0.17). In a subset of participants with L4 spondylolisthesis, we also noted that facet effusion was not significantly associated with low back pain (odds ratio, 1.26; 95% confidence interval, 0.37–4.27; p=0.70).

Discussion: This is the first study of facet effusion employing a population-based cohort, and the findings are thus expected to accurately describe the relationship between facet effusion and low back pain in the general population. We are planning a follow-up survey of the Wakayama Spine Study cohort to clarify the natural history of facet effusion and its relationship with clinical symptoms.
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Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is known as a multifactorial symptom resulting from spinal sagittal imbalance, stenosis of the nerve root, compression of the cauda equina, degeneration of, or injury to various anatomical components of the spine including intervertebral discs, facet joints, and paraspinal muscles. When treating LBP, emphasis typically lies on determining the etiology, which is typically achieved using physical examination and imaging procedures, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Facet effusion (FE) in an MRI finding describing the accumulation...
of fluid in the facet joint and is believed to predict LBP originating from posterior components such as facet joints.1–3 However, few studies have assessed the relationship between FE and LBP, and there are significant discrepancies among the available reports.

FE has also been reported to be related to spondylolisthesis and intervertebral instability. Spondylolisthesis describes the anterior slippage of one vertebral body onto another, and patients typically complain of LBP, leg pain, and numbness. Lumbar spondylolisthesis is commonly seen in elderly patients. Chaput et al4 first described FE as a predictive factor of spondylolisthesis on radiography. Later, several authors reported a positive correlation between the amount of FE and the extent of segmental motion at the inferior intervertebral level in patients with spondylolisthesis.5–7 According to such reports,5–9 FE may reflect the spinal instability following segmental motion of the vertebrae, which, in the opinion of most spine surgeons, leads to LBP. Thus, in patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis and findings of FE, treatment involves spinal fusion in addition to decompression, as FE is thought to be strongly related to LBP.

However, previous studies only included patients or volunteers,1–11 suggesting selection bias and, consequently, limited applicability of their findings to the general population. Therefore, a population-based study is essential for clarifying the prevalence of FE and its potential relationship with LBP or spondylolisthesis.

In the present study, we first aimed to evaluate the epidemiological background of FE in a cohort of the general population, and then to investigate the potential association between FE and LBP or spondylolisthesis.

Materials and Methods
This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the study design was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Wakayama Medical University. All volunteers provided written informed consent for participation.

Participants
The Wakayama Spine Study is a population-based survey regarding degenerative spinal diseases,12–16 instituted as a sub-cohort of the Research on Osteoarthritis/osteoporosis Against Disability (ROAD) Study in Japan.17,18 ROAD is a nationwide, prospective study of bone and joint disease and consists of a population-based cohort originating from three regions, each with different characteristics: an urban region in Tokyo, a mountainous region in Wakayama, and a coastal region in Wakayama. The participants were enrolled in a given cohort based on their listings in the residence registry. The other inclusion criteria were the ability to travel to the survey site, report data, understand instructions, and sign the informed consent form. No exclusion criteria were applied.

The third clinical evaluation of the ROAD Study was held in 2012 for the residents from the mountainous region of Wakayama, and in 2013 for the residents from the coastal region of Wakayama. Of the ROAD Study participants who attended the third clinical evaluation, 1575 volunteers (718 residents of the mountainous region, 857 in the coastal region) participated in the second survey of the Wakayama Spine Study. Unfortunately, MRI could only be conducted for residents from the coastal area (857 participants). Of these, 42 participants with incomplete MRI records, 6 participants with MRI unsuitable for evaluation of the facet joints, and one participant who had previously undergone posterior lumbar fusion were excluded from the present analysis. Finally, 808 subjects were enrolled in the present study (Figure 1).

Board-certified orthopedic surgeons with ample experience interviewed the participants regarding the symptoms. The following question was used to assess the presence of LBP: “Have you experienced LBP on most days during the past month, in addition to now?” Participants who answered “yes” were defined as having LBP, according to the practice reported in previous studies.19–23

Plain Radiographic Evaluation
Participants basically underwent radiographic evaluation. Standing lateral radiographs of the whole spine and pelvis were taken using a 40-inch film. Each radiograph was aligned such that the edge of the film was taken as the reference for the vertical axis. As described in detail elsewhere,24 the participants were instructed to stand in a comfortable position, with the hips and knees fully extended, the arms flexed, and the hands resting on supports at the level of their shoulders. L4 spondylolisthesis was diagnosed if anterior slippage >3 mm was noted for the L4 vertebra.25

MRI Evaluation
A mobile MRI unit (Achieva 1.5 T; Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands) was used. Whole-spine MRI was performed for all participants on the same day as the rest of the clinical examination. The participants lay supine during the MRI examination, and those with
Facet effusion was defined as a clinically measurable (> or = 1 mm), curvilinear, high-intensity signal within the facet joint, closely matching that of cerebrospinal fluid on the axial T2 images. Patients were defined as showing FE at a given intervertebral level if FE was noted in one or both facet joints at that intervertebral level (Figure 2).

**Statistical Analysis**

The participants’ demographic characteristics and prevalence of FE were summarized using descriptive statistics. Chi-square tests were used to analyze categorical data, whereas Student’s t-tests were used to compare parametric continuous variables between groups of male and female participants. The Cochran-Armitage trend test was used to calculate the statistical significance of the prevalence of FE trend across age-based groups.

The relationship between intervertebral level and prevalence of FE was analyzed using the chi-square test followed by residual analysis to determine the statistical significance of cells in the tables. Cells with adjusted standardized residuals >1.96 were considered to indicate significantly higher prevalence than expected, whereas cells with residuals <-1.96 were considered to indicate significantly lower prevalence than expected.

Multivariable logistic regression models were used to determine the relationship between FE and low back pain...
after adjusting for age, sex, and body mass index (BMI). Five models were analyzed, one for each of five disk levels (L1/2, L2/3, L3/4, L4/5, L5/S). A total of 5 participants were excluded from this analysis because they had not answered the question regarding LBP.

Next, the relationship between L4 spondylolisthesis and FE at L4/5 was assessed using multivariable logistic regression models adjusted for age, sex, and BMI; this analysis included eligible 793 participants, as 12 participants did not undergo the sagittal spinal radiograph, and 3 participants had poor radiographs, insufficient to assess spondylolisthesis. Furthermore, the 90 participants with L4 spondylolisthesis were stratified for examining the relationship between LBP and FE at L4/5, which has been often discussed in relation to the fusion surgery. A multivariable logistic regression model adjusted for age, sex, and BMI was tested again.

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro version 12 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA). A p-value of 0.05 was considered to indicate a significant difference.

Results

Table 1 provides an overview of the characteristics of the 808 participants included in this study, including demographic measurements and prevalence of FE, L4 spondylolisthesis, and LBP. The overall prevalence of FE (ie, at any intervertebral level of the lumbar spine) was 34.3%, with no significant difference between male and female participants (men, 38.1%; women, 32.6%). The prevalence of L4 spondylolisthesis and LBP was 11.4% and 38.7%, respectively.

No linear trend in the prevalence of FE was observed across age strata, either in male or in female participants (Cochran Armitage trend test: p=0.81 for men, p=0.65 for women; Table 2).

Table 3 summarizes the prevalence of FE across the five intervertebral levels of the lumbar spine. In men and women, respectively, levels L2/3, L3/4 and L4/5 showed higher prevalence of FE, although they were not statistically significant.

On multiple logistic regression analysis adjusted by sex, age, and BMI, no significant association was noted between LBP and FE at any particular intervertebral level (Table 4).

Furthermore, multiple logistic regression analysis after adjustment for age, sex, and BMI revealed no significant association between L4 spondylolisthesis and presence of FE at L4/5 (n=793; odds ratio, 1.53; 95% confidence interval, 0.78–2.81; p=0.2049). No significant association between FE at L4/5 and LBP was noted in the stratified participants with L4 spondylolisthesis (n=90; odds ratio, 1.26; 95% confidence interval, 0.37–4.27; p=0.70).

Discussion

We provide the first report to describe the prevalence of FE in a population-based cohort. The overall prevalence of FE was 34.3%, which did not differ significantly between males and females, and did not tend to increase with age. Furthermore, we found no significant association between

### Table 1 Characteristics of Residents from the Coastal Area of Wakayama, Who Underwent Magnetic Resonance Imaging as Part of the Wakayama Spine Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of participants</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>564</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic characteristic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>63.5±13.1</td>
<td>63.1±14.0</td>
<td>63.7±12.7</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height cm</td>
<td>157.4±8.97</td>
<td>166.8±6.73</td>
<td>153.3±6.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>57.2±11.4</td>
<td>66.6±10.8</td>
<td>53.1±8.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.0±3.65</td>
<td>23.9±3.50</td>
<td>22.6±3.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of facet effusion</td>
<td>277/808 (34.3%)</td>
<td>93/244 (38.1%)</td>
<td>184/564 (32.6%)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of L4 spondylolisthesis</td>
<td>90/790 (11.4%)</td>
<td>18/241 (7.47%)</td>
<td>72/549 (13.1%)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of low back pain</td>
<td>311/803 (38.7%)</td>
<td>93/242 (38.4%)</td>
<td>218/561 (38.9%)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or as number (percentage). The p-values were determined using a Student’s t-test or a Chi-square test.
Specifically, previous studies\(^1,^2\) focused on patients or volunteers, whereas the present study used a population-based cohort. Ethnic differences may also play a role.

We noted that the prevalence of FE did not increase with age. We believe this observation may be related to the fact that FE does not represent an irreversible finding on MRI. Kirkaldy-Willis\(^3\) divided the degenerative process in the lumbar spine into the following three pathological stages. In the initial stage, dysfunction is reflected as minimal changes in anatomical pathology. In the intermediate stage, there are changes related to spinal instability and disc degeneration; specifically, the ligaments and posterior capsule of facet joints are lax, which results in abnormal range of movement characterized as lumbar segmental instability. The last stage consists of restabilization of the joint due to progressively decreased movement as a result of fibrosis and osteophyte formation in the facet joints. In fact, some authors suggested that effusion width is representative of segmental intervertebral instability,\(^5^–^9\) with one report concluding that effusion is decreased in the restabilized motion segment.\(^7\)

Although we had originally hypothesized that FE would be associated with LBP, our results suggested no significant association. Few reports have described the relationship between FE and LBP.\(^1^–^3\) Lakadamyali et al\(^1\) and Manika et al\(^2\) hypothesized that FE was indicative of LBP caused by facet joint disorders, and indeed found that FE was significantly more prevalent in patients with LBP. Specifically, Lakadamyali et al\(^1\) investigated the association between LBP and MRI findings in 372 patients with LBP and 249 healthy volunteers. They found that the prevalence of FE in patients with LBP (85.5%) was significantly higher than that among volunteers (45.8%), and therefore suggested that FE may represent a cause of LBP or a manifestation of the cause of LBP. Manika et al\(^2\) also investigated the relationship between LBP and FE in 75 patients with LBP and 75 controls and found a lower prevalence of FE among the controls (75%) than among the patients with LBP (85%). However, they noted that FE was commonly seen among the controls and concluded that FE did not represent a sufficiently specific finding for diagnosing LBP. Suri et al\(^3\) reviewed the clinical records of 64 patients with LBP and noted that the presence of FE at any level was significantly associated with LBP on the same side of the lower back. In the present study, we did not find a significant association between FE and LBP. Our results suggest that FE may represent a common

### Table 2 Prevalence of Facet Effusion Across Age Strata Among 808 Participants of the Wakayama Spine Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Strata</th>
<th>Male (n=244)</th>
<th>Female (n=564)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50 years</td>
<td>15/39 (38.5%)</td>
<td>19/72 (26.4%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59 years</td>
<td>19/47 (40.4%)</td>
<td>44/125 (35.2%)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69 years</td>
<td>26/80 (32.5%)</td>
<td>56/166 (33.7%)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70 years</td>
<td>33/78 (42.3%)</td>
<td>65/201 (32.3%)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as number (percentage). A participant was de novo defined as showing facet effusion if corresponding magnetic resonance findings were noted in more than one intervertebral level of the lumbar spine.

### Table 3 Prevalence of Facet Effusion Across the Five Intervertebral Levels of the Lumbar Spine Among 808 Participants of the Wakayama Spine Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Male (n=244)</th>
<th>Female (n=564)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1/2</td>
<td>16 (6.6%)</td>
<td>28 (5.0%)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2/3</td>
<td>29 (11.9%)</td>
<td>62 (11.0%)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3/4</td>
<td>41 (16.8%)</td>
<td>66 (11.7%)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4/5</td>
<td>31 (12.7%)</td>
<td>69 (12.2%)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5/S</td>
<td>17 (7.0%)</td>
<td>35 (6.2%)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as number (percentage).

### Table 4 Association Between Low Back Pain and Facet Effusion at Each of the Five Intervertebral Levels of the Lumbar Spine, Obtained Based on Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Questionnaire Data from 803 Participants of the Wakayama Spine Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1/2</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.57–2.01</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2/3</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.85–2.06</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3/4</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.73–1.69</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4/5</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.67–1.61</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5/S</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.84–2.64</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data were obtained via multivariable logistic regression analysis, after adjustment for age, sex, and body mass index.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

the presence of FE and LBP, either in the general population or even in volunteers with spondylolisthesis. In this study, we analyze more than 800 participants, so we consider statistical power to be sufficient. Further investigations are warranted to clarify the natural history of FE and its relationship with clinical symptoms of the lumbar spine. In this direction, we plan a follow-up longitudinal survey of the Wakayama Spine Study cohort, including additional radiographic investigations and clinical evaluation.

The prevalence of FE noted in our study is lower than the previously quoted values, which ranged between 46% and 86%.\(^1^,^2\) This discrepancy regarding the prevalence of FE may be related to the composition of the study population.
degenerative finding in elderly individuals, regardless of whether or not they present with LBP.

Despite our original assumption, we found that FE was not associated with degenerative spondylolisthesis. More importantly, we found no significant association between FE and LBP in participants with spondylolisthesis. To our knowledge, few reports have described the relationship between FE and LBP in such patients. Lattin et al11 proposed that FE alone need not be an indication for adding fusion to decompression in the treatment of spondylolisthesis, because no significant difference in postoperative outcome was noted between decompression alone and decompression with fusion. Nevertheless, because there is a prevalent concept that FE is associated with LBP, spinal fusion is generally added in the management of patients with FE. Our results suggest that the decision to add spinal fusion merely based on the presence of FE should be avoided, and alternative surgical options should be considered.

The present study has several limitations. First, this was a cross-sectional study, and thus we could not clarify the natural history of FE or causal relationship between FE and LBP. Nevertheless, the Wakayama Spine Study is a longitudinal survey, and we expect that further study will help elucidate these points. Second, the participants were recruited from a single area, and there is a possibility that the study sample may not represent the general population. To assess the possibility of sampling-related bias, we compared the anthropometric data obtained for the participants of this study against those reported for the general Japanese population,27 and noted a significant difference regarding BMI for both men (23.9±3.50 vs. 24.0±3.36 kg/m^2, p<0.01) and women (22.6±3.65 vs. 22.3±3.69 kg/m^2, p<0.05). Therefore, it is possible that the prevalence of FE and LBP noted among the participants enrolled in the present study might differ from the prevalence of FE and LBP among the general Japanese population. However, we believe that our findings from the Wakayama Spine Study describing the relationship between FE and LBP can indeed be generalized. Third, we could not confirm whether FE was indeed associated with spinal segmental instability because we did not examine flexion-extension radiographs of the lumbar spine. Finally, in the multivariable logistic regression analyses, we did not control for other degenerative findings potentially associated with FE, such as osteoarthritis of the facet joints, because we did not have such data. Future studies should consider such degenerative MRI findings.

Conclusion

We provide the first report to describe the prevalence of FE in a population-based cohort. The overall prevalence of FE was 34.3%, which did not differ significantly between males and females, and did not tend to increase with age. Furthermore, we found no significant association between the presence of FE and LBP, either in the general population or even in the stratified subgroup with spondylolisthesis. Further investigations are warranted to clarify the natural history of FE and its relationship with clinical symptoms of the lumbar spine. In this direction, we plan a follow-up longitudinal survey of the Wakayama Spine Study cohort, including additional radiographic investigations and clinical evaluation.
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