Open Access Full Text Article

### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

## Relation of the Psychological Constructs of Resilience, Mindfulness, and Self-Compassion on the Perception of Physical and Mental Health

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal: Psychology Research and Behavior Management

Ángela Asensio-Martínez<sup>1–3</sup> Bárbara Oliván-Blázquez<sup>1–3</sup> Jesús Montero-Marín<sup>1,2</sup> Bárbara Masluk 10<sup>1–3</sup> Ricardo Fueyo-Díaz<sup>2,3</sup> Santiago Gascón-Santos 10<sup>1–3</sup> Francisco Gudé 10<sup>1,4</sup> Arturo Gónzalez-Quintela<sup>1,5</sup> Javier García-Campayo 10<sup>1,2,6</sup> Rosa Magallón-Botaya<sup>1,2,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Network on Preventive Activities and Health Promotion (RedIAPP), Zaragoza 50009, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Health Research Institute of Aragon, Zaragoza 50009, Spain; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychology and Sociology, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50005, Spain; <sup>4</sup>Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Santiago de Compostela Hospital, Santiago de Compostela 15706, Spain; <sup>5</sup>Departamento de Medicina Interna, Santiago de Compostela Hospital, Santiago de Compostela 15706, Spain; <sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, Psychiatry and Dermatology, University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50009, Spain

**Purpose:** Health factors that enhance an individual's ability to perceive and maintain health and well-being are referred to as "health assets". Of these assets, resilience, mindfulness and self-compassion are considered to be of special importance. The objective of this study was to analyze the association between these psychological constructs on the perception of physical and mental health in a general population.

**Patients and methods:** A descriptive and analytical cross-sectional study was conducted with 845 participating subjects. The outcome variable was the individual's perception of physical and mental health, measured using the SF-36 questionnaire. The independent variables were: sociodemographic variables, medical information, physical activity performance (using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire), tobacco and alcohol consumption, anxiety and/or depression (using the Goldberg Anxiety and Depression Scale), resilience (using the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale), mindfulness (with the Five Facets of Mindfulness Questionnaire Short Form) and self-compassion (using the Self-compassion scale-short form). A correlation analysis, simple linear regression and multiple linear regression were carried out, controlling for the influence of the distinct independent variables.

**Results:** The constructs of resilience, mindfulness and self-compassion are significant, in the perception of both physical and mental health. Other factors appearing in the multiple regression are gender, age, educational level, physical activity and tobacco consumption, in a positive or negative sense.

**Conclusion:** The study of these associations is fundamental for the understanding of underlying regulation processes of healthy lifestyles in the general population.

**Keywords:** perception of physical and mental health, resilience, mindfulness, selfcompassion

#### Introduction

Establishing a definition for the concept of complete and globally accepted health is clearly a challenge since societal changes have modified the concept of health and wellbeing over recent decades based on current knowledge, beliefs, and values. The 1946 WHO definition, which considers health to be the state of physical, mental, and social wellbeing and not only the absence of disease or infirmity,<sup>1</sup> began the movement of change towards a psychological, multifaceted, and holistic conceptualization of health that is not exclusively biological.<sup>2,3</sup>

Based on the concept of health, its determinants have been studied. These health determinants may be defined as the set of personal and social, economic and

Correspondence: Bárbara Oliván-Blázquez Department of Psychology and Sociology, University of Zaragoza, Violante de Hungria 23, Zaragoza 50009, Spain Tel +34 876554547 Fax +34 976 254006 Email barbaraolivan@gmail.com



© 2019 Asensio-Martínez et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress. accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. for permission for commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial uses of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). environmental factors that determine the state of health of individuals or populations.<sup>4</sup> One of the most widely used models for the analysis of these health determinants was created by Dahlgren and Whitehead<sup>5</sup> and it presents the main determinants of health as a range of concentric hierarchical layers in which each of the outer layers determines the successive central layers. Here, individuals are located, with modifiable markers such as age, gender, and genetic predisposition, while remaining sensitive to the influence and interactions (positive or negative) of a series of modifiable factors. Of these factors, those that enhance the ability of the individual, community and population to perceive and maintain health and wellbeing are defined as "Health Assets", according to the theory of salutogenesis.<sup>6</sup> These factors may include resilience,7,8 conscious attention9 or mindfulness, and selfcompassion.<sup>10</sup> Resilience has been studied as a health asset,<sup>7,11-13</sup> and diverse studies have specified its action mechanism which is related to stress management.<sup>14,15</sup> Mindfulness and self-compassion, which may also be considered health assets,<sup>16</sup> are based on stress management. Furthermore, diverse studies have described a link between resilience, mindfulness and self-compassion.<sup>17,18</sup>

Resilience may be defined as the process of overcoming the negative effects of risk exposure, successful coping with traumatic experiences, and avoiding negative trajectories associated with risk.<sup>19</sup> Health resilience has been conceptualized as the ability to maintain good health amidst great adversity.<sup>7,8</sup> Research studies carried out on patients with various pathologies, both physical<sup>20–27</sup> and mental<sup>28–32</sup> have demonstrated the positive effects of resilience in the prevention, treatment, and quality of life.

The concept of mindfulness refers to attention or awareness and being present.<sup>33</sup> In the current psychology, mindfulness is considered a psychological resource to improve awareness, and to effectively respond to mental processes that contribute to psycho-emotional stress and maladaptive behaviors.<sup>9</sup> Sternberg<sup>34</sup> interprets mindfulness as a cognitive style, rather than a personality trait or skill. It is considered to be a trainable skill, with this training being associated with the regulation of "topdown" emotions over the short term, and "bottom-up" emotions over the long term.<sup>35</sup> Ample scientific evidence has confirmed the efficacy of various therapies that are based on mindfulness for the treatment of different aspects of health as well as physical and mental disorders.<sup>36–48</sup>

Compassion may be defined as a psychological construct that involves cognitive, affective, and behavioral<sup>49</sup> characteristics, in acknowledgment of one's own anguish or that of

others, and as an attempt to alleviate it. It has been studied in terms of receiving the compassion of others, and as compassion for oneself (self-compassion).<sup>50</sup> Self-compassion involves offering oneself the same care, comfort, and serenity that one naturally gives to a loved one who is suffering. Self-compassion and compassion for others are relevant in the field of emotional development, specifically in coping and emotional regulation. Instead of substituting negative thoughts for positive ones, new emotions are generated that accept the negative, permitting a clearer understanding of the situation, and implementation of effective and appropriate actions.<sup>10</sup> Various studies have analyzed the efficacy of selfcompassion in the improvement of different aspects of health and physical and mental diseases,<sup>51,52</sup> including behaviors that promote health.<sup>53</sup> quality of life.<sup>54</sup> self-esteem.<sup>55,56</sup> and well-being.<sup>57</sup> Compassion is considered to be a trainable skill that facilitates change.58

The study of the relation of these psychological constructs on the perception of physical and mental health has not been examined in depth in a non-clinical population, but the main interest when studying these psychological constructs and their relationship with perception of physical and mental health lies in their relation with stress management,<sup>14–16</sup> and coping, based on an increase in positive emotions,<sup>59,60</sup> and, therefore, their relationship with health-promoting behaviors. It should be noted that these constructs are trainable,<sup>13,58,61</sup> and thereby, may be relevant when developing lifestyle modification programs.<sup>62</sup> Therefore, the objective of this study was to analyze the relationship of psychological constructs such as resilience, mindfulness, and self-compassion on the perception of physical and mental health in the general population.

#### Materials and Methods Design: Cross-Sectional Study Sample and Sample Size

This study was conducted in the municipality of A Estrada, Galicia (Spain), with an adult population (18 years and older) of 18,897 residents at the onset of the study in 2012. The inclusion criteria were: 1) population aged 18 years and older; 2) proficiency in spoken Spanish or ability to communicate; 3) provide informed consent. The exclusion criterion was the presence of severe chronic disease (dementia, mental retardation, cerebrovascular disease, terminal cancer, etc.).

The sample size required to make inferences for each construct was calculated. In this type of study, given that the correlations are average-high between the instruments, the sample size is not very large. However, based on past studies,<sup>28,63–65</sup> using a 95% confidence level, an estimation precision of 3.5 points and assuming estimation rates of 50% (a value that maximizes the sample size), a total of 784 individuals are needed. However, we believe that the incomplete data rate from incomplete questionnaires would be quite high, approximately, 50%, and therefore, the required sample size would increase to 1568 individuals. So, a very large number of consent forms were signed, resulting in a sample of 845 participants. The effect size, measured using Cohen's d, in these cases, is for all of the analyzed variables over 0.8.

These patients were randomly selected from the Healthcare Registry. A computer program (sample function in R) generated a random sample of an equal number of subjects, stratified by age group (in 7 categories, every 10 years). Of the initially selected sample of 3500 subjects, 639 could not be contacted, 134 lived outside of A Estrada, 19 did not have healthcare coverage, and 84 were deceased. Of the remaining eligible subjects (n=2624), 394 were excluded due to failure to meet the inclusion criteria. The exclusion criteria were cognitive deterioration, immobility, ambulatory problems, active neoplasia, addiction, terminal diseases, cerebrovascular disease, severe psychiatric diseases, social disorders, pregnancy, Parkinson's, and sensory problems. 714 subjects refused to participate and 1516 signed the informed consent form, of which 845 participants completed the questionnaire.

#### Variables and Instruments Used

The outcome variable of the study was the perception of individual physical and mental health, measured by the questionnaire. This questionnaire is self-SF-36 administered and includes 36 items<sup>66</sup> measuring 8 aspects of health: physical function, physical problems, pain, general health, vitality, social function, emotional problems and mental health. In addition, it includes an item termed Declared Evolution of Health. The 8 dimensions define 2 main health components: general physical and general mental aspects, in which scores above or below 50 indicate higher or lower health statuses, respectively, as compared to the average population. The items are scored on a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 3, 5, or 6, depending on the type of item. The 8 scales range from 0 to 100, and higher scores indicate better health. The Spanish version of the questionnaire was used, having a maximum Cronbach's alpha of 0.7 on all of the dimensions, except for social function

 $(\alpha=0.45)$ .<sup>67</sup> The Cronbach's alpha obtained in this study was 0.860; therefore, the reliability may be considered to be good.

The independent variables of the study are:

- Demographic and social data: gender, age, civil status, education level, and work history. These were collected through prepared questions.<sup>68</sup>

- Medical History: Information on previous illnesses was collected by reviewing the patient's medical history.<sup>69</sup>

- Physical Activity: The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) was used in its abridged form (9 items), translated and validated in Spanish.<sup>70</sup> Subjects answered questions about their physical activity over the past 7 days. In the reliability analysis of the short version of IPAQ, 75% of the correlation coefficients observed were approximately 0.65, with a range of 0.88 and 0.32.<sup>71</sup> When classifying the subjects in order to obtain continuous results, the data were converted to METS (measurement unit of metabolic index) adding the duration (in minutes) and the frequency (in days). The IPAQ divides subjects into 3 levels or categories of physical activity: low, moderate, and high.

- Smoking: This was measured as the number of cigarettes regularly consumed every day. Subjects who smoked at least one cigarette a day were considered smokers, and subjects who had given up smoking for at least 1 year were considered ex-smokers.<sup>69</sup>

- Alcohol consumption: This was measured in Standard Drinking Units (UBE) consumed weekly, and the grams of alcohol consumed per week was subsequently calculated. Individuals were classified in 4 alcohol consumption risk groups: 0–9 grams/week, 10–139 gr/wk, 140–279 gr/wk, and 280+ gr/wk.<sup>72</sup> This variable was analyzed in this way for the entire sample, regardless of gender.

- Depression and Anxiety: The Goldberg Anxiety and Depression Scale was used in its Spanish version, validated by Montón et al<sup>73</sup> having a sensitivity of 83.1% and a specificity of 81.8%. The Cronbach's alpha obtained in this study was 0.568 for the depression subscale, and 0.503 in the anxiety subscale; therefore, the reliability may be considered to be poor. It consists of two self-administered scales of 9 items each. The options for each answer are yes or no. Scores above 2 on the depression scale, and above 4 on the anxiety scale were considered probable cases of depression and anxiety, respectively.<sup>74</sup>

- Resilience: This variable was evaluated using the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC), which is a self-administered questionnaire consisting of 10 items.

1157

These items correspond to a Likert-type scale of 5 answer choices, ranging from 0 (never) to 4 (almost always). The final score is the sum of the answers from each item (ranging from 0 to 40) with higher scores indicating higher resilience levels. In this study, the Spanish version of this scale created by Soler Sánchez et al<sup>75</sup> was used, which has an adequate internal consistency ( $\alpha$ =0.87). The Cronbach's alpha obtained in this study was 0.860; therefore, the reliability may be considered to be good.

- Mindfulness: The shorter Dutch version of the Five Facets of Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ-SF) was used. This version includes 24 items<sup>76</sup> and is validated for the clinical population (with anxiety and depression symptomatology). However, for a general population of Europeans having good psychometric properties ( $\alpha$ >0.70) in all dimensions, a direct translation of the items from the original FFMO validated in Spanish by Cebolla et al<sup>77</sup> was used. The Cronbach's alpha obtained in this study ranged between 0.65 ("Observing" factor) and 0.80 ("Acting with awareness" factor); therefore, the reliability may be considered good and acceptable.<sup>78</sup> The items are responded to on a scale from 1 (never or very rarely) to 5 (very often or always). The five facets of mindfulness were subsequently evaluated: observation, description, act aware, not judging internal experiences, and not reacting to internal experiences. A summative general score of items from 24 to 120 was obtained, taking into the account the presence of items scored in an inverse manner, and an individual score was obtained from each subscale of items 5 to 25, except for the "observe" dimension ranging from 4 to 20. Higher scores indicate greater capacities for mindfulness.

- Self-compassion: This was evaluated using the Selfcompassion scale-short form (SCS-SF), which is a selfadministered questionnaire consisting of 12 items. It is responded to using a Likert-type scale, from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always). Six subscales are also evaluated, including kindness to oneself, common humanity, and mindfulness, and a high score suggests a high level of self-compassion. On the other hand, on the subscales of self-judgment, isolation, and over-identification, a high score refers to a low level of self-compassion. The Spanish version validated by García-Campayo et al<sup>79</sup> was used, having an internal consistency of ( $\alpha$ =0,85). The Cronbach's alpha obtained in this study was 0.768; therefore, the reliability may be considered to be acceptable.

#### Procedures

The recruitment of subjects took place between November 2012 and March 2015. All of the randomly

selected subjects were initially contacted by mail and subsequently by telephone to explain the study and refer them to the health center. If they decided to participate, they were assigned an appointment at which they filled out a paper data collection notebook in the presence of a research assistant who also explained the study, obtained informed consent, answered questions, and collected the questionnaires. Physicians were asked to complete a questionnaire based on the subject's clinical history. Each patient was then assigned a random anonymous identification number, to ensure data confidentiality.

#### Statistical Analysis

First, a descriptive analysis of the sample was conducted to obtain the mean and standard deviation for the quantitative variables, and the frequency and percentages for the qualitative variables. Due to the large sample size, parametric tests were deemed appropriate, since in large samples even if the data distribution is not normal, statistics tend to be normal.<sup>80</sup> To analyze the relationship of the psychological constructs on the dependent variables of physical and mental health perceptions, a correlation between the quantitative variables was performed using the Pearson Correlation Coefficient. Based on the significant correlations found, a simple linear regression was carried out with the variables, to parse out the significance and potential predictor capabilities of the independent variables. Finally, a multiple linear regression was performed, controlling for the influence of the various independent variables,<sup>81</sup> including the interaction between the variables of gender and alcohol consumption, since it was considered that they may be of relevance in the model if consumption differs based on gender. The following variables were categorized into two categories to be included in a multiple regression:<sup>81</sup> Civil status (with and without partner), education level (with and without studies), Tobacco (non-smoker/smoker), IPAQ (low physical activity and high physical activity), alcohol (abstinent and intense consumer). All of the variables were simultaneously introduced in the regression models to obtain a better fitting result upon statistical analysis. Standardized slopes were used in order to compare the explanatory power of the different variables introduced in the regression models since these variables do not always use the same unit of measure. The subscales of the measures of mindfulness and self-compassion were used in the analyzed models.

Data from the questionnaire were statistically analyzed with the SPSS20 and AMOS v20 statistical packages. All

significance levels were established at 0.05. Scales without data were eliminated.

#### **Ethical Considerations**

The study was approved by the Ethical Research Committee of Santiago de Compostela, Spain (2012–025), and by the Ethical Research Committee of Aragon, Spain (15/2017). The study was performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. All of the subjects completed an informed consent form, and their data were anonymized.

#### Results

Tables 1 and 2 present the sample characteristics based on various sociodemographic variables, and physical and mental health, respectively. Table 3 presents the values of the analyzed psychological constructs. It is evident that the sample presents average values on resilience and the facets of observe, and not reacting to the mindfulness paradigm (evaluated by FFMQ-SF), and high-average values on the remaining mindfulness facets and on self-compassion.

Table 4 presents the significant correlations from the bivariate analysis, and from the simple linear regression between the psychological constructs of resilience,

Table	I	Sociodemographic	Sample	Characteristics <sup>a</sup>
-------	---	------------------	--------	------------------------------

Age <sup>b</sup> (range: 18–88)	49.16 (16.97)
Gender, male*	386 (43.8)
Civil Status*	
Married	558 (63.3)
Widowed	63 (7.1)
Separated/Divorced	58 (6.6)
Single	203 (23.0)
Education Level*	
No education	146 (16.6)
Primary Education	382 (43.4)
Secondary Education	225 (25.5)
College Education	129 (14.5)
Work Status*	
Working	382 (43.4)
Temporary disability	15 (1.7)
Unemployed	150 (17.0)
Housekeeper	65 (7.4)
Retired	212 (24.1)
Student	43 (4.9)
Other	15 (1.7)
Working, for at least one year*	744 (84.4)

Notes: <sup>a</sup>n=882; <sup>b</sup>mean (SD); \*Frequency (percentage).

Table 2 Physical and Mental Health Characteristics of the Sample<sup>a</sup>

Physical Health				
SF-36 <sup>b,c</sup>	46.42 (9.74)			
Smoker*				
No	457(51.8)			
Ex-smoker	235(26.6)			
Yes	190(21.5)			
Alcohol*				
0–9 gr/week	328(37.2)			
10–139 gr/week	355(40.2)			
140–279 gr/week	120(13.6)			
280+ gr/week	79(9.0)			
Physical Activity*				
Low	328(37.2)			
Moderate	327(37.1)			
High	227(25.7)			
Comorbidity*				
Obese or overweight	261 (29.5)			
Hypertension	230 (26.1)			
Diabetes mellitus (type 2)	85 (9.6)			
Hyperlipidemia	224 (25.4)			
Myocardial ischemia	32 (3.6)			
Heart failure	14 (1.6)			
Renal failure	13 (1.5)			
Hepatic disease	43 (4.9)			
Asthma	55 (6.2)			
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	11 (1.2)			
Rheumatic disease	12 (1.4)			
Cardiovascular disease	13 (1.5)			
Osteoporosis	23 (2.6)			
Cancer	36 (4.1)			
Psoriasis	33 (3.7)			
Thyroid disease	65 (7.4)			
Migraines	50 (5.7)			
Mental Health				
SF-36 <sup>b,c</sup>	48.65 (11.61)			
Anxiety, yes*	193 (22.0)			
Depression, yes*	219 (24.9)			
Previous episode of depression, yes*	123 (13.9)			

**Notes:** <sup>a</sup>n=882; <sup>b</sup>Mean (SD); <sup>c</sup>Summative standardized component of SF-36; \*Frequency (percentage).

mindfulness, and self-compassion, with the perception of physical and mental health. As previously mentioned, a linear association exists between the changes in the scores between physical and mental health perception, and the subsequent psychological constructs: higher values in resilience; the dimensions "describe", "act aware", and "non-react" of mindfulness; are related to better physical health perception. Higher values in the "self-judgment"

	N	Mean (SD)	<b>R</b> ange <sup>a</sup>		
Resilience	828	26.87(7.21)	040		
Mindfulness					
FFMQ-SF					
Observe	778	13,20 (3.59)	4–20		
Describe	795	16.47(4.31)	5–25		
Act Aware	744	20.00(3.71)	5–25		
No judgment	773	16.57 (4.07)	5–25		
No reaction	733	12.98(3.31)	5–25		
Self-Compassion					
Kindness to oneself	791	3.20(0.99)	I-5		
Common Humanity	778	3.08(1.00)	I5		
Mindfulness	797	3.60(1.05)	I5		
Self-judgment	781	2.95(1.11)	I5		
Isolation	791	2.83(1.19)	I5		
Over-identification	792	3.16(1.72)	I–5		

Table 3 Psychological Characteristics of Sample

**Note:** <sup>a</sup>Range: minimum to maximum of questionnaires.

dimension implying lower levels of compassion, since they had a negative Pearson value, are related to a poorer physical health perception. As for mental health perception, a linear association exists for the following psychological constructs: higher values in resilience; the dimensions "describe", "act aware", "non-judgment", and "non-react" of mindfulness; and the dimensions of "selfkindness", "mindfulness" of self-compassion are related to better mental health perception. While higher values in "self-judgment" "isolation", and "over-identification" of self-compassion, which imply lower levels of compassion, given that they have a negative Pearson value, are related to a poorer mental health perception. There is no apparent significant correlation between the remaining variables.

The results of the multiple linear regression may be seen in Tables 5 and 6. The results presented in Table 5 reveal that the obtained model explains 21% of the variability in the physical health perception. Controlling for the influence of the variables with regards to one another, the influence is significantly positive for the variables of gender (p<0.01), education (p<0.01), physical activity (p<0.05), for the psychological construct of resilience (p<0.05), the dimension "act aware" in the psychological construct of mindfulness (p<0.01), and it is significantly negative relation with the variables of age (p<0.01), and the "mindfulness" dimension of the psychological construct of self-compassion (p<0.01). The results presented in Table 6 show that the obtained model explains 30% of the variability of the mental health perception. Controlling for the independent variables, the influence is significantly positive for the psychological construct of resilience (p<0.05), the dimension "act aware" of the psychological construct of mindfulness (p<0.05), the dimension "no judgment" of the psychological construct of mindfulness (p<0.01), the dimension "kindness to oneself" of the psychological construct of (self) compassion (p<0.01), and it is significantly negative relation with the variables of smoking (p<0.05) and the dimension "describe" of the psychological construct of mindfulness (p<0.05).

The gender-alcohol consumption interaction does not appear in either of the tables since it is not significant in any of the models. In the case of Table 5, the coefficient was 0.480 (p value = 0.857) and for Table 6, the interaction coefficient was -0.179 (p value = 0.965).

#### Discussion

The results of this study support the idea that the perception of one's health is a multidimensional concept<sup>82</sup> that is associated with variables such as age, educational level, physical exercise, smoking, and also with the psychological constructs of resilience, mindfulness and self-compassion, supporting the belief that they may be considered "health assets".<sup>7,11–13,16</sup> However, more research is necessary in order to determine their role as health assets and in the adoption of healthy lifestyles. Resilience has been the most consistent factor in terms of its direct relationship with the perception of physical and mental health in all of the analyses carried out, while the relationship with all of the mindfulness and (self) compassion dimensions of perceived physical and mental health has not been fully demonstrated. The relevant role of resilience may be explained by its being fostered by internal factors such as acceptance (simultaneously related with the capacity of mindfulness and compassion), active coping, perspective-taking, optimism, and spirituality,<sup>83</sup> which may be related to both health perception and the adoption of healthy lifestyles.

Upon analysis of the correlational data on physical and mental health perception, to increase in resilience levels is linked to an increase in perceived physical and mental health. These results correspond with those from other studies such as those of Farber et al,<sup>26</sup> Beutel et al<sup>28</sup> Haddadi and AliBesharat<sup>32</sup> and Hjemdal et al.<sup>84</sup> These similar results were obtained with respect to all of the dimensions of mind-fulness, with the exception of the "observe" dimension. Aguado et al<sup>85</sup> claimed that the "observe" dimension is

Variable	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	r	P-value
Physical Health (SF-36)	•				
Resilience	828 26.87 7.21		0.18**	0.03	
Self-Compassion					
Kindness to oneself	791	3.20	0.99	0.04	
Common humanity	778	3.08	1.00	0.02	
Mindfulness	797	3.60	1.05	-0.00	
Self-judgment	781	2.95	1.11	-0.07*	0.00
Isolation	791	2,83	1.19	-0.04	
Over- identification	792	3.16	1.72	-0.04	
Mindfulness					
Observe	778	13.20	3.59	0.04	
Describe	795	16.47	4.31	0.14**	0.02
Act aware	744	20.00	3.71	0.07*	0.00
No judgment	773	16.57	4.07	0.01	
No reaction	733	12.98	3.31	0.10*	0.01
Mental Health (SF-36)					
Resilience	828	26.87	7.21	0.34*	0.11
Self-Compassion					
Kindness to oneself	791	3.20	0.99	0.30**	0.09
Common humanity	778	3.08	1.00	0.05	
Mindfulness	797	3.60	1.05	0.31**	0.09
Self-judgment	781	2.95	1.11	-0.16**	0.02
Isolation	791	2.83	1.19	-0.34**	0.11
Over-identification	792	3.16	1.72	-0.36**	0.13
Mindfulness					
Observe	778	13.20	3.59	-0.03	
Describe	795	16.47	4.31	0.18**	0.03
Act aware	744	20.00	3.71	0.30**	0.09
No judgment	773	16.57	4.07	0.33**	0.11
No reaction	733	12.98	3.31	0.25**	0.06

**Table 4** Bivariate Correlation and Simple Linear Regression Between Physical and Mental Health Perception and PsychologicalConstructs

**Notes:** \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; P value obtained by simple linear regression. The higher the score on the resilience scales, the five facets of mindfulness and the compassion subscales of kindness, common humanity and mindfulness, the higher the score on the psychological construct. Higher values in the compassion subscales of self-judgment, isolation, and over-identification imply lower scores on the psychological construct of compassion. **Abbreviation:** r, Pearson Correlation.

sensitive and measures the construct correctly when using a sample of meditators, so it may not be useful in evaluating mindfulness in individuals without meditation experience. The obtained results concur with previous studies on therapies based on mindfulness,<sup>86–90</sup> that emphasize emotional regulation as an explanatory element.

With respect to the self-compassion construct, the results obtained in this study reveal that only the "selfjudgment" dimension is related to the variation in physical health perception. This is why being hostile, degrading, and critical to oneself or with aspects of oneself, is associated with a lower perceived physical health status, in accordance with the study of Hall et al<sup>91</sup> Meanwhile, the remaining dimensions are related to the variation in the mental health perception, with the exception of the "common humanity" dimension. These findings are consistent with prior studies conducted on compassion-based therapies<sup>10,22,57,63,92,93</sup> which explain the results based on the generation of new positive emotion. However, some studies have related common humanity with positive emotions such as happiness;<sup>94</sup> therefore, additional studies are necessary.

Independent Variables	<b>R</b> <sub>y.123</sub>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> <sub>y.123</sub>	F (Df <sub>1</sub> /Df <sub>2</sub> )	P <sup>a</sup>	
	0.45	0.21	7.83(19/577)	<0.001	
	R	Beta	t	₽ <sup>ь</sup>	
Intercept		38.03	7.41	<0.001	
Age	-0.35	-0.24	-5.10	<0.001	
Education	0.32	0.20	4.37	<0.001	
Physical Activity	0.13	0.09	2.28	0.023	
Resilience	0.17	0.12	2.56	0.011	
Mindfulness					
Act aware	0.11	0.12	2.72	0.007	
Self-compassion					
Mindfulness	-0.01	-0.13	-2.70	0.007	

 Table 5 Potential Explanation of Independent Variable of Study

 with Regards to Physical Health Perception (SF-36)

**Abbreviations:**  $R_{y,123}$ , Multiple correlation coefficient;  $R_{y,123}^2$ , Coefficient of multiple determination;  $p^a$ , P value for associated variance with regression; R, raw correlation; Beta, standardized slope;  $p^b$ , Wald test results P value.

**Table 6** Potential Explanation of Independent Variables of Study

 with Regards to Mental Health Perception (SF-36)

Independent Variables	<b>R</b> <sub>y.123</sub>	<b>R<sup>2</sup></b> <sub>y.123</sub>	F (Df <sub>1</sub> /Df <sub>2</sub> )	P <sup>a</sup>	
	0.55	0.30	13.10 (19/577)	<0.001	
	R	Beta	t	₽ <sup>ь</sup>	
Intercept		26.39	4.62	<0.001	
Smoking	-0.06	-0.09	-2.39	0.017	
Resilience	0.38	0.21	4.66	<0.001	
Mindfulness					
Describe	0.17	-0.09	-1.98	0.048	
Act aware	0.31	0.10	2.41	0.016	
No judgment	0.34	0.18	3.98	<0.001	
No reaction	0.21	0.08	1.90	0.058	
Self-Compassion					
Kindness to oneself	0.30	0.13	2.89	0.004	

**Abbreviations:**  $R_{y,123}$ , Coefficient of multiple correlation;  $R_{y,123}^2$ , Correlation of multiple determination;  $p^a$ , P value for the associated variance with regression analysis; R, raw correlation; Beta, standardized slope;  $p^b$ , P value for Wald test result.

In the model obtained for physical health perception in the general population, which explains 21% of the variance, the significant variables (age, education level,

physical activity, resilience, mindfulness) ("act aware dimension", and self-compassion (mindfulness dimension)) agree with the existing literature. Age has a highly negative influence on physical health perception, reflected in the universal processing of natural aging,<sup>95,96</sup> and is accepted in the literature.97,98 Previous studies have confirmed the positive relation between an individual's physical health perception and education level.<sup>99</sup> as well as with those who engage in physical activity since people who are physically active fare better than those who are not.<sup>95,100</sup> The psychological construct of resilience is positively related to perceived physical health and can be explained by the improvement of self-care and healthy living habits.<sup>23,101</sup> Mindfulness has an explanatory capacity, in a positive sense, through its "act aware" dimension which can also offer explanations through the nonmechanization of activities, the improvement of healthy living habits and behaviors.<sup>102</sup> In other words, this means being conscious and present in the moment, as opposed to merely going through the motions. Similarly, selfcompassion has an explanatory capacity through its "mindfulness" dimension, but negatively influences physical health perception which contradicts the existing literature on mindfulness-based therapies for physical pathologies. However, Hall et al<sup>91</sup> found that the "overidentification" and "mindfulness" dimensions were not predictors of physical wellbeing. This may be due to the characteristics of the SCS-SF since the internal consistencies were low in the original version of Raes et al<sup>103</sup> and in the validated Spanish version of García-Campayo et al.<sup>79</sup>

In the explanatory model on mental health perception, which explains 30% of the variance, the significant variables (in a positive sense) were resilience, mindfulness (dimensions "act aware", "no judgment", and "no reaction"), and selfcompassion (dimension "kindness to oneself"). Smoking and the "describe" mindfulness dimension were the variables that negatively relate to the perception of mental health. The role of smoking corresponds with that found in the existing literature.<sup>104,105</sup> Resilience presents an elevated explanatory capacity on mental health perception, as corroborated by previous studies<sup>82</sup> which reflect the protective capacity of resilience on perceived mental health. As for the mindfulness construct, prior studies have also supported programs based on mindfulness in the general population.<sup>106,107</sup> However, the negative association with the "describe" dimension indicates that individuals who describe or label their internal experiences with words have a poorer perceived mental health, suggesting that labelling thoughts does not always lead to

a positive mental status. Finally, only through the "kindness to oneself" dimension does self-compassion explain that individuals capable of demonstrating kindness to themselves without judgment have a better perceived mental health. This is in line with US studies conducted by Hall et al<sup>91</sup> Terry and Leary<sup>63</sup> and Van Damm et al<sup>108</sup> which analyzed mental wellbeing, autoregulation and compliance with medical recommendations, and quality of life, respectively.

This study can be considered to have high external validity since its sample size is representative of a Spanish population in the analyzed parameters, smoking, alcohol, physical exercise, and past depression history.<sup>72</sup> However, it does have certain limitations. The primary limitation is its crosssectional design, which does not permit the establishment of causal relationships between the variables, but does allow for the study of linear relationships and the association of the studied variables. Another limitation is the fact that the variables of civil status, education level, physical activity level, tobacco use and alcohol consumption have been categorized into two categories so as to introduce them into the regression analysis. This was done to maintain the power of the statistical analysis, by introducing a large number of variables, and to focus on the confluence relationship with the psychological variables. Another limitation is the use of the evaluation scales for mindfulness and self-compassion, which can be difficult to understand if the subjects are not fully aware of their ability to experience the present<sup>109</sup> or able to analyze their emotions. Experience in meditation can influence not only the results of the used scales but also the health-related measures. Ultimately, it recommended that future studies use these variables in their analysis.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, the perception of one's health, both in physical and mental terms, may be correlated to age, educational level, physical exercise, smoking and other constructs such as resilience, mindfulness, and selfcompassion. In each of the explanatory models, these dimensions were significant; therefore, they may be considered to be health assets. Resilience was found to be the most consistent factor in terms of its direct relationship with the perception of physical and mental health in all of the analyses carried out.

#### Abbreviations

IPAQ, International Physical Activity Questionnaire; CD-RISC, Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; FFMQ-SF, Five Facets of Mindfulness Questionnaire short form; SCS-SF, Self-compassion scale-short form.

# Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

The study was approved by the Ethical Research Committee of Santiago de Compostela, Spain (2012-025), and by the Ethical Research Committee of Aragón, Spain (15/2017). The study was performed in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. All of the subjects completed an informed consent form, and their data were anonymized.

#### Availability of Data and Material

Data supporting the findings presented in the current study will be available from the corresponding author upon request.

#### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- WHO. World health organization. WHO Constitution. Principles. Available from: http://www.who.int/about/mission/es/. Published 1946. Accessed April 8, 2017..
- Johansson H, Weinehall L, Emmelin M. "It depends on what you mean": a qualitative study of Swedish health professionals' views on health and health promotion. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2009;9 (1):191. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-9-191
- Bircher J. Towards a dynamic definition of health and disease. *Med Health Care Philos*. 2005;8(3):335–341. doi:10.1007/s11019-005-0538-y
- Lalonde, M. A new perspective on the health of Canadians. Ottawa, ON: Minister of Supply and Service Canada; 1974. http://www. phac-aspc.gc.ca/ph-sp/pdf/perspect-eng.pdf
- Dahlgren G, Whitehead M. Policies and Strategies to Promote Social Equity in Health. Stockholm: Institute of Futures Studies; 1991.
- Antonovsky A. The salutogenic model as a theory to guide health promotion. *Health Promot Int.* 1996;11:11–18. doi:10.1093/heapro/ 11.1.11
- Sanders AE, Lim S, Sohn W. Resilience to urban poverty: theoretical and empirical considerations for population health. *Am J Public Health.* 2008;98(6):1101–1106. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2007.119495
- Hartling LM. Strengthening resilience in a risky world: it's all about relationships. *Women Ther*. 2008;31(2–4):51–70. doi:10.1080/027031 40802145870
- Virgili M, Virgili M. Mindfulness-based coaching: conceptualisation, supporting evidence and emerging applications. *Int Coach Psychol Rev.* 2013;8(2):40–57.
- Neff KD, Vonk R. Self-compassion versus global self-esteem: two different ways of relating to oneself. J Pers. 2009;77(1):23–50. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.2008.00537.x
- Barasa E, Mbau R, Gilson L. what is resilience and how can it be nurtured? A systematic review of empirical literature on organizational resilience. *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2018;7(6):491–503. doi:10.15171/ijhpm.2018.06

- Stewart DE, Yuen T. A systematic review of resilience in the physically ill. *Psychosomatics*. 2011;52(3):199–209. doi:10.1016/j. psym.2011.01.036
- Leventhal KS, Gillham J, DeMaria L, Andrew G, Peabody J, Leventhal S. Building psychosocial assets and wellbeing among adolescent girls: a randomized controlled trial. *J Adolesc.* 2015;45:284–295. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2015.09.011
- Norris FH, Tracy M, Galea S. Looking for resilience: understanding the longitudinal trajectories of responses to stress. *Soc Sci Med 1982*. 2009;68(12):2190–2198. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2009.03.043
- Bonanno GA. Loss, trauma, and human resilience: have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events? *Am Psychol.* 2004;59(1):20–28. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.59.1.20
- Alpuente AC, Cintas FA, Foà C, Cosentino C. Mapping Caregivers' health assets. A self-care project using salutogenesis and mindfulness. *Acta Bio-Medica Atenei Parm.* 2018;89(7–S):70–77.
- Kemper KJ, Mo X, Khayat R. Are mindfulness and self-compassion associated with sleep and resilience in health professionals? J Altern Complement Med N Y N. 2015;21 (8):496–503. doi:10.1089/acm.2014.0281
- McArthur M, Mansfield C, Matthew S, et al. Resilience in veterinary students and the predictive role of mindfulness and self-compassion. *J Vet Med Educ*. 2017;44(1):106–115. doi:10.3138/jvme.0116-027R1
- Fergus S, Zimmerman MA. Adolescent resilience: a framework for understanding healthy development in the face of risk. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2005;26(1):399–419. doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth. 26.021304.144357
- White B, Driver S, Warren AM. Resilience and indicators of adjustment during rehabilitation from a spinal cord injury. *Rehabil Psychol.* 2010;55(1):23–32. doi:10.1037/a0018451
- Yi JP, Vitaliano PP, Smith RE, Yi JC, Weinger K. The role of resilience on psychological adjustment and physical health in patients with diabetes. *Br J Health Psychol.* 2008;13(2):311–325. doi:10.1348/135910707X186994
- 22. Santos FRM, Bernardo V, Gabbay MAL, Dib SA, Sigulem D. The impact of knowledge about diabetes, resilience and depression on glycemic control: a cross-sectional study among adolescents and young adults with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetol Metab Syndr.* 2013;5 (1):55. doi:10.1186/1758-5996-5-55
- Perna L, Mielck A, Lacruz ME, et al. Socioeconomic position, resilience, and health behaviour among elderly people. *Int J Public Health*. 2012;57(2):341–349. doi:10.1007/s00038-011-0294-0
- Brix C, Schleussner C, Füller J, Roehrig B, Wendt TG, Strauss B. The need for psychosocial support and its determinants in a sample of patients undergoing radiooncological treatment of cancer. *J Psychosom Res.* 2008;65(6):541–548. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores. 2008.05.010
- Jamison MG, Weidner AC, Romero AA, Amundsen CL. Lack of psychological resilience: an important correlate for urinary incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct*. 2007;18 (10):1127–1132. doi:10.1007/s00192-007-0315-y
- Farber EW, Schwartz JA, Schaper PE, Moonen DJ, McDaniel JS. Resilience factors associated with adaptation to HIV disease. *Psychosomatics*. 2000;41(2):140–146. doi:10.1176/appi.psy.41.2.140
- Arrebola-Moreno AL, Garcia-Retamero R, Catena A, Marfil-Álvarez R, Melgares-Moreno RR-HJ. On the protective effect of resilience in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Int J Clin Health Psychol.* 2014;14:111–119. doi:10.1016/S1697-2600(14) 70044-4
- Beutel ME, Glaesmer H, Wiltink J, Marian H, Brähler E. Life satisfaction, anxiety, depression and resilience across the life span of men. *Aging Male.* 2010;13(1):32–39. doi:10.3109/13685530903296698
- Campbell-Sills L, Cohan SL, Stein MB. Relationship of resilience to personality, coping, and psychiatric symptoms in young adults. *Behav Res Ther*. 2006;44(4):585–599. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2005.05.001

- Jeste DV, Savla GN, Thompson WK, et al. Association between older age and more successful aging: critical role of resilience and depression. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2013;170(2):188–196. doi:10.1176/ appi.ajp.2012.12030386
- Min J-A, Lee N-B, Lee C-U, Lee C, Chae J-H. Low trait anxiety, high resilience, and their interaction as possible predictors for treatment response in patients with depression. J Affect Disord. 2012;137(1-3):61-69. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2011.12.026
- Haddadi P, Besharat M. Resilience, vulnerability and mental health. *Procedia Soc Behav Sci.* 2010;5:639–642. doi:10.1016/j. sbspro.2010.07.157
- Siegel R, Germer CK, Olendzki A. Mindfulness: what is it? Where did it come from? In: Didonna F, editor. *Clinical Handbook of Mindfulness*. New York: Springer. 2008:17–35.
- Sternberg RJ, Sternberg. Images of mindfulness. J Soc Issues. 2000;56(1):11–26. doi:10.1111/0022-4537.00149
- Chiesa A, Serretti A, Jakobsen JC. Mindfulness: top-down or bottom-up emotion regulation strategy? *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2013;33(1):82–96. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2012.10.006
- Reiner K, Tibi L, Lipsitz JD. Do mindfulness-based interventions reduce pain intensity? A critical review of the literature. *Pain Med Malden Mass.* 2013;14(2):230–242. doi:10.1111/pme.12006
- Lauche R, Cramer H, Dobos G, Langhorst J, Schmidt S. A systematic review and meta-analysis of mindfulness-based stress reduction for the fibromyalgia syndrome. *J Psychosom Res.* 2013;75(6):500–510. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2013.10.010
- Bohlmeijer E, Prenger R, Taal E, Cuijpers P. The effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction therapy on mental health of adults with a chronic medical disease: a meta-analysis. *J Psychosom Res.* 2010;68(6):539–544. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2009.10.005
- 39. Carlson LE, Speca M, Faris P, Patel KD. One year pre-post intervention follow-up of psychological, immune, endocrine and blood pressure outcomes of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) in breast and prostate cancer outpatients. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2007;21(8):1038–1049. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2007.04.002
- Gonzalez-Garcia M, Ferrer MJ, Borras X, et al. Effectiveness of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy on the quality of life, emotional status, and CD4 cell count of patients aging with HIV infection. *AIDS Behav.* 2014;18(4):676–685. doi:10.1007/s10461-013-0612-z
- Gross CR, Kreitzer MJ, Russas V, Treesak C, Frazier PA, Hertz MI. Mindfulness meditation to reduce symptoms after organ transplant: a pilot study. *Adv Mind Body Med.* 2004;20(2):20–29.
- 42. Bédard M, Felteau M, Marshall S, et al. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy reduces symptoms of depression in people with a traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2014;29(4): E13–E22. doi:10.1097/HTR.0b013e3182a615a0
- Pbert L, Madison JM, Druker S, et al. Effect of mindfulness training on asthma quality of life and lung function: a randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. 2012;67(9):769–776. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-200253
- 44. Omidi A, Zargar F. Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on perceived stress and psychological health in patients with tension headache. J Res Med Sci. 2015;20(11):1058. doi:10.4103/ 1735-1995.172816
- 45. Piet J, Hougaard E. The effect of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for prevention of relapse in recurrent major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2011;31(6):1032–1040. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2011.05.002
- 46. Galante J, Iribarren SJ, Pearce PF. Effects of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy on mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. J Res Nurs. 2013;18(2):133–155. doi:10.1177/1744987112466087
- Strauss C, Cavanagh K, Oliver A, Pettman D. Mindfulness-based interventions for people diagnosed with a current episode of an anxiety or depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Laks J, ed. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(4):e96110. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0096110

- Singh NN, Wahler RG, Winton ASW, Adkins ADGTMR. A mindfulness-based treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Clin Case Stud.* 2004;3(4):275–287. doi:10.1177/1534650103259646
- Goetz JL, Keltner D, Simon-Thomas E. Compassion: an evolutionary analysis and empirical review. *Psychol Bull.* 2010;136 (3):351–374. doi:10.1037/a0018807
- 50. Gilbert P. The Compassionate Mind: A New Approach to Life's Challenges. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger Publications; 2010.
- MacBeth A, Gumley A. Exploring compassion: a meta-analysis of the association between self-compassion and psychopathology. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2012;32(6):545–552. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2012.06.003
- 52. Chapin HL, Darnall BD, Seppala EM, Doty JR, Hah JM, Mackey SC. Pilot study of a compassion meditation intervention in chronic pain. J Compassionate Health Care. 2014;1(1):4. doi:10.1186/s40639-014-0004-x
- Dunne S, Sheffield D, Chilcot J. Brief report: self-compassion, physical health and the mediating role of health-promoting behaviours. *J Health Psychol.* 2018;23(7):993–999. doi:10.1177/1359105316643377
- Pinto-Gouveia J, Duarte C, Matos M, Fráguas S. The protective role of self-compassion in relation to psychopathology symptoms and quality of life in chronic and in cancer patients. *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2014;21(4):311–323. doi:10.1002/cpp.1838
- Hilbert A, Braehler E, Schmidt R, Löwe B, Häuser W, Zenger M. Self-compassion as a resource in the self-stigma process of overweight and obese individuals. *Obes Facts*. 2015;8(5):293–301. doi:10.1159/000438681
- Tylka TL, Russell HL, Neal AA. Self-compassion as a moderator of thinness-related pressures' associations with thin-ideal internalization and disordered eating. *Eat Behav.* 2015;17:23–26. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.12.009
- Leary MR, Tate EB, Adams CE, Batts Allen A, Hancock J. Selfcompassion and reactions to unpleasant self-relevant events: the implications of treating oneself kindly. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2007;92 (5):887–904. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.92.5.887
- Keng S-L, Smoski MJ, Robins CJ, Ekblad AGBJ. Mechanisms of change in mindfulness-based stress reduction: self-compassion and mindfulness as mediators of intervention outcomes. *J Cogn Psychother*. 2012;26(3):270–280. doi:10.1891/0889-8391.26.3.270
- García-Campayo JDM. *Curiosity and Acceptance*. Sant Cugat del Vallés (Barcelona): Siglantana; 2015.
- Klimecki OM, Leiberg S, Lamm C, Singer T. Functional neural plasticity and associated changes in positive affect after compassion training. *Cereb Cortex*. 2013;23(7):1552–1561. doi:10.1093/cercor/ bhs142
- Galante J, Galante I, Bekkers M-J, Gallacher J. Effect of kindness-based meditation on health and well-being: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2014;82 (6):1101–1114. doi:10.1037/a0037249
- 62. Olivan-Blázquez B, Montero-Marin J, García-Toro M, et al. Facilitators and barriers to modifying dietary and hygiene behaviours as adjuvant treatment in patients with depression in primary care: a qualitative study. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2018;18(1). doi:10.1186/ s12888-018-1779-7
- Terry ML, Leary MR, Mehta S, Henderson K. Self-compassionate reactions to health threats. *Pers Soc Psychol Bull*. 2013;39 (7):911–926. doi:10.1177/0146167213488213
- Carmody J, Reed G, Kristeller J, Merriam P. Mindfulness, spirituality, and health-related symptoms. J Psychosom Res. 2008;64 (4):393–403. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2007.06.015
- Gude F, Díaz-Vidal P, Rúa-Pérez C, et al. Glycemic variability and its association with demographics and lifestyles in a general adult population. J Diabetes Sci Technol. 2017;11(4):780–790. doi:10.1177/1932296816682031
- Alonso J, Regidor E, Barrio G, Prieto L, Rodríguez C, de la Fuente L. [Population reference values of the Spanish version of the health questionnaire SF-36]. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 1998;111(11):410–416.

- Alonso J, Prieto L, Antó JM. [The Spanish version of the SF-36 health survey (the SF-36 health questionnaire): an instrument for measuring clinical results]. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 1995;104(20):771–776.
- Rocha KB, Pérez C, Sanz MR, Borrell C, Llandrich JO. Prevalencia de problemas de salud mental y su asociación con variables socioeconómicas, de trabajo y salud: resultados de la Encuesta Nacional de Salud de España. *Psicothema*. 2010;22 (3):389–395.
- Casas Anguita J, Repullo Labrador JR, Donado Campos J. La encuesta como técnica de investigación. Elaboración de cuestionarios y tratamiento estadístico de los datos (I). Aten Primaria. 2003;31(8):527–538. doi:10.1016/S0212-6567(03)70728-8
- 70. Delgado-Fernández M, Tercedor-Sánchez PS-HVM Guías para el procesamiento de datos y análisis del cuestionario Internacional de Actividad física (IPAQ). GRUPO CTS 545 actividad física, deporte y ergonomía para la calidad de vida Universidad de Granada. Junta de Andalucía. Available from: http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/salud/sites/csalud/galerias/documentos/p\_4\_p\_2\_promocion\_de\_la\_salud/actividad\_fisica\_alimentacion\_equilibrada/IPAQ\_Guia\_Traducida.pdf. Accessed July 5, 2016..
- Mantilla Toloza S-CG-CA. The international physical activity questionnaire. An adequate instrument in the follow-up of population physical activity. *Rev Iberoam Fisioter Kinesiol*. 2007;10(1):48–52. doi:10.1016/S1138-6045(07)73665-1
- Spanish Ministry of Health Social Services and Equality. National health survey. Available from: https://www.msssi.gob.es/estadEstudios/estadis ticas/encuestaNacional/encuesta2011.htm. Published 2011. Accessed August 20, 2017..
- Montón C, Pérez Echeverría MJ, Campos R, García Campayo J, Lobo A. [Anxiety scales and Goldberg's depression: an efficient interview guide for the detection of psychologic distress]. *Aten Primaria*. 1993;12(6):345–349.
- 74. Lobo A, Montón A, Campos R, et al. Detección De Morbilidad Psíquica En La Práctica Médica: El Nuevo Instrumento E.A.D.G. Zaragoza: Luzan; 1993. Available from:https://studylib.es/doc/ 7631620/r-r.-r.r.r. Accessed October 28, 2019.
- 75. Soler Sánchez MI Meseguer de Pedro M GIM. Psychometric properties of the Spanish version of the 10-item scale of resilience of Connor-Davidson (CD-RISC 10) in a multi- occupational sample. *Rev Latinoam Psicol*. 2016;48(3):159–166. doi:10.1016/j.rlp.2015.09.002
- Bohlmeijer E, Ten Klooster PM, Fledderus M, Veehof M, Baer R. Psychometric properties of the five facet mindfulness questionnaire in depressed adults and development of a short form. *Assessment*. 2011;18(3):308–320. doi:10.1177/1073191111408231
- Cebolla A, García-Palacios A, Soler J, Guillen V, Baños RBC. Psychometric properties of the Spanish validation of the Five Facets of Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ). *Eur J Psychiatry*. 2012;26(2):118–126. doi:10.4321/S0213-61632012000200005
- Asensio-Martínez Á, Masluk B, Montero-Marin J, et al. Validation of Five Facets Mindfulness Questionnaire – short form, in Spanish, general health care services patients sample: prediction of depression through mindfulness scale. Innamorati M, ed. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(4):e0214503. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0214503
- Garcia-Campayo J, Navarro-Gil M, Andrés E, Montero-Marin J, López-Artal L, Demarzo MM. Validation of the Spanish versions of the long (26 items) and short (12 items) forms of the Self-Compassion Scale (SCS). *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2014;12(1):4. doi:10.1186/1477-7525-12-4
- Lubin Pigouche P, Maciá AMA, de LP R. Mathematical Psychology. Madrid: Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia; 2005.
- Núñez E, Steyerberg EW, Núñez J. Estrategias para la elaboración de modelos estadísticos de regresión. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. 2011;64 (6):501–507. doi:10.1016/j.recesp.2011.01.019
- Simon JG, De Boer JB, Joung IMA, Bosma H, Mackenbach JP. How is your health in general? A qualitative study on self-assessed health. *Eur J Public Health*. 2005;15(2):200–208. doi:10.1093/eurpub/cki102

- Oñate LCE. A qualitative approach to resilience factors in relatives of people with intellectual disabilities in Spain. *Psychosoc Interv.* 2017;26(2):93–101. doi:10.1016/j.psi.2016.11.002
- 84. Hjemdal O, Aune T, Reinfjell T, Stiles TC, Friborg O. Resilience as a predictor of depressive symptoms: a correlational study with young adolescents. *Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2007;12 (1):91–104. doi:10.1177/1359104507071062
- Aguado J, Luciano JV, Cebolla A, Serrano-Blanco A, Soler J, García-Campayo J. Bifactor analysis and construct validity of the five facet mindfulness questionnaire (FFMQ) in non-clinical Spanish samples. *Front Psychol.* 2015;6:404. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00404
- 86. Speca M, Carlson LE, Goodey E, Angen M. A randomized, wait-list controlled clinical trial: the effect of a mindfulness meditation-based stress reduction program on mood and symptoms of stress in cancer outpatients. *Psychosom Med.* 2000;62 (5):613–622. doi:10.1097/00006842-200009000-00004
- Vøllestad J, Nielsen MB, Nielsen GH. Mindfulness- and acceptance-based interventions for anxiety disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Clin Psychol.* 2012;51(3):239–260. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8260.2011.02024.x
- Keng S-L, Smoski MJ, Robins CJ. Effects of mindfulness on psychological health: a review of empirical studies. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2011;31(6):1041–1056. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2011.04.006
- Hill CLM, Updegraff JA. Mindfulness and its relationship to emotional regulation. *Emot Wash DC*. 2012;12(1):81–90. doi:10.1037/ a0026355
- Eberth J, Sedlmeier P. The effects of mindfulness meditation: a meta-analysis. *Mindfulness*. 2012;3(3):174–189. doi:10.1007/ s12671-012-0101-x
- Hall CW, Row KA, Wuensch KL, Godley KR. The role of self-compassion in physical and psychological well-being. *J Psychol.* 2013;147(4):311–323. doi:10.1080/00223980.2012.693138
- Neff KD. Self-compassion, self-esteem, and well-being. Soc Pers Psychol Compass. 2011;5(1):1–12. doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2010. 00330.x
- Neff KD, Rude SS, Kirkpatrick KL. An examination of self-compassion in relation to positive psychological functioning and personality traits. *J Res Personal*. 2007;41(4):908–916. doi:10.1016/j.jrp.2006.08.002
- Campos D, Cebolla A, Quero S, et al. Meditation and happiness: mindfulness and self-compassion may mediate the meditation-happiness relationship. *Personal Individ Differ*. 2016;93:80–85. doi:10.1016/j. paid.2015.08.040
- 95. Asfar T, Ahmad B, Rastam S, Mulloli TP, Ward KD, Maziak W. Self-rated health and its determinants among adults in Syria: a model from the Middle East. *BMC Public Health*. 2007;7 (1):177. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-7-177
- Zahran HS, Kobau R, Moriarty DG, et al. Health-related quality of life surveillance–United States, 1993–2002. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Surveill Summ Wash DC 2002. 2005;54(4):1–35.

- Krause NM, Jay GM. What do global self-rated health items measure? *Med Care*. 1994;32(9):930–942. doi:10.1097/00005650-199409000-00004
- Crossley TF, Kennedy S. The reliability of self-assessed health status. J Health Econ. 2002;21(4):643–658. doi:10.1016/S0167-6296(02)00007-3
- Schnittker J. Education and the changing shape of the income gradient in health. J Health Soc Behav. 2004;45(3):286–305. doi:10.1177/002214650404500304
- 100. Azpiazu Garrido M, Cruz Jentoft A, Villagrasa Ferrer JR, Abanades Herranz JC, García Marín N, Valero de Bernabé FA. Factors related to perceived poor health condition or poor quality of life among those over 65 years of age. *Rev Esp Salud Publica*. 2002;76 (6):683–699. doi:10.1590/S1135-57272002000600005
- 101. Yi-Frazier JP, Smith RE, Vitaliano PP, et al. A person-focused analysis of resilience resources and coping in patients with diabetes. *Stress Health J Int Soc Investig Stress*. 2010;26 (1):51–60. doi:10.1002/smi.v26:1
- 102. Salmoirago-Blotcher E, Hunsinger M, Morgan L, Fischer DCJ. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and change in health-related behaviors. J Evid-Based Complement Altern Med. 2013;18 (4):243–247. doi:10.1177/2156587213488600
- 103. Raes F, Pommier E, Neff KD, Van Gucht D. Construction and factorial validation of a short form of the self-compassion scale. *Clin Psychol Psychother*. 2011;18(3):250–255. doi:10.1002/ cpp.702
- 104. Pace TWW, Negi LT, Sivilli TI, et al. Innate immune, neuroendocrine and behavioral responses to psychosocial stress do not predict subsequent compassion meditation practice time. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2010;35(2):310–315. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.06.008
- 105. Wang -J-J, Smith W, Cumming RG, Mitchell P. Variables determining perceived global health ranks: findings from a population-based study. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2006;35(3):190–197.
- 106. Carmody J, Baer RA. Relationships between mindfulness practice and levels of mindfulness, medical and psychological symptoms and well-being in a mindfulness-based stress reduction program. *J Behav Med.* 2008;31(1):23–33. doi:10.1007/s10865-007-9130-7
- 107. Reibel DK, Greeson JM, Brainard GC, Rosenzweig S. Mindfulness-based stress reduction and health-related quality of life in a heterogeneous patient population. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2001;23(4):183–192. doi:10.1016/S0163-8343(01)00149-9
- 108. Van Dam NT, Sheppard SC, Forsyth JP, Earleywine M. Selfcompassion is a better predictor than mindfulness of symptom severity and quality of life in mixed anxiety and depression. *J Anxiety Disord.* 2011;25(1):123–130. doi:10.1016/j. janxdis.2010.08.011
- 109. Grossman P. Defining mindfulness by how poorly I think I pay attention during everyday awareness and other intractable problems for psychology's (re) invention of mindfulness: comment on Brown et al. (2011). *Psychol Assess*. 2011;23(4):1034–1040; discussion 1041–6. doi:10.1037/a0022713

#### **Psychology Research and Behavior Management**

Publish your work in this journal

Psychology Research and Behavior Management is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the science of psychology and its application in behavior management to develop improved outcomes in the clinical, educational, sports and business arenas. Specific topics covered in the journal include: Neuroscience, memory and decision making; Behavior modification and management; Clinical applications; Business and sports performance management; Social and developmental studies; Animal studies. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www. dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/psychology-research-and-behavior-management-journal

#### Dovepress