



Efficacy And Safety Of Controlled-Release Oxycodone For The Management Of Moderate-To-Severe Chronic Non-Cancer Pain In Japanese Patients: Results From An Open-Label Study

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Journal of Pain Research


Mikito Kawamata ¹

Masako Iseki ²

Mamoru Kawakami ³

Shoji Yabuki ⁴

Takuma Sasaki⁵

Mitsuhiro Ishida ⁵

Atsushi Nishiyori⁵

Hideaki Hida⁶

Shin-ichi Kikuchi⁴

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Resuscitology, Shinshu University School of Medicine, Matsumoto, Japan;

²Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Juntendo University Faculty of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; ³Spine Care Center, Wakayama Medical University Kihoku Hospital, Wakayama, Japan;

⁴Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan; ⁵Clinical Development Department, Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan; ⁶Biostatistics Center, Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan

Purpose: To assess the efficacy and safety of S-8117, an oral, controlled-release formulation of oxycodone hydrochloride, in Japanese patients with chronic non-cancer pain (CNCp).

Patients and methods: In this multicenter, non-randomized, open-label, 2-part (part 1, dose-titration followed by maintenance period; part 2, long-term administration period) study at 38 centers in Japan (2013–2015), adult patients with CNCp for ≥ 12 weeks were administered S-8117. The primary endpoint was proportion of patients with successful maintenance of pain control in part 1 and long-term safety in part 2. Secondary endpoints included time to inadequate analgesia, rate of transition to the maintenance period, and discontinuation due to inadequate analgesia/adverse events (AEs), Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) pain severity, BPI pain interference, 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) score, and Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) index, Subjective Opioid Withdrawal Scale (SOWS), Clinical Opioid Withdrawal Scale (COWS), Dependency-2-A (D-2-A), and Dependency-2-B (D-2-B) questionnaires.

Results: Of 130 patients (mean age, 63.6 years; women, 62.3%) in the dose-titration period, 95 entered the maintenance period; 60 of 83 who entered the long-term administration period completed it. The proportion of patients (95% confidence interval) with successful maintenance of pain control, transition to maintenance period, and discontinuation due to inadequate analgesia/AEs was 78.9% (69.4–86.6), 73.1% (64.6–80.5), and 21.1% (13.4–30.6), respectively. Time to inadequate analgesia could not be estimated. Changes from baseline in BPI, SF-36, and WOMAC index scores suggested improvements in pain relief and quality of life. Based on the SOWS, COWS, D-2-A and D-2-B questionnaires, no patient developed clinically relevant withdrawal syndrome or was ascertained to have developed drug dependence. Overall, the incidence of treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) was 93.8%; most common TEAEs were constipation (49.2%), nausea (42.3%), nasopharyngitis (34.6%), and somnolence (32.3%).

Conclusion: These results demonstrate the efficacy and safety of S-8117 in Japanese patients with CNCp.

Keywords: analgesics, chronic pain, Japan, opioids

Correspondence: Takuma Sasaki
Clinical Development Department,
Shionogi & Co., Ltd., 12F, Hankyu
Terminal Bld., 1-4, Shibata 1-chome, Kita-
ku, Osaka 530-0012, Japan
Tel +81-6-6485-5090
Fax +81-6-6375-5780
Email Takuma.sasaki@shionogi.co.jp

Introduction

Chronic pain is reportedly prevalent in Japan as well as Western countries such as the United States (US) and Canada.^{1–3} Recent studies in Japan have suggested that chronic pain is not only associated with a worsening of a patient's quality of life

(QOL) but also leads to economic loss due to a decrease in work productivity.^{4–6} The goal of chronic pain management is to improve QOL and activities of daily living; opioids are one of the treatment options available to achieve these outcomes.⁷

Although opioids are indicated for the management of chronic non-cancer pain (CNCP) due to their potent analgesic effect, they are not recommended as primary or preferred treatment options since their use could lead to overdosing, abuse, or misuse among patients.^{7–9} Moreover, opioids are associated with a high risk of adverse drug reactions such as nausea, constipation, somnolence, and respiratory depression.¹⁰

In Japan, strong opioids that are indicated for CNCP are currently limited to morphine (oral rapid-release formulation and injection) and fentanyl patches.⁷ The Narcotics and Psychotropics Control Act of Japan stipulates that most opioids, designated as narcotics, must be prescribed solely by doctors with opioid prescription license and that records for the transfer of opioids should be maintained throughout the entire distribution process,¹¹ thereby ensuring that the opioid management system is more tightly regulated than in Western countries. Moreover, evidence suggests that physicians in Japan are more cautious about the use of medical narcotics and less enthusiastic about prescribing them compared to those in the US.¹² This is likely the reason for the extremely low rate of overdose-related admissions reported in Japan from October 2012 through September 2013¹³ compared with overdose-related emergency admissions in the US.¹⁴ However, strict control and avoidance of opioid use could potentially limit options for management of patients with chronic pain in Japan.

S-8117 (OxyContin[®] tablets, Purdue Pharma LP, Stamford, CT, USA) is an oral, controlled-release (CR) formulation containing oxycodone hydrochloride as an active ingredient.¹⁵ Oxycodone offers similar levels of analgesic efficacy to morphine,¹⁶ and its active metabolite is less likely to accumulate in the body than active morphine metabolites in patients with impaired renal function.¹⁷ Worldwide, S-8117 is one of the most commonly used strong opioids for the treatment of moderate-to-severe pain. In Japan, it is also the most commonly prescribed strong opioid for the treatment of cancer pain. Previous studies have demonstrated the analgesic effects of CR oxycodone hydrochloride tablets for various types of moderate-to-severe pain.^{18–21} However, as with other strong opioids, the use of oxycodone hydrochloride tablets was associated

with dependence or abuse in the US.^{22,23} In order to avoid abuse of oxycodone, OxyContin[®] tablets have been reformulated in an abuse-deterrent formulation²⁴ that became available in the US in 2010 and in Japan in 2017. Nevertheless, in Japan, oxycodone formulations (both abuse-deterrent and non-abuse-deterrent) are not indicated for CNCP⁷ and their safety and efficacy has not yet been established in patients with CNCP.

We have previously reported results from a placebo-controlled, enriched enrollment, randomized, withdrawal study and its open-label extension that assessed the efficacy and safety of S-8117, a conventional, non-abuse-deterrent oxycodone formulation, in Japanese patients with chronic low back pain (CLBP).²⁵ The enriched enrollment, randomized, withdrawal study demonstrated the short-term efficacy versus placebo of S-8117 in the management of Japanese patients with moderate-to-severe CLBP. Furthermore, in the extension study, S-8117 was well tolerated for approximately 1 year of continuous administration. However, the safety and efficacy of S-8117 in Japanese patients suffering from CNCP due to other underlying conditions have not been investigated. Consequently, the purpose of this phase 3 study was to confirm the efficacy and safety of S-8117 in patients with CNCP due to various other underlying conditions. We selected osteoarthritis (OA), diabetic neuropathic pain (DNP), and post-herpetic neuralgia (PHN) as the most common CNCP conditions and also included patients with other CNCP conditions. Due to safety concerns related to opioid use, the target patient population was limited to those patients who required treatment with S-8117 under routine clinical practice. Therefore, patients who continued to experience pain despite treatment with non-opioid or weak opioid analgesics and those receiving treatment with strong opioids were included in this study.

This study aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of oxycodone in patients with moderate-to-severe CNCP as a whole but did not aim to compare its efficacy or safety across underlying pain conditions such as OA, DNP, and PHN.

Materials And Methods

Study Design

This was a phase 3, multicenter, non-randomized, open-label study conducted from May 2013 to June 2015 at 38 centers in Japan. Patients were followed for 8 to 57 weeks or until discontinuation. The study consisted of two parts

(Figure 1). In part 1, all enrolled patients entered the dose-titration period (14–28 days; maximum 32 days) followed by a 35-day maintenance period if they met the transition criteria on or after day 15. In order to transition to the maintenance period, the prescribed dose of S-8117 should have remained the same for 7 days before the evaluation day, and the following criteria were required to be met for 3 days prior to the evaluation day: Brief Pain Inventory (BPI) 24 hr average pain severity score of ≤ 3 , or improvement by 30% or greater from baseline; no additions or changes in the administration and/or dosage of non-opioid analgesics (excluding treatment for adverse events [AEs] such as fever) and adjuvant analgesics (except dose reduction); and that AEs were well tolerated.

Patients who completed part 1 of the study and were willing to continue transitioned to part 2 of the study comprising a 46-week long-term administration period. All patients including those who completed the long-term administration period or discontinued the study after part 1 or were not willing to continue or did not receive treatment with other opioid analgesics after discontinuation entered a 7-day dose-tapering period followed by a 7-day post-treatment observation period. The dose-tapering period could be extended to 14 days, based on investigator discretion (Figure 1).

This study is registered with the Japan Pharmaceutical Information Center Clinical Trials Information (JapicCTI) registry (JapicCTI-132081).

Rationale For The Study Design

The open-label design was chosen to mimic clinical settings to evaluate the efficacy and long-term safety of S-8117 in Japanese patients with CNCP due to various underlying conditions. A dose-titration period was included to individualize the optimal dose of S-8117 and confirm tolerability to the drug for efficacy evaluation. According to neurological treatment guidance for chronic pain,²⁶ maintenance of the analgesic effect of a drug should be evaluated after 3 to 4 weeks of dose maintenance period following a gradual dose increase to a maximum; a 28-day maintenance period was considered appropriate for this study. However, in the previously reported double-blind study in patients with CLBP,²⁵ an additional 7 days were included for both S-8117 and placebo groups to avoid any withdrawal symptoms. Therefore, the maintenance period was set at 35 days in alignment with that study.

Patient Criteria

Adult patients aged 20 to <80 years, with chronic pain for ≥ 12 weeks associated with OA, DNP, PHN, or other CNCP conditions, who could provide written informed consent, were included in the study. Other key inclusion criteria were (i) a BPI²⁷ 24 hr average pain severity score of ≥ 4 despite treatment with non-opioid analgesics (oral/patch/suppository) including adjuvant analgesics, oral tramadol, oral codeine (dose ≤ 800 mg/day), or buprenorphine patch for ≥ 14 days, and (ii) treatment with morphine (dose ≤ 120 mg/day) or fentanyl patch (dose release ≤ 100 μ g/hour) for ≥ 14 days irrespective of any




Figure 1 Study design.

Notes: (A) Patients who met the maintenance phase transition criteria. (B) Patients who completed the long-term administration period or did not receive treatment with other opioid analgesics after discontinuation. (C) Patients who did not transition to part 2 after the maintenance period or did not receive treatment with other opioid analgesics after discontinuation.

BPI pain severity score. Patients with psychogenic pain as the primary cause of CNCP (based on investigator discretion); patients diagnosed with and receiving treatment for psychiatric disorders (eg, depression and schizophrenia); patients with a Brief Scale for Psychiatric Problems in Orthopaedic Patients (BS-POP)²⁸ score of ≥ 11 ; patients with a past history of drug abuse/drug dependence/alcohol dependence or confirmed/suspected abuse or dependence; or patients positive for phencyclidine, cocaine, stimulants, or cannabis in urinary drug testing, and confirmed by the investigator, were excluded from the study.

Study Drug Administration

The starting dose of S-8117 was 5 mg/single dose in patients who were previously not using any opioid analgesics. In patients who were previously using opioid analgesics, the S-8117 dose was selected according to the dose of the previous analgesic, and half of the selected dose was orally administered twice daily. In the dose-titration period, a dose increase in the order of 5 mg, 10 mg, 20 mg, 30 mg, and 40 mg (10 mg increment for a single dose >40 mg) was permitted if the BPI 24 hr average pain severity score did not improve to ≤ 3 , or by $\geq 30\%$ from baseline. In the maintenance period, patients continued with the dose that was being administered at the end of the dose-titration period. Worsening of pain was considered as inadequate analgesia and the dose was increased accordingly. Dose reductions were based on the discretion of the investigator in the event of the onset of non-tolerable AEs, which may or may not be related to a dose increase. In the long-term administration period, patients continued with the dose that was being administered at the end of the maintenance phase in part 1. A dose increase (5 mg per single dose) or reduction was permitted in case of worsening of pain and onset of AEs, respectively.

In any study period, a dose increase by 10 mg each time and by 20 mg each time for a single dose of ≥ 10 mg and ≥ 20 mg, respectively, was permitted depending on symptoms. However, a dose increase was not permitted within 3 days from the start of drug administration or within 3 days following the previous dose increase or if <6 doses were administered. Dose reduction was permitted based on the discretion of the investigator.

Study Assessments

Efficacy Assessment

In part 1 of the study, the primary efficacy endpoint was the proportion of patients with successful maintenance of

pain control, assessed by the proportion of patients without inadequate analgesia. Patients were assessed to have inadequate analgesia with S-8117 during the maintenance period if they met one of the following criteria: (i) worsened pain due to their underlying condition that resulted in a dose increase, a change in the analgesic (or a dose reduction or discontinuation), or addition of another analgesic (including rescue treatment that excluded treatment for ≤ 3 consecutive days for AEs, such as fever) or (ii) BPI 24 hr average pain severity score did not improve to ≤ 3 , or improvement was not $\geq 30\%$ for 3 consecutive days from the time of enrollment. The secondary efficacy endpoints in part 1 and 2 of the study included the time to inadequate analgesia during the maintenance period, rate of transition to the maintenance period, and the proportion of patients who discontinued due to inadequate analgesia or AEs, BPI pain severity, BPI pain interference, 36-item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) score,²⁹ and the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) OA index³⁰ (only in patients with OA).

Safety Assessment

In part 2 of the study, the primary endpoint was the long-term safety of S-8117, assessed by the incidence of treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) and serious TEAEs. The incidence of TEAEs and serious TEAEs was also assessed in part 1 of the study as a secondary endpoint. Other secondary safety endpoints in parts 1 and 2 of the study, in patients who did not receive treatment with other opioid analgesics after study discontinuation or after completing the maintenance or long-term administration periods, were presence/absence of withdrawal symptoms, assessed using the Subjective Opioid Withdrawal Scale (SOWS)³¹ and the Clinical Opioid Withdrawal Scale (COWS)³² and drug dependency assessed by the Dependency-2-A (D-2-A) and Dependency-2-B (D-2-B) questionnaires.³³

Assessment Measures And Schedule

BPI pain severity items (worst, least, average, and current pain) in the previous 24 hrs were rated on a scale of 0 (no pain) to 10 (pain as bad as one can imagine) and documented in a paper-based diary by patients daily before the morning dose and were confirmed and recorded by the investigator at each study visit (visits 1–10 in part 1; visits 11–33 in part 2). BPI pain interference items (general activity, mood, walking ability, normal work, relationship with others, sleep, and enjoyment of life) were rated on a scale of 0 (does not interfere) to 10 (completely interferes)

at each visit (visits 1–10 in part 1; visits 11–33 in part 2), and confirmed and recorded by the investigator. BPI pain severity and BPI pain interference items were also recorded at the end of the dose-tapering period for patients not transitioning to the long-term administration period and for those who did not undergo treatment with other opioid analgesics after the long-term administration period. SF-36 score was evaluated based on patients' answers to 36 questions categorized into eight health subscales: physical functioning, role physical, bodily pain, general health, vitality, social functioning, role emotional, and mental health, confirmed by the investigator and recorded on visits 1, 5 (or when the patient met the transition criteria for the maintenance period), and 10 in part 1 and on visits 22 and 33 in part 2 of the study. The WOMAC score was evaluated based on patients' answers to 24 questions structured in three sections of pain, stiffness, and physical function on visits 1, 5 (or when the patient met the transition criteria for maintenance period), and 10 in part 1 and on visits 16, 22, 28, and 33 in part 2 of the study. In addition, all scores were recorded at the time of discontinuation.

Safety was assessed at each visit from visit 1 onwards to the end of the post-treatment observation period. Patients rated their feelings every day on a 5-level (0 [not at all] to 4 [strongly]) SOWS starting from visit 10 (or discontinuation) in part 1 and visit 33 (discontinuation) in part 2 of the study until the end of post-treatment observation period. Investigators rated 11 symptoms (resting pulse rate, sweating, restlessness, pupil size, bone/joint pain, runny nose/tearing, gastrointestinal upset, tremors, yawning, anxiety/irritability, gooseflesh) on the 3- to 5-level COWS on visit 10 (or discontinuation) in part 1 and visit 33 (or discontinuation) in part 2, end of tapering period, and post-treatment observation period. Similarly, patients rated their feelings on a 4-level scale (0 [none], 1 [slight], 2 [moderate], or 3 [remarkable]) on the D-2-A questionnaire on visit 10 (or discontinuation) in part 1 and visits 14, 18, 22, 26, 30, and 33 (or discontinuation) in part 2, and the D-2-B questionnaire at the end of post-treatment observation phase (Table S1). All patient-rated assessments were confirmed by the investigator.

Statistical Analysis

The target sample size was 130 patients with chronic pain, including 30 patients with OA, 10 patients with DNP, and 10 patients with PHN. Approximately 90 patients were targeted to transition to the maintenance phase, taking into

consideration a dropout rate of approximately 30% at the time of transition. The full analysis set (FAS) comprised patients who received at least one dose of S-8117 and had BPI pain severity data assessed at baseline and on at least one visit after the start of treatment. FAS-2 comprised patients who transitioned to the maintenance period, received at least one dose of S-8117, and had BPI pain severity data assessed on at least one visit in the maintenance period.

AEs were coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities version 18.0 and summarized by system organ class and preferred term.

The Clopper–Pearson method was used to estimate the 95% confidence interval (CI) for the primary endpoint (proportion of patients with successful maintenance of pain control), proportion of patients with transition to the maintenance period, and proportion of patients who discontinued due to inadequate analgesia or AEs. The two-sided 95% CI for the proportion of patients without inadequate analgesia during the maintenance period was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier (KM) method. As this was an open-label study, no statistical comparison was performed. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Patient Disposition

A total of 130 patients were enrolled in the study (Figure 2). This included 56, 11, 28, and 35 patients with OA, DNP, PHN, and other CNCP conditions (complex regional pain syndrome, $n=9$, prolonged postoperative pain, $n=3$; post-thoracotomy pain syndrome, $n=2$; rest of the conditions in 1 patient each, $n=21$), respectively. The FAS and safety analysis set included all 130 patients, while the FAS-2 included 95 patients. All 130 patients entered the dose-titration period; of these, 35 discontinued, with the most common reason being AEs in 15 patients. Of the 95 patients who transitioned to the maintenance period, 92 completed, with 3 patients discontinuing due to patient's request, AEs, and inadequate analgesia. Overall, 83 patients transitioned to, and 60 patients completed, the long-term administration period. The most common reason for discontinuation during this period was AEs in 13 patients (Figure 2).

Baseline Demographics And Characteristics

Overall, 56.2% of the enrolled patients were aged 65 to <80 years (mean±standard deviation [SD] age, 63.6 ± 11.3




Figure 2 Patient disposition.

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; FAS, full analysis set.

years) and 62.3% were women. The majority (98.5%) of patients were treated in outpatient settings. The most commonly used prior opioid analgesic was tramadol (51.5%).

However, the majority (81.8%, $n=9$) of patients with DNP ($n=11$) were not taking any opioid analgesics prior to enrollment (Table 1).

Table 1 Baseline Demographics And Characteristics (Safety Analysis Set)

Characteristics	Total (n=130)	Osteoarthritis (n=56)	Diabetic Neuropathic Pain (n=11)	Post-Herpetic Neuralgia (n=28)	Other Chronic Pain Conditions (n=35)
Age, 65 to <80 years, n (%)	73 (56.2)	28 (50.0)	8 (72.7)	26 (92.9)	11 (31.4)
Age, years, mean±SD	63.6±11.3	63.6±9.4	65.1±10.4	72.6±4.9	55.9±12.9
Sex, female, n (%)	81 (62.3)	42 (75.0)	4 (36.4)	16 (57.1)	19 (54.3)
Outpatient, n (%)	128 (98.5)	56 (100.0)	10 (90.9)	28 (100.0)	34 (97.1)
Height, cm, mean±SD	158.0±10.0	157.7±7.7	158.8±7.4	157.4±9.4	158.7±14.1
Weight, kg, mean±SD	61.9±11.7	64.6±11.5	64.5±13.2	56.9±10.2	60.3±11.8
Prior opioid analgesics, n (%)					
Morphine	5 (3.8)	0	0	2 (7.1)	3 (8.6)
Codeine	4 (3.1)	0	1 (9.1)	3 (10.7)	0
Fentanyl	23 (17.7)	4 (7.1)	0	8 (28.6)	11 (31.4)
Buprenorphine	2 (1.5)	1 (1.8)	0	0	1 (2.9)
Tramadol	67 (51.5)	44 (78.6)	1 (9.1)	10 (35.7)	12 (34.3)
No opioid analgesics	29 (22.3)	7 (12.5)	9 (81.8)	5 (17.9)	8 (22.9)
Significant previous medical condition, n (%)	8 (6.2)	2 (3.6)	4 (36.4)	2 (7.1)	0
Comorbidities, n (%)	124 (95.4)	51 (91.1)	11 (100.0)	28 (100.0)	34 (97.1)

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

Efficacy

During the maintenance period, the proportion of patients with successful maintenance of pain control was 78.9% (95% CI 69.4–86.6) (Table 2). A similar proportion of patients showed successful maintenance of pain control across the various CNCP conditions (76.2–87.5%; Table 2). The proportion of patients without inadequate analgesia during the maintenance period, estimated by KM analysis, was 78.8% (95% CI 69.1–85.8) and was comparable across CNCP conditions (OA, 76.2%; DNP, 87.5%; PHN, 80.0%; other CNCP conditions, 78.9%). However, time to inadequate analgesia during the maintenance period could not be estimated from the KM analysis. The proportion of patients with transition to the maintenance

period was 73.1% (95% CI 64.6–80.5). This was numerically lower in patients with other CNCP conditions (57.1%) but was not greatly different to that observed in the additional CNCP conditions (OA, 75.0%; DNP, 72.7%; PHN, 89.3%). Overall, 21.1% (95% CI 13.4–30.6) of patients discontinued due to inadequate analgesia or AEs, with similar proportion across all CNCP conditions (OA, 23.8%; DNP, 12.5%; PHN, 20.0%; other CNCP conditions, 20.0%).

The mean scores for the four BPI pain severity items (Figure 3) and BPI pain interference for seven daily activities decreased numerically from baseline to the end of the dose-titration period and at the last observation of the maintenance and long-term administration periods,

Table 2 Patients With Successful Maintenance Of Pain Control During The Maintenance Period (FAS-2)

Variables	Total (n=95)	Osteoarthritis (n=42)	Diabetic Neuropathic Pain (n=8)	Post-Herpetic Neuralgia (n=25)	Other Chronic Pain Conditions (n=20)
Number of patients with successful maintenance of pain control	75	32	7	20	16
Proportion of patients with successful maintenance of pain control (%)	78.9	76.2	87.5	80.0	80.0
[95% CI]	[69.4–86.6]	[60.5–87.9]	[47.3–99.7]	[59.3–93.2]	[56.3–94.3]

Notes: The 95% CI was calculated using the Clopper–Pearson method.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; FAS, full analysis set.




Figure 3 Change in mean BPI pain severity score (A) Average pain, (B) Worst pain, (C) Least pain, and (D) Current pain.

Note: Data are presented as mean±SD.

Abbreviations: BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; SD, standard deviation.

suggesting a decrease in pain severity and interference of pain with daily activities after treatment with S-8117 (Table 3). As compared to the end of the dose-titration period, the mean scores for the four BPI pain severity items and BPI pain interference for seven daily activities numerically decreased at the end of the maintenance period, suggesting that pain severity and interference of pain with daily activities further decreased during the maintenance period (Table 3).

The scores for all eight domains of SF-36 numerically increased from baseline at the end of the dose-titration period and last observation of the maintenance and long-term administration periods (Table 3) suggesting improvement in QOL. The overall WOMAC OA index score and that for all the three domains numerically decreased from baseline to the end of the dose-titration period and last observation of the

maintenance and long-term administration periods suggesting decrease in pain, stiffness, and improvement in physical function in patients with OA (Table 3).

Safety

The majority (93.8%) of patients reported TEAEs, with the incidence of TEAEs comparable across CNCP conditions (90.9–96.4%; Table 4). Overall, 14 serious TEAEs were reported in 12 patients (9.2%). The most commonly reported TEAEs were constipation (49.2%), nausea (42.3%), nasopharyngitis (34.6%), and somnolence (32.3%; Table 5). During the dose titration, maintenance, and long-term administration period, 76.9% (100/130), 52.6% (50/95), and 91.6% (76/83) of patients reported TEAEs, respectively. The most commonly reported TEAEs were constipation (33.8%), nausea (33.8%), somnolence (23.8%), and vomiting (11.5%) in

Table 3 Secondary Efficacy Results

Efficacy Measure, Mean±SD	Baseline (n=130)	End of Dose- Titration Period (n=130)	Last Observation Of Maintenance Period (n=95)	Last Observation Of Long- Term Administration Period (n=83)
BPI pain severity				
n	130	130	95	83
Average pain	5.5±1.3	3.6±1.7	2.7±1.4	2.6±1.8
Worst pain	7.0±1.6	5.1±2.1	4.0±2.0	3.7±2.4
Least pain	3.3±1.7	2.4±1.6	1.8±1.3	1.7±1.5
Current pain	5.0±1.8	3.4±2.0	2.7±1.7	2.4±1.9
BPI pain interference				
n	130	130	95	83
General activity	5.4±2.1	3.9±2.2	2.8±2.2	2.5±2.2
Mood	5.0±2.5	3.1±2.4	2.2±1.9	2.2±2.2
Walking ability	4.7±3.0	3.3±2.6	2.5±2.4	2.3±2.4
Normal work	5.3±2.5	3.4±2.3	2.4±2.0	2.2±1.9
Relationship with others	3.7±2.7	2.7±2.5	1.8±2.0	1.9±2.0
Sleep	4.3±2.9	2.7±2.5	1.8±2.0	2.0±2.2
Enjoyment of life	4.9±2.7	3.2±2.6	2.1±2.0	2.2±2.1
Average of the 7 subscores	4.77±2.14	3.17±2.09	2.23±1.85	2.20±1.96
SF-36 ^a				
n	130	129	91	82
Physical functioning	48.19±25.81	57.09±26.62	62.53±26.31	62.50±26.73
Role physical	50.05±25.72	60.95±25.44	65.66±23.07	63.57±28.05
Bodily pain	33.46±13.75	43.58±14.78	51.02±14.32	50.33±18.96
General health	45.13±17.26	52.37±18.28	52.86±15.61	51.17±17.35
Vitality	43.94±19.72	53.15±20.10	56.87±19.16	51.60±19.98
Social functioning	58.37±25.21	68.99±26.15	75.14±22.71	71.04±26.34
Role emotional	55.00±28.77	66.47±27.86	72.34±23.10	71.34±28.18
Mental health	56.12±19.06	64.53±20.06	68.41±18.90	63.96±21.51
WOMAC osteoarthritis index ^b				
n	56	55	40	36
Pain	9.79±3.19	6.24±2.69	5.58±2.63	5.81±2.88
Stiffness	4.18±1.72	2.82±1.43	2.20±1.44	2.47±1.38
Physical function	30.29±11.78	19.18±9.90	15.45±9.21	17.28±10.50
Total score	44.25±15.38	28.24±12.92	23.23±12.47	25.56±13.82

Notes: The analysis set used was FAS for baseline and the dose-titration period and FAS-2 for the maintenance and long-term administration periods. ^aBaseline, n=130; end of dose-titration period, n=129; end of maintenance period, n=91; end of long-term administration period, n=82. ^bThe WOMAC osteoarthritis index was assessed only in patients with osteoarthritis (baseline, n=56; end of dose-titration period, n=55; end of maintenance period, n=40; end of long-term administration period, n=36).

Abbreviations: BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; FAS, full analysis set; SD, standard deviation; SF-36, 36-item Short Form Health Survey; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities.

the dose-titration period and nasopharyngitis (33.7%), nausea (13.3%), constipation (12.0%), and vomiting (10.8%) in the long-term administration period. All cases of constipation were treated with laxatives because peripherally acting mu-opioid receptor antagonists were not available in Japan at the time of this research. Overall, three serious TEAEs (pneumonia, sudden hearing loss, and hepatic cyst) were reported in the dose-titration period and 10 TEAEs (diabetes mellitus, pyelonephritis, cholelithiasis, hypoglycemia, gastrointestinal disorder, heat illness, sepsis, intestinal perforation, glaucoma,

and femoral neck fracture) in the long-term administration period. No deaths were reported during the study.

Withdrawal Symptoms And Drug Dependency

In the dose-tapering and post-treatment observation periods, the mean±SD change in COWS in part 1 was −0.5±2.0 and −0.7±1.3 and in part 2 was 0.5±2.1 and 0.1±0.7, respectively. Similarly, in the dose-tapering and post-treatment

Table 4 Safety Summary (Safety Analysis Set)

TEAEs	Total (n=130)	Osteoarthritis (n=56)	Diabetic Neuropathic Pain (n=11)	Post-Herpetic Neuralgia (n=28)	Other Chronic Pain Conditions (n=35)
TEAEs Overall, n (%) Number of events	122 (93.8) 672	53 (94.6) 278	10 (90.9) 53	27 (96.4) 119	32 (91.4) 222
Serious TEAEs Overall, n (%) Number of events	12 (9.2) 14	5 (8.9) 6	3 (27.3) 4	1 (3.6) 1	3 (8.6) 3
Significant TEAEs Overall, n (%) Number of events	25 (19.2) 36	13 (23.2) 20	1 (9.1) 1	4 (14.3) 4	7 (20.0) 11
TEAEs leading to study drug discontinuation Overall, n (%) Number of events	29 (22.3) 43	15 (26.8) 24	2 (18.2) 2	4 (14.3) 5	8 (22.9) 12

Abbreviation: TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

observation periods, the mean±SD change in SOWS in part 1 was -2.7 ± 8.5 and -4.5 ± 3.9 and in part 2 was -0.2 ± 3.8 and 0.8 ± 7.7 , respectively. A total of 25 patients (D-2-A, 21 events in 15 patients; D-2-B, 15 events in 15 patients) had suspected drug dependency and were centrally reviewed by the Data and Safety Monitoring Board. However, none of them were judged to have developed drug dependency.

Treatment Exposure

The mean±SD daily S-8117 dose at the end of the dose-titration, maintenance, and long-term administration periods was 34.9 ± 22.0 , 33.12 ± 21.98 , and 36.44 ± 24.11 mg, respectively. The mean daily dose was higher in patients with other chronic pain conditions ($44.9\text{--}54.35$ mg) compared to those with OA, DNP, or PHN ($22.21\text{--}32.46$ mg) at the end of the dose-titration, maintenance, and long-term administration periods.

Discussion

In this phase 3 study, we assessed the efficacy and safety of S-8117 in Japanese patients with various underlying conditions of CNCP including OA, DNP, PHN, and other CNCP conditions. During the maintenance period, the proportion of patients with successful maintenance of analgesic effect was 78.9% suggesting effective pain control with S-8117. The BPI, SF-36, and WOMAC OA index scores over the study periods demonstrated pain relief and improvement in QOL and daily physical functioning among patients with CNCP after treatment with S-8117.

In our previous study, 21.0% of Japanese patients with CLBP (21.0%) showed inadequate analgesia with S-8117,²⁵ which is similar to the discontinuation rate due to inadequate analgesia or AEs in the current study (21.1%). These results indicate similar analgesic effect of S-8117 in Japanese patients with CNCP due to CLBP, OA, DNP, PHN, and other CNCP conditions. The current study results were also consistent with previous studies outside of Japan in which patients with various CNCP conditions^{18–21} achieved pain relief with CR oxycodone tablets. In our study, treatment with S-8117 was also associated with an improvement in QOL and daily physical functioning in patients with CNCP, which is in line with results from a previous randomized, controlled, clinical study of CR oxycodone tablets in non-Japanese OA patients.³⁴

During the entire study period, the most commonly reported TEAEs were constipation (49.2%), nausea (42.3%), nasopharyngitis (34.6%), and somnolence (32.3%), with gastrointestinal disorders being the most common TEAEs in both the dose-titration and long-term administration periods. Moreover, treatment with S-8117 did not lead to clinically significant withdrawal syndrome or drug dependence in Japanese patients with CNCP in the current study, which is consistent with that observed in our previous study in Japanese CLBP patients.²⁵ This suggests that careful patient monitoring could potentially prevent withdrawal syndrome and drug dependence in patients receiving S-8117. No new safety concerns were observed. These safety results are consistent with those from the

Table 5 TEAEs By System Organ Class (Overall Incidence $\geq 5\%$) And Preferred Term (Safety Analysis Set)

TEAEs, n (%)	Total (n=130)	Osteoarthritis (n=56)	Diabetic Neuropathic Pain (n=11)	Post-Herpetic Neuralgia (n=28)	Other Chronic Pain Conditions (n=35)
Overall	122 (93.8)	53 (94.6)	10 (90.9)	27 (96.4)	32 (91.4)
Gastrointestinal disorders	96 (73.8)	45 (80.4)	9 (81.8)	16 (57.1)	26 (74.3)
Constipation	64 (49.2)	31 (55.4)	7 (63.6)	10 (35.7)	16 (45.7)
Nausea	55 (42.3)	28 (50.0)	4 (36.4)	5 (17.9)	18 (51.4)
Vomiting	22 (16.9)	9 (16.1)	2 (18.2)	3 (10.7)	8 (22.9)
Diarrhea	11 (8.5)	5 (8.9)	1 (9.1)	2 (7.1)	3 (8.6)
Nervous system disorders	59 (45.4)	23 (41.1)	3 (27.3)	11 (39.3)	22 (62.9)
Somnolence	42 (32.3)	20 (35.7)	0	10 (35.7)	12 (34.3)
Dizziness	10 (7.7)	3 (5.4)	0	2 (7.1)	5 (14.3)
Headache	8 (6.2)	1 (1.8)	1 (9.1)	0	6 (17.1)
Infections and infestations	56 (43.1)	21 (37.5)	3 (27.3)	18 (64.3)	14 (40.0)
Nasopharyngitis	45 (34.6)	18 (32.1)	2 (18.2)	13 (46.4)	12 (34.3)
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders	35 (26.9)	16 (28.6)	3 (27.3)	7 (25.0)	9 (25.7)
Back pain	7 (5.4)	3 (5.4)	0	0	4 (11.4)
General disorders and administration site conditions	34 (26.2)	14 (25.0)	3 (27.3)	7 (25.0)	10 (28.6)
Drug withdrawal syndrome	9 (6.9)	4 (7.1)	1 (9.1)	2 (7.1)	2 (5.7)
Malaise	8 (6.2)	5 (8.9)	0	1 (3.6)	2 (5.7)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	30 (23.1)	12 (21.4)	1 (9.1)	7 (25.0)	10 (28.6)
Pruritus	12 (9.2)	3 (5.4)	1 (9.1)	5 (17.9)	3 (8.6)
Eczema	10 (7.7)	5 (8.9)	1 (9.1)	2 (7.1)	2 (5.7)
Injury, poisoning and procedural complications	24 (18.5)	8 (14.3)	4 (36.4)	5 (17.9)	7 (20.0)
Contusion	10 (7.7)	3 (5.4)	1 (9.1)	2 (7.1)	4 (11.4)
Metabolism and nutrition disorders	19 (14.6)	9 (16.1)	4 (36.4)	1 (3.6)	5 (14.3)
Decreased appetite	10 (7.7)	6 (10.7)	1 (9.1)	0	3 (8.6)
Psychiatric disorders	16 (12.3)	6 (10.7)	2 (18.2)	4 (14.3)	4 (11.4)
Insomnia	11 (8.5)	5 (8.9)	2 (18.2)	3 (10.7)	1 (2.9)
Investigations	16 (12.3)	7 (12.5)	3 (27.3)	3 (10.7)	3 (8.6)
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	4 (3.1)	1 (1.8)	0	0	3 (8.6)
Weight decreased	3 (2.3)	2 (3.6)	0	1 (3.6)	0
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders	11 (8.5)	1 (1.8)	1 (9.1)	3 (10.7)	6 (17.1)
Oropharyngeal pain	3 (2.3)	0	0	2 (7.1)	1 (2.9)

Abbreviation: TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

concurrently performed study in patients with CLBP²⁵ and previous overseas studies.^{18,21,35–37}

In the current study, patients with a history of drug dependency and patients whose major cause of pain was associated with psychosocial factors (BS-POP score of ≥ 11) were excluded based on pre-dose risk assessments for drug dependency. The BS-POP was developed by Japanese researchers as a tool to assess the association of psychosocial

factors with pain in a convenient manner.²⁸ As past or current substance abuse and untreated psychiatric disorders are typical risk factors for opioid dependency,³⁸ exclusion of such patients at baseline may have contributed to the absence of drug dependency in the enrolled population.

It should also be noted that the currently available opioid dependency measures in Japan, including the D-2-A and D-2-B questionnaires used in this study, are not

validated. Historically, in Japan, it has been difficult to develop evaluation tools for drug dependency as the incidence of opioid dependency or abuse is extremely low in Japan compared with Western countries, owing to the tightly regulated opioid management system. In the current study, we adopted the D-2-A and D-2-B questionnaires as they had been used in several previous clinical trials in Japan to assess drug dependency.³³

Although the efficacy of CR oxycodone tablets has been previously demonstrated in patients with CNCP due to various causes,^{18–21} the potential risk of abuse, overdose, or misuse among opioid users is a cause of concern when prescribing strong opioids for CNCP management.^{7,8,39} Moreover, opioid-induced AEs (eg, constipation, nausea, and vomiting) can also lead to treatment discontinuation, increased treatment costs, and additional healthcare burden.^{40,41} In our study, nearly half of the patients experienced constipation or nausea as TEAEs during the study, and approximately 20% of the patients discontinued treatment due to AEs. Thus, appropriate management of AEs is warranted in patients with CNCP who are prescribed oxycodone in routine clinical practice as in the case of other opioids.

We enrolled patients aged ≥ 20 to < 80 years in this study to include all the age groups in which oxycodone may be used for the management of CNCP. Concerns associated with opioid use vary with age. For example, decreased drug metabolism and excretion in the elderly generally lead to drug accumulation in the body.⁴² For young adults, it is widely acknowledged that they are prone to various opioid-associated risks such as drug dependency.⁴³ In addition, results from a recent observational study in Japan implied that the cumulative incidence of opioid-induced constipation in patients with cancer pain was numerically higher in those with lower age (77% in patients aged ≥ 40 to < 50 years vs. 49% in patients aged ≥ 75 years), although the results were not statistically significant.⁴⁴ The results of our single trial may not be sufficient to establish the efficacy and safety of oxycodone in different age groups, and further research is needed.

Our study has several limitations: (i) the efficacy and safety of S-8117 were assessed in a controlled patient population so the results may not be extrapolatable to daily clinical practice; (ii) a 1-year follow-up period may be insufficient to assess possible drug dependence associated with long-term use of S-8117; and (iii) patients were assessed for drug dependence in a clinical trial setting that involved meticulous patient selection and continuous

monitoring; thus, results cannot be generalized to real-world settings. Therefore, careful patient selection and vigilant monitoring remain crucial to maximize the benefit of S-8117.

Conclusion

This is one of the first studies to demonstrate the analgesic effect of S-8117 and confirm its tolerability during long-term administration in Japanese patients with CNCP. The study results suggest that S-8117 is a potential treatment option in Japanese patients with CNCP.

Abbreviations

AE, adverse event; BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; BS-POP, Brief Scale for Psychiatric Problems in Orthopaedic Patients; CI, confidence interval; CLBP, chronic low back pain; CNCP, chronic non-cancer pain; COWS, Clinical Opioid Withdrawal Scale; CR, controlled release; D-2-A, Dependency-2-A; D-2-B, Dependency-2-B; DNP, diabetic neuropathic pain; FAS, full analysis set; KM, Kaplan–Meier; OA, osteoarthritis; PHN, post-herpetic neuralgia; QOL, quality of life; SD, standard deviation; SF-36, 36-item Short Form Health Survey; SOWS, Subjective Opioid Withdrawal Scale; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; US, United States; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities.

Ethics Approval And Informed Consent

This study was conducted in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1996), Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan, Ordinance No. 24. Additionally, the local ethics committee (institutional review boards) ([Table S2](#)) approved the study protocol. Written informed consent was solicited from all study participants before enrollment into the study.

Data Availability (Where Applicable)

- Whether the authors intend to share individual deidentified participant data: No
- What specific data they intend to share: Not available
- What other study-related documents will be made available: Not available
- How the data will be accessible: Not applicable
- When and for how long they will be made available: Not applicable

In order to provide public data access pertaining to the Shionogi-sponsored studies on the approved products, a data-sharing system is currently under development along with a corresponding policy. For further inquiry, please contact Takuma Sasaki at takuma.sasaki@shionogi.co.jp

Acknowledgments

Data management, statistical analysis of the data, and reporting of the study were conducted by Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Japan. We thank Dr. Deepali Garg, MBBS, PGDHA, of Cactus Communications, for writing, editorial, and graphics support, which was funded by Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Japan.

Author Contributions

All named authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for authorship for this manuscript, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given final approval to the version to be published. All authors had full access to all the data in this study and take complete responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis. All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This study was funded by Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Japan.

Disclosure

Mikito Kawamata received personal fees from Shionogi & Co., Ltd., research grant from Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., and both personal fees and research grant from Nippon Zoki Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. Shin-ichi Kikuchi received a grant from Shionogi & Co., Ltd., and personal fees from Shionogi & Co., Ltd., and Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development. Masako Iseki and Mamoru Kawakami received grants and personal fees from Shionogi & Co., Ltd. Shoji Yabuki received personal fees from Pfizer Japan Inc., and both personal fees and research grants from Shionogi & Co., Ltd., and Janssen Pharmaceutical K. K. Mitsuhiro Ishida, Takuma Sasaki, and Hideaki Hida are employees and shareholders of Shionogi & Co., Ltd. Atsushi Nishiyori is an employee of Shionogi & Co., Ltd. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Inoue S, Kobayashi F, Nishihara M, et al. Chronic pain in the Japanese community—prevalence, characteristics and impact on quality of life. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(6):e0129262. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129262
- Dahlhamer J, Lucas J, Zelaya C, et al. Prevalence of chronic pain and high-impact chronic pain among adults - United States, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2018;67(36):1001–1006. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6736a2
- Shupler MS, Kramer JK, Cragg JJ, Jutzeler CR, Whitehurst DGT. Pan-Canadian estimates of chronic pain prevalence from 2000 to 2014: a repeated cross-sectional survey analysis. *J Pain*. 2018. pii: S1526-5900(18)30581-9. Epub ahead of print.
- Nakata K, Tsuji T, Vietri J, Jaffe DH. Work impairment, osteoarthritis, and health-related quality of life among employees in Japan. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2018;16(1):64. doi:10.1186/s12955-018-0896-9
- Takura T, Ushida T, Kanchiku T, et al. The societal burden of chronic pain in Japan: an internet survey. *J Orthop Sci*. 2015;20(4):750–760. doi:10.1007/s00776-015-0730-8
- Tsuji T, Matsudaira K, Sato H, Vietri J, Jaffe DH. Association between presenteeism and health-related quality of life among Japanese adults with chronic lower back pain: a retrospective observational study. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8(6):e021160. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021160
- The Committee for the Guidelines for Prescribing Opioid Analgesics for Chronic Non-cancer Pain of JSPC. Japan Society of Pain Clinicians. *Guidelines for Prescribing Opioid Analgesics for Chronic Non-Cancer Pain*. 2nd ed. Japan: Shinko Trading Co., Ltd Publication Department of Medical Books. Accessed December 11, 2018.
- Finnerup NB, Attal N, Haroutounian S, et al. Pharmacotherapy for neuropathic pain in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol*. 2015;14(2):162–173. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70251-0
- Dowell D, Haegerich TM, Chou R. CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain - United States, 2016. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2016;65(1):1–49. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6501e1
- Benyamin R, Trescot AM, Datta S, et al. Opioid complications and side effects. *Pain Physician*. 2008;11(2 Suppl):S105–120.
- Narcotics and Psychotropics Control Act. Amendment of Act No. 50 of 2015. [Translate November 7, 2016]. Available from: <http://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/?re=02>. Accessed December 11, 2018.
- Onishi E, Kobayashi T, Dexter E, Marino M, Maeno T, Deyo RA. Comparison of opioid prescribing patterns in the United States and Japan: primary care physicians' attitudes and perceptions. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2017;30(2):248–254. doi:10.3122/jabfm.2017.02.160299
- Okumura Y, Sakata N, Takahashi K, Nishi D, Tachimori H. Epidemiology of overdose episodes from the period prior to hospitalization for drug poisoning until discharge in Japan: an exploratory descriptive study using a nationwide claims database. *J Epidemiol*. 2017;27(8):373–380. doi:10.1016/j.je.2016.08.010
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Drug Abuse Warning Network, 2011: National Estimates of Drug-Related Emergency Department visits. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13-4760, DAWN Series D-39. Rockville, MD. Available from: <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/DAWN2k11ED/DAWN2k11ED/DAWN2k11ED.pdf>. Accessed January 11, 2019.
- Purdue Pharma LP. OxyContin® [package insert]. Available from: <http://app.purduepharma.com/xmlpublishing/pi.aspx?id=0>. Accessed January 4, 2019.
- Schmidt-Hansen M, Bennett MI, Arnold S, Bromham N, Hilgart JS. Oxycodone for cancer-related pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;8:CD003870. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003870.pub6
- Narabayashi M, Saijo Y, Takenoshita S, et al. Opioid rotation from oral morphine to oral oxycodone in cancer patients with intolerable adverse effects: an open-label trial. *Jpn J Clin Oncol*. 2008;38(4):296–304. doi:10.1093/jco/hyn010

18. Fan BF. Postmarketing surveillance study of OxyContin tablets for relieving moderate to severe postherpetic neuralgia pain. *Oncology*. 2008;74(Suppl 1):66–71. doi:10.1159/000143222
19. Friedmann N, Klutzaritz V, Webster L. Long-term safety of Remoxy® (extended-release oxycodone) in patients with moderate to severe chronic osteoarthritis or low back pain. *Pain Med*. 2011;12(5):755–760. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4637.2011.01100.x
20. Friedmann N, Klutzaritz V, Webster L. Efficacy and safety of an extended-release oxycodone (Remoxy) formulation in patients with moderate to severe osteoarthritic pain. *J Opioid Manag*. 2011;7(3):193–202. doi:10.5055/jom.2011.0062
21. Yao P, Meng LX, Ma JM, et al. Sustained-release oxycodone tablets for moderate to severe painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a multicenter, open-labeled, postmarketing clinical observation. *Pain Med*. 2012;13(1):107–114. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4637.2011.01274.x
22. Dart RC, Surratt HL, Cicero TJ, et al. Trends in opioid analgesic abuse and mortality in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(3):241–248. doi:10.1056/NEJMs1406143
23. Warner M, Trinidad JP, Bastian BA, Minino AM, Hedegaard H. Drugs most frequently involved in drug overdose deaths: United States, 2010–2014. *Natl Vital Stat Rep*. 2016;65(10):1–15.
24. Coplan PM, Chilcoat HD, Butler SF, et al. The effect of an abuse-deterrent opioid formulation (OxyContin) on opioid abuse-related outcomes in the postmarketing setting. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2016;100(3):275–286. doi:10.1002/cpt.390
25. Kawamata M, Iseki M, Kawakami M, et al. Efficacy and safety of controlled-release oxycodone for the management of moderate-to-severe chronic low back pain in Japan: results of an enriched enrollment randomized withdrawal study followed by an open-label extension study. *J Pain Res*. 2019;12:363–375. doi:10.2147/JPR.S179110
26. Tsuji T, Ushida K, Arai K, et al. Standard nerve treatment: chronic pain (in Japanese). *Neurol Ther*. 2010;27(4):593–622.
27. Cleeland CS. Measurement of pain by subjective report. In: Chapman CR, Loeser JD, editors. *Advances in Pain Research and Therapy: Issues in Pain Measurement*. New York: Raven Press; 1989:391–403.
28. Yoshida K, Sekiguchi M, Otani K, et al. A validation study of the Brief Scale for Psychiatric problems in Orthopaedic Patients (BS-POP) for patients with chronic low back pain (verification of reliability, validity, and reproducibility). *J Orthop Sci*. 2011;16(1):7–13. doi:10.1007/s00776-010-0012-4
29. Lins L, Carvalho FM. SF-36 total score as a single measure of health-related quality of life: scoping review. *SAGE Open Med*. 2016;4:2050312116671725. doi:10.1177/2050312116671725
30. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt LW. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient relevant outcomes to antirheumatic drug therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *J Rheumatol*. 1988;15(12):1833–1840.
31. Handelsman L, Cochrane KJ, Aronson MJ, Ness R, Rubinstein KJ, Kanof PD. Two new rating scales for opiate withdrawal. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 1987;13(3):293–308. doi:10.3109/00952998709001515
32. Wesson DR, Ling W. The Clinical Opiate Withdrawal Scale (COWS). *J Psychoactive Drugs*. 2003;35(2):253–259. doi:10.1080/02791072.2003.10400007
33. Kurihara M, Jimbo M, Hirose T, et al. Double-blind comparison of clinical effects of ID-540 (fludiazepam), diazepam and placebo on psychoneurotic patients and a tentative draft of dependency questionnaire. *Rinsho Hyoka*. 1977;5(2):341–368.
34. Markenson JA, Croft J, Zhang PG, Richards P. Treatment of persistent pain associated with osteoarthritis with controlled-release oxycodone tablets in a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin J Pain*. 2005;21(6):524–535. doi:10.1097/01.aip.0000146215.86038.38
35. Els C, Jackson TD, Kunyk D, et al. Adverse events associated with medium- and long-term use of opioids for chronic non-cancer pain: an overview of Cochrane Reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;10:CD012509. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012509.pub2
36. Kopecky EA, Vaughn B, Lagasse S, O'Connor M. Tolerability, safety, and effectiveness of oxycodone DETERx in elderly patients ≥65 years of age with chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Drugs Aging*. 2017;34(8):603–613. doi:10.1007/s40266-017-0473-7
37. Portenoy RK, Farrar JT, Backonja MM, et al. Long-term use of controlled-release oxycodone for noncancer pain: results of a 3-year registry study. *Clin J Pain*. 2007;23(4):287–299. doi:10.1097/AJP.0b013e31802b582f
38. Webster LR. Risk factors for opioid-use disorder and overdose. *Anesth Analg*. 2017;125(5):1741–1748. doi:10.1213/ANE.0000000000002496
39. Edlund MJ, Martin BC, Russo JE, DeVries A, Braden JB, Sullivan MD. The role of opioid prescription in incident opioid abuse and dependence among individuals with chronic noncancer pain: the role of opioid prescription. *Clin J Pain*. 2014;30(7):557–564. doi:10.1097/AJP.0000000000000021
40. Nicholson BD. Economic and clinical burden of opioid-induced nausea and vomiting. *Postgrad Med*. 2017;129(1):111–117. doi:10.1080/00325481.2017.1243004
41. Wan Y, Corman S, Gao X, Liu S, Patel H, Mody R. Economic burden of opioid-induced constipation among long-term opioid users with noncancer pain. *Am Health Drug Benefits*. 2015;8(2):93–102.
42. Chau DL, Walker V, Pai L, Cho LM. Opiates and elderly: use and side effects. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2008;3(2):273–278. doi:10.2147/CIA.S1847
43. Borodovsky JT, Levy S, Fishman M, Marsch LA. Buprenorphine treatment for adolescents and young adults with opioid use disorders: a narrative review. *J Addict Med*. 2018;12(3):170–183. doi:10.1097/ADM.0000000000000388
44. Tokoro A, Imai H, Fumita S, et al. Incidence of opioid-induced constipation in Japanese patients with cancer pain: a prospective observational cohort study. *Cancer Med*. 2019;8(10):4883–4891. doi:10.1002/cam4.2341

Journal of Pain Research

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal>

management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Dovepress