The Personalized Shoulder Reconstruction Assisted by 3D Printing Technology After Resection of the Proximal Humerus Tumours
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Background: The reverse shoulder arthroplasty (RSA) may be a promising alternative for proximal humerus tumours because of good postoperative shoulder function. However, the conventional reverse shoulder prosthesis can not meet individual needs and RSA has been associated with a relatively high complication rate. Therefore, implant design and surgical reconstruction technique warrant further study.

Methods: Between September 2015 and May 2018, 7 patients were treated via RSA after en-bloc resection of the proximal humerus tumours. A 3D-printed guiding baseplate was used to assist the implant of the 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis; a personalized humerus prosthesis was used to reconstruct the proximal humerus. The functional outcomes were assessed by range of motion (ROM) of the shoulder joint, Musculoskeletal Tumour Society (MSTS) functional score, and Toronto Extremity Salvage Score (TESS). We also analyzed tumour recurrence, metastases, and complications associated with the reconstruction procedure.

Results: All patients were observed for 14 to 36 months, with an average of 23.6 months. At the final follow-up, the mean MSTS score was 85.7% (range, 73.3–93.3%), and the mean TESS score was 90.0% (range, 84.1–95.9%). No instability, infection, scapular notching, loosening or fracture were observed in this series. One patient with GCT suffered from pulmonary metastasis, while one with osteosarcoma died because of pulmonary metastasis.

Conclusion: The 3D-printed guiding baseplate facilitated the accurate implantation of the glenoid prosthesis. The RSA based on a 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis and a personalized custom-made humerus prosthesis significantly improved the shoulder function and decreased the complication rate. Further studies of a larger scale with longer follow-up are required to validate this technology.
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Introduction

The proximal humerus is the most predilection site of bone tumours in the upper extremity.1 Currently, limb salvage treatment is the mainstay of treatment for bone tumours of the proximal humerus. However, the function reconstruction after en bloc resection of the proximal humerus tumours remains a surgical challenge because of large bone defects and surgical loss of functional soft tissues.2,3

Numerous methods are available for the reconstruction of long bone defects following en bloc resection of the proximal humerus tumours, including vascularized fibular autograft,4 osteoarticular allograft,5,6 endoprosthetic reconstruction,7,8 and...
Nevertheless, these techniques are usually associated with bone absorption, nonunion, shoulder joint dislocation and poor shoulder function. More recently, more surgeons choose RSA for the shoulder joint reconstruction after resection of the proximal humerus tumours; the reason for this is that it can improve the shoulder function after reconstruction and decrease the complication rate.\(^{12,13}\) Initially, RSA, first proposed by Grammont et al.,\(^{14}\) was devised to treat elderly patients with rotator cuff deficiency in case of failed conservative treatment.\(^{15,16}\) After that, the RSA has been used for the treatment of comminuted fracture of the humeral head as well as the proximal humerus tumour.\(^{17,18}\) However, the conventional reverse prostheses might be inappropriate for the reconstruction of the long bone defects following en bloc resection of the proximal humerus tumours because of the original design intent.\(^{12,13,19}\) Moreover, the reverse prosthesis reconstruction has been associated with a relatively high complication rate.\(^{10,18,19}\)

The computer-designed and 3D printing technology may provide an alternative. Recently, tumour models, guiding plates and/or prostheses based on 3D printing technology have been used for precise tumour resection and functional reconstruction.\(^{20,21}\) In this study, a personalized guiding baseplate was designed to assist the implant of a 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis; a reverse shoulder prosthesis consisting of a 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis and a personalized custom-made humerus prosthesis were used for shoulder joint reconstruction. We elaborated the reconstruction procedure and evaluated functional results, oncological status and the reconstruction-associated complications.

### Materials and Methods

#### Clinical Data

This retrospective study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. All the patients gave written informed consent and agreed to publish their images in our study.

From September 2015 to May 2018, seven patients with the proximal humerus tumours underwent en bloc resection of tumours and reconstruction with personalized reverse shoulder prostheses. Of them, three were male and four were female. The average age of the patients at surgery was 34.9 years (range, 16–56 years). The pathological diagnoses were as follows: three osteosarcomas, two chondrosarcomas, and two Campanacci grade III giant cell tumours (GCTs) of bone. All patients underwent preoperative X-ray, thin-slice (1 mm) computed tomography (CT) scan of shoulder joint and lung, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the involved shoulder joint, and electrical capacitance tomography (ECT) bone scan.

Accurate diagnosis of the tumours depended on a comprehensive analysis of clinical manifestations, imaging features and pathology. The pathology diagnosis was acquired by percutaneous bone needle biopsy. The biopsy track was carefully planned according to the subsequent surgical approach. The three patients with osteosarcoma received neoadjuvant chemotherapy and the other four patients underwent surgical treatment alone.

#### Prosthesis Design and Fabrication

The reverse shoulder prosthesis, consisting of a 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis and a custom-made humerus prosthesis, was designed by our professional bone tumour team.

The collected preoperative CT and MRI data were imported into E-3D x64 v13.20 (E-Feature Info. Tech. Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) in DICOM format to build a 3D computer model in which the tumour extent and osteotomy position were determined (Figure 1A–C). The personalized glenoid prosthesis and humerus prosthesis were then designed, as shown in Figure 1D. The procedures of tumour resection and prosthesis implant were simulated using the E-3D x64 v13.20 (Figure 2A–E). Lastly, the glenoid prosthesis and the guiding baseplate were produced using 3D printing technology (EOS M290, GmbH Electro Optical Systems, Germany; Arcam A1, EBM, Arcam Sweden) (Figure 3A and B), and the humerus prostheses were custom-made conventionally (THYTEC, Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China). The guide plate is made of nylon powder, and the whole prosthesis is made entirely of titanium alloy. The 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis has a pore size of 450–550 μm and a porosity of 60%.

#### Surgical Procedure

All the surgeries were performed by the experienced musculoskeletal oncologists (Z. W. S. and B. C. W.). After general anaesthesia, all patients were placed in the beach chair position. All operations were performed via a deltopectoral approach. The excision of soft tissue depended on the involvement of the tumours. The axillary nerve was identified and protected carefully during the operation. A jigsaw was used to perform the osteotomy according to the preoperative plan. The previous biopsy track along with the tumour was removed.
After that, the glenoid was exposed and the 3D-printed guiding baseplate was placed according to the operative plan (the middle and lower third of the glenoid) and was fixed with two Kirschner wires. The guiding baseplate was removed after the position of the glenoid prosthesis being determined, and then a guide pin was inserted and the articular cartilage was removed. After confirming the position, the 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis and the humerus prosthesis were implanted (Figure 3C–E). Lastly, the stability and ROM of the shoulder joint were examined. The remaining rotator cuff was sewed up to the reserved holes of the prosthesis to improve the stability of the shoulder joint.

To decrease the infection rate, the wound was flushed using a pulsing squirt gun during the operation; the prophylactic antibiotics were used 1 hr before surgery and 24 hrs after surgery.

**Postoperative Management**

The shoulder joint was maintained on an abduction splint for at least 6 weeks postoperatively. All patients were allowed to perform active movements of the wrist and the elbow and passive exercises of the shoulder on the first day after surgery. After 6 weeks, patients were encouraged to perform active exercises of the shoulder joint to achieve more flexible ROM. The intensity of exercise gradually increased under the supervision of our physio team. The three patients with osteosarcoma received postoperative chemotherapy.

All patients were followed up with clinical and imaging assessments. X-ray of the reconstructed shoulder joint was performed every month for the first 3 months after surgery and then every 3 months thereafter. Chest CT scan was performed every 3 months and bone scan every 6 months to identify potential metastases. At the last follow-up, active ROM, including abduction, forward flexion, external rotation and internal rotation, was measured and recorded. The MSTS functional score and TESS score were also assessed over the course of follow-up.

**Results**

All patients were followed up for 14 to 36 months, with an average of 23.6 months. Five patients were alive without any evidence of disease. One patient (Case 4) with GCT of bone was alive but developed pulmonary metastasis 16 months after surgery. One patient (Case 3) died due to pulmonary metastasis 14 months after surgery. No local recurrence was observed in our series (Table 1).

All patients underwent en bloc resection of tumours, and the average osteotomy length was 118.6 cm (range, 106.5–128.7 cm). After surgery, most patients had obvious pain relief. Although one patient complained of mild pain at the final follow-up, the pain can be relieved by nonsteroidal...
anti-inflammatory drugs. The functional outcomes allowed patients to return to their normal work and life. At the final follow-up, three patients returned to their previous occupation (light manual worker), and the other three patients had to change their jobs because of the limited ROM of the shoulder joint. The mean MSTS functional outcome score was 85.7% (range, 73.3% to 93.3%) and the mean TESS score was 90.0% (range, 84.1% to 95.9%). The mean range of active movement at the final follow-up was forward flexion, 133.4° (range, 125° to 150°), abduction, 137.6°

Table 1 Basic Characteristics of All Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Gender/Age (Years)</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Pathological Diagnoses</th>
<th>Follow-Up Time (Months)</th>
<th>Survival Status</th>
<th>Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/48</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Chondrosarcoma</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>DFS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F/24</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>DFS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/56</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DFS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F/23</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>GCT</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>Pulmonary metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/32</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>GCT</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>AWD</td>
<td>Pulmonary metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F/45</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Chondrosarcoma</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>DFS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F/16</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>OS</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>DFS</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: OS, osteosarcoma; GCT, giant cell tumour; DFS, disease-free survival; DOD, died of disease; AWD, alive with disease.
(range, 128° to 150°), internal rotation 65.9° (range, 46° to 82°), and external rotation, 41.9° (range, 23° to 65°).

During the short-term follow-up period, no complications associated with the reconstruction procedure, such as infection, scapular notching, aseptic loosening, periprosthetic fractures or dislocation, were observed. Two typical cases were shown in Figures 4 and 5.

**Discussion**

The proximal humerus is a common site of primary malignant and invasive bone tumours in the upper extremity. The primary aims of the proximal humerus tumours are to remove the tumours completely and preserve the function of the shoulder joint. The functional outcomes of the reconstructed shoulder joint are related to several factors, including whether the rotator cuff and the shoulder girdle muscles are preserved and whether the axillary nerve is involved. The rotator cuff is known to play an essential role in stabilizing the shoulder joint.\(^2^4\),\(^2^5\) However, relevant parts of rotator cuff occasionally have to be sacrificed in order to obtain a wide margin and local oncological control.\(^2^6\) Thus, functional reconstruction of the shoulder joint after en bloc resection of the proximal humerus tumours remains a great surgical challenge.

Numerous attempts have been used to reconstruct the functional rotator cuff after en bloc resection of proximal humerus tumours.\(^3\),\(^2^7\)\(^–\)\(^2^9\) Marulanda et al\(^2^7\) used a synthetic vascular mesh to facilitate soft tissue attachment, which reduced incidence of postoperative dislocation and shoulder instability. In Gosheger et al’s study,\(^2^8\) they applied a trevira tube to reconstruct the capsule and improve the soft tissue attachment in proximal humerus replacement. Moreover, our previous study also reported a shoulder joint capsule reconstruction procedure using a polypropylene mesh.\(^3\) However, the shoulder function did not improve as much as predicted previously. Many studies have shown that the RSA can obtain satisfactory shoulder function in patients with rotator cuff excision or dysfunction.\(^1^9\),\(^3^0\) The reverse polarity design
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**Figure 4** A 24-year-old female patient with osteosarcoma underwent RSA following en bloc resection of the right proximal humerus.

**Notes:** (A) Preoperative X-ray image; (B) postoperative X-ray image 3 months after surgery; (C) postoperative X-ray image 16 months after surgery; (D) the transaxial CT scanning of right shoulder joint obtained 10 months after surgery showed osteointegration; (E-I) at the last follow-up, the patient recovered satisfactory contour and function of the shoulder.

**Abbreviation:** RSA, reverse shoulder arthroplasty.
enables medialization of the centre of rotation and increases the moment arm, which significantly improves the ROM of the shoulder joint. \textsuperscript{31} Recently, RSA has been increasingly used in patients with proximal humeral tumours. \textsuperscript{12,18,19} However, the conventional reverse shoulder prosthesis cannot meet the personalized needs, and RSA has been associated with a relatively high complication rate. \textsuperscript{10,18,19} Reconstruction with the unsuitable prosthesis may result in prosthetic loosening, loss of bone mass and even periprosthetic fractures. \textsuperscript{25} Therefore, appropriate shoulder prosthesis seems to be of particular importance for the postoperative function of patients and long-term survival of prosthesis.

3D printing technology, also referred to additive manufacturing, has gained great attention in the medical field because of its potential benefits. \textsuperscript{32,33} First, 3D-printed real-sized models can give the surgeons better visualization and understanding of tumour anatomy. \textsuperscript{20,34} Riggs et al \textsuperscript{34} reported that they successfully printed a physical 3D-printed model to gain a better understanding of the complex cardiac structures as well as spatial relationship and extent of the tumour before surgery, so as to maximize the removal of the tumour while avoiding damage to critical structures. Second, surgeons can use the 3D-printed surgical guiding plate to assist the osteotomy during the operation, thus improving the accuracy of surgical resection and avoiding larger segmental bone defects. \textsuperscript{35} Also, 3D-printed technology can produce prosthesis with a complex shape precisely matching irregular bone defects, which cannot be easily achieved by traditional manufacturing technology. Moreover, the 3D printing technology allows for the manufacturing of metal prostheses with a porous interfacial layer, which exerts an essential role on the induction of bone ingrowth. \textsuperscript{36}

Recently, the model, osteotomy guiding plate and prosthesis based on 3D printing technology have been used for the resection and reconstruction of the bone tumours in various anatomical sites. \textsuperscript{21,25,37,38} In our previous study, with the assistance of 3D-printed pelvic tumour models and osteotomy guiding plates, 3D-printed hemipelvic prostheses were successfully used to reconstruct the defects following the resection of periacetabular malignant bone tumours. \textsuperscript{21} In this study, seven patients with the proximal humerus tumours were treated by en bloc resection and RAS reconstruction using a 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis and a custom-made humerus prosthesis. A personalized 3D-printed guiding

---

**Figure 5** A 23-year-old female patient with Campanacci grade III GCT of bone underwent RSA following en bloc resection of the left proximal humerus.

**Notes:** (A) Preoperative X-ray image; (B) postoperative X-ray image 5 months after surgery; (C) postoperative X-ray image 12 months after surgery; (D) the transaxial CT scanning of right shoulder joint obtained 16 months after surgery showed osteointegration; (E-I) at the last follow-up, the patient recovered satisfactory contour and function of the shoulder.

**Abbreviations:** GCT, giant cell tumour; RSA, reverse shoulder arthroplasty.
baseplate was used for assisting the implant of the glenoid prosthesis. We evaluated functional results, oncological status and the reconstruction-associated complications.

In our series, most patients achieved obvious pain relief at the time of the last follow-up. The functional outcomes allowed the patients to return to their normal life. The mean MSTS functional outcome score was 85.7% (range, 73.3–93.3%), and the mean TESS score was 90% (range, 84.1% to 95.9%). These results were in line with many previous studies in which RSA was employed to treat patients.12,19 However, the ROM of the shoulder joint seems to be superior to that of many previous studies.10,19 The mean forward flexion, 133.4° (range, 125° to 150°), abduction, 137.6° (range, 128° to 150°), internal rotation 65.9° (range, 46° to 82°), and external rotation, 41.9° (range, 23° to 65°). The outcomes of ROM may be related to tumour involvement, incision design, different surgeons, reconstruction method and postoperative function exercise.

Scapular notching was one of the most commonly seen complications after RSA.20 Bonnevialle et al19 reviewed 10 patients who underwent RSA for the proximal humerus tumours; they found scapular notching in 40% of the patients during the follow-up. The main cause of scapular notching is that repeated impingement of the humeral prosthesis against the inferior scapular neck during arm abduction, which might be attributed to the relatively centred position of the glenoid component.39–41 To minimize the risk of notching, a 3D-printed guiding baseplate was used to determine the optimal position of the glenoid prosthesis. In our patients, no scapular notching was observed. Shoulder instability is another major complication,9,19 which might be associated with deltoid dysfunction (such as deltoid paralysis and partially absence of deltoid) and relatively short prosthesis. To improve the shoulder stability, the axillary nerve was protected carefully and a custom-made humerus prosthesis was used to reconstruct the defects following the tumour resection. In the latest follow-up, no instability was observed in our patients. Recently, the reverse allograft-prosthetic composite has been used for stability improvement after resection of the proximal humerus tumours.9,10 However, the complications caused by the large allograft should be considered.9 Of note, we also noticed that Zou et al25 successfully used a 3D-printed shoulder prosthesis to reconstruct the patient’s severe bone defects in the revision of total shoulder arthroplasty. Although the 3D-printed humerus prosthesis may facilitate the soft tissue attachment, a custom-made humerus prosthesis was selected in this study because of the higher price of 3D-printed prosthesis.

However, admittedly, the 3D printing technology still faces numerous challenges and possesses certain limitations, which restrict its widespread application. At present, the process of 3D printing manufacturing is time-consuming and is not suitable for emergency cases.42 Fortunately, with incessant improvement of the 3D printing technology, the personalized 3D-printed prosthesis can now be designed and manufactured in 7–10 days in our team. However, the personalized 3D-printed prosthesis is expensive and not all patients can afford it.34 We believe that with the rapid development of the productivity and digital technology, the 3D printing technology will become affordable and be more widely available in the coming decade.

Several limitations of our study should be considered. First, the retrospective study with a relatively short follow-up period is insufficient to account for the long-term efficacy of this promising prosthesis. Second, the number of cases in this group was relatively small. Despite the small sample size, our study provides a new therapeutic alternative for reconstruction after en bloc resection of the proximal humerus tumours.

Conclusion
The 3D-printed guiding baseplate facilitated the accurate implantation of the glenoid prosthesis. The RSA based on a 3D-printed glenoid prosthesis and a personalized custom-made humerus prosthesis significantly improved the shoulder function and decreased the complication rate. Further studies of a larger scale as well as with long-term follow-up remain necessary to validate this therapeutic option.
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