Application of Somatostatin, Chemotherapy Combined with TAE in Heterogeneous Glucagonoma Presented with Necrolytic Migratory Erythema
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Abstract: Glucagonoma, a rare neuroendocrine tumor of the pancreas, which is often misdiagnosed because of non-characteristic clinical manifestations. In addition, the treatment has not been well established for this disease so far. We here report a case of glucagonoma previous misdiagnosed as recurrent erythema. In this case, necrolytic migratory erythema was the main clinical manifestation, and he received surgical resection after admission although with liver metastasis. Postoperative pathological results showed that the heterogeneity of proliferative index in primary (Ki-67: 5–10%) and metastatic (Ki-67: 25–30%) tumors were obviously observed. One month postoperatively, abdominal CT and MRI showed multiple liver metastasis (type III) again. Interestingly, the skin rash was obviously improved after treatment with somatostatin combined with chemotherapy (octreotide, temozolomide and capecitabine). Subsequently, the patient received transarterial embolization (TAE). Up to now, no progression was noted for liver metastasis. Due to its rarity, clinical diagnosis is challenging; thus, further understanding of the disease by clinicians is helpful for early diagnosis and treatment, so as to improve the prognosis of patients.
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Introduction

Glucagonoma is an extremely uncommon neuroendocrine tumor arising from pancreatic islet cells. The common clinical manifestation of glucagonoma is the necrolytic migratory erythema, which is unspecifc, and may be misdiagnosed as eczema, pemphigus, etc.\textsuperscript{1–7} In order to diagnose glucagonoma, it is necessary to improve the detection of glucagon. Pathological grading of glucagonoma should be made according to the expression of Ki-67, and the treatment scheme for different grades is quite different\textsuperscript{8}. Meanwhile, there is a need to perform somatostatin receptor (SSTR) detection, as well as the Ga68PET/CT should be conducted if necessary to estimate distant metastasis\textsuperscript{9}.

In terms of treatment, biotherapy can be given to enhance tumor control and relieve clinical symptoms during chemotherapy or targeted treatment\textsuperscript{10}. Therefore, it is urgent to improve the diagnosis rate and treatment efficiency of glucagonoma due to the high misdiagnosis rate of glucagonoma. Additionally, somatostatin combined with chemotherapy in the treatment of glucagonoma is rare, especially for those with liver metastasis postoperatively. Thus, we here report a case diagnosed as glucagonoma who presented with necrolytic migratory erythema and was successfully treated with somatostatin combined with chemotherapy.
**Case Report**

A 46-year-old male patient was admitted to the hospital who presented with systemic repeated erythema with pruritus and local necrosis (Figure 1). Thirteen months ago, this patient was diagnosed as eczema or pemphigus in other hospital; the symptoms improved after corresponding treatment, but the erythema still occurred repeatedly. During the course of treatment, abdominal enhanced MRI was performed at outside hospital, which showed that a mass occupied the tail of pancreas with multiple metastases of liver. Subsequently, the patient went to our hospital for abdominal CT examination again, and similar imaging results were found (Figure 2). Therefore, the laparoscopic pancreaticocaudal resection + splenectomy + left hemihepatectomy + right liver tumor resection + cholecystectomy + portal vein repair were performed on April 1, 2019. Complete resection of the primary tumor and hepatic metastases was obtained. The results of immunohistochemistry in primary tumor showed that the expression of PCK, EMA (weak), CgA, Syn, CD56, Vim and glucagon was positive, and the positive rate of Ki-67 (MIB-1) was 5~10% (Figure 3). Tumor cells in multiple liver metastases were observed, and the highest positive rate of Ki67 (MIB-1) in the live lesions range from 25% to 30%. Postoperatively, the skin rash gradually improved. After 10 days, erythema appeared again, accompanied by pruritus and local necrosis. Results of blood sampling showed that serum glycosylated antibody 125 was increased with a value of 62.98 u/mL, as well as the fasting blood glucose was slightly increased again with a value of 6.51mmol/l. As such, we supplemented the immunohistochemistry test, and the result indicated that the expression of SSTR2 was positive. Abdominal enhanced CT and upper abdomen MRI showed multiple liver nodules (May 2019). Thus, the first cycle of chemotherapy was given on May 11, 2019 (capecitabine: 1000mg BID day 1–14 + temozolomide 200mg QD day 10–14, 4 weeks as a cycle; octreotide 40mg QD day 1, 4 weeks as a cycle). On the 27th day of treatment, the skin lesions on the face of the patient were disappeared, and pigmentation remained on the skin of the legs. Up to now, this patient has received this therapeutic regimen for 5 cycles. On October 10, 2019, the patient underwent transarterial embolization with local anesthesia. Follow up to

---

**Figure 1** Representative photograph of the patient's erythema at presentation before (A) and after (B) surgery. The skin erythema reappeared and Comment: gradually become serious at 10 days after surgery (C–E). The skin rash on the face of the patient has disappeared, and the pigmentation remained on the skin of the legs on the 27th day of treatment with octreotide+chemotherapy (F–H).
October 31, 2019, no tumor progression was observed for this patient. Finally, the written informed consent has been provided by this patient, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of West China Hospital.

**Discussion**

Glucagonoma accounts for less than 10% of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, with an annual prevalence of 1/20 million.\(^{11}\) Tumor originating from Islet alpha cells, also known as α cell tumor, most of which are malignant and often metastasized at early stage. The diagnosis of glucagonoma requires the discovery of α cell secretory granules by electron microscopy, and/or immunohistochemistry showed that glucagon, CgA and Syn were positive.\(^{12}\) Generally, the normal range of glucagon is 25~250 pg/mL; when suffering from glucagonoma, it usually exceeds 500~1000 pg/mL. However, it is insufficient to diagnose glucagonoma promptly in clinic, and misdiagnosis often occurs. Therefore, this needs to be highly valued by clinicians. Document data displayed that a total of 49.2% of patients had metastases upon diagnosis.\(^{7}\) The most common sites of metastasis were liver, local lymph node, followed by bone, lung and adrenal gland.\(^{7,13,14}\) It is often necessary to localize glucagonoma by CT or MRI. The sensitivity of somatostatin receptor radionuclide imaging (Ga68 PET/CT) is higher than other imaging methods, and it has been recommended as the first choice for localization and grading of glucagonoma.

The neuroendocrine tumors were graded into four types following the newly WHO classification. And the definition of each grade is as follows: pancreatic endocrine tumor G1 (Ki-67 ≤2%), pancreatic endocrine tumor G2 (3% < Ki-67 ≤20%), pancreatic endocrine tumor G3 (highly differentiated, Ki-67 > 20%), neuroendocrine cancer G3 (NEC, poorly differentiated, Ki-67 > 20%).\(^{15}\) Although the Ki-67 index in the primary tumor of this patient is not very high, it has

---

**Figure 2** On March 25, 2019, abdominal CT showed a mass (4.2*3.5cm) in the tail of pancreas, and multiple liver nodules were observed (A, B). Abdominal enhanced CT showed multiple liver nodules (C, May 2019). On October 10, 2019, the patient underwent transcatheter arterial chemoembolization with local anesthesia (D).
a high invasiveness with a tendency of distant metastasis. Interestingly, we observed significant heterogeneity of Ki-67 index in metastatic and primary tumors.

Surgery is currently the only method to cure glucagonoma. Complete tumor resection with local lymph nodes dissection should be performed for the local glucagonoma. In addition, simultaneous or staged resection can be performed for the resectable liver metastases. Previously, several treatment options can be used in patients with liver metastasis, such as TAE, radiofrequency ablation and tumor reduction. To some extent, it can improve the prognosis of patients. The hepatic metastases of this patient had abundant blood supply; thus, he received transarterial embolization (TAE). Generally, multi-disciplinary comprehensive treatment is the main treatment for neuroendocrine tumors at an advanced stage, among which internal medicine treatment is an important part. The combination chemotherapy scheme based on streptozotocin is recommended for G1/G2 pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor (p-NET), and the combination chemotherapy scheme based on temozolomide is recommended for G1/G2/G3 p-NET.

Since streptozotocin is not listed in China, temozolomide is commonly used as a single drug or combined with capecitabine for chemotherapy. In p-NET with high proliferative activity, this scheme may also have some therapeutic effect. EP and IP chemotherapy schemes are recommended for the treatment of G3 p-NEC. In patients with glucagonoma, the expression of SSTR should be detected. Somatostatin analogues, such as octreotide and lanreotide, can be combined with somatostatin receptor type 2 and 5 receptors to block the release of glucagon and other hormones, and thus control related symptoms. Furthermore, somatostatin drugs also have the effect of anti-tumor proliferation. PROMID trial showed that the prognosis of neuroendocrine midgut tumors has been significantly improved after the application of somatostatin analogues. In addition, the peptide receptor radiolabeled somatostatin drugs and tumor with high expression of somatostatin receptor can achieve the purpose of tumor treatment, and improve the prognosis of patients. At present, a variety of such drugs have been used in the clinic, such as 111In-DTPAOC, 90Y-DOTALAN, 90Y-DOTATOC, 90Y-DOTATATE, and 177Lu-DOTATATE, etc. Therefore, PRRT can be tried after chemotherapy failure for those with high proliferative activity and SSTR positive.

Conclusions
Clinically, glucagonoma is often misdiagnosed due to non-characteristic clinical symptoms, such as rash, hyperglycemia. Combined with this report, early recognition, diagnosis and treatment of glucagonoma can improve treatment efficiency. For patients with glucagonoma, the multi-disciplinary treatment mode with somatostatin controlling
functional symptoms and TAE reducing tumor burden of liver metastasis can improve the prognosis of patient to a certain extent.

**Informed Consent Statement**
The written informed consent has been provided by the patient to have the case details and any accompanying images published.

**Acknowledgments**
We are very grateful to the staff at the Department of Abdominal Oncology, Cancer Center of West China Hospital, Sichuan University for their kind work in data collection.

**Author Contributions**
CD conceived and designed the study. SCY and HJX drafted the manuscript. LXY and ZLM provided supervision. CD critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

**Disclosure**
The authors declare that they have no competing interests related to this work.

**References**