808 nm Near-Infrared Light-Excited UCNPs@mSiO₂-Ce6-GPC3 Nanocomposites For Photodynamic Therapy In Liver Cancer
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Background: It is important to explore effective treatment for liver cancer. Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a novel technique to treat liver cancer, but its clinical application is obstructed by limited depth of visible light penetration into tissue. The near-infrared (NIR) photosensitizer is a potential solution to the limitations of PDT for deep tumor tissue treatment.

Purpose: We aimed to investigate 808 nm NIR light-excited UCNPs@mSiO₂-Ce6-GPC3 nanocomposites for PDT in liver cancer.

Methods: In our study, 808 nm NIR light-excited upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) were simultaneously loaded with the photosensitizer chlorin e6 (Ce6) and the antibody glypican-3 (GPC3), which is overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. The multi-tasking UCNPs@mSiO₂-Ce6-GPC3 nanoparticles under 808 nm laser irradiation with enhanced depth of penetration would enable the effective targeting of PDT.

Results: We found that the UCNPs@mSiO₂-Ce6-GPC3 nanoparticles had good biocompatibility, low toxicity, excellent cell imaging in HepG2 cancer cells and high anti-tumor effect in vitro and in vivo.

Conclusion: We believe that the utilization of 808 nm NIR excited UCNPs@mSiO₂-Ce6-GPC3 nanoparticles for PDT is a safe and potential therapeutic option for liver cancer.
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Introduction

Liver cancer is an invasive tumor originating from the liver. Great progress has been made in the treatment of liver cancer. However, the 5-year survival rate for liver cancer patients is only 10.1%. Thus, finding innovative and effective strategies for liver cancer is important.

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) involves the interaction of nontoxic photosensitizers, oxygen and harmless light to produce reactive oxygen species that induce tumor cell death, and it is also associated with vascular shutdown and immune system activation. Compared with traditional cancer therapies, PDT improves the quality of life of patients and has several outstanding advantages. It has been reported that using a diode laser and the photosensitizer PAD-S31, PDT induces apoptosis in human liver cancer cells.

The photosensitizer is the key factor in PDT. An important parameter for PDT efficacy is the tissue-penetration distance of light. The commonly used porphyrin-based
compounds have low light depth penetration through tissue, making PDT only suitable for superficial cancer. Therefore, searching for new photosensitizers with a deeper penetration ability is critical for PDT.

The “optical window” of biological tissue is in the near-infrared (NIR) spectral region of 700–1100 nm. Upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) absorbing photons in the NIR range can convert low-energy wavelength excitation into high-energy emission in the ultraviolet-visible region. After NIR excitation, the UCNPs emitting visible light can overcome the limited penetration of activated light to potentially attain full PDT potential. Developing NIR photosensitizers is a potential solution to the PDT limitations for deep tumor tissue treatment.

The most common UCNPs are doped with ytterbium ions (Yb\(^{3+}\)) as sensitizers and are excited at 980 nm, but at 980 nm, UCNPs have overheating problems. Light at 808 nm can overcome this overheating problem. Light with a wavelength near 800 nm also passes deeper into tissues. Ferric hydroxide-modified UCNPs were developed for 808 nm NIR-triggered synergetic tumor therapy against hypoxia tumors. It was shown that g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) coated UCNPs for 808 nm NIR-triggered photothermal and photodynamic cancer therapy. But the 808 nm NIR-excited UCNPs nanocomposites for PDT of liver cancer were not reported.

Glypican-3 (GPC3) is highly expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma tissues, but it is hardly expressed in normal tissues. It is an attractive target for hepatocellular carcinoma treatment. Tang et al reported that anti-GPC3 antibody and sorafenib-loaded NPs significantly inhibited HepG2 hepatocellular cancer. These anti-GPC3-targeted NPs are promising new targeted therapies for liver cancer. In our study, the photosensitizer chlorin e6 (Ce6) and the anti-GPC3 were modified onto the surface of NaGdF\(_4\)·Yb:Er@NaGdF\(_4\)·Yb@NaNdF\(_4\)·Yb (core-shell-shell UCNPs) and we obtained the UCNPs@mSiO\(_2\)-Ce6-GPC3 nanocomposite. We aimed to investigate UCNPs@mSiO\(_2\)-Ce6-GPC3-mediated PDT in liver cancer.

**Materials And Methods**

**Reagents And Materials**

All the chemicals and reagents in this study were of analytical grade without any further purification, including Gd\(_2\)O\(_3\) (99.99%), Yb\(_2\)O\(_3\) (99.99%), Er\(_2\)O\(_3\) (99.99%), Nd\(_2\)O\(_3\) (99.99%), oleic acid (OA), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) and sodium fluoride (NaF), and they were from China Pharmaceutical Group Chemical Reagents Co., Ltd.). N-hydroxsuccinimide (NHS), 1-octadecane (ODE), carbodiimide (EDC), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and Ce6 were obtained from Aladdin Reagent Shanghai Co., Ltd. Cyclohexane, ammonium nitrate (NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\)), sodium trifluoroacetate (CF\(_3\)COONa) and ethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) were from Beijing Beihua Fine Chemicals Co., Ltd. We bought 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) from Tianjin Komeo Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Phosphate-buffered solution (PBS), 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), rabbit anti-GPC-3 antibody were obtained from Beijing Bios Biological Co., Ltd. Normal liver L02 cells and liver hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells were purchased from the Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China).

### Synthesis NaGdF\(_4\)·Yb, Er Core Nanoparticles

The synthesis method was as follows: we mixed 1 mmol of RE (OA)\(_3\) (RE = 68.5% Gd + 30% Yb + 1.5% Er), 5 mmol of NaF, 15 mL of OA and 15 mL of ODE into the reactor. To remove excess water and oxygen from the system, we stirred the mixture to 110°C under vacuum condition for 40–60 mins. The temperature of the reaction was increased to 300°C and maintained for 90 mins under the condition of nitrogen. We stopped heating and cooled the product down to room temperature.

The material was cleaned by ethanol and centrifuged for precipitation. The precipitation was dissolved in cyclohexane to centrifuge for supernatant, the supernatant was cleaned by ethanol for precipitation. The precipitates (NaGdF\(_4\)·Yb, Er) were dispersed in 5 mL of cyclohexane to do the further experiment.

### Synthesis Of NaGdF\(_4\)·Yb, Er@NaGdF\(_4\)·Yb

The 1 mmol of NaGdF\(_4\)·Yb, Er, 0.8 mmol of Gd (CF\(_3\)COO)\(_2\), 0.2 mmol of Yb (CF\(_3\)COO)\(_3\), 1 mmol of CF\(_3\)COONa, 15 mL of OA and 15 mL of ODE were mixed into the reactor. The reactant was stirred for 10 mins at room temperature, and then heated to 120°C under vacuum condition and kept for 40–60 mins to remove excess oxygen and water. When the solution was free of bubbles, the reaction temperature was raised to 310°C and stirred for 60 mins under the condition of nitrogen permeation. The obtained
NaGdF4:Yb,Er@NaGdF4:Yb core-shell NPs were dispersed in 5 mL of cyclohexane to do the further experiment.

**Synthesis Of NaGdF4:Yb, Er@NaGdF4:Yb**

Then, 1 mmol of NaGdF4:Yb, Er@NaGdF4:Yb NPs and 0.8 mmol of Nd (CF3O)3, 0.2 mmol of Yb (CF3O)3, 1 mmol of CF3COONa, 15 mL OA and 15 mL ODE were mixed; they were stirred and heated to 120°C under vacuum for 40–60 mins to remove excess oxygen and water. Then, the temperature was raised to 310°C for 60 mins under nitrogen atmosphere. The UCNPs were dispersed in 5 mL of cyclohexane for further use.

**Synthesis Of UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6 NPs**

A layer of mesoporous silica was coated with NPs. Firstly, 0.1 g CTAB was completely dissolved in 20 mL water, and then 2 mL of UCNPs cyclohexane solution (concentration was 5–10 mg mL⁻¹) was added to it. Stirring at 80°C for 10 mins. Then, 10 mL of the solution, 20 mL of water, 3 mL of ethanol and 150 µL of NaOH (2 mol/L) were heated to 70°C. At this point, 150 µL TEOS reaction was added for 10 mins. The product was centrifuged with ethanol for 3 times and dispersed in 50 mL of ethanol. We added 0.25 g of APTES and 5 mL H2O to stirred for 3 times and dispersed in 50 mL of ethanol. We added 0.25 g of NH4NO3 and heated to 60°C reflux for 2 hrs. The product was cleaned by ethanol for 3 times, the UCNPs@mSiO2 NPs were obtained.

The UCNPs@mSiO2 NPs were mixed with 20 µL APTES and 5 mL H2O to stirred for 1 hr. At the same time, 1.5 mg of Ce6, 4 mg of NHS, 6 mg EDC of and 1 mL DMSO were mixed and stirred for 30 mins. Finally, the above solution was mixed and kept away from light for 12 hrs. It was washed twice with centrifugation, we got the UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6 NPs.

**Synthesis Of UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3 Nanocomposites**

The UCNPs@mSiO2 NPs were mixed with 20 µL APTES and 5 mL H2O for 1 hr. Then, 1 mg Ce6, 10 µL GPC3 antibody, 4 mg of NHS, 6 mg of EDC and 1 mL DMSO were mixed and stirred for 2 hrs so that the carboxyl group was activated. Finally, they were mixed and the mixture was stirred for 12 hrs in the dark. The product was centrifuged and UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3 NPs were obtained.

**Characterization**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was conducted with a Rigaku D/max-TR-III diffractometer utilizing Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.15405 nm). Transmission electron microscopy images were captured on an FEI Tecnai G2S-Twin with a field emission gun operating at 200 kV. A Hitachi U-3100 spectrophotometer was used to characterize the UV-visible spectra of the samples. The solution of the UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6 (1 mg/mL) was oscillated in the constant temperature water bath oscillator, and the ultraviolet absorption spectra at 650 nm was measured before and after centrifugation (2000 rpm, 5 mins) once a day to evaluate the stability of Ce6. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy spectra were got on a PerkinElmer 580BIR spectrophotometer utilizing the KBr pellet as the background. The fluorescence life curves of the samples were recorded and measured using the Tektronix MSO/DPO4000 oscilloscope. Upconversion emission spectra were tested on a R955 Hamamatsu photomultiplier tube, from 400 to 800 nm, the 808 nm laser diode module (K98D08M-30W, China) was used as the irradiation source. The images of confocal microscopy were recorded by a Leica TCS SP8. All the measurements were performed at room temperature.

**Cellular Uptake In Vitro**

UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3 (1 mg mL⁻¹) was incubated with normal liver L02 cells and liver hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells at 37°C for 0.5 hr, 1 hr and 3 hrs, respectively. The cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 10 mins. After rinsing, the cells were stained with 5 µg mL⁻¹ of DAPI solution per well for 10 mins. We used the confocal microscope to record the imaging of cells.

**In Vitro Bio-Compatibility Using MTT Assay**

The normal liver L02 cells were cultured overnight in a 96-well plate. Different concentrations (7.8, 15.6, 31.3, 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 µg mL⁻¹) of UCNPs@mSiO2, UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6 or UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3 were added to the cells. After incubation for 24 hrs, 20 µL of MTT solution (5 mg mL⁻¹) was added to each well and incubated for another 4 hrs. After discarding the mixture, 150 µL of DMSO was added to dissolve the produced formazan. The plate was put on the micro-plate reader, the absorbance values were evaluated at a 490 nm wavelength.
Hemolysis Assay Of UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3

We used the hemolysis assay to ascertain the biocompatibility of the sample. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital. After written informed consent, the peripheral vein blood was collected using a 21-gauge needle. This healthy donor was a non-smoker and had not taken any drugs for at least 2 weeks. The human red blood cells were got by removing the serum from blood. After washing with 0.9% saline and centrifuging, the cells were diluted to 1:10 with PBS. The 0.3 mL of diluted cells suspension was mixed with 1.2 mL of deionized water as a positive control, 1.2 mL of PBS as the negative control and 1.2 mL of varying doses of material suspensions (7.8, 15.6, 31.3, 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 µg mL$^{-1}$). The samples were shaken and kept stable at room temperature for 2 hrs. The mixtures were centrifuged and the absorbance of the upper supernatants was detected by 541 nm UV-visible spectroscopy. The hemolysis rate was determined by the equation:

\[
\text{Hemolysis} \% = \frac{A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{control(+)}}}{A_{\text{control(-)}} - A_{\text{control(-)}}} \times 100
\]

\( A \) is the absorbance of the UV–visible spectrum.

In Vitro Therapeutic Effect Using MTT Assay

The HepG2 cells (8000 cells/well) were seeded in a volume of 200 µL complete RPMI1640 per well in a 96-well plate and cultured overnight. After attaching to the bottom of the wells, the cells were incubated with RPMI1640 complete medium (control or 808 nm NIR group) or gradient concentrations (7.8, 15.6, 31.3, 62.5, 125, 250 and 500 µg mL$^{-1}$) of UCNPs@mSiO2 (UCNPs@mSiO2+808 nm NIR group), Ce6 (Ce6+808 nm NIR group), UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6 (UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6+808 nm NIR group) or UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3 (UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3+808 nm NIR group) for 6 hrs. The 808 nm NIR irradiation was with a pump power of 2 W cm$^{-2}$ for 148 s. After 18-hr incubation, cell activity of the HepG2 cells was tested by standard MTT assay.

In Vivo Anti-Cancer Efficacy

The in vivo animal study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital. Male nude mice (4 weeks old) were obtained from Beijing Weitong Lihua. Experimental Animal Technology Co., Ltd. The experiments were performed in compliance with the criteria of the National Regulation of China for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The tumors were built up by subcutaneous injection of HepG2 cells into the right axilla of each mouse. After 1 week, the tumor long diameter was about 6–8 mm. The tumor-bearing mice were divided into 5 groups randomly (n = 6, each group) and treated by normal saline, 808 nm NIR, Ce6+808 nm NIR, UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6+808 nm NIR, UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3+808 nm NIR. For each treatment group, 0.1 mL of 1.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ Ce6, 16.35 mg mL$^{-1}$ UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6 (1.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ Ce6 contained) and 32.7 mg mL$^{-1}$ UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3 (1.5 mg mL$^{-1}$ Ce6 contained) were injected through the tail vein. All 808 nm NIR irradiation was 2 W cm$^{-2}$ for 148 s. The mice were treated once every 7 days, the tumor size and body weight were assessed and recorded every 2 days.

Histology Examination

The mice were sacrificed 2 weeks later. Tumors of all groups and the major organs including heart, lung, liver, spleen and kidney were separated and sliced. After dehydration using buffered formalin, ethanol of different concentrations and xylene, the dehydrated tissues were embedded, sliced for hematoxylin and eosin staining and analyzed for pathology.

Results

Schematic Drawing And Physicochemical Characterization Of Upconversion Nanocarriers

Figure 1A shows the structure of NaGdF4:Yb:Er@NaGdF4:Yb@NaNdF4:Yb (UCNPs). We tested the XRD peak of the UCNPs and the UCNPs@mSiO2 (Figure 1B). The diffraction peaks of our synthesized core-shell-shell structure UCNPs were almost completely corresponding to the standard card of hexagonal phase NaGdF4 (ICPDS No.27-0699), indicating that the nanometer particles were also the hexagonal phase structures. After the NPs were coated with a layer of mesoporous silica, we obtained the UCNPs@mSiO2, it had the original diffraction peaks of the standard card, a broad peak appears at 2θ=22.0º was corresponded to the amorphous SiO2. Therefore, the hexagonal phase of the UCNPs was confirmed by XRD analysis.

We used the transmission electron microscope to observe the morphology and size of the samples at different experimental stages. The NaGdF4:Yb, Er NPs had very uniform size and good dispersity in cyclohexane, the particle size was...
about 20 nm (Figure 1C(a)). In Figure 1C(b), we found that the chemical composition and crystal phase of NaGdF4:Yb shell and NaGdF4:Yb, Er was similar, so it was difficult to see the boundary between the NaGdF4:Yb shell and NaGdF4:Yb. The particle size of the NaGdF4:Yb, Er@NaGdF4:Yb NPs was 20–30 nm. In Figure 1C(c), the final synthesized NaGdF4:Yb, Er@NaGdF4:Yb@NaNdF4:Yb core-shell-shell structure was 30–50 nm in size. The last wrapped NaNdF4:Yb shell with a thickness between 3 and 10 nm could be clearly seen. Figure 1C(d) showed that the NaGdF4:Yb, Er@NaGdF4:Yb@NaNdF4:Yb@mSiO2 (UCNPs@mSiO2) was a relatively dispersed nanoparticle...
with a diameter between 70 and 90 nm. In conclusion, the NPs prepared in every step had good dispersity and very uniform size in cyclohexane; the dispersity of UCNPs was kept well after SiO$_2$ loading.

In order to judge the photosensitizer Ce6 effect on the UV-visible absorption of the nanoparticle sample, the UV-visible absorption test was performed on the Ce6, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 (Figure 2A). We found that the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ had no obvious absorption peak in the range of 350–800 nm. However, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 appeared obvious absorption peaks at 410 nm, 500 nm and 670 nm; this result indicated that Ce6 was absorbed near the wavelength of 410 nm, 500 nm and 670 nm. The absorbance of the solution before centrifugation was about 0.81, but the absorbance of the supernatant after centrifugation was only about 0.03 (Figure 2B). This result indicated that Ce6 was firmly loaded on UCNPs@mSiO$_2$.

In this study, 808 nm laser was used as excitation light. To determine the effect of Ce6 on the luminescence of the NPs, the luminescence spectra of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 were evaluated under 808 nm NIR excitation (Figure 2C). The intensity of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 emitted by 808 nm NIR was lower than that of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ under the same conditions. In particular, there was a significant reduction near the 650 nm wavelength. It overlapped with the absorption peak of Ce6 at the same wavelength. This phenomenon would likely result in resonance energy transfer from UCNPs to Ce6, which may generate singlet oxygen for PDT.

In Figure 2D, the lifetime of the two NPs decreased from 0.4935 to 0.2766 ms. It was because Ce6
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**Figure 2** (A) UV–vis absorbance spectra of Ce6, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6. (B) The ultraviolet absorption spectra at 650 nm of the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 (1 mg/mL) before and after centrifugation (2000 rpm, 5 mins) once a day to evaluate the stability of Ce6. (C) Upconversion luminescence spectra of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 under 808 nm excitation recorded at the same UCNPs concentration. (D) Decay curves of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 at the wavelength of 650 nm under 808 nm excitation.
absorbed some of the energy of 650 nm wavelength light generated by UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ under 808 nm laser excitation, further proving the resonance energy transfer process.

The FT-IR spectroscopy was utilized to identify the surface functional groups and apply additional evidence for successful modification. The FT-IR spectra of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 were presented in Figure 3A. The band appearance located at $\approx$1712 cm$^{-1}$ attributed to C=O groups indicates that the carboxyl groups of Ce6 and GPC3 antibody were successfully attached to UCNPs. Meanwhile, the peaks presented at $\approx$2862 cm$^{-1}$ and $\approx$2953 cm$^{-1}$ belonged to C-Hx bonds; it suggested the successful grafting of Ce6 and GPC3 antibody. In addition, the Zeta potential of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ changed from $\approx$-13 to 20.2 mV owing to the Ce6 modification (Figure 3B). After connecting GPC3 antibody, the potential dropped to 8.94 mV. The Ce6-loading efficiency of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ examined using UV-visible spectroscopy was calculated to be $\approx$93.34%.

Cellular Uptake Of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 By HepG2 Cells

HepG2 cells overexpress GPC3.$^{28}$ L02 is the normal liver cell line with GPC3-negative expression.$^{29}$ GPC3 is readily accessible for antibody-mediated targeting and binding.$^{30}$ Flow cytometry showed that the GPC3-expressing HepG2 cells were specifically targeted the GPC3-NPs, the GPC3-nanoparticles only localized to the cell surface of HepG2 cells under confocal microscopy.$^{30}$ In this study, the cells were incubated with 500 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 NPs for 0.5 hr, 1 hr, and 3 hrs. UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 performed green fluorescence (Figure 4). The blue fluorescence from DAPI was used to stain the nuclei, the overlay of the two channels was also presented. In L02 cells, there was almost no green stain. In the first 0.5 hr, only small green spots were viewed in HepG2 cells, it indicated that a few of the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 had been uptaken. Almost all HepG2 cells swallowed UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 after co-cultivation for 3 hrs.

Biocompatibility Of Nanomaterials In Vitro

The colorimetric MTT assay has been proved to be a relevant predictor for biocompatibility performance, we utilized human normal liver cell line L02 cells to evaluate the biocompatibility of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 at different concentrations (7.8 to 500 mg mL$^{-1}$). Figure 5A shows that when the dose of the samples was as high as 500 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$, the cell viability was about 95%; it indicated that the samples had no obvious cytotoxicity to normal liver cells. We also performed a hemolysis test for different concentrations of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 NPs (Figure 5B). The hemolytic capacity of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 to normal human blood was still less than 0.05% even when the concentration of NPs was up to 500 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$; the results proved the potential of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 for intravenous administration. The MTT and hemolysis assay revealed that the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 had good biocompatibility to normal human liver cells in vitro.

In Vitro Antitumor Properties

In order to test the in vitro therapeutic effect of the NPs excited by 808 nm laser, we co-cultured the human liver cancer HepG2 cells with different concentrations (7.8 to 500 mg mL$^{-1}$) of the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$, Ce6, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 or UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3. The MTT in vitro cell proliferation assay was used for testing
anticancer activity in our study. The groups of the materials were as follows: control (RPMI1640 medium), 808 nm NIR, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$+808 nm NIR, Ce6+808 nm NIR, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6+808 nm NIR and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3+808 nm NIR. In Figure 6, the cell viability in 808 nm NIR groups and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$+808 nm NIR groups remained above 90%; it was suggested that the single 808 nm NIR had no obvious toxicity to the HepG2 cells, and the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ vectors also did not have significant toxicity. Different concentrations of Ce6, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 or UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 were added to the HepG2 cells, 808 nm NIR irradiation with a pump power of 2 W cm$^{-2}$ was carried out. We found in UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3+808 nm NIR groups, the HepG2 cells were more markedly killed in a NPs concentration-dependent manner (*$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$); the cell viability was reduced to about 34% when the concentration of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 was 500 µg mL$^{-1}$.

In Vivo Tumor Therapeutic Effects
We chose HepG2 subcutaneous xenograft model in nude mice to evaluate the anticancer efficacy of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 under 808 nm laser irradiation in vivo. When the tumor long diameter was 6–8 mm, the mice were divided into 5 groups randomly, including control group, 808 nm NIR group, Ce6+808 nm NIR group, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6+808 nm NIR group and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3+808 nm NIR group. For each treatment group, 0.1 mL of normal saline, Ce6, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 or UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 were injected to the tail vein. When the mice were treated with NIR, the tumor site was irradiated for 148 s at 2 W cm$^{-2}$ with an 808 nm NIR laser. The mice were treated once every 7 days (the 0 day and the 6th day, the mice were sacrificed on the 14th day). With the prolonged time, the body weight of nude mice in all groups did not decrease, indicating the little side effect of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 (Figure 7A). In Figure 7B, the tumor growth inhibition efficacy in Ce6+808 nm NIR, UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6+808 nm NIR and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3+808 nm NIR group was better than the control and single 808 nm NIR group. The mice in UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3+808 nm NIR group had the lowest tumor volume (Figure 7B, *$P<0.05$ compared to control groups, n=5, Figure 7C and D). Researchers showed that laser stimulated skin and melanoma tumor growth. In our research, compared with the other groups, there were the largest tumor size in the single 808 nm NIR group (Figure 7B–D).
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**Figure 4** Confocal images of normal liver L02 cells and liver cancer HepG2 cells after co-incubation with DAPI and UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3. The incubation time was 0.5 h, 1 h, 3 h; The left was the DAPI staining; The middle was the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 image; The right was the overlay image of co-incubation with DAPI and the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3, scale bar 40 μm.
Histology Examination

Hematoxylin and eosin-stained images of the tumor, heart, lung, liver, spleen and kidney in different groups after 14 days treatment were presented in Figure 8. Histology analysis of these organs from mice after injection of UCNPs@mSiO₂-Ce6-GPC3 with 808 nm NIR laser irradiation showed that the increased apoptotic and necrotic liver cancer cells could be found in the tumor. But there was no appreciable damage to the organs of heart, lung, liver, spleen or kidney.

Discussion

We used Nd³⁺ as a UCNP sensitizer that can be stimulated by 808 nm NIR. The core-shell-shell NPs are shown in Figure 1A. Energy could be smoothly transferred from Nd³⁺ to Yb³⁺ and then transferred to the activator. The inert layer could effectively prevent the energy from being transferred from the activator to back Nd³⁺ so that with this structure we could obtain high-intensity upconversion emission. Therefore, the upconversion luminescence of the UCNPs under 808 nm NIR illumination could be used to stimulate the photosensitizer to produce singlet oxygen to kill tumor cells.

The silica used for these nanocomposites had a suitable mesoporous size, a relatively large specific surface area, good biocompatibility and an easily modified surface. These properties make mesoporous silica a good carrier for a range of drugs to be supported by nanomaterials. The TEOS underwent a series of hydrolysis condensation reactions at pH>7 and became a SiO₂ layer-coated nanoparticle. We coated the UCNPs with a layer of mesoporous silica. GPC3 is overexpressed in most human hepatocellular cancers and it is accessible for antibody-mediated binding and targeting via attachment to the cell membrane. GPC3 is promising for targeted PDT for liver cancer. The UCNPs coated with the silica shell were amino-functionalized. The carboxyl groups of Ce6 and the GPC3 antibody terminus were activated by the carbodiimide method. Ce6 and the GPC3 antibody were successfully loaded onto the surface of
Figure 7 In vivo anti-cancer properties. (A) The body weight and (B) the tumor volume of nude mice in different groups versus the treatment time (*P<0.05 compared to control groups). (C) Tumor size in each treatment group. (D) Representative digital photographs of tumor-bearing mice and tumor tissue excised from tumor-bearing mice treated with (1) Control (normal saline), (2) 808 nm NIR, (3) Ce6+808 nm NIR, (4) UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6+808 nm NIR and (5) UCNPs@mSiO2-Ce6-GPC3+808 nm NIR irradiation on the 14th day.
UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ to construct a system with targeted photodynamic effects.

We made the NPs and in every step, they had good dispersity and a very uniform size in cyclohexane, and the dispersity of UCNPs was maintained well after SiO$_2$ loading. The hexagonal phase and high crystallinity of the UCNPs were confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy. We optimized the luminescent properties of the materials. Three-layered NPs such as these have a relatively large diameter. Studies have shown that appropriately increasing the NP size will be extremely effective to increase the upconversion luminescence efficiency.

To visually detect the phagocytic effect of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 by HepG2 cells, we photographed the cells cocultured with 500 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 NPs for 0.5 hr, 1 hr, and 3 hrs with confocal microscopy. The ratio of the green signal in the cells and the coincidence of the green and the blue signals were enhanced with increasing time, and we obtained results showing that more particles were present in the cells.

A biocompatibility assay of the materials is necessary for a bioapplication research. It was shown that the viability of the Gd$^{3+}$-complex-modified NaLuF$_4$-based upconversion nanophosphors is approximately 80%. In our study, the viability was 90–100% even when the entire dose range of the samples was as high as 500 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$, which indicated that our materials had high biocompatibility. The hemolytic test with human red blood cells was applied to further confirm the biocompatibility in vitro, and we obtained negligible hemolysis results. These results were indicative of the good compatibility of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3.

We used the standard MTT assay to measure the anti-tumor therapeutic efficiency. The results of this assay indicated that the UCNPs@mSiO$_2$ vectors and pure 808 nm NIR have no cytotoxicity to HepG2 cells (Figure 6). When the cells were incubated with Ce6 or UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6 under 808 nm NIR laser irradiation, we obtained a much lower cell viability due to the PDT effect. The cells were treated with UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 and 808 nm NIR laser irradiation, and we found that the HepG2 cells were markedly restrained with a survival rate of approximately 34%. The results showed that the photodynamic effect induced by 808 nm laser had obvious inhibition efficacy on hepatocellular carcinoma cells.

Body weight is a vital parameter to measure a material’s systemic toxicity to the body. We found that the body weights of the mice in all treatment groups did not
decrease with prolonged treatment time, indicating small the little adverse side effects of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 (Figure 7A). We previously reported that the UCNPs@MS-Au$_{25}$-PEG NPs possess efficient growth inhibition of tumors in vivo under 808 nm light irradiation. Our study showed that the combination of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 and 808 nm laser irradiation exhibited the best tumor growth inhibition in vivo (Figure 7B–D). It was interesting that the pure 808 nm laser irradiation (808 NIR group) showed the largest tumor size. Low-level laser therapy may either activate precancerous cells or increase the existing cancerous tissue. Zhong et al reported that the skin turned black after treatment with a 980 nm laser. Compared with the 980 nm laser, the 808 nm light showed less damaging effects to the skin. We did not detect a destructive effect from the 808 nm laser on the skin at the tumor site of the mice (Figure 7D).

Neither obvious apoptosis nor pathological changes were found in the heart, lung, liver, spleen, kidney or tumor cells, which indicated that our materials had no significant acute toxicity. However, the tumor cells were destroyed to various degrees with the combination of UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 and 808 nm NIR laser irradiation, and these results are in agreement with the tumor growth results. Thus, 808 nm NIR-excited UCNPs@mSiO$_2$-Ce6-GPC3 nanocomposites for PDT in liver cancer is a safe and feasible tumor treatment method.

Conclusion

In summary, the NaGdF$_4$:Yb,Er@NaGdF$_4$:Yb@NaNdF$_4$:Yb(UCNPs) was prepared, we coated a layer of mesoporous silica on the surface and supported the photosensitizer Ce6 and anti-GPC3 through chemical bonding to successfully construct a dual treatment system for PDT and targeted therapy for liver cancer. The desired goal of simultaneous PDT and GPC3 targeted therapy were achieved at the cellular and animal levels. The platform had great potential and was highly expected to be used in the treatment of liver cancer.
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