CDH1 (E-Cadherin) Mutation and Gastric Cancer: Genetics, Molecular Mechanisms and Guidelines for Management
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Introduction: Germline mutation in CDH1 (E-cadherin) tumor suppressor gene is associated with hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC) and lobular breast cancers (LBC). E-Cadherin protein is necessary for physiological signaling pathways, such as cell proliferation, maintenance of cell adhesion, cell polarity and epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Dysregulation leads to tumor proliferation, invasion, migration and metastases. We review current perspectives in CDH1 genetics with molecular mechanisms and also discuss management strategies for this aggressive form of gastric cancer.

Methods: Relevant articles from PubMed/Medline and Embase (1994–2019) were searched and collected using the phrases “Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer, Familial gastric cancer, CDH1 mutation, E-Cadherin, Lobular breast cancer, Prophylactic total gastrectomy”.

Results: Current guidelines suggest maintaining a high degree of suspicion of hereditary etiology and recommend testing for CDH1 mutations in patients with familial clustering of HDGC and LBC, especially onset at an early age (before 40 years). In families lacking CDH1 mutations but with high suspicion for hereditary predisposition, testing of CTNNA1 and other closely related HDGC susceptibility genes could be considered. Prophylactic total gastrectomy is recommended for individuals with identified pathogenic germline variants. Endoscopic surveillance with biopsies is recommended for those choosing to delay prophylactic gastrectomy.

Conclusion: Mutation or transcriptional silencing of the CDH1 gene is associated with familial diffuse gastric cancer. Further studies on the expression and the alteration in the proteins in the E-cadherin pathways may serve as biomarkers for early detection; stratify risk and selection of appropriate therapy in these families. Until then prophylactic total gastrectomy is recommended for individuals with CDH1 mutations and family history of diffuse gastric cancer. Endoscopic surveillance and biopsies by experienced gastroenterologists is recommended for those choosing not to have prophylactic gastrectomy and in individuals with CDH1 variants.
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Introduction
Gastric cancer (GC) is an aggressive malignancy and a common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. An estimated 27,510 new cases will be diagnosed in the USA in 2019 with a mortality of 11,140 cases.1 The overall 5-year survival rate for all types and stages of gastric cancer in the United States was 31% (2008–2016) with a range of 68% in patients with localized disease to 5% in patients with distant metastases.1

Gastric cancers are classified into two main histological types.2,3 The majority of gastric cancers are sporadic in nature, intestinal-type adenocarcinoma. Risk factors...
include infection with *Helicobacter pylori*, smoking, excessive alcohol consumption and a diet rich in salted and smoked foods. The incidence of sporadic distal gastric cancers associated with *H. pylori* is declining in the USA; however, its worldwide incidence seems to be on the rise.

Diffuse gastric cancers (DGC) also referred to as signet ring carcinoma, occur less frequently and has a worse prognosis due to infiltrative growth pattern (*limitis plastica*). Patients may present without a distinct endoluminal mass and tend to metastasize early.

A subset of patients with diffuse gastric cancers are associated with hereditary gastric cancer syndromes (HDGC) due to heterozygous germline mutations in the E-cadherin (E-cad also known as *CDH1*) gene. The incidence of HDGC due to germline *CDH1* mutation ranges from 1% to 3%.4-6

HDGC has an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance. In 30–50% of the patients with HDGCs, the cause is mutated germline alleles of E-cadherin (CDH1). In the remaining families, the factors driving susceptibility remain unknown.4-6

This familial clustering of diffuse gastric cancer was initially observed and described in 1964, in a multi-generational Maori family from New Zealand. Becker et al in 1994 were the first to report the evidence of association of E-cad mutation with diffuse gastric cancer.7 In 1998, the E-cad germline gene mutation was identified and confirmed as the cause and association with hereditary gastric cancer in these Maori families. Subsequently, similar *CDH1* germline mutations were reported in diffuse gastric cancer in families of North European origin.4,5

**E-Cadherin Structure and Molecular Function**

The *CDH1* gene is a tumor suppressor gene and is located on chromosome 16q22.1. This gene transcribes a 120-kDa protein called E-cadherin. E-cadherin belongs to a family of highly conserved transmembrane glycoproteins called cadherin’s whose function is to assist with calcium-dependent cell adhesion to form organized tissues by complexing with another set of cytosolic proteins called catenins.

E-cadherin glycoprotein consists of three structural domains: a single transmembrane domain, bridging the cytosolic domain, to the extracellular calcium-dependent domain consisting of five tandem repeats (Figure 1). The extracellular motif binds to a homophilic cadherin molecule from adjacent cells and this adhesion requires calcium ions which acts at a hinge and prevents the domain from flexing and provides it rigidity. The cytoplasmic tail of the E-cadherin protein interacts with filaments of cytoskeleton: actin through a set of adaptor proteins called catenin’s (p120, β-catenin, and α-catenin). This structure provides the cell stability and architecture and also inhibits individual cell motility.5

**E-Cadherin and Signaling Pathways in Cancer**

The exact mechanism of *CDH1* mutations in carcinogenesis continues to be investigated. The role of E-cadherin in specific developmental processes as well as its function during carcinogenesis is reflected in the complex interplay
of various signaling pathways. The E-cadherin-catenin complex functions in cell-cell adhesion mechanisms and also transduces signals to the nucleus and to the cytoskeleton, either directly or through a complex interplay with other pathways such as β-catenin, RhoGTPase, NF-κB and EGFR signaling in an adhesion-independent manner.

CDH1 gene mutation and its associated protein E-Cadherin (E-cad) loss lead to the process of EMT (epithelial-mesenchymal transition) whereby the cell loses its cell-cell adhesion capabilities and apical polarity. In addition, important signaling interactions between E-cad and other cellular pathways include RTK/EGFR/MAPK pathway, P-120/Rho/RAC pathway, and β-catenin/Wnt pathway and are described below. (Figure 2)9-28 Genetic or epigenetic alterations in E-cadherin lead to alterations in epithelial cell-cell adhesion and cell structure, aberrant stromal interactions, as well as altered cell migration and signaling, thus promoting tumorigenesis.9-28

E-cad/β-catenin/Wnt pathway

β-catenin plays a central role as an adaptor protein linking E-cadherin to the actin cytoskeleton in cell-cell adhesion. It also remains a key component in the Wnt signaling. Under physiological conditions, cytoplasmic β-catenin remains in an inactive state by being bound to the APC/GSK3β/Axin/CK1 degradation complex and undergoes phosphorylation for ubiquitination. Wnt signaling inhibits this degradative process by phosphorylating and inhibiting the GSK3β complex. This raises the critical threshold of β-catenin in the cytoplasm required to translocate into the nucleus. Under permissive conditions which amplify aberrant Wnt signaling such as paracrine factors from tumor environment, cytokines from stromal cells and TNF-α from macrophages, β-catenin translocates into the nucleus and binds to TCF-4/LEF-1 proteins to induce Wnt target genes such as c-Myc, cyclins, MMP. This leads to uncontrolled cell proliferation and growth.9-14

In the absence of E-cadherin, un-sequestered β-catenin released from the membrane-bound cadherin-catenin complex leads to excess cytoplasmic β-catenin. It has been demonstrated that β-catenin uses the same binding interface to engage TCF and E-cadherin ligands and cadherins have a superior binding affinity. There is a suggestion that as E-cad protein is lost, there is excess un-sequestered cytoplasmic β-catenin that escapes degradation and enters the nucleus to bind to TCF and activate Wnt pathway. In addition to activating downstream Wnt associated genes, it is also demonstrated that nuclear translocation of β-catenin represses PTEN expression. PTEN is a tumor suppressor and a critical regulator of AKT/MTOR pathway. Thus, the carefully balanced Wnt/β-catenin/E-cad functioning is tipped in favor of uncontrolled cell proliferation promoting oncogenesis9-15 (Figure 2).

E-Cad/EGFR/RAS/RAF/MEK pathway

Another function of E-cadherin is through its co-localization with EGFR at cell-cell contact and inhibiting EGFR pathways. Mutation of E-cadherin is associated with ligand-dependent activation of EGFR and downstream effectors through RAS/RAF/MEK pathways and also other pro-tumorigenic pathways such as FAK/c-Src and PI3K/AKT/MTOR pathway thus contributing to enhanced cell proliferation and motility. In addition, E-cad loss is associated with increased nuclear translocation of β-catenin into the nucleus as described earlier. This translocation of β-catenin represses PTEN expression. PTEN is a tumor suppressor and a critical regulator of AKT/MTOR pathway.9,10,15-20 (Figure 2).

E-cad/P-120/Rho/MAPK pathway

The Rho family of GTPases belongs to the RAS superfamily. They act as binary molecular switches and regulate many aspects of intracellular cytoskeleton dynamics, motility and cell polarity, cell proliferation. Normally, when E-cad protein is lost the cells undergo apoptosis through a process called anoikis, a form of programmed cell death occurring after cellular detachment and loss of cell architecture and polarity. Functional analysis of activated RhoA mutations suggests that activated RhoA inhibits anoikis. Other mechanism of RhoA activation occurs indirectly through adhesion-dependent interaction with the p120 protein. Besides having a physiological role in adherens junction as described above, p120 protein (Figure1) binds E-cadherin and stabilizes adherens junctions and suppresses both RhoA and NF-κB pathways. However, upon E-cadherin silencing, this negative regulation of RhoA is lost and p120 promotes cell growth by activating and modulating RhoGTPase and thus activating RAS/RAC/MAPK activity and also through Rho mediated activation of inflammatory NF-κB pathways (BCL, IL-6, TNF).

Although H. pylori is associated with intestinal type of gastric carcinoma; it may be a confounding factor in diffuse gastric cancer. It is demonstrated that Cag A (cytotoxic-associated gene A), secreted by H. pylori...
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may cause epigenetic silencing of the E-cad gene, thus activates Rho through GRB/SHP-2 and downstream RAF/MEK/ERK pathway\textsuperscript{11,12,21–23,26–28} (Figure 2).

**E-Cad/Snail, Slug, Twist and Zeb-1 pathway**

E-cadherin also plays an important developmental role in EMT transition by suppression of transcription factors: snail, slug, twist and Zeb-1. These factors are implicated in the differentiation of epithelial cell into mesenchymal cells (EMT). Loss of E-cadherin protein promotes epithelial to mesenchymal cell (EMT) transition and thus loss of cell polarity and activation of motility. Snail, Twist and Zeb-1, previously known to cause E-cadherin repression, were among the transcription factors up-regulated following E-cadherin loss. This loss of cellular architecture leads to a migratory, mesenchymal phenotype important in metastatic disease. Cells lose cytokeratin and E-cad markers and gain other mesenchymal markers such as vimentin and N-cadherin. Loss of E-cad protein could be due to germline mutation as observed in HDGC or due to overexpression of transcription repressor (snail/slug/twist/zeb) factors by advanced carcinomas which downregulates E-cad expression. This phenomenon is also called transcriptional silencing. This leads to invasion and metastases\textsuperscript{10,14,18,24} (Figure 2).

**E-Cadherin Genetics and Testing**

Truncating mutation in \textit{CDH1} gene leads to production of abnormally short, nonfunctional protein. This leads to disruption of cadherin–catenin’s complex and loss of cell adhesion and results in increased cell motility, uncontrollable cell growth, division and increasing the metastatic ability of the tumor as described above.\textsuperscript{9,10,26,29–31}

The genetic alterations are scattered over the entire gene length in HDGC. Eighty percent of these \textit{CDH1} germline mutations are truncating, resulting in complete loss of E-cad protein due to occurrence of premature stop codons. Twenty percent of the mutations are missense type resulting in an E-cad protein with an amino acid substitution. The functional impact of missense type of mutation is not clear and remains under investigation.\textsuperscript{25,32–35}

\textit{CDH1} is a tumor suppressor gene and therefore both alleles have to be silenced for loss of protein. A second hit is required for inactivation of the gene and tumorigenesis. The mechanisms by which the second allele of \textit{CDH1} is inactivated may include hyper-methylation of the \textit{CDH1} promoter site causing epigenetic silencing, somatic mutation and loss of heterozygosity.\textsuperscript{25,32–35}

The penetrance of HDGC gene is incomplete. Approximately 30%-50% of HDGC families may harbor this mutation.\textsuperscript{36–38} In a recent series of mutation carriers, the cumulative incidence of gastric cancer was 70% (95% CI, 59%-80%) for males and 56% (95% CI, 44%-69%) for females and the risk of lobular breast cancer was 42% (95% CI, 23%-68%). In the same study patients who tested negative for \textit{CDH1} mutation had mutations noted in closely related HDGC susceptibility genes such as \textit{CTNNA1}, \textit{BRCA2}, \textit{STK11}, \textit{SDHB}, \textit{PRSS1}, \textit{ATM}, \textit{MSR1}, and \textit{PALB2}.\textsuperscript{39}

The majority of these patients present with diffuse gastric cancer in their mid-thirties (range 14–69 years) and are more commonly reported in females. In contrast, sporadic, intestinal type of gastric cancer presents in men and in their fifth to seventh decade.\textsuperscript{1,37,40} The risk of lobular breast cancer (LBC) in these families approaches 42% by age 80 years, compared with the 12.5% lifetime risk for sporadic breast cancer.\textsuperscript{1,6,39–42}

Based on the guidelines by International consortium of gastric cancer experts (IGCLC 2015) the diagnosis of \textit{CDH1} mutation is suspected in the family of HDGC proband in the presence of two or more family members diagnosed with gastric cancer at any age with one confirmed DGC, family members diagnosed with DGC before the age of 40 years and members with diagnoses of both DGC and LBC with one diagnosis before the age of 50 years. In addition, \textit{CDH1} mutation should be suspected and tested in families with bilateral or familial LBC occurring prior to the age of 50. Other abnormalities associated with \textit{CDH1} mutation are patients with DGC and cleft lip/palate, and those with precursor lesions for signet ring cell carcinoma.\textsuperscript{41}

Genetic counselling is critical and an absolute prerequisite in the care of patients with \textit{CDH1} mutation carriers. Because of the life-altering consequences of gastric surgery and breast diseases, family members should be offered genetic testing when they reach adulthood. Genetic screening may have long-term medical, psychological and insurance-related consequences and therefore counseling should be provided before testing, so that affected individuals can make informed decisions to get tested. Family members who test positive for mutations may then choose either, surveillance gastric-endoscopy or prophylactic gastrectomy to prevent gastric cancer.\textsuperscript{4,5,43}

Sequence analysis of \textit{CDH1} gene may be performed on blood or tissue sample first, followed by gene-targeted deletion or duplication analysis. Multigene analysis may be
Considered for other closely related HDGC susceptibility genes such as CTNNA1, MAPK, BRCA2, STK11, SDHB, PRSS1, ATM, MSR1, and PALB2, if CDH1 mutation analysis is tested negative. 39,43,44 These newly identified gene mutations are rare and of inadequate sample size to draw any specific conclusions. CDH1 analysis should include entire open reading frame, intron-exon boundaries, and copy number analysis to detect intragenic exon deletions or duplications. Approximately 155 different germline mutations have been described and the majority of these pathogenic mutations are truncating. About 20% of these CDH1 mutations may be missense mutations also known as VUS (variants of unknown significance) and the consequence of which is currently not clear. These specimens and family data should be referred for bioinformatics and image analysis, protein quantification, in-silico studies, expression analysis, and a series of tests performed in transgenic cells in-vitro culture. Useful information may be obtained regarding possible pathogenic effects of these CDH1 VUS and in determining the need for total prophylactic gastrectomy. 35,39,43,44

In addition to the mutations described, E-cad silencing may also occur through other mechanisms including overexpression of transcription repressors, alterations of microRNAs (miRNAs), deregulation of protein trafficking, and aberrant post-translational glycosylation regulation of the protein. 10,25,35,45,46

Cancer Screening and Surveillance

The average age for clinical presentation in HDGC is 38 years and is generally asymptomatic in majority of the patients. When specific symptoms do appear, the disease is typically in advanced metastatic stages and has poor prognosis. Analysis on the prophylactic post-gastrectomy specimens in CDH1 mutant variants describes multifocal, isolated nests of neoplastic signet ring cells at the base of the glands along with pagetoid infiltrative pattern of spread under a histologically normal-appearing mucosa. In advanced cases, the stomach may appear thickened and rigid, a phenomenon known as linitis plastica. 47

Patients with positive pathogenic germline mutation should consider prophylactic total gastrectomy regardless of endoscopic findings. For patients who decline prophylactic gastrectomy, screening and surveillance upper endoscopy following Cambridge protocol should be offered. Screening should begin 5–10 years prior to the youngest family member’s diagnosis. The current recommendations are semiannual or annual, detailed 30 min-high-definition white light upper endoscopy with biopsy of any visible suspicious lesions including pale mucosa. In addition, multiple random deep biopsies obtained from pre-pyloric area, antrum, transitional zone, body, fundus and cardia. A minimum of 30 biopsies is recommended. 43,48–50 The stomach should be inflated to check for ease of distention. If stomach appears rigid and is suspicious of linitis plastica an endoscopic ultrasonography or a CT scan should be performed to evaluate the layers of the wall. 48–50 Images are recorded for future comparisons. In spite of advanced endoscopy techniques, the detection of cancerous foci in CDH1 carriers is poor. Post-gastrectomy evaluation of surgical specimens demonstrated signet ring cancer in 45–60% of those with negative endoscopic evaluations. 50 Other tools used in the past such as chromo-endoscopy with Congo red and methylene blue dye are not recommended due to concerns of toxicity with these dyes. PET CT scans although not very useful for early lesions, may provide evidence of lymph nodes and advanced gastric wall infiltration (linitis plastica). 43,51

Given the rarity of lobular breast cancer (LBC) in general population, there is insufficient data regarding best surveillance practices for early detection of breast cancer in female patients with CDH1 mutation carriers. LBC often presents as sheets of malignant cells and do not form a well-defined mass as compared with invasive ductal cancer. The sensitivity of a mammogram for detecting LBC is therefore suboptimal. 52,53

Female’s carriers with CDH1 gene mutation should closely follow with a breast oncologist and breast surgeon for yearly clinical breast exams and screening for lobular breast cancer. Screening recommendations include bilateral breast MRIs beginning at age 30. Chemoprevention of high-risk patients per the established national guidelines using selective estrogen receptor modulators or aromatase inhibitors could be considered. Some patients may consider preventative mastectomy as an option, but this is not routinely recommended for CDH1 mutation carriers. 19,29,42,43,52,53

Prophylactic Total Gastrectomy

Given the asymptomatic nature of DGC and erratic growth pattern, it is possible the tumor may remain dormant and then progress rapidly. It is estimated that the risk for DGC to be 1% by the age of 20 years and increases to 4% by the age of 30 years in these carriers. 40

Once symptoms appear the prognosis is poor, and most patients are diagnosed with advanced disease. Further, these mutation carriers have high but variable, unpredictable penetrance. Prophylactic total gastrectomy is an appropriate option for mutation carriers.
Lewis et al were among the first surgeons to recommend prophylactic total gastrectomy for asymptomatic patients with CDH1 mutations. Their recommendation was based upon the finding of occult diffuse cancer in post-gastrectomy specimens of six asymptomatic members of CDH1 mutated families. Subsequently, other authors published similar data. Huntsman et al described in their series of 5 patients from families with CDH1 mutation who underwent prophylactic total gastrectomy. All patients showed evidence of HDGC on final pathology that failed to show on preoperative endoscopy. Similarly, Norton et al reported occult diffuse gastric cancer in six patients with CDH1 mutation who underwent prophylactic total gastrectomy. All of their resected gastrectomy specimens had presence of multifocal T1 invasive DGC without lymph node involvement.

In a recent series of 174 gastrectomy specimens from asymptomatic carriers with CDH1 mutation, preoperative endoscopic biopsies were positive for cancer only in 28.3% of specimens. A macroscopic lesion was noted in 11.7% of the specimens. Subsequently, intraepithelial lesions and/or intra-mucosal signet ring cell carcinoma were present in 87.9% of the stomach specimens. Helicobacter pylori infection was found in 23.4% of the cases.

The optimal age to perform prophylactic gastrectomy has to be individualized however most authors recommend it be performed during early adulthood between 20 and 30 years of age. Female carriers wanting pregnancy may have to delay their operations to prevent complications from nutritional and metabolic deficiencies.

Pre-Operative and Operative Considerations
Total gastrectomy carries a high morbidity with considerable impact on psychological, physiological and metabolic wellbeing. A multidisciplinary team approach is necessary. CDH1 mutation carriers should meet with clinical geneticist, psychologist, nutritionist, in addition to surgeon, and oncologists and should be supported in the post-operative period. Nutritional parameters such as serum albumin and prealbumin should be optimized. Blood sugar should be adequately controlled in diabetics. Metastatic disease has to be ruled out with appropriate imaging such a CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis. Screening colonoscopy is also recommended due to association of CDH1 mutations with colon cancer.

Total gastrectomy with removal of all gastric mucosa with a pouch is the most widely performed technique. The procedure is performed with an open or a laparoscopic/robotic techniques. Advantage of laparoscopic/robotic approach is reduced pain and faster recovery. Post-operative incidence of anastomotic leak, stenosis, morbidity, and length of stay is not statistically different when a stapled versus a hand-sewn anastomosis is performed.

Frozen sections should be checked at the edges to ensure esophageal mucosa proximally and duodenal mucosa distally confirming total resection. Younger patients recover well as most are healthy without baseline medical diseases. The overall surgical mortality is less than 1% in experienced centers.

The extent of lymph node dissection remains unclear. For prophylactic gastrectomy, before the onset of gastric cancer, the incidence of lymph node metastasis is less than 5%. A D1 lymphadenectomy (peri-gastric lymph node stations, 1–6) may be adequate. For patients with confirmed gastric cancer, an extended D1 and D2 station (8–12) lymphadenectomy may be necessary. The complications from gastrectomy are more severe in older physiologically and nutritionally depleted patients. Untreated, HDGC may have 100% mortality due to eventual development of metastatic disease.

Follow-Up and Quality of Life
Total gastrectomy is associated with significant weight loss. Rapid weight loss occurs initially and is followed by weight stabilization in a year or two following surgery with an average 10–15% permanent weight loss. Most patients experience rapid intestinal transit, reflux, dumping syndrome, and diarrhea. These symptoms may be severe initially and improve and stabilize with time. Quality of life (QOL) studies indicate high association with anxiety, depression. Younger, male patients have better QOL and outlook compared with female and older patients. Extensive lymphadenectomy with D2 and D3 resections tend to have worse functional outcomes, but no significant effect on QOL.

There is an increase in the risk of metabolic derangements due to loss of stomach acid and absorptive function. Common post-gastrectomy deficiencies include calcium, vitamin D, iron and vitamin B12. Small bacterial overgrowth may occur which may further exacerbate nutritional deficiency and protein–calorie malnutrition. Post-gastrectomy patients require lifelong vitamin supplementations.

Pregnancy after total gastrectomy is feasible and reported with normal outcomes. Pregnant carriers should...
be closely monitored for nutritional deficiencies in mother and, growth and development of the fetus. Genetic predisposition through preimplantation genetic diagnosis is an option and should be discussed with all female carriers or if their partners are CDH1 carriers wishing to get pregnant.43,70,71

Conclusion
E-cadherin and its associated signaling pathways play an important physiological role in maintaining cell-cell adhesions, architecture, motility and cell homeostasis. Dysregulation, mutation or transcriptional silencing of the CDH1 gene leads to gastric carcinogenesis.

Further studies on the expression and the alteration in the proteins in the E-cadherin pathways may serve as promising biomarkers for early detection; stratify risk and selection of appropriate therapy in these families. Until then prophylactic total gastrectomy is recommended for individuals with CDH1 mutations and family history of diffuse gastric cancer. Endoscopic surveillance in experienced centers is recommended for those opting not to have prophylactic gastrectomy and in individuals with CDH1 variants.
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