Safety and efficacy of sorafenib in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is frequently diagnosed in the setting of chronic liver disease and cirrhosis. The median survival after diagnosis is dismal. The treatment options that may offer cure are either resection or liver transplantation. Unfortunately most patients are not eligible for either treatment modality at diagnosis because of advanced stage and underlying liver dysfunction. Until recently, there was no effective systemic therapy for patients with advanced HCC. Sorafenib, an oral multikinase inhibitor of the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor, the platelet-derived growth factor receptor and Raf, has shown antitumor activity in patients with advanced HCC in phase III trials. Although objective response is not common, sorafenib promotes disease stabilization and improves overall survival. Sorafenib is well tolerated with a favorable toxicity profile. In this article we review the efficacy and safety data for sorafenib in patients with advanced HCC.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) comprises the third highest cause of cancer death worldwide.\(^1\) Surgical resection offers a cure; however most patients are ineligible for resection at the time of diagnosis because of tumor extent and underlying liver disease. Prognosis remains dismal in unresectable patients with a median survival of 3 to 6 months after diagnosis.\(^2\) Liver transplantation in properly selected patients with unresectable tumors offers the potential for cure and the treatment of the underlying liver disease.

Conventional therapeutic options for advanced HCC are limited. HCC is resistant to cytotoxic chemotherapy due in part to the high rate of expression of drug resistance genes. A small percentage of patients with HCC benefit from cytotoxic therapy, and until recently no improved survival in this patient population had been demonstrated.

Sorafenib is an oral small molecule multikinase inhibitor with antiproliferative and antiangiogenic effects (Figure 1). It acts predominantly by inhibiting the activity of the serine/threonine kinases c-Raf and B-Raf and vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFR) 1, 2 and 3. Other targets include the mitogen-activated protein kinases MEK and ERK; the platelet derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR); the cytokine receptor c-KIT; the receptor tyrosine kinases Flt-3 and RET; and the Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT) pathway.\(^3\) The Raf/MEK/ERK intracellular signaling pathway and the VEGFR and...
PDGFR extracellular receptors have been implicated in the pathogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma, prompting the investigation of sorafenib in advanced HCC. In addition the hypervascularity of HCC suggests that strategies targeting angiogenesis may be worthwhile in controlling disease progression.4–7

**Efficacy of sorafenib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma**

Phase I and II studies

The efficacy of sorafenib in HCC was initially suggested by a phase I trial of sorafenib in advanced refractory solid tumors8 in which one patient with HCC showed a partial response at 400 mg twice daily continuous sorafenib lasting more than 6 months. Three out of 6 patients with HCC in that study showed disease stabilization lasting for months. Another phase I trial9 evaluated the pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of sorafenib in Japanese patients with HCC. Twenty-seven patients with unresectable HCC, Child-Pugh status A (CPA) or B (CPB), were treated. Among the 24 patients evaluable for tumor response, 1 patient (4%) achieved a partial response, 20 (83%) had stable disease, and 3 (13%) had progressive disease. The median time to progression was 4.9 months and the median overall survival was 15.6 months. Subsequently, a phase II trial of sorafenib in patients with advanced HCC was conducted.10 One hundred and thirty patients with inoperable HCC and CPA or B were treated with sorafenib 400 mg twice daily. Three (2.2%) patients achieved partial response (PR), 8 (5.8%) had a minor response, and 46 (33.6%) had stable disease for at least 16 weeks. Median time to progression (TTP) was 4.2 months, and median overall survival (OS) was 9.2 months. Despite a shorter course of therapy for patients with CPB (12.9 weeks) compared to CPA (24.9 weeks), sorafenib discontinuation rates (CPA 31% vs CPB 21%) and dose reduction (CPA 31% vs CPB 21%) were similar in both group. Median TTP for patients with CPA was 21 weeks compared to 13 week in CPB patients. Overall survival for patients with CPA and CPB was 41 weeks 14 weeks respectively.11 Another phase II open label study of single-agent sorafenib was conducted in hepatitis B-endemic Asian population with HCC.12 Fifty-one patients
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**Figure 1** Sorafenib acts by inhibiting the activity of c-Raf and B-Raf, vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFR); the mitogen-activated protein kinases MEK and ERK; and platelet derived growth factor receptors (PDGFR).
were enrolled. The overall median progression-free survival was 3 months and OS was 5 months. Response rate was modest, 8% of patients achieving PR and 18% had stable disease for at least 12 weeks. Patients without extrahepatic spread (EHS), particularly lung metastases, were more likely to benefit from the treatment.

**Phase III trials (Table 1)**

**SHARP study**

On the basis of the above phase II studies, a double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial of sorafenib in advanced HCC was conducted (Sorafenib HCC Assessment Randomized Protocol Trial: SHARP trial). Six hundred and twenty patients with advanced HCC were randomized to receive either sorafenib 400 mg twice daily or placebo. Primary endpoints were OS and time to symptomatic progression (TTP). Secondary endpoint was time to radiologic progression and safety. The study was stopped after the second planned interim analysis at which 321 deaths had occurred. Median OS was significantly longer in the sorafenib-treated patients (10.7 vs 7.9 months) as was median time to radiologic progression (5.5 vs 2.8 months). Time to symptomatic progression was similar in both groups (4.1 vs 4.9 months). Two percent of patients in the sorafenib arm had a partial response compared to 1% of patients in the placebo group. Based on these results sorafenib was approved in the United States and became the standard of care for patients with advanced HCC.

An exploratory subgroup analysis showed a survival benefit for sorafenib over placebo regardless of HCV status, ECOG performance status (PS), EHS/microscopic vascular invasion, and prior curative treatment.

Of the 602 patients enrolled in the SHARP study, 178 patients were positive for hepatitis C virus infection (HCV), 93 patients in the sorafenib arm and 85 in the placebo arm. In the HVC positive subgroup the median overall survival was higher in the sorafenib group (14.0 vs 7.9 months) as was median time to radiologic progression by independent review (7.6 vs 2.8 months). The disease control rate (DCR), defined as the percentage of patients who had a best-response rating of complete or partial response or stable disease that was maintained for at least 28 days after the first demonstration of that rating on independent radiologic review, was higher in the sorafenib group also (44 vs 31%).

The influence of PS on efficacy of sorafenib in the SHARP trial was reported. Out of 602 patients enrolled on the study, 325 had ECOG PS 0 at baseline and 277 had PS 1–2. Among patients with ECOG PS 0, the median OS was higher in the sorafenib group (13.3 vs 8.8 months) as was the median time to progression (5.5 vs 2.9 months). Among patients with ECOG PS 1–2, the median OS (8.9 vs 5.6 months) and the median time to progression (3.5 vs 2.8) were also higher in the sorafenib group, indicating that sorafenib prolonged OS and TTP in patients with advanced HCC irrespective of ECOG PS.

The effects of macroscopic vascular invasion (MVI) and/or EHS, on the efficacy of sorafenib in the SHARP study was also evaluated. In this subanalysis, 421/602 patients had MVI and/or EHS. Patients with MVI and/or EHS treated with sorafenib had a higher OS (8.9 vs 6.7 months) and longer TTP (4.1 vs 2.7 months) than patients treated with placebo; similarly patients without MVI and/or EHS had OS of 14.5 months in sorafenib-treated patients versus 10.2 months in patients treated with placebo. TTP was longer in sorafenib-treated patients as well (9.6 vs 4.3 months).

The effects of previous liver-directed treatment on survival in the SHARP trial population was analyzed; in this subanalysis, 158 patients (81 sorafenib, 77 placebo) underwent prior curative treatment and 176 patients

---

**Table 1 Patient characteristics and outcome in phase III clinical trials of sorafenib versus placebo in advanced HCC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SHARP Sorafenib</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Asia-Pacific Sorafenib</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV infection (%)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV infection (%)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-Pugh class A (%)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>97.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-Pugh class B (%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median OS (months)</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median TTP (months)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rate (%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete response</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial response</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable disease</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCR (%)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** HCV, hepatitis C virus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; OS, overall survival; TTP, time to progression; DCR, disease control rate; PS, performance status.
Sorafenib in combination with other therapy

Based on promising results from a phase I trial in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma,\(^9\) a combination of sorafenib and doxorubicin was evaluated in a randomized phase II trial. Ninety-six patients with advanced HCC received doxorubicin 60 mg/m\(^2\) intravenously every 21 days for a maximum of 6 cycles plus either sorafenib 400 mg orally twice daily or placebo.\(^7\) Patients could continue on single-agent sorafenib or placebo until disease progression. Results of the study showed a longer TTP (8.6 vs 4.8 months) and OS (13.7 vs 6.5 months) in favor of the combination arm. Response rate was modest in both arms of the study (4 vs 2%). Grade 3–4 toxicities were higher in the combination arm. A planned intergroup phase II/III study to test the doxorubicin and sorafenib combination versus sorafenib alone is currently underway.

### Safety of sorafenib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

HCC frequently occurs in the setting of chronic liver disease and cirrhosis. For this reason a distinction must be made, whenever possible, between manifestations of worsening chronic liver disease versus drug-related toxicity. Earlier clinical trials with sorafenib reported increased bilirubin levels in some patients receiving the drug, without other evidence to suggest drug-induced liver toxicity.

In the phase I study of sorafenib in patients with advanced refractory nonhematological cancers,\(^8\) mild diarrhea was the most common treatment-related side effect. The maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was 400 mg twice daily given continuously. Grade 3 or higher dose-limiting toxicities were more common in higher doses and comprised diarrhea (2 of 6 patients at 800 mg twice daily), fatigue (1 of 6 at 800 mg twice daily), and skin toxicity (4 of 14 at 600 mg twice daily).

In the phase I study of sorafenib in 27 Japanese patients with HCC,\(^9\) 26 out of 27 patients (96.3%) experienced an adverse event, the most common being elevated lipase or amylase which was transient in the majority (89%) of patients. Dermatological events were common (81.5% of patients) as gastrointestinal side effects (70.4%). Dermatological events included rash or desquamation in 55% of patients, and hand–foot syndrome in 44%. Grade 3 or worse toxicities were observed in 23 patients (85.2%), most of which were related to laboratory abnormalities. There was no significant difference in the incidence and grade of drug-related adverse events between the CPA and CPB groups in general, but at 400-mg dose level, diarrhea (62.5 vs 33.3%), anorexia (50.0 vs 16.7%), hypertension (37.5 vs 16.7%), dry skin (37.5 vs 0%), and fatigue (25.0 vs 0%) were more common in the CPB patients.

In the phase II study of sorafenib in patients with advanced HCC,\(^9\) the most common drug-related grade 3 adverse events were hand–foot syndrome in 5%, fatigue in 9.5%, and diarrhea in 8% of patients. The incidence rates for all adverse events were similar in CPA (97%) and CPB (97%) patients in general. There was no significant difference in the incidence and grade of drug-related adverse events between the CPA and CPB groups in general including fatigue (CPA 41% vs CPB 37%), diarrhea (59% vs 47%), and hand–foot syndrome (30% vs 13%). CPB patients had...
a higher rate of encephalopathy (CPA 2% vs CPB 11%),
increased bilirubin (18% vs 40%), and worsening ascites
(CPA 11% vs CPB 18%) when treated with sorafenib.11
In the HBV-endemic Asian population specific phase II trial,12
diarrhea was the most common toxicity, occurring in 67% of
patients, followed by fatigue (55%) and hand–foot syndrome
(54%). The majority of patients experienced a transient eleva-
tion in AST, ALT, and bilirubin which gradually improved
after treatment was discontinued.

The most common adverse events reported in the
phase III SHARP trial for sorafenib13 were predomin-
antly grade 1 or 2 in severity (Table 2). The overall incidence of serious adverse events from any cause was
similar in both groups (52% vs 54% in the treatment
and the placebo group respectively). Diarrhea, weight loss, hand–foot syndrome, alopecia, anorexia, and voice changes occurred at a significantly higher frequency in the
sorafenib group. Grade 3 drug-related adverse events were
more common in the sorafenib-treated group and included
diarrhea (8% vs 2%), hand–foot syndrome (8% vs <1%),
hypertension (2% vs <1%), and abdominal pain (2% vs 1%)
in sorafenib and placebo group respectively. Grade 3 or 4
laboratory abnormalities were similar in both groups except
grade 3 hypophosphatemia (11% vs 2%) and grade 3 or 4
thrombocytopenia (4% vs <1%) which were more common
in the sorafenib group.

Drug discontinuation secondary to toxicity was similar in
the two study groups (38% vs 37%). The most frequent
events leading to discontinuation of sorafenib were gastro-
intestinal events (6%), fatigue (5%), and liver dysfunc-
tion (5%). Dose reductions and dose interruptions occurred
in 26% and 44% of the sorafenib-treated patients versus 7%
and 30% of patients in the placebo group respectively. Dose
reduction in the sorafenib group was secondary to diarrhea,
hand–foot syndrome, and rash or desquamation. Treatment
was permanently discontinued in 11% of sorafenib-treated
patients versus 5% of patients treated with placebo group
secondary to toxicity.

The incidences of serious hepatobiliary adverse events,
serious hemorrhagic events, variceal bleeding, and renal
failure (<1% and 3%) were similar in both group. Forty-two
deaths not attributed to disease progression were reported
within 30 days after the final dose of treatment (13 in the
sorafenib group vs 29 in the placebo group).

An exploratory subgroup analysis evaluated sorafenib-
related adverse events stratified by HCV status, ECOG
PS, MVI/EHS, and prior curative treatment. In patients
with HCV,14 safety profile was similar to the overall study
population with manageable grade 1–2 toxicity. The most
common grade 3–4 adverse event in the sorafenib versus
placebo arm in HCV-positive patients were hand–foot
syndrome (12.9 vs 0%), diarrhea (10.8 vs 2.4%), hyperbili-
rubinemia (9.7 vs 2.4%), ascites (6.5 vs 9.4%), and fatigue
(6.5 vs 8.2%). Adverse events led to dose reduction in 32% of
sorafenib-treated patients versus 8% in the placebo group.

The adverse event profile of sorafenib was also similar
in patients with PS of 0 compared to PS 1–2. Grade 3–4
drug-related events were diarrhea (8.8 vs 8.0%), fatigue
(2.5 vs 5.1%), hand–foot syndrome (8.8 vs 6.6%), hyperten-
sion (1.9 vs 1.5%), and pain (3.1 vs 2.9%) for patients with PS
0 vs PS 1–2 respectively.15 Patients with or without MVI/EHS
treated with sorafenib had similar toxicity profile as well

| Table 2 Incidence of drug-related adverse events in phase III trials of sorafenib in patients with advanced HCC |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **Toxocities**                                  | **SHARP**       | **Asia-Pacific** |
|                                                  | Sorafenib Any grade | Placebo Any grade | Sorafenib Any grade | Placebo Any grade |
|                                                  | Grade 3–4 | Grade 3–4 | Any grade | Grade 3–4 | Any grade | Grade 3–4 |
| HFS                                              | 21 8      | 3  <1    | 45 10    | 2.7 0     |
| Alopecia                                         | 14 0      | 2  0    | 25 0     | 1 0     |
| Rash/desquam                                     | 16 1      | 11 0    | 20 0.7   | 6.7 0    |
| Fatigue                                          | 22 4      | 16 4    | 20 3.4   | 8 1.3    |
| Hypertension                                     | 5 2       | 2 1     | 19 2     | 1 0     |
| Weight loss                                      | 14 <1     | 3 1     | 13 0     | 2.7 0    |
| N/V                                              | 16 2      | 11 2    | 11 0.7   | 10.7 1.3 |
| Diarrhea                                         | 38 8      | 11 2    | 25 6     | 5 0     |
| Liver dysfunc                                    | <1 <1     | 0 0     | <1 0     | 2.7 0    |
| Bleeding                                         | 7 1       | 4 2     | 2.7 0    | 4 0     |

**Abbreviations:** N/V, nausea/vomiting; HFS, hand–foot syndrome.
and included diarrhea (5.3 vs 15.7%), hand–foot syndrome (6.7 vs 10.1%), and fatigue (4.8 vs 1.1%).

In the phase III trial of sorafenib in Asia-Pacific patients with HCC, the most frequently reported adverse events (Table 2) were hand–foot syndrome (45% vs 2.7%), diarrhea (25% vs 5%), alopecia (25% vs 1.3%), fatigue (20% vs 8%), rash or desquamation (20% vs 6.7%), hypertension (19% vs 1.3%), and anorexia (13% vs 2.7%). Treatment discontinuation due to adverse events was similar in both groups (19.5% in treatment group and 13.3% in the placebo group). Dose reductions were needed in 30.9% of sorafenib-treated compared to 2.7% of those treated with placebo. Deaths secondary to serious adverse events were reported in 70 patients (46 in the sorafenib group and 24 in the placebo group). No deaths were considered drug-related.

In the phase II double-blind study of sorafenib plus doxorubicin versus placebo plus doxorubicin in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma, one patient in the sorafenib group experienced grade 3–4 left ventricular dysfunction. Fatigue and neutropenia were the most commonly reported grade 3–4 adverse effects, and they occurred equally in both groups of patients.

Conclusion
Sorafenib is a novel drug that targets growth factor receptors known to play a role in the pathophysiology of HCC. Sorafenib demonstrated antitumor activity as first-line therapy in patients with advanced HCC. It demonstrated a modest survival benefit over placebo in 2 phase III trials, especially in patients with CPA liver disease. Sorafenib has an acceptable toxicity profile that includes hand–foot syndrome, rash, fatigue, hypertension, and diarrhea.

Given the complexity of the interactions between the pathways involved in tumoregenesis, targeted therapies with different mechanisms of action are being combined in the treatment of HCC in order to inhibit numerous pathways. Several clinical trials are currently evaluating sorafenib in combination with targeted agents in the treatment of HCC; these agents include insulin growth factor receptor inhibitor, bevacizumab, erlotinib, and angiopoietin 1–2-neutralizing peptibody among others. Studies evaluating the combination of sorafenib and chemotherapeutic agents are also underway. Prognostic and predictive markers need to be developed to identify patients with advanced HCC who may or may not respond to sorafenib.

Patients with localized disease who have undergone resection or liver transplantation are prone to relapse and need effective adjuvant therapy. Currently no adjuvant therapy is recommended for high-risk patients. Clinical trials evaluating the role of sorafenib after, or concurrently with, TACE, selective internal radiation (SIR)-spheres, and radiation are also underway.

Disclosures
The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References
