Parasitic (Helminthic) Infection While on Asthma Biologic Treatment: Not Everything Is What It Seems
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Abstract: Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airway that is characterized by bronchial hyperresponsiveness and variable airflow limitation. Approximately 235 million people are affected by asthma worldwide and 5–10% are considered to be refractory to standard asthma treatment. These patients are known to have repeated exacerbations requiring multiple courses of systemic corticosteroids and as a result, are at risk for increased adverse effects (i.e., osteoporosis, infections). Several new medications known as biologic agents have been approved for the treatment of moderate-to-severe asthmatics. These biologic agents target essential parts of the cell-mediated allergic and to a lesser degree non-allergic immune response (IgE, IL-5, and IL-4/IL-13). They are gaining more favor in the treatment of moderate-to-severe asthma due to their efficacy and excellent safety profile. Despite the most common adverse events being minor, such as injection site reactions, upper respiratory infections, or headaches, these agents carry a small risk of more severe complications such as anaphylaxis and decreased defense against parasitic infections (PI). The incidence of PI compared with other rare adverse events is not well reported, and there are no consensus guidelines for risk prevention of PI in asthmatics undergoing evaluation for, or currently using, biologic therapy. Thus, this article sets out to review the incidence of reported PI and other rare adverse events among asthmatics using current FDA-approved biologic therapies. Secondly, we discuss the clinical implications for the importance of risk prevention of PI with the use of biologic therapies in asthmatics. Lastly, we share an educational handout to assist providers in informing their patients of behaviors that could potentially increase their risk of PI while being on a biologic agent.
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Introduction

Asthma is a prevalent reactive airway disease in developed countries and is increasingly growing in developing countries.1 The last decade has seen a bright turn in the development and use of biologic therapies for severe asthma management.2 There are currently five Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved biologic therapies for the management of severe allergic or eosinophilic asthma: omalizumab, mepolizumab, reslizumab, benralizumab, and dupilumab (Figure 1). In addition to these approved therapies, there are also several new biologics currently under investigational study for severe asthma treatment.3 The available biologic therapy can be clustered into three categories, those that target immunoglobulin E (IgE) (omalizumab), interleukin-5 (IL-5) (reslizumab, benralizumab, and mepolizumab), and interleukin-4 and 13 (IL-4/IL-13) (dupilumab). Biologic therapy has also been included in the most recent Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) 2019 guidelines.4 Like all medications, biologic therapies have side effects and...
IgE and eosinophils play an important role in parasite destruction. Similarly, parasites are destroyed by the same target IgE, or cytokines involved in the allergen response pathway, can theoretically lead to an increased risk of acquiring or not being able to clear parasites. Because of its rarity and the limited long-term data we have on the newer biologics, the amount of discussion of parasites during the selection process for biologic therapy is unknown amongst clinicians. To the author’s knowledge, aside from reviewing the everyday activities that make up the patient’s life also known as life-markers, there is no standardized clinical practice guideline for clinicians and patients to review specific factors or behaviors that could potentially increase their risk in acquiring a parasite while on biologic therapy. On the other hand, rare outcomes such as anaphylaxis and hypereosinophilia currently have implementations in place to prevent or reduce the risk of occurrence. Patients are provided epi-pens in the event of anaphylactic reaction, and patients are also tested for eosinophil levels prior to starting therapy, in order to check for hypereosinophilia. This, in fact, raises the question of should we also provide patients with education on how to prevent parasitic infection while on biologic therapy? This paper will serve as a review of the current literature on biologic therapy regarding their relationship with the immune system and parasites, and the incidence of parasitic infection and other adverse events. It also includes a link to a patient-centered educational handout that lists the high-risk behaviors associated with parasitic infections.

**Methods**

An advanced search on PubMed, Dynamed, and Google Scholar was done for selecting articles related to this topic using the following keywords: “Omalizumab”, “Mepolizumab”, “Reslizumab”, “Benralizumab”, “Dupilumab”, “Asthma”, “Parasitic Infection”, and “Anaphylaxis”. In addition, data were gathered from drug trials that were performed on all the FDA-approved biologics to check for any reported incidences.

**Pathophysiology of the Immune System’s Defense Against Parasites in an Asthmatic**

The pathogenesis of asthma involves allergens binding to antigen-presenting cells and subsequently binding to T helper (Th) cells, which then differentiate into T helper 2 (Th2) cells and secrete key inflammatory drivers IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13. These cytokines then activate B cells to secrete IgE. IL-5 also activates eosinophils, which is part of the pro-inflammatory allergic response in asthma. IgE binds to mast cells at the FCRεRI receptor, allowing them to recognize the allergen and release an allergic inflammatory reaction and cause smooth muscle bronchoconstriction via histamine, prostaglandins, and leukotrienes. Asthma occurs explicitly when there is an abnormal development of Th cells into Th2 cells leading to increased production of interleukins and IgE and increased activation of B cells, mast cells, and eosinophils.

Parasitic infections act similarly to allergens that trigger asthma by stimulating a Th2 cell response and a cascade of increased interleukins, IgE, mast cells, and eosinophils. In particular, there is a considerable increase in IL-4, IL-10, IL-13, and IgE and a slightly less increase in IL-5 production compared to allergen response. IgE bound mast cells bind allergen to release further inflammatory response and help remove/destroy the allergen. Similarly, parasites are destroyed by the same method via IgE bound mast cells and the release of inflammatory cytokines.
Pharmacology of Biologic Therapy for Severe Asthma

Asthma biologic therapies target several of the critical steps involved in this cell-mediated pathway, including anti-IgE (omalizumab), anti-IL-5 (mepolizumab, reslizumab, benralizumab), and anti-IL-4/IL-13 (dupilumab). There are other interleukins, as well as different drugs that target the same pathways as above, which are currently involved in clinical trials. However, those will not be discussed here, because they are currently not FDA approved for treatment in asthma management.2,12

Anti-IgE (Omalizumab)

IgE is a crucial factor in asthma pathogenesis and leads to mast cell activation and further release of the inflammatory cascade.1 Subsequently, it became the first target of biologic therapy with the introduction of omalizumab in 2003.2,12 Omalizumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds free IgE to form IgE-omalizumab complexes which then act as a paratope to free IgE and bind the FcεRI and FcεRII receptors of mast cells, basophils, and dendritic cells, blocking free IgE from binding. With the IgE-omalizumab complex bound to the FcεRI receptor on mast cells, there is no degranulation of inflammatory cytokines. It also downregulates the expression of further FcεRI receptors.2,12

IL-5 (Mepolizumab, Reslizumab, and Benralizumab)

When evaluating for severe refractory asthma treatment, blood eosinophil evaluation is becoming a common practice.2 According to GINA,4 eosinophils>150 cells/µL are considered to be an indicator of elevated type 2 inflammation. Depending on the eosinophilia and severity of asthma, patients could then be initiated on anti-IL-5 biologic therapy.2 IL-5 is a proinflammatory cytokine that is released by T4 cells, mast cells, and eosinophils and is also involved in further stimulating activation, proliferation, and differentiation of eosinophils.8 Mepolizumab (FDA approved in 2015) and reslizumab (FDA approved in 2016) are monoclonal antibodies that target IL-5 and block it from binding the IL-5 receptor, while Benralizumab (FDA approved in 2017) targets explicitly the IL-5 receptor alpha (IL-5Rα) on eosinophils, mast cells, natural killer cells, and basophils.13,14 Benralizumab blocks activation and proliferation of these cells, but also causes antibody-mediated cell cytotoxicity (apoptosis) of eosinophils and basophils, leading to almost complete eradication of these cells.2,12 These monoclonal antibody therapies decrease the levels of eosinophils in the blood and airways and lead to reduced inflammation and improvement in asthma symptoms.

IL-4 and IL-13 (Dupilumab)

IL-4 and IL-13 are inflammatory cytokines secreted primarily by T4 cells and act on B cells to cause IgE isotype switching. T4 cell proliferation and airway changes (i.e. smooth muscle hypertrophy, goblet cell hyperplasia, fibrosis)2,12 Dupilumab is a monoclonal antibody to the IL-4α receptor, which blocks the binding of IL-4 and IL-13.12 Dupilumab was first approved for the treatment of refractory moderate to severe atopic dermatitis in 2017 and later approved in 2018 for treatment of asthma in patients over 12 years of age with moderate to severe asthma with an eosinophilic phenotype or those with oral corticosteroid-dependent asthma.15

Incidence of Adverse Events

In 2017, Bagnasco et al (2017) reviewed seven anti-IL-5 and anti-IL-5R clinical trials: one on mepolizumab (n=1460), two clinic trials on reslizumab (n=530), and five clinical trials on benralizumab (n=2138).16 They reported two cases of anaphylaxis with reslizumab and no anaphylaxis with mepolizumab or benralizumab or any parasitic infections reported with all three drugs. The COLUMBA study (2019) is the longest follow-up study for the safety of patients with severe eosinophilic asthma receiving Mepolizumab and there were no reported parasitic infections among enrolled patients.17,18 Moreover, eight RCTs and clinical trials on omalizumab with a combined total of 1966 patients receiving therapy had four cases of anaphylaxis and one case of a gastrointestinal parasite.5,19,20

Two reviews on data of patients using omalizumab (n=57,300 and n=39,510) between 2003 and 2006 found an anaphylaxis rate of 0.09–0.2% (Table 1).11,21 Only one RCT has been published so far in regard to patients at high risk for parasite infection, whereas the previous trials were mostly performed in modernized countries. The trial was performed on individuals in Brazil with high risk for parasites who were given omalizumab for asthma. Results showed a slight increased risk for acquiring parasitic infection when compared with placebo (50% vs 41%); however, the sample size was small and the results were not statistically significant (P=0.14).7 RCTs on anti-IL-4/IL-13 therapy such as dupilumab have also shown no occurrences of parasites or anaphylaxis; however, another rare adverse event, hypereosinophilia, occurred between 0.14% and 4.1% of the cases.10
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Incidence of Parastis</th>
<th>Incidence of Anaphylaxis</th>
<th>Incidence of Hypereosinophilia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Omalizumab</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.09–0.20%</td>
<td>None reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mepolizumab</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>None reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reslizumab</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>0.38%</td>
<td>None reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benralizumab</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>None reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dupilumab</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>None reported</td>
<td>0.14–4.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Incidence reported not using data from [7] as this trial was not statistically significant and specifically targeted patients at high risk for parasite. Data from references 5, 10, 16, 19, 20, and 29–31.

Clinical Considerations and Implications

Despite these adverse events being rare, patients are still screened for blood eosinophil levels and excluded not to receive certain biologic therapies (omalizumab or dupilumab) if their blood eosinophil level is too high (>300 cells/μL), and patients who do qualify to undergo therapy are given an epi-pen to treat anaphylaxis if it were to occur. The Asthma and Allergy Joint Commission investigated anaphylaxis as an adverse event of omalizumab therapy and created recommended guidelines for clinicians prescribing it – explicitly including the most common timeframe of anaphylaxis occurrences, long-term monitoring, and epi-pen prescriptions. If these rare adverse events have enough significance to require screening tests and the distribution of epi-pens, then it is reasonable to include parasitic infection risk in the conversation as well. Like the guidelines created by the Joint Commission, education for most common types of parasites, how to acquire them, risk factors, and signs/symptoms could be provided to clinicians to give to patients qualifying for therapy.

The proposed rationale behind an increased risk of parasitic infection while on biologic therapy is as follows. When IgE and the inflammatory cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13) are inhibited, there is a decreased response to an allergen, lower number of eosinophils, and an overall improvement in asthma and its symptoms. On the other hand, the inability to respond appropriately to allergens can lead to some adverse events that biologic therapy display. In particular, IgE and eosinophils are essential parts of the body’s immune response to parasitic infections. IgE and eosinophils allow the body to directly kill and eliminate the parasites, and without them or the cytokines involved in the pathway, the expulsion of parasitic infections becomes limited. This has been tested in several mouse models where genes for IL-4/IL-13 were removed, leading to a decrease in host response to parasitic infection. Interestingly, mouse models performed with removal of IgE showed no inhibition or expulsion of Schistosoma and decreased burden of Strongyloides. As a result, there is a mixed picture of how biotherapy would affect humans with parasites or their risk of acquiring a parasite; therefore, many randomized control trials (RCTs) and clinical trials performed on biologic therapy in humans excluded patients with parasites or who had traveled to countries with a high prevalence of parasitic infection.

Although long-term real-world studies are still lacking on asthmatic patients infected with helminths while on biologics, and as a result definitive guidelines in relation to the prevention of infection by helminths cannot be provided. Therefore, clinicians should still be sure to obtain a thorough history and ask about the current and past history of parasitic disease, travel history, and contact with others who may have been exposed to or currently have a parasite prior to starting biologic therapy. Patients on biologic therapy are at risk for parasitic infection and should be educated on the high-risk behaviors that could result in a parasitic infection. To better meet this knowledge gap, an educational sheet was created to educate and remind patients of these high-risk behaviors (see high-risk sheet handout). This sheet could also be embedded electronically to remind prescribers to assess these high-risk behaviors before starting biologic therapy.

Conclusion

Inflammatory cytokines involved in the cell-mediated allergic response are interesting targets of modern biologic therapy for the treatment of moderate-to-severe asthma. These therapies are not without their adverse risk and events, despite serious events being rare, they are still noteworthy and require thorough clinician and patient understanding prior to initiating therapy. The role of IgE and allergic response cytokines in a host’s defense against parasites has been previously studied in mouse models with results showing a mixed, undetermined relationship. There is also a lack of enough human trials on biologic therapy and its relationship to parasitic infection to create a conclusion on its long-term effect. The only trial completed focusing on this relationship showed a possible
connection to an increased rate of parasitic infection.\textsuperscript{7} With a lack of definitive evidence to disprove that biological therapy increases the risk of parasitic infections, it is crucial that healthcare providers are thoroughly discussing this topic and its risks. The biologic high-risk behavior handout should be provided to all asthmatic patients who are being considered or currently on biologic therapy. It is important that more studies be completed regarding biologic therapy and its relationship to parasites, especially in underdeveloped countries undergoing economic transition with access to biologic therapy. Future research should continue to look for a relationship between parasites and these medications, as well as study the incidence of occurrence among low risk vs high-risk individuals. The idea that reduction in IgE could play a role in improving the expulsion of parasites is an exciting topic for future research and possibly a further role for biologic therapy.\textsuperscript{22,23}
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