The Role of Sharp Dissection in Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: A Safe Procedure with No Necrosis of the Nipple-Areolar Complex
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Background: Nipple-sparing mastectomy (NSM) is becoming increasingly accepted as a treatment for breast disease; however, nipple-areolar complex (NAC) necrosis, a frequent severe postoperative complication, inhibits the popularity of this procedure. This study reports the technical aspects and short-term postoperative outcomes of NSM.

Methods: A single-center, retrospective review of 110 patients treated with NSM at our institution from November 2015 to September 2018 was performed. The primary outcome was the incidence of NAC necrosis.

Results: A total of 130 NSMs performed on 110 patients were included in our study. Median patient age was 42 years. We performed a sharp dissection by using a scalpel, raising 3–5 mm thick flaps, and continuing onto the undersurface of the NAC. None of the 110 patients appeared to have NAC necrosis or mastectomy skin flap necrosis. However, discoloration or ischemia of the NAC with eschar formation presented between postoperative days 3 and 7 in six nipples; four nipples were ischemic, and two were discolored. No infection was detected in any of the 110 patients. All NACs were intact after an average follow-up of 30 months, and no local or systemic recurrence was detected in those breast cancer cases.

Conclusion: NSM can be safely performed in properly selected patients. Nipple necrosis was avoided using a special surgical technique, and other complications occurred at an acceptable rate.
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Introduction

The progress of mastectomy techniques has advanced from radical mastectomy to skin-sparing mastectomy (SSM) and then to nipple-sparing mastectomy (NSM).1–2 NSM combines SSM with preservation of the nipple-areolar complex (NAC), which improves reconstructive and aesthetic outcomes, leading to higher psycho-social and sexual well-being compared to nipple reconstruction.3–9 NSM has been demonstrated to have an equivalent oncological safety profile as that of radical mastectomy, and preserving the NAC does not increase local recurrence or survival chances.10–13

During the past decade, NSM has increased remarkably for women with breast cancer or those undergoing risk-reducing contralateral or bilateral prophylactic mastectomy.3,4 However, there is no universal consensus on the indications for
optimal patient selection, although several factors, including tumor size, tumor-to-nipple distance, negative axillary node status, absence of lymphovascular invasion, skin involvement, inflammatory cancer, and a negative margin beneath the nipple have been suggested.14–21

The most severe postoperative complication is NAC necrosis. Several studies have described patients who lost part of their nipple following NSM.22 In our institution, we previously performed the dissection between the subcutaneous tissue and the glandular tissue using an electrotome on 20 patients between March 2015 and August 2015; however, four nipples became ischemic, two became discolored and two became necrotic, and the rate of complications was 40%. Then, we improved the surgical technique to protect the blood supply to the NAC, and none of the patients lost their nipples. Here, we describe the initial experience of NSM at the breast center of Guangdong General Hospital from November 2015 to September 2018, including the operative procedure, short-term postoperative outcomes, and a successful technique for preserving the NAC.

Methods
Patients and Methods
This study was a retrospective review of 110 patients who underwent 130 NSM procedures at the breast center of Guangdong General Hospital from November 2015 to September 2018. Patient demographics, neo-adjuvant therapy, and reconstructive outcomes, including mastectomy flap necrosis, NAC necrosis, and infection among other variables were abstracted from our departmental and clinical records.

Surgical Technique
All patients underwent NSM via a radial incision if the retro-areolar biopsy pathology was positive for carcinoma because of the technical similarity to SSM. The incision was extended to remove the nipple or NAC easily rather than making a second incision.

NSM was performed via radial incision without taking any skin. A mixed liquid of 500 mL normal saline and 1 mg epinephrine was injected subcutaneously into the breast. Then, we performed a sharp dissection using a scalpel, raising 3–5 mm thick flaps, and continuing onto the undersurface of the NAC (Figure 1). All patients underwent a retro-areolar biopsy with a permanent section and the evaluation was conducted intraoperatively by a breast pathologist. We took care to remove the breast at the level of the breast capsule to maximize the reduction in glandular volume and minimize trauma to the breast skin envelope. We tried to remove all of the breast tissue underneath the NAC based on a subcutaneous mastectomy, which intentionally retains retro-areolar breast tissue due to concerns about nipple ischemia. Minimal tissue was left (<0.5 cm) under the NAC when treating patients with breast cancer. Drains were universally left in the residual cavity of all patients, and we did not use prophylactic antibiotics.

All patients in this study underwent NSM for breast cancer treatment or prophylaxis. Four women received a direct implant, whereas 90 women received tissue expander reconstruction to be exchanged for the permanent implant at a second stage; 7 patients only underwent NSM, 83 women with breast cancer underwent NSM and sentinel lymph node biopsy, and 16 patients underwent axillary lymph node dissection because of a positive sentinel lymph node biopsy.

Ethics Statement
This study was approved by an independent ethical institutional review board at Guangdong Provincial People’s Hospital and was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. It is a retrospective study and does not require the patient’s informed consent.

Results
We identified 110 patients who underwent 130 nipple-sparing procedures at the breast center of Guangdong General Hospital from November 2015 to September 2018. The median age at the time of the operation was 42 years.
(range, 24–70 years). The median follow-up period was 30 months (range, 12–46 months). Histology and staging for the patients with NSM are detailed in Table 1.

Eighty-three women with breast cancer underwent therapeutic NSM procedures; 61 (73.49%) for invasive breast cancer and 22 (26.51%) for ductal carcinoma in situ. Among the breast cancers, 50 (60.24%) were ≤2 cm, 31 (37.35%) were ≤5 cm but >2 cm, and 2 (2.41%) were >5 cm. Mean tumor size was 1.8 cm (range 0.4–7.4 cm).

All retroareolar tissue specimens were negative, and no invasive cancers were detected in the prophylactic NSM specimens. Among the invasive cancers, axillary lymph node metastases were detected in 16 patients (26.23%), while the other 45 patients (73.77%) were lymph node-negative. Four received direct implants (Figure 2), while 90 received tissue expander reconstruction to be exchanged for a permanent implant at the second stage (Figure 3).

**Table 1 Patients’ Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n/N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age at surgery: Years (Range)</td>
<td>42(24–70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>83/110</td>
<td>75.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat epithelial atypia</td>
<td>2/110</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papilloma</td>
<td>4/110</td>
<td>3.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplastic pain</td>
<td>7/110</td>
<td>6.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated multiple fibroma</td>
<td>1/110</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serous mastitis</td>
<td>1/110</td>
<td>0.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side effect after injecting Amazingel</td>
<td>3/110</td>
<td>2.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynecomastia</td>
<td>9/110</td>
<td>8.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic tumor type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive</td>
<td>61/83</td>
<td>73.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCIS</td>
<td>22/83</td>
<td>26.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2 cm</td>
<td>50/83</td>
<td>60.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5 cm and &gt;2 cm</td>
<td>31/83</td>
<td>37.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 cm</td>
<td>2/83</td>
<td>2.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunohistochemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER/PR positive</td>
<td>46/61</td>
<td>75.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her-2 positive</td>
<td>16/61</td>
<td>26.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple negative</td>
<td>4/61</td>
<td>6.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy before operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant chemotherapy</td>
<td>18/61</td>
<td>29.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant endocrine therapy</td>
<td>5/61</td>
<td>8.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>16/61</td>
<td>26.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>45/61</td>
<td>73.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most severe postoperative complication is NAC necrosis, but none of the 110 patients developed NAC necrosis or mastectomy skin flap necrosis. The most common postoperative complication was discoloration or ischemia of the NAC with eschar formation presenting between postoperative days 3 and 7 in six nipples (4.62%); four nipples were ischemic and two were discolored. The decrustation of the six patients who had nipple ischemia with eschar formation occurred 3 months after the operation. No infections occurred among the 110 patients.

During the median follow-up periods of 30 months (range, 12–46 months), the 130 nipple-areolar complexes remained intact, and no local or systemic recurrence was observed in those cases treated for cancer. No late complications, such as capsular contraction or shifts in prostheses, occurred.

**Discussion**

The use of NSM has increased significantly in recent years and became a standard procedure for the treatment of cancer and for risk-reducing prophylactic mastectomy. NSM maintains equivalent oncological outcomes and provides superior satisfaction for the patient compared to traditional mastectomy. However, postoperative necrosis of the NAC is a severe clinical complication; thus, how to protect the blood supply of NAC has become a pivotal issue.

Several studies have demonstrated that blood supply to the NAC originates from a complex vascular network, including the lateral thoracic artery, intercostal artery, internal thoracic artery and thoracocervical artery. This vascular network that supports the blood supply to the NAC is divided into a subcutaneous vascular network, which is under the skin but above the glandular tissue, whereas the other part of the network includes perforating branches that run vertically through the glandular tissue. The perforating branches are cut off during NSM, and only the subcutaneous vascular network is reserved for the blood supply to the NAC. Several studies have described patients losing part of their nipples following NSM. The rates of nipple loss are 0–53% for tumor involvement of the nipple and 2.9–10% for ischemia or necrosis of the NAC. The reasons for this wide range are complex, but surgical technique played a role in the complication. There is still no standard surgical technique for NSM and the procedure varies widely among surgeons. Previous studies have argued that sharp dissection could create more trauma to the skin flaps and increase necrosis of the NAC. However, others have suggested that sharp...
dissection could protect the blood supply of the NAC, resulting in a lower rate of necrosis.\textsuperscript{6} NSM represented approximately 10% of all mastectomies performed in our department during the study period and became a standard surgical procedure for breast disease in our institution. We performed a sharp dissection using a scalpel with minimal electrosurgery, raising 3–5 mm thin subdermal flaps, and continuing onto the undersurface of the NAC to protect the subcutaneous vascular network. The NAC was successfully preserved in all cases, and the incidence of nipple loss was

Figure 2 Right breast cancer with nipple-sparing mastectomy and direct implant reconstruction: postoperative view at 3 months.

Figure 3 Right breast cancer with nipple-sparing mastectomy and tissue expander reconstruction.
zero. Discoloration or ischemia with eschar formation of the NAC represented 4.62% of all cases, which eventually developed intact and well-healed scars 2 months after the operation. We argue that the low incidence of complications was due to our surgical technique of using sharp dissection with minimal electrocautery, which protected the blood supply to the NAC.

To the best of our knowledge, there is still no universal consensus on the selection criteria for NSM. Studies have described some relative selection criteria: tumor size < 3 cm, distance from the tumor to the nipple < 2 cm, and negative lymph nodes, which promises these patients the lowest risk of occult NAC involvement. However, in our center, patients were given the option of NSM with looser selection criteria depending on a physical examination and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). We did not perform NSM for those patients with suspicion of carcinoma-related NAC by clinical assessment or MRI. All frozen retro-areolar biopsy sections were negative. However, we have no hard cutoff for tumor size or lymph nodes. In our study, median tumor size was 1.8 cm (range, 0.4–7.4 cm), and 16 patients (26.23%) had positive lymph node metastases.

Chemotherapy is a significant treatment for most breast cancer. These cytotoxic agents rapidly kill proliferating cells but can impair regenerative cells, which are responsible for wound healing, and may increase the complication rates in the setting of breast reconstructive surgery. However, based on our data, none of the patients with breast cancer showed poor wound healing, NAC discoloration, or ischemia. We demonstrated that NSM can be safe if offered to those who have received neoadjuvant chemotherapy or endocrine therapy.

Previous studies have reported that preserving the NAC does not increase local recurrence or survival chances. Our study also found no locoregional recurrence or distant recurrence with a median follow-up of 30 months (range: 12–46 months). These results are promising; however, the short follow-up period was a limitation of our study, so a longer follow-up period of this cohort is necessary.
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