Targeting HER2 in breast cancer: overview of long-term experience
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Abstract: The ability to probe diseases at the genomic level has improved our understanding and enhanced the treatment of breast cancer. One important finding relates to the HER2 oncogene which encodes a novel transmembrane receptor that, when overexpressed, appears to confer growth and survival advantages to breast tumor cells. This fortuitous discovery enabled researchers to develop agents which could inhibit receptor-mediated tumor cell signaling. Numerous clinical trials of such agents have demonstrated improved outcomes in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. Nonetheless, not all tumors respond to therapy targeting the receptor, while relapses occur after an initial response to treatment. This paper provides a historical and current perspective of the treatment of patients with HER2-positive breast cancer.
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HER introduction

Creation and completion of groundbreaking clinical trials have led to improved outcomes in patients with breast cancer, especially those with early-stage disease. Supported by compelling evidence collected over the past 40 years, 1-4 optimal management of patients with primary operable breast cancer is based on a paradigm of minimal, rather than maximal, therapeutic intervention. Surgical lumpectomy, for example, obviates, or at least attenuates, some of the anatomical and psychological issues associated with mastectomy; 1 sentinel node biopsy may circumvent the need for, and preserves arm function better than, complete (axillary) nodal dissection; 5,6 and endocrine therapy alone improves survival in patients with early, hormone-responsive breast cancers. 7 The latter intervention also embraces the concept that treatment may depend, in part, on identification of unique tumor characteristics. Hence, the ability to probe the disease at the molecular level not only improved our understanding of how estrogens mediated malignant tumor growth but also enhanced our knowledge base, upon which the fertile idea of the estrogen receptor (ER) as a tumor target was conceived. 8 A second tumor target surfaced with identification of a novel oncogene that encodes the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) protein.

Even though the discoveries of the ER and HER2 are separated by 2 decades, the receptors appear to be linked in a number of ways. First, both are important breast cancer targets. In fact, the lessons learned with the selective estrogen receptor modulator tamoxifen have been wisely applied to the development of trastuzumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody that recognizes and binds HER2; and while...
Not always appreciated, the concept of “targeted therapy” in oncology really began with tamoxifen. Second, both receptors are predictive markers in that high-level expression of ER and HER2 is associated with (though not absolute) response to therapies directed against their respective targets. The 2 receptors are also prognostic factors; independent of treatment, expression of ER (ie, ER-positive tumors) and absence of HER2 (ie, HER2-negative tumors, except triple negative) correlates with a relatively good prognosis for patients with early breast cancer. Third, and perhaps the most intriguing relationship, is the cross-talk that allegedly occurs between the 2 receptors, a form of communication which may contribute to the development of some tamoxifen-resistant tumor cells.10 Also interesting is the finding that despite disease progression, the receptors appear to remain viable targets, which suggests that (at least for a subset of patients) signaling through the receptors continue to mediate tumor cell growth and survival.11–13 Nevertheless, the uncertainty of the mechanisms by which tumors become resistant has negative implications, especially for developing new agents against endocrine- or HER2-refractory disease.

The goal of this paper is to provide an insight on the role and impact of HER2 in breast cancer. As such, events culminating with the discovery of the receptor and development of agents targeting the receptor are reconstructed; clinical trial results leading to drug approval are reviewed; safety data that may soften the benefit to risk ratio are readdressed; and the mechanisms and implications of drug-resistance are reassessed. In order to enhance reader appreciation of the complex processes underlying HER2-mediated breast tumor growth, a brief description of the receptor is discussed initially.

**HER family**

Originally designated as “neu” because of its association with rat neuroblastoma cell lines, the oncogene was believed to be related to an oncogene found in avian erythroblastosis viruses (v-ErbB) that encodes epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR).14 The substantial homology between EGFR and the neu oncoprotein was also the basis from which HER (human EGFR-related) 2 derived its name.15 HER2/neu is a member of the ErbB family of receptor tyrosine kinases, a homologous group that also includes HER1 (EGFR), HER3, and HER4. Structurally, all members have a short transmembrane that connects the extracellular portion to the intracellular catalytic kinase and regulatory domains (Figure 1).16 Ligand binding initiates a sequence of events including receptor dimerization and kinase phosphorylation, which trigger intracellular signal transduction. The ultimate cellular response depends on recruitment and activation of various protein kinases located downstream of the receptor. Signaling, however, is a phenomenally complex process, more of which will be discussed later. In addition, the authors direct readers to excellent reviews of this topic.17–19

Physiologically, the ErbB family has long been known to contribute to the development of a number of important organs and tissue systems.20,21 Although not restricted to mammary tissue, studies of HER isoforms suggest each receptor has a different function in normal gland development. While HER2 promotes lobuloalveolar differentiation and lactation, HER1 contributes to ductal growth.22 Paradoxically, compelling evidence linking these receptors to human neoplasia, including breast cancer, had been reported over 2 decades ago.15,23,24 For instance, high levels of the HER2 and EGFR have been found in breast cancer (as well as a number of other solid tumors).25 In addition, amplification of the HER2-oncogene or overexpression of the oncoprotein has been shown to convey tumor cell growth and survival advantages.26 Although overexpression is paramount to the transformed state, it remains unclear whether HER2 aberrations arise very early (ie, hyperplasia) or much later (ie, neoplasia) in the tumorigenic process. The determination of when this functional abnormality occurs may be crucial as earlier detection may improve the prognosis of a significant number of patients.

**HER presence**

Amplified (gene) or overexpressed (receptor) in approximately 20% to 25% of breast cancers, HER2-positivity is associated with a number of adverse tumor characteristics involving size, nuclear grade, S-phase fraction, and ploidy.27 Perhaps more important is the clinical correlation between receptor-positive tumors and higher risks of relapse, shorter time to disease progression, and poorer overall survival.28 The significance of the genomic abnormality was important because positive correlation between HER2 gene amplification and breast cancer formed the basis for the development of a useful clinical test29,30 as well as an effective therapeutic strategy.31

It is conceivable that amplification and/or overexpression of the three other HER-related family members may also influence the clinical course and outcomes of HER2-positive breast cancer. For example, data linking co-expressed EGFR with increased HER2 signaling activity and poorer overall prognosis have been published.32,33
There is also striking evidence for the negative impact of altered HER3 on disease outcome in patients with breast cancer.34 Furthermore, others have reported that any combination of EGFR, HER2, and HER3 was associated with significantly reduced disease-specific survival.35 In contrast, expression of HER4 has frequently been related to a more favorable breast cancer prognosis.36 Because of their retrospective nature, the clinical significance of the co-expression data is still uncertain. This conclusion is supported by two findings. First, while information related to HER2 overexpression is frequently related to a more favorable breast cancer prognosis.36 Because of their retrospective nature, the clinical significance of the co-expression data is still uncertain. This conclusion is supported by two findings. First, while information related to HER2 overexpression is usually remarkably similar (ie, 23% to 27%), analysis of EGFR (16% to 36%), HER3 (18% to 26%), and HER4 (12% to 82%) overexpression indicates more variability.36–38 Second, expression of these receptors may, interestingly, increase or decrease during the course of the disease.39 Nonetheless, prospective quantification of these receptors may provide clinically relevant information related to disease outcome.

**HER therapy**

Even though only two agents (that target the receptor) have received FDA approval for use in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer, their impact, especially trastuzumab (HerceptinTM; Genentech, Inc.), have been substantial. Several phase III and II clinical trials (discussed later) with lapatinib (TykerbTM; GlaxoSmithKline) suggest that the newer agent is at least as active as trastuzumab. What may also be learned about these 2 agents is whether attenuation of receptor signaling (and hence, antitumor effect and cross-resistance) differs with respect to the external or internal drug-binding sites.

**Trastuzumab**

Trastuzumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody that recognizes and binds with high affinity to an epitope on the extracellular domain of HER2. Notably, trastuzumab does not “compete” with an endogenous ligand.
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estimated half-life which ranges from 18 to 27 days, has also
been shown to achieve steady-state blood concentrations
(of 50–60 µg/mL), which is above the effective level of
approximately 10 to 20 µg/mL.53

Lapatinib

Lapatinib, a small molecule that functions as a competitive
inhibitor of both EGFR and HER2 tyrosine kinases,
has been shown to cause prolonged downregulation of
tyrosine phosphorylation in tumor cells.54 Because receptor
phosphorylation induces recruitment and activation of
numerous downstream effectors which ultimately control cell
destiny, disruption of receptor-mediated signals can affect cell
survival. One of the most important intermediaries affected is
members of the Ras gene family (Figure 1). The Ras proteins
are small guanosine triphosphate (GTP)-binding proteins
that play principal roles in regulating cell proliferation.55
Ras propagates signals emanating from the cell surface to
the nucleus through dynamic interactions with other effector
components. For example, binding of PI3K to Ras activates
Akt, which enhances cell survival and stimulates new blood
vessel formation.56,57 A number of other signaling effectors
downstream of Ras include Raf gene family members,
MAPK, ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase),
and the transcription factors Elk-1 and c-Fos.58 However,
because lapatinib’s inhibitory effect occurs at the level of the
receptor, gene mutations or protein abnormalities of any of
the intermediaries distal to the receptor are likely to confer
resistance to lapatinib.

Lapatinib is administered orally at a dosage of 1250 mg
daily.

Treatment-related toxicities

Safety data from clinical trials indicate that cardiac failure
or decreases in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) are
the major toxicities associated with trastuzumab therapy.59,60
Although the precise mechanism is not known, it has been
postulated that contractile dysfunction may result from
blocking a HER2 pathway that mediates growth and survival of
cardiac smooth muscle cells.61–64 The most notable finding ema-
nated from the trastuzumab licensing trial involving patients
with metastatic breast cancer.31 In this study, patients treated
with first-line trastuzumab plus an anthracycline had a 16% risk of
developing class III/IV (New York Heart Association)
cardiotoxicity. Another study of patients (the majority of
whom were exposed to anthracyclines) with advanced breast
cancer treated with trastuzumab for a median of nearly two
years reported an 11% incidence of grade III cardiac events.64
Symptomatic or LVEF improvement occurred in nearly

for the receptor binding site because none has, as yet, been
identified. Even though antibody-mediated blockade of
receptor activation is believed to contribute to the antitumor
effect, this is a gross oversimplification of the mechanisms
(which remain ill-defined) by which trastuzumab induces
tumor regression. Nonetheless, 2 mechanistic hypotheses
have been proffered. The first involves perturbation of a
number of signal transduction pathways following receptor
decoytosis and proteolysis. As such, signaling through key
molecules located “downstream” of the receptor, such as
phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase (PI3K) and mitogen-activated
protein kinase (MAPK), is disrupted.40 Furthermore, since
ErbB receptors can be recycled to the cell membrane in a
functional state, it is believed that trastuzumab enhances
lysosomal breakdown of HER2 by recruiting a protein known
as c-Cbl.41 This theory, however, has been challenged on the
basis that surface downregulation is not an important mecha-
nism of drug action.42 Tumor cells treated with trastuzumab
also exhibit growth arrest in G1 phase which is due, in part,
to decreased amounts of proteins involved in the separation
of p27kip1 and the cyclin E/cdk2 complex.43,44 Interestingly, it has
also been reported that the antibody blocks angiogenesis by
modulating the release of proangiogenic and antiangiogenic
factors.45,46 Two other mechanisms that may contribute to
antitumor activity include the ability to block DNA repair,
an effect partially mediated by modulating p2139,41 and
formation of highly active truncated HER2 by inhibiting
proteolytic cleavage of the extracellular domain (ECD).47,48
While downregulation of HER2 is a plausible mechanism,
several studies provide conflicting results suggesting that
trastuzumab does not alter any of the previous findings, even
the ability to cause apoptosis as the terminal event.42,49,50

An alternative, though not necessarily mutually exclu-
sive, explanation of the function of trastuzumab involves
the recruitment of an immune component. Strong evidence
suggests that the antibody binds and activates Fc recep-
tors expressed on immunocompetent lymphocytes and NK
cells resulting in antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity
(ADCC).51 Although persuasive, the phenomenon of ADCC
may not be important, as inhibition of tumor growth has been
observed with antibodies that lack the Fc fragment.52

Trastuzumab can be given in 2 different schedules. While
most investigators used a 4 mg/kg loading dose followed by
once weekly doses of 2 mg/kg, the results of other studies
suggest an equally effective and more “patient-friendly”
schedule of 6 mg/kg given every 3 weeks following an
8 mg/kg loading dose. The latter schedule, based on the drug’s
estimated half-life which ranges from 18 to 27 days, has also
all patients following discontinuation of the antibody and institution of pharmacologic therapy for cardiac dysfunction. One of the major clinical anomalies is that the relatively high incidence of serious cardiac toxicity in these 2 studies has not been observed in other clinical trials of combined treatment with trastuzumab and an anthracycline.65–67

When used as monotherapy for metastatic breast cancer, cardiac toxicity develops in approximately 4.5% of patients who received prior anthracycline therapy.68 Cardiotoxic reactions, however, do not appear to be increased only with anthracyclines as these events have also been observed in studies of trastuzumab and paclitaxel.69–71 Although the incidence is approximately 4% when the two agents are given concurrently, the occurrence of cardiac toxicity is <1% with sequential administration of trastuzumab (ie, following completion of paclitaxel therapy). Collectively, these data suggest that: 1) the development of high-grade cardiac toxicity is more closely associated with the chemotherapeutic agents in the regimen71–73 (the seriousness of this toxic event has led to the conclusion that trastuzumab should not be given with anthracycline-containing regimens)74 and the schedule of trastuzumab administration; and 2) the myocardial damage can be partly attributed to the antibody itself. Nevertheless, outcomes data strongly suggest that combining trastuzumab with or following chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting results in benefits unparalleled by most available therapies for solid tumors. Addition of trastuzumab to chemotherapy should be strongly considered for patients with HER2–positive early-stage breast cancer, especially those at low risk for cardiovascular morbidity.

Interestingly, an extensive review of cardiac function in a large cohort of patients treated with lapatinib either as monotherapy or in combination with cytotoxic agents indicates that lapatinib does not appear to confer a high risk of developing heart failure.70 Of the 3558 patients, 58 (1.6%) had confirmed decreases in LVEF. Furthermore, only 7 of the 58 patients (0.2%) were symptomatic. In addition, nearly all of the patients with decreased LVEF had medical or prior treatment histories that could have contributed to the cardiac event. Hence, even though signaling through HER2 is disrupted, lapatinib may be less cardiotoxic than trastuzumab. Part of the explanation may include the finding that lapatinib induces adenosine monophosphate kinase, which plays a key role in protecting cells against ischemic damage.75

**HER trials**

Results of early studies demonstrated that monotherapy trastuzumab was effective in patients with advanced breast cancer either as first-line therapy or in patients with disease progressing after chemotherapy.76–77 Because of the relatively low response rates (ie, <25%) observed in patients participating in these studies, as well as preclinical evidence suggesting that the antibody may enhance the antitumor effect of chemotherapy,78 a number of clinical trials were performed to determine whether combining the two types of therapies were better than either alone. The most important data were obtained in a pivotal phase III trial which showed that addition of trastuzumab to chemotherapy (doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide or paclitaxel) resulted in superior outcomes compared to chemotherapy alone in all clinical endpoints (Table 1).71 In this study, women with HER2 overexpressing metastatic breast cancer who were randomized to trastuzumab plus chemotherapy achieved statistically significant improvement in overall response rates (ORR, 50% vs 32%; P < 0.001), time to tumor progression (TTP, 9.1 vs 6.1 months; P < 0.001), and overall survival (OS, 25.1 mo vs 20.3 mo; P < 0.05). Importantly, more than half of the patients treated with chemotherapy alone received the antibody at the time of disease progression. Additional data confirming the efficacy of the paclitaxel-containing doublet emanated from a phase II trial, which compared weekly administration of the taxane with or without trastuzumab.79 Patients with HER2-positive tumors (scored as 2+ or 3+ by immunohistochemistry (IHC)) who received the combination, achieved significantly better ORR (84.5% vs 47.5%; P = 0.0005) and median TTP (369 days vs 272 days; P = 0.03). Both treatment arms were well tolerated; no cardiac events or grade 4 myelosuppression were observed. A consistent finding in both of these studies was the superior outcomes among patients with IHC 3+ tumors.

Because of the reported synergy between trastuzumab and docetaxel in preclinical models, a phase II trial evaluated the combination of the 2 agents in patients with HER-2 overexpressing metastatic breast cancer.80 Thirty subjects were treated with weekly doses of docetaxel and trastuzumab. One novel finding related to the presence, and correlation, of the extracellular domain (ECD) (of HER2) with response rate (RR). In patients with elevated HER2 serum ECD at baseline the RR was 76%; patients with low serum ECD levels had a RR of 33%.81 These findings were consistent with another study of trastuzumab plus docetaxel as first-line treatment of HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (Table 1).82 Compared to docetaxel alone, the combination was significantly more effective in all tumor endpoints including ORR (61% vs 34%; P = 0.0002), median OS (31.2 vs 22.7 months; P = 0.0325), median time to disease progression
Table 1  Selected clinical trials of trastuzumab in breast cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Key eligibility criteria</th>
<th>Treatment schema</th>
<th>Endpoints</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced disease</td>
<td>HER2-overexpressing (IHC 2+ or 3+), previously untreated, metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>No prior anthracycline Doxorubicin (A) 60 mg/m² (or epirubicin 75 mg/m²) plus cyclophosphamide (C) 600 mg/m² q 3 wk × 6 cycles ± trastuzumab (H) 4 mg/kg × 1, then 2 mg/kg weekly till disease progression</td>
<td>1° – TDP and incidence of adverse effects 2° – ORR, DOR, TTF, and OS</td>
<td>Data below are median values in patients treated with chemotherapy + trastuzumab vs chemotherapy alone: TDP (7.4 mo vs 4.6 mo; ( P &lt; 0.001 )) ORR (50% vs 32%; ( P &lt; 0.001 )) DOR (9.1 mo vs 6.1 mo; ( P &lt; 0.001 )) TTF (6.9 mo vs 4.5 mo; ( P &lt; 0.001 )) OS (25.1 mo vs 20.3 mo; ( P = 0.046 )); calculation includes patients who received trastuzumab after disease progression on chemotherapy alone Patients with 3+ HER2 scores had greater benefit than 2+ tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II open-label, randomized, efficacy and safety as first-line therapy; 186 patients enrolled</td>
<td>HER2-overexpressing (3+ IHC or FISH-positive) metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Docetaxel (D) 100 mg/m² ± H same dose/schedule indicated above</td>
<td>1° – ORR 2° – TDP, TTF, DOR, OS, and safety profile</td>
<td>Data below are median values in patients treated with D + H vs D alone: ORR (61% [6 Crs, 7% and 50 PRs 54%] vs 34% [2 Crs, 2% and 30 PRs, 32%]; ( P = 0.0002 )) TDP (11.7 mo vs 6.1 mo; ( P = 0.0001 )) TTF (9.8 mo vs 5.3 mo; ( P = 0.0001 )) DOR (11.7 mo vs 5.7 mo; ( P = 0.009 )) OS (31.2 mo vs 22.7 mo; ( P = 0.0325 ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adjuvant therapy

**HERA phase III**
- open-label, randomized; 3388 evaluable patients
- Patients with HER2-overexpressing (3+ IHC or FISH-positive) breast cancer and completion loco-regional and systemic neo-adjuvant and adjuvant therapy. All patients required to have normal LVEF
- Randomization to:
  - A. Observation (control); n = 1693
  - B. 1 yr H 8 mg/kg, then 6 mg/kg q 3 wk; n = 1694
  - C. 2 yr H, same dose/schedule; n = 1694

1° – DFS
2° – site of first DFS event, TDR, OS, and cardiac safety

**Efficacy analysis, based on intention-to-treat principle, reported below compares patients assigned to 1 yr H vs control:**
- DFS events (127 vs 220; \( P < 0.0001 \))
- TDR (HR 0.49; \( P < 0.0001 \))
- OS (96% vs 95.1%; \( P = 0.26 \))

Cardiac events:
- Class III/IV heart failure (9 patients vs 0; \( P = 0.002 \))
- Symptomatic CHF including class III/IV (29 patients vs 1 patient; \( P < 0.001 \))
- Decrease in LVEF to \( \leq 50\% \) (113 patients vs 34 patients; \( P < 0.001 \))

For the analysis, combined data from groups 1 and A were compared to data from groups 2 and C; median follow-up was 2 yr
- DFS events (261 vs 133; \( P < 0.0001 \))
- TDR (HR 0.47; \( P < 0.0001 \))
- OS (94.3% vs 91.7%; \( P = 0.015 \))
- Death from breast cancer (79 patients vs 53 patients; \( P = 0.02 \))
- Death due to second primary (20 patients vs 5 patients; \( P = 0.002 \))
- Class III/IV CHF, 3 yr cumulative incidence (0% vs 2.9% of which one patient died of cardiac toxicity)

**NSABP (B-31) and NCCTG (N9831) phase III open-label, randomized; 3351 evaluable patients**
- Patients with HER2-overexpressing (3+ IHC or FISH-positive) and node-positive or high-risk node-negative breast cancer.
- All patients required to have normal LVEF

**B-31 randomization:**
1. A at 60 mg/m\(^2\) + C at 600 mg/m\(^2\) q 3 wk × 4 cycles followed by T at 175 mg/m\(^2\) q 3 wk × 4 cycles
2. AC followed by T-H at 4 mg/kg beginning with first dose of T then 2 mg/kg weekly × 51 wk

**N9831 randomization:**
A. AC at same doses/schedules followed by T at 80 mg/m\(^2\) q wk × 12 wk
B. As in “A” above followed by same dose and schedule of H
C. As in “A” above with H given concurrently beginning with first dose of T

Analyses were limited to 232 (of 1010) patients who had an amplified HER2 gene; of the 232 women, 116 who received H are compared to 116 who did not
- rFS at 3 yr (12 [10.7%] vs 27 [22.4%] patients with recurrence; \( P = 0.01 \))
- OS at 3 yr (6 [5.2%] vs 14 [12%] patients died; \( P = 0.07 \))

FinHer Phase III
- randomized, open-label; 1010 patients enrolled
- Patients, status post breast surgery, node-positive or high-risk node-negative, confirmed HER2 amplification by chromogenic in-situ hybridization
- Randomization (4 arms): D at 100 mg/m\(^2\) q 3 wk × 3 cycles ± 9 total doses of H at 4 mg/kg beginning with first dose of D, then 2 mg/kg weekly × 8 followed by 3 cycles of fluorouracil (F) 600 mg/m\(^2\), epirubicin (E) 60 mg/m\(^2\), cyclophosphamide (C) 600 mg/m\(^2\) q 3 wk

1° – RFS
2° – OS and cardio toxic events

Analyses were limited to 232 (of 1010) patients who had an amplified HER2 gene; of the 232 women, 116 who received H are compared to 116 who did not
- RFS at 3 yr (12 [10.7%] vs 27 [22.4%] patients with recurrence; \( P = 0.01 \))
- OS at 3 yr (6 [5.2%] vs 14 [12%] patients died; \( P = 0.07 \))
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Key eligibility criteria</th>
<th>Treatment schema</th>
<th>Endpoints</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCIRG 006 phase III randomized trial; 3222 evaluable patients</td>
<td>Patients with HER2-overexpressing (ISH-positive) and node-positive or high-risk node-negative breast cancer</td>
<td>Vinorelbine (V) 25 mg/m² days 1, 8, and 15 × 3 cycles ± H at same dose/schedule listed above followed by FEC as noted above</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt; – DFS</td>
<td>Cardiac events (3 LVEF &lt; 50% and 1 myocardial infarction; none received H) RFS at 3 yr (HER2+ treated with H vs HER2−, no H, P = 0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Randomization:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. A at 60 mg/m² + C at 600 mg/m² q 3 wk × 4 cycles followed by D at 100 mg/m² q 3 wk × 4 cycles</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt; – OS and symptomatic cardiac events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. AC followed by D as noted above + H at 4 mg/kg beginning with first dose of D, then 2 mg/kg weekly × 51 wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. D 75 mg/m² + carboplatin (C) AUC 6 q 3 wk × 6 cycles + H at dose/schedule noted above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoadjuvant therapy</td>
<td>Patients with locally advanced HER2-positive breast cancer (T3N1 or any T plus N2 or N3 or ipsilateral supraclavicular node involvement)</td>
<td>Treatment randomization prior to surgery:</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt; – EFS</td>
<td>Analysis compares H-containing regimen and chemotherapy alone; at a median follow-up of 3 years:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAH Phase III randomized, open-label, evaluating addition of H to standard pre-operative chemotherapy; enrolled 228 patients</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. 3 cycles of A at 60 mg/m² + T at 150 mg/m² q 3 wk, followed by 4 cycles of T at 175 mg/m² q 3 wk, followed by 3 cycles of (C at 600 mg/m³, methotrexate at 40 mg/m², and fluorouracil at 600 mg/m³) on days 1 and 8 repeated q 4 wk</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt; – cPR, ORR, and OS</td>
<td>EFS (70% vs 53%; P = 0.006) ORR (89% vs 77%; P = 0.02) pCR (39% vs 20%; P = 0.002) OS (not significant) Cardiac toxicity (95% of patients had common toxicity criteria values of 0–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Same regimen as noted above + H given concurrently with the beginning of A at 8 mg/kg load, then 6 mg/kg q 3 wk for 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Phase III prospective, randomized; target enrollment of 164 patients\(^\text{97}\)

Patients with stage II or IIIA locally advanced, noninflammatory HER2-positive (FISH-positive or 3+ IHC) breast cancer

Treatment randomization prior to surgery:
1. 4 cycles of T at 225 mg/m\(^2\) q 3 wk, followed by 4 cycles of F at 500 mg/m\(^2\) days 1 and 4, E at 75 mg/m\(^2\) day 1 only, and C at 500 mg/m\(^2\) day 1 only, repeated q 3 wk
2. Same regimen as noted above + H given concurrently with the beginning of T at 4 mg/kg load, then 2 mg/kg q wk for 23 wk

\(1^\circ - 20\%\) improvement in pCR

Trial stopped after 34 patients had completed therapy because of superiority of the H-containing regimen pCR (66.7% vs 25%; \(P = 0.02\)). Cardiac events (no clinical CHF observed)

### Phase II open-label trial; 33 patients enrolled\(^\text{98}\)

Patients with stage II or III locally advanced, non-inflammatory HER2-positive (3+ IHC) breast cancer

Treatment prior to surgery:
1. H 4 mg/kg load, then 2 mg/kg q wk then D at 100 mg/m\(^2\) q 3 wk for 6 cycles.

\(1^\circ - \text{pCR}

\(2^\circ - \text{OR (CR and PR), BCS, DFS, local and distant relapse, and safety}

Intention-to-treat analysis confined to 29 patients who completed therapy
pCR (tumor and node, 47% of patients)
OR (CR, 73%; PR 23%)
BCS (23 [77%] patients)
Grade 3–4 neutropenia (85% of patients)
Febrile neutropenia (18% of patients)
No cardiotoxic events

**Abbreviations:** BCS, breast-conserving surgery; CHF, coronary heart failure; cPR, complete pathologic response; DFS, event-free survival; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; IHC, histochemistry; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NSABP, National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project; ORR, overall response rate; DOR, duration of response; TTF, time to treatment failure; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; TDP, time to disease progression; TDR, time to distant recurrence.
overall survival benefit. Similarly, the HR for the risk of a DFS event was 0.64 (95% CI 0.54–0.76; \( P < 0.0001 \)).

Two other phase III trials compared trastuzumab given concurrently with, or following completion of, adjuvant chemotherapy in women with surgically resectable, HER2-positive (ie, 3+ by IHC or gene amplification detected by fluorescent in-situ hybridization [FISH]) breast cancer (Table 1). The combined results were reported in one publication. One, conducted by the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP B-31 trial), compared four 21-day cycles of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide (AC) followed by four 21-day cycles of paclitaxel (T) (AC→T) to the same regimen plus 52 weekly doses of trastuzumab (H) beginning with the first paclitaxel dose (AC→T); the other was North Central Cancer Treatment Group trial (NCCTG) N9831, which compared the same agents and same sequence (except T was given weekly for a total of 12 doses) and AC followed by concurrent administration of T plus trastuzumab, which was given weekly for 1 year. Similar to the HERA trial, the primary endpoint was DFS. At a median follow-up period of 2 years, 261 events had occurred in the control arm compared to 133 in the trastuzumab-treated arm (HR 0.48, 95% CI 0.39–0.59; \( P < 0.0001 \)). The absolute difference in percentage of patients alive and disease-free increased from 11.8% (at 3 years follow-up) to 18.2% (at 4 years follow-up) favoring the group receiving trastuzumab. In addition, the absolute difference in overall survival also favored the trastuzumab group, increasing from 2.6% to 4.8% (\( P = 0.015 \)) at 3 years and 4 years follow-up, respectively. These highly significant differences crossed the \textit{a priori} determined early stopping boundary and provided further evidence of the remarkable benefit attributable to trastuzumab.

Based on the positive outcomes as adjuvant therapy, it was hypothesized that pre-operative administration of trastuzumab plus chemotherapy could be beneficial in patients with HER-2 positive, locally advanced or inflammatory breast cancers. As such, neo-adjuvant therapy has the potential not only to render inoperable tumors resectable, but also lower rates of recurrence and cancer-related deaths in a group of patients who are almost certain to do poorly. Over the past 4 years, several groups have reported significantly higher pathologic complete responses (pCRs), an important predictor of superior DFS and OS in small numbers of patients who received trastuzumab as part of the treatment regimen (Table 1). These early findings were supported by results from a large phase III clinical trial that was presented recently. Compared to chemotherapy alone, patients who received chemotherapy plus trastuzumab have
significantly higher pCR rates (20% vs 39%; \( P = 0.002 \)) and event-free survival rates, 53% vs 70% (HR, 0.56; \( P = 0.006 \)). Furthermore, in contrast to 1 of the early studies,\(^8\) the improved outcomes in this trial was observed in patients regardless of concomitant ER status.

The clinical activity and safety of lapatinib has also been investigated in patients with HER2-overexpressing or EGFR-positive advanced inflammatory breast cancers.\(^9\) Objective responses were observed in 15 of the 30 women with HER2-positive disease; only 1 of the 15 patients with EGFR-positive/HER2-negative tumors achieved a clinical response. Notably, prior trastuzumab therapy did not influence response to lapatinib. Although not currently approved for use in this setting, it is likely that trastuzumab will receive FDA approval as part of neo-adjuvant therapy in the near future.

Nonetheless, the concern for cardiac toxicity in patients receiving trastuzumab plus chemotherapy (especially anthracyclines) has pushed investigators to find alternative treatment regimens with equal efficacy and better tolerability. Based on promising preclinical data combining carboplatin with paclitaxel, a phase III study was performed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of trastuzumab plus paclitaxel with or without carboplatin.\(^1\) As front-line therapy for advanced breast cancer, patients randomized to the 3-drug regimen had significantly better ORR (52% vs 36%; \( P = 0.04 \)) and median progression-free survival (10.7 vs 7.1 months; \( P = 0.03 \)). The only serious toxicity that occurred more frequently with the triple drug regimen was grade 4 neutropenia.

While the taxanes and anthracyclines are among the most effective agents used in the treatment of breast cancer, combinations of trastuzumab with other agents such as vinorelbine,\(^3\) gemcitabine,\(^4\) and capecitabine\(^5\) have also been reported to be quite active.

Despite clinical confirmation that HER2 is an important tumor target and that selective targeting can be achieved, there are several realities that must be emphasized. First, in spite of early benefit, tumor progression occurs in virtually all patients with advanced disease and in a significant number of patients with early breast cancer;\(^31,69,9\) second, only modestly active as single agents, tumor outcomes are significantly improved when either agent is combined with chemotherapy; and third, although relatively well tolerated, cardiotoxicity is associated with HER2-targeted agents.\(^72\)

Based on the results of phase III clinical trial, lapatinib provides some measure of hope for patients with tumors progressing on trastuzumab and chemotherapy.\(^73\) Originally designed to include 528 women, enrollment was terminated and data locked following an interim analysis of efficacy and safety data by an independent monitoring committee. At that time, 324 patients had been randomized to capecitabine plus lapatinib or capecitabine alone. On the basis of 121 events, 49 in the combination and 72 in the monotherapy groups, a 51% improvement in TTP (8.4 months and 4.4 months; \( P < 0.001 \)) favored the group receiving capecitabine and lapatinib. A secondary endpoint, progression-free survival, defined as the time from randomization to disease progression or death from any cause, was also improved in the combination-therapy group (HR 0.47; 95% CI 0.33–0.67; \( P < 0.001 \)). In addition, central nervous system involvement was significantly lower with combination therapy compared to capecitabine alone, 2% versus 11%, respectively, \( P = 0.0445 \). The latter finding is of immense interest because the development of brain metastases occurs in approximately one-third of patients with advanced HER2-positive breast cancer. A small phase II study was conducted in women to evaluate the effect of lapatinib on progressive brain metastases despite prior radiation therapy.\(^6\) Among 39 subjects who were previously treated with trastuzumab, a PR was achieved in one patient; further progression was not observed in seven other patients 16 weeks after beginning lapatinib.


**HER secrets**

A number of interesting findings suggest that HER2 may be the most intriguing member of the ErbB family.\(^16,17\) Of note, at least 7 EGF-like molecules have been shown to bind EGFR, HER3 and HER4; none, however, binds to HER2. The reason why identification of a stimulating ligand remains elusive could be related to HER2 itself. Analysis of the crystal structure exposed a pair of clarifying facets about the receptor: 1) the extended conformation of monomeric HER2 may hinder binding of any EGF-like peptide (Figure 1);\(^97\) and 2) structural defects at the receptor binding site appear to be the result of unconserved or altered amino acid residues.\(^99\)

While ligand binding triggers receptor dimerization, the absence of specific stimulatory molecules does not preclude kinase activation. Indeed, overexpression of HER2 results in formation of receptor homodimers that are constitutively active.\(^99\) Furthermore, a cursory glance at the intracellular signaling cascade could result in the erroneous conclusion that activated HER2 only has a rudimentary role in trafficking signals down a vertical transduction pathway (Figure 1).
Signaling, however, is phenomenally diverse and complex, in large part, because HER2 is believed to be the preferred dimeric partner of the other ErbB family members.\(^\text{100}\) This feature has profound implications. For example, the dimeric partner and its activating ligand play essential roles in determining not only which receptor sites are phosphorylated, but also which downstream proteins are recruited.\(^\text{101}\) The result is an expansion of the signaling repertoire. Moreover, formation of HER2 heterodimers may be of pathologic importance. This conclusion is supported by the surprising finding that although neither monomeric HER2 (ligand-less) nor HER3 (kinase-impaired) can support linear signaling alone, the combination appears to possess the most potent mitogenic properties.\(^\text{102}\) Similarly, tumorigenic signals emanating from the EGFR/HER2 heterodimer are stronger compared to either homodimer alone.\(^\text{103}\) These bi-partisan relationships may also be clinically relevant as the poor prognosis associated with tumors overexpressing HER2 could be partly due to transactivation of the receptor’s intrinsically high tyrosine kinase activity by the consorting partner. Reports of patient tumors continuing to respond to trastuzumab even after initial disease progression\(^\text{104–107}\) further suggest that part of the HER2 mystique may be related to another member of the HER family. Additional evidence to support this conclusion is provided by preclinical models. Analysis of mice bearing a breast cancer xenograft that co-expresses EGFR and HER2 revealed the formation of EGFR and full-length HER2 (p185\(^\text{Erbb2}\)) heterodimers.\(^\text{108}\) More important, phosphorylation of 2 key downstream proteins was inhibited only when both receptor kinases were blocked. This finding provides a possible explanation for the efficacy of lапatinib in tumors where trastuzumab had little effect.

Molecularly, the importance of HER2 extends even further as the full-length protein (p185\(^\text{Erbb2}\)) undergoes proteolytic truncation resulting in a deceptively shortened receptor (p95\(^\text{Erbb2}\)) with increased autokinase activity.\(^\text{109}\) Clinically, elevated serum levels of the dissociated ECD have been correlated with poorer responses to therapy (which contrasts with another report)\(^\text{81}\) as well as lymph node metastasis.\(^\text{110,111}\) The presence of cleaved HER2 is notable for 2 other reasons. First, the truncated receptor preferentially dimerizes with HER3,\(^\text{116}\) and second, phosphorylation of p95\(^\text{Erbb2}\) can be blocked by lapatinib but not trastuzumab.\(^\text{110}\) Thus, the heterodimer concept could explain the effectiveness of lапatinib in patients who fail trastuzumab. Intriguingly, the answer may be partly related to EGFR. While formation of antibody–receptor complexes is believed to undergo endocytosis and subsequent proteolytic degradation, specific inhibition of the EGFR tyrosine kinase have been shown, both \textit{ex vivo} and \textit{in vivo}, to affect heterodimer formation resulting in marked impairment of HER2 signaling.\(^\text{112–114}\)

The importance of HER2 heterodimers in normal developmental processes also provides a clue to explain one of the major toxicities associated with anti-HER2 therapy. Based on the biological abnormalities of HER2 and HER3, it is now known that a family of EGF-like glycoproteins known as the heregulins (HRG) can overcome the limitations of each receptor. Although HRGs bind to HER3 and HER4, one of the active complexes through which HRG signaling occurs is the HER2/HER3 heterodimer.\(^\text{110}\) The relevance of this finding has been observed in preclinical models, which demonstrate the critical role of HER2 and HER3 in development and differentiation of cardiac myocytes.\(^\text{115}\) Knockout of the HRG and HER2 genes resulted in thinning of the ventricular wall and complete absence of myocyte trabeculation, which led to cardiac hypertrophy, dysrhythmias, and vascular rarefaction. Embryonic viability ceased by day 11. The relative importance of HER3 was also demonstrated using HER3\(^/-/-\) (ie, knockout) mouse embryos, which resulted in significant valvular defects. So severely underdeveloped, the valves were unable to support normal cardiac function causing mitral and aortic regurgitation.\(^\text{116}\) Thus, the pathogenic mechanism of trastuzumab-associated myocardial dysfunction could be partly related to inhibition of signaling through HER2 or blocking HER2-mediated transactivation of HER3.

HER2 may be at the focus of yet another malevolent relationship, one that involves the ER. Although the connection could be a manifestation of genetic evolution, it is more likely to be one that has been genetically conserved since growth factor pathways have been demonstrated to influence, and be influenced by, ER\(^\alpha\) signaling.\(^\text{117}\) Compelling evidence suggest that estrogen deprivation strategies are associated with overexpression of heregulin,\(^\text{118}\) as well as HER1 and HER2, which may contribute to the development of resistance to endocrine therapies in breast cancer.\(^\text{119,120}\) Preliminary results of an important clinical trial to test this hypothesis have been recently reported.\(^\text{121}\) Eligibility was based on confirmation of positive hormone receptor disease regardless of HER2 status. Of the nearly 1300 patients enrolled, 219 of them had tumors that were HER2-positive also. In patients with double receptor-positive tumors, addition of lapatinib to letrozole improved the RR (from 15% to 28%) and progression-free survival (from 3 months to 8.2 months). No differences in endpoints
were observed between treatment groups in patients with HER2-negative tumors.

Finally, mortality from breast cancer will be even lower if the disease could be prevented or were altogether less common. Three clinical studies, each based on the association between estrogens and breast cancer, have demonstrated that chemoprevention can reduce the risk of developing invasive breast cancer in patients at high-risk for the disease.\textsuperscript{122–124} Despite the efficacy of tamoxifen and raloxifene, neither agent reduces the occurrence of ER-negative tumors. Tethered to this finding is another possible outcome, one that is truly hypothetical, though within the realm of reality. Because laboratory studies have shown that estrogen deprivation upregulates the HER2 signaling pathway, one concern relates to the possible development of HER2-positive breast cancer due to widespread application of chemopreventive therapy. Part of the answer may indirectly be provided by a phase III trial (EGF300008) involving patients with ER-positive breast cancer who are randomized to receive an aromatase inhibitor with or without a HER2 antagonist.

**HER destiny**

Despite the voluminous amount of new information that has been published, it is humbling to note that besides being a valid target, only a small portion of the receptor’s complete biology is apparently known. The lack of full understanding underlies our inability to explain why anti-HER2 therapy is not effective in all tumors that overexpress the receptor or why continuation of the antibody is beneficial in some patients who progressed on a trastuzumab-containing regimen. Because cancer investigations have provided convincing evidence that multiple signaling defects exist in most solid tumors, including breast cancer, it would be reasonable to suggest that dual- or multikinase inhibitors will be more efficient at eradicating HER2-positive tumor cells. Still, it remains unclear whether lapatinib (or any other multikinase inhibitor) will be more effective than trastuzumab. It is highly probable that one agent will be active in some tumors that are resistant to another agent. At the same time, there is an opportunity to assess whether combined therapy (ie, targeting HER2 from the “outside” and “inside” simultaneously) is significantly better than either type of agent alone. Preliminary results of 1 such study have been reported.\textsuperscript{125}

What is certain is that tumor resistance will develop. This last conclusion is supported by the observation that nearly all patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer appear to become refractory within 12 months after initially responding to trastuzumab.\textsuperscript{104} The finding that most of the patients (with tumors resistant to trastuzumab) exhibit disease progression after 6 months of treatment with lapatinib suggests that resistance also develops against small-molecule inhibitors. Even when used in the adjuvant setting, disease relapse has occurred following antibody therapy.\textsuperscript{69,84} As such, research must be directed toward understanding the molecular and biochemical mechanisms of tumor resistance. Elucidating these mechanisms would be beneficial in 2 ways: 1) the development of effective alternative agents and 2) the introduction of strategies that could prevent (or at least delay) the emergence of drug-resistant tumor cells. The former is already underway. One of the most promising new agents is pertuzumab (Omnitarg\textsuperscript{TM}), a drug that binds to a critical region on the ectodomain of HER2 thereby interfering with the receptor’s ability to dimerize. Phase I trials have shown pertuzumab to be tolerable and active.\textsuperscript{126} Preliminary results of a phase II study indicate significant activity in patients who progressed on trastuzumab.\textsuperscript{127} Of the 33 patients enrolled, 1 complete response, 5 partial responses, and 17 stable disease were achieved. Preclinical studies suggest that pertuzumab can even inhibit growth of tumor cells with low-level expression of HER2.\textsuperscript{128}

What have also been elucidated are the many pathways (and hence targets) downstream, or completely independent, of HER2 that may be linked to the aberrant behavior of HER2-positive tumor cells. Mutations involving PI3K, Akt, and mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) may result in constitutive activation of these effectors as well as tumor resistance. As such, new inhibitors such as LY294002 (a quercetin derivative), perifosine (Keryx Pharmaceuticals), and everolimus (Novartis Pharmaceuticals), which have been tested in preclinical models, may have a role in the treatment of patients with HER2-positive disease. In addition, insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) also appears to be an important co-receptor with the unique ability to induce HER2 phosphorylation.\textsuperscript{129} Thus, targeted inhibition of insulin-like growth factor-1 may be beneficial in patients with HER2 overexpressing breast cancer. Other types of therapies that are currently being investigated include inhibitors of heat-shock protein 90 and histone deacetylase, irreversible inhibitors of the HER2 kinase, combinations of HER2 inhibitors and antiangiogenic agents, and chemotherapy conjugated to an antibody.

Despite the plethora of publications related to HER2, the extent of what is not known remains uncertain. The reality is that HER2 is an extraordinarily dynamic structure, whose genome will likely be altered by mutational and epigenetic events. Nonetheless, it is highly conceivable that scientists
will one day unravel the complex mysteries of tumor cell signaling, may be even HER, too.
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