Comparison Of drrA And drrB Efflux Pump Genes Expression In Drug-Susceptible And -Resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis Strains Isolated From Tuberculosis Patients In Iran
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Background: Among different resistance mechanisms in Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB), efflux pumps may have a role in drug-resistance property of MTB. So, the aim of this study was to compare the relative overexpression of two important efflux pump genes, drrA and drrB, among MTB isolates from TB patients.

Methods: A total of 37 clinical isolates of confirmed MTB isolates were analyzed. Drug susceptibility testing (DST) was performed using the conventional proportional method. Real-time semiquantitative PCR profiling of the efflux pump genes of drrA and drrB was performed for clinical isolates. The receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis for differentiation of resistant from susceptible isolates on the basis of efflux pump expression fold changes was also performed.

Results: According to DST, 16 rifampin (RIF) monoresistant, 3 isoniazid (INH) monoresistant, 5 multidrug-resistant (MDR) and 13 pan-susceptible isolates of MTB were evaluated for gene expression. The highest values of drrA and drrB gene expression fold changes were seen in MDR isolates, which were significant in comparison with susceptible isolates and H37Rv reference strain. By using comparative ROC analysis, the obtained cutoff point for drrA and drrB gene overexpression was the folds of >1.6 and >2.3, respectively.

Conclusion: The results of the present study confirm the role of DrrA-DrrB efflux pump in antibiotic resistance in clinical MTB isolates. As the large number of efflux pumps are located in the cell envelope of MTB, we cannot correlate a single efflux pump overexpression to the drug-resistance phenotype, unless all the pumps simultaneously investigated.
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Introduction
Tuberculosis (TB) caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) is the major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide.1 According to the recent WHO report,2 TB is the ninth mortality cause in the world, and it was estimated that 10 million people suffered from TB in 2017; among them, 9% were HIV positive. Nowadays, the major challenge in TB control and treatment is the emergence of different forms of drug-resistant MTB isolates, including multidrug resistance (MDR), defined as TB resistant to at least isoniazid (INH) and rifampin (RIF), and extensively drug resistance (XDR), which is defined as MDR-TB plus resistance to any
fluoroquinolone and at least one second-line injectable aminoglycoside antibiotics. In 2016, about 600,000 RIF-resistant (RR) new cases and 490,000 MDR isolates were recognized. Globally, 4.1% of new cases and 19% of previously treated TB patients are estimated to have RR-TB or MDR-TB, and about 6.2% of MDR-TB cases have additional drug resistance of XDR. Treatment of MDR and XDR-TB with currently available anti-TB drugs needs a longer time, associated with high costs, and has toxic side effects and treatment outcomes are often poor.

The mode of action of Rifampicin (RIF) is binding to the β-subunit of RNA polymerase and inhibiting the elongation of mRNA molecule. INH metabolically is active against live bacteria and inhibits mycolic acid synthesis. Apart from known mutations in genes conferring resistance to INH and RIF, efflux pump activity was recently recognized to play a significant role in the development of drug-resistant phenotypes in MTB. Efflux pumps extrude many substrates including peptides, lipids, ions, drugs and antibiotics, from the intracellular environment into the extracellular space. Efflux-mediated drug resistance in M. tuberculosis could be due to one or more efflux pumps working alone or in coordination. The overexpression of efflux pumps can significantly decrease the intracellular concentration of many antibiotics and consequently reduce the efficacy of drugs.

Efflux pumps can be divided into two main classes of ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters and secondary multidrug transporters. The latter, which include the majority of clinically relevant efflux systems, can be subdivided into four superfamilies based primarily on homology at the levels of primary and secondary structures. These superfamilies are the major facilitator superfamily (MFS), multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE), resistance-nodulation-division (RND) and small multidrug resistance (SMR) families. The RND, SMR, MFS superfamily and ABC transporter have been found in MTB. In MTB, the genes encoding the predicted ABC transporters occupy about 2.5% of the genome.

Two transnationally coupled open-reading frames, drrA (Rv 2936) and drrB (Rv 2937), encode an ABC-type transporter, with drrA encoding the nucleotide-binding domain and drrB encoding the membrane-integral component. In fact, drrA and drrB are important because of their potency in making antibiotic resistant phenotype against structurally unrelated drugs. Studies have shown that after inhibition of ABC transporters, the resistant phenotype is changed into the sensitive type.

The aim of this study was to compare the relative overexpression of two important efflux pump genes, drrA and drrB, related to ABC transporter in order to evaluate the role of these genes in drug resistance among MTB isolates from TB patients.

Materials And Methods

Bacterial Strains

The MTB strains selected for this study were isolated from samples of confirmed pulmonary TB patients referred to Regional Tuberculosis Laboratory of Khuzestan province, Iran, during a 2-year period, from February 2015 to February 2017. The preliminary proposal of the work was approved in joint Institutional Review Board (IRB) and Ethics Committee of the Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Iran, and the necessary permission was granted for sample collection. Moreover, as part of the Regional Centers’ policy, referred patients were requested to sign the informed consent in case that their samples are used for research purposes apart from routine clinical investigation.

The strains were identified as MTB based on acid-fast staining, growth on Lowenstein–Jensen (LJ) medium and standard biochemical identification tests.

DNA Extraction And PCR Amplification

DNA was extracted from MTB isolates grown on LJ medium by using a simple boiling method as described earlier. The extracted DNAs were molecularly confirmed as belonging to MTB complex in the next step, by IS6110- based PCR amplification, employing primers which amplify a 123 bp fragment of sequence as described previously.

Drug Susceptibility Testing (DST)

For investigating the antimicrobial susceptibility of MTB isolates, DST was performed using the standard proportional method according to the clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) guideline. In brief, 0.2 μg/mL INH and 40 μg/mL RIF (Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim am Albuch, Germany) antibiotic concentrations were incorporated into LJ medium followed by inoculation of a pre-adjusted bacterial suspension equal to 0.5 McFarland. MTB standard strain of H37Rv (ATCC 27294) was used as a reference strain. Susceptibility was defined as no or <1% growth on LJ medium containing drugs as compared...
with the control medium. In total, 24 drug-resistant and 13 randomly selected drug-susceptible MTB isolates were selected for further investigation.

The isolates were divided into two groups as per DST results: the susceptible isolates and the MTB isolates with various resistance phenotypes, including RIF monoresistance, INH mono resistance or isolates resistant to both INH and RIF (MDR phenotype).

Real-Time Semiquantitative PCR (RT-sqPCR)

RNA Extraction
Total RNA was extracted from clinical MTB isolates using High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s instructions and treated with DNase I (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The concentration and quality of isolated total RNA were evaluated using Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) at A260/A280.

PCR amplification of the polA gene was done to assess possible DNA contamination, using the total RNA as a template. Only RNA with no visible amplified products was used for experiments.

Complementary DNA (cDNA) Library Synthesis
The cDNA was synthesized using PrimeScript RT reagent Kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). Reverse transcription steps were as follows: 37°C for 10 mins, 42°C for 30 mins and 70°C for 5 mins. The synthesized cDNA was maintained at −80°C until use.

RT-sqPCR was performed to evaluate the overexpression of drrA and drrB efflux pump genes according to a previous report.19 polA was used as a housekeeping gene for normalization. The primer sequences for drrA, drrB and polA genes are described in Table 1.

The master-mix was prepared according to the kit manufacturer’s instruction (Takara Bio Inc.). RT-sqPCR was done in an ABI Step-One thermocycler (Applied Biosystem, Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany) with the following amplification program: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 mins and 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 20 s and a final extension at 72°C for 5 mins and an additional step at 50°C for 15 s followed by melt analysis (50–99°C). The RT-sqPCR reactions were performed in triplicate samples using total RNA from three independent cultures for each strain. Differential expression was done by comparing the normalized Ct values ($2^{-ΔΔCt}$) of all the biological replicates between two groups of samples using the Livak equation.20 We also used the relative expression software tool (REST 2009) to confirm the relative expression values.

Statistical Analysis
Comparison of gene fold changes among different studied groups on the basis of DST results was done using Mann–Whitney test because of data distribution abnormality after Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. To evaluate the accuracy of drrA or drrB gene folds, receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis was used for estimation of the proper cutoff points, positive and negative predictive values (PPV and NPV) of fold change as a decision-making tool for clinical application of drrA and drrB genes using RT-sqPCR method. Statistical analysis and calculations were done using MedCalc® software version 15.8.

Results
In this study, 37 clinical isolates which had been characterized as MTB by using standard phenotypic tests and IS6110-PCR amplification were entered the study. According to DST, drug-resistance pattern of the isolates were as follows: 16 RIF monoresistant, 3 INH monoresistant, 5 MDR and 13 pan-susceptible isolates.

Table 1 The Sequence Of Primers Of The Genes Used In This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer Sequence (5′→3′)</th>
<th>Amplicon Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | drrA | Forward: TAGACATCGCGTGCGGATTGGT  
Reverse: GCGTGGTCAACAACGTGGCAAT | 147 |
| 2   | drrB | Forward: TCGCCAGCAACTTAGGGCAATACA  
Reverse: TCCGATGACGTAGCCGCAAACTAG | 233 |
| 3   | polA | Forward: TCCGATGACGTAGCCGCAAAACTAG  
Reverse: GTCTGGTGGTACCCTTGAGGG | 181 |
In order to investigate the involvement of *drrA* and *drrB* efflux pump genes in the drug resistance of MTB clinical isolates, the relative expression profiles (fold changes) of these genes were examined by RT-sqPCR, using H37Rv as the reference strain. The results of DST and expression fold changes of putative efflux pump genes for each isolate are presented in Table 2.

The majority of resistant phenotypes overexpressed both genes more than twofolds, while the pan-susceptible isolates showed less than twofold expression which was not significant compared to H37Rv reference strain. All MDR isolates overexpressed both *drrA* and *drrB* genes, which was significant in comparison with susceptible isolates and H37Rv reference strain (P values 0.009 and 0.09, respectively), while 9 out of 16 RIF monoresistant isolates (56.25%) showed overexpression of *drrA* and 14 (87.5%) of these isolates showed overexpression of *drrB* gene; both were significant as well (P values for both genes were 0.025). INH monoresistant isolates overexpressed both genes; however, due to the lower number of these isolates, we did not demonstrate significant differences compared to susceptible isolates (Table 3).

The gene expression fold changes were significantly different among MTB isolates categorized according to their DST results (*P* = 0.000173 for *drrA* gene and *P* = 0.000115 for *drrB* gene). Figures 1 and 2 represent the comparative box-plot of *drrA* and *drrB* gene fold changes among MTB isolates in different antibiotic resistance patterns. Both MDR and INH monoresistant isolates had significantly high expression fold changes of *drrA* in comparison with pan-susceptible isolates (Figure 1), and as the figure shows, the highest and the lowest expression values belonged to the MDR and pan-susceptible isolates, respectively, in comparison with standard MTB strain of H37Rv.

For *drrB* gene, though the expression fold changes were higher in RIF monoresistant isolates, all MDR and INH monoresistant isolates showed high expression fold changes for *drrB* similar to *drrA* gene (Figure 2). The highest and the

Table 3 Correlation Of Genes Expression Fold Changes Of *drrA* And *drrB* In *Mycobacterium Tuberculosis* Isolates With Various Drug-Resistance Patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance Phenotype</th>
<th><em>drrA</em> Expression No. (%)</th>
<th><em>P</em> value</th>
<th><em>drrB</em> Expression No. (%)</th>
<th><em>P</em> value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIF monoresistant</td>
<td>9 (56.25)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>14 (87.5)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH monoresistant</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3 (100)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 The Results From Phenotypic Drug Susceptibility Testing And Relevant *drrA* And *drrB* Gene Expression Fold Changes For MTB Isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>18.53</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>23.51</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>27.93</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>15.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>INH</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>17.86</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>INH</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>27.17</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>INH</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RIF, rifampin; INH, isoniazid; MDR, multidrug resistant; S, susceptible.
The obtained cutoff point for \textit{drrA} gene overexpression was the folds of >1.6 with sensitivity and specificity equal to 70.83% and 100%, respectively. The best cutoff point for \textit{drrB} gene overexpression was the folds of >2.3 with sensitivity and specificity values equal to 83.33% and 100%, respectively.

\section*{Discussion}

There are different mechanisms by which the MTB strains show resistance against antibiotics. The intrinsic resistance of MTB to most antibiotics is generally attributed to the low permeability of the mycobacterial cell wall because of its specific lipid-rich composition and structure.\cite{21} Since the first evidence of active efflux being involved in antibiotic resistance, efflux mechanisms have been recognized to be major players in bacterial drug resistance.\cite{13, 22} The antibiotics need to be reached to an effective intracellular concentration to do their job. However, bacterial efflux pumps extrude them before they could accumulate to their antibacterial active concentration.\cite{23}

In the present study, we evaluated the expression fold changes of \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} genes encoding putative DrrA-DrrB drug efflux pump belong to ABC transporter, in MTB isolates. The results demonstrated that RIF and INH monoresistant and MDR isolates showed meaningfully increased expression fold changes compared to susceptible isolates and H37Rv-susceptible reference strain. The efflux pump genes of \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} were shown to be significantly overexpressed in most of the antibiotic resistant MTB isolates demonstrating the contribution of these genes to the resistance phenotype of the isolates studied.
Our findings suggest that the \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} genes may have a key role in MDR phenotype of MTB in the same efflux pump, because all MDR isolates showed high expression fold changes demonstrated by RT-sqPCR.

In concordant to our study, Jiang et al have reported that the increased gene expression folds of their tested efflux pumps are associated with the MDR property in MTB strains. They have tested putative efflux pump genes including \textit{Rv1258c}, \textit{Rv1410c} and \textit{Rv1819c} and showed that the first two genes were overexpressed upon RIF or INH exposure, whereas the last gene was overexpressed only upon INH exposure. However, their findings were limited to only one clinical isolate, whereas we have tested 37 clinical MTB isolates.

There are other studies which also have confirmed the association of different efflux pumps with antibiotic resistance phenotypes of MTB strains. The expression of efflux pumps including \textit{Rv2459}, \textit{Rv3728}, and \textit{Rv3065} is reported to be increased in response to MTB exposure to INH and ethambutol. Additionally, in the study of Wang et al, on 36 isolates of MTB, they reported that the overexpression of both \textit{Rv1217c} and \textit{Rv1218c} genes was the cause of decreased RIF sensitivity in MIC test, whereas INH-resistant isolates showed only \textit{Rv1218c} overexpression. The studies are not limited to MTB, as other mycobacteria such as \textit{M. smegmatis} showed to become MDR when overexpression of \textit{Rv0194} occurred.

In the current study, both \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} genes showed expression fold changes mainly for whole MDR and INH monoresistant isolates showing the role of ABC transporter efflux pump in resistance of MTB to antibiotics. The findings were in agreement to another study which stated that the certain types of efflux pumps may have a central role for extruding the antibiotics from MTB strains. However, based on our findings, it seems that \textit{drrB} gene could be a preferred gene for assessing the clinical isolates for RIF drug resistance as more RIF monoresistant isolates overexpressed this gene.

Although several classes of efflux pumps in MTB isolates are investigated previously, there are a few reports about the expression fold changes of \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} genes in clinical isolates of MTB. In one similar study, Li et al have shown that \textit{drrA}, \textit{drrB}, \textit{mnr}, \textit{efpA}, \textit{jeF}, \textit{Rv0849}, \textit{Rv1634} and \textit{Rv1250} genes had high levels of expression folds in 9 MDR isolates of MTB. They have made stress conditions on isolates using INH and RIF antibiotics followed by assessing the folds of efflux pumps, and they demonstrated that \textit{drrA} gene folds were overexpressed followed INH stress, and they have suggested \textit{drrA} overexpression as one of the involving factors to INH resistance in MTB accordingly. However, such finding was not evident for RIF, and none of their isolates showed fold change of \textit{drrA} expression levels in response to RIF stress. Their study was against present study, and Pang et al report that \textit{drrA} may induce a low-level resistance to RIF in MTB isolates.

Our findings revealed that MDR isolates showed significantly high overexpression folds in comparison with standard H37Rv strain. The highest expression fold changes in our work were seen for \textit{drrA} gene, even up to 27.93 folds compared to H37Rv. Furthermore, the highest value for \textit{drrB} gene was 15.46 folds in comparison with H37Rv strain. Calgin et al have compared 10 MDR and 10 susceptible isolates of MTB and reported that \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} gene overexpression was 1–2 folds higher than the H37Rv standard strain. On the other hand, Machado et al have used a cutoff value of 4 or higher folds of gene expression, compared with drug-unexposed strain and reported that the efflux pump overexpression levels would be increased in MTB, after encountering suboptimal concentrations of antituberculosis drugs.

As putative efflux pump gene overexpression level is very important for decision-making and clinical application of basic results, in the current work, we have evaluated the accuracy of \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} gene overexpression as a guide for drug application in clinical practice. We believe that this is the first report on the validation of \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} gene overexpression as one of the involving factors to INH resistance. ROC analysis on our results showed that both \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} are valuable for TB therapy decision-making and categorizing the drug susceptibility or resistance of MTB strains. In fact, both genes showed proper diagnostic accuracy regarding their sensitivity and specificity. For differentiation of resistant from susceptible MTB isolates, the calculated cutoff values had acceptable sensitivity and specificity in addition to positive and negative predictive values. Thus, we suggest that the folds >1.6 and >2.3 be considered as cutoff values for \textit{drrA} and \textit{drrB} overexpression folds, respectively, in future studies.

Our work has some limitations. First, the number of MDR and INH monoresistant isolates was low, and for justification of the role of ABC transporter, we need to extend the duration of our future studies in order to have more isolates with such resistance phenotypes, and second, we did not study the efflux pump inhibitors, which
principally bind to efflux pumps to inhibit drug efflux and thus enhance the drug effect and reduce drug resistance. So, further studies on the inhibitors targeting the efflux pumps of MTB help to understand MTB resistance and to identify the potential drug target and are of significance in guiding the development of new anti-TB drugs and optimal combinations as other investigators stated. Moreover, a good idea for the future studies could be to evaluate the global regulators for these pumps and the effect of the environmental signal on them.

The results of the present study confirm the role of DrrA-DrrB efflux pump in antibiotic resistance in clinical MTB isolates. As a large number of efflux pumps are located in the cell envelope of MTB, we cannot correlate a single efflux pump overexpression to the drug-resistance phenotype in a certain MTB cell, unless all the pumps are simultaneously investigated. However, such studies may suggest that the potentiation therapies targeting the efflux pumps could be considered in the future investigations to be included in TB standard antibiotic therapy. Furthermore, we presented potential cutoff points of gene expression folds by which molecular diagnostic laboratories would be able to detect the drug-resistant strains of MTB with proper sensitivity and specificity, in addition to well positive and negative predictive values.
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