Neuropsychological effects of antiepileptic drugs (carbamazepine versus valproate) in adult males with epilepsy
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Purpose: To evaluate the effect of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) on cognition and behavior in adult epileptic males controlled on treatment with conventional antiepileptic medications.

Methods: Cognitive, mood, behavior and personality traits were assessed in 45 epileptic patients treated with carbamazepine and/or valproate and free of seizures for ≥1 year. Thirty-four newly diagnosed or untreated patients with epilepsy and 58 matched healthy subjects were also included for comparison. A battery of psychometric tests was utilized including Stanford-Binet (4th edition), Beck Inventory for Depression, Aggressive Scale and Eysenck Personality Questionnaire.

Results: Compared to matched control subjects, treated and untreated epileptic patients had poor performance in different cognitive and behavioral functions testing. Treated patients had worse scores in memory for digits forward and backward, total short-term memory, extroversion and psychosis. The duration of AEDs intake was correlated with memory of objects (r = -0.323; P = 0.030), bead memory (r = -0.314; P = 0.036) and total nonverbal short-term memory (r = -0.346; P = 0.020). Treated and untreated epileptic patients had poor performance of similar extent in behavioral functions testing (depression, aggression and neurosis). The dose of AEDs was correlated with testing scores for neurosis (r = 0.307; P = 0.040), verbal aggression (r = 0.483; P = 0.001) and nonverbal aggression (r = 0.526; P = 0.000), and duration of drug intake was correlated with scores for depression (r = 0.384; P = 0.009), psychosis (r = 0.586; P = 0.0001) and nonverbal aggression (r = 0.300; P = 0.045).

Conclusions: This study provides support for the notion that AEDs can impair performance in cognition, mood and behavior. Duration of drug intake and the number of the utilized AEDs are the main confounding variables. This study did not provide clues on how to exclude the effect of epilepsy itself and psychosocial variables as additional important confounding variables.
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Introduction
Epilepsy is a common health problem.1 Seizures are only one aspect of epilepsy. Patients with epilepsy may experience cognitive and behavioral problems that may have a deleterious impact on patients’ overall lives.2,3 Cognitive comorbidity associated with epilepsy has been confirmed in clinical, experimental, pathological, psychological, physiological and imaging studies.4,6 Epileptic patients may experience problems in various cognitive domains such as reduced intelligence, attention, problems in memory, language and frontal executive functions, despite the side or site of lesion. The association between epilepsy and poor cognitive performance, learning and long-term memory is correlated with a number of variables including genetics, basic brain lesion, site and side of structural brain lesion, and age at onset together with duration of...
epilepsy, seizure frequency, adverse effects from antiepileptic medications and psychosocial variables. 

Antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) have both negative and positive effects on cognition and behavior.3 AEDs are able to improve cognition and behavior, which has been attributed to reduction of seizure activity, and modulating effect on neurotransmitters and their psychotrophic effect. AEDs reduce neuronal irritability and increase postsynaptic inhibition or alter synchronization of neural networks to decrease excessive neuronal excitability associated with seizure development and secondary spread of epileptic activity to the surrounding normal brain. However, excessive reduction of neuronal excitability may result in slowed motor and psychomotor speeds, and poor attention and memory processing, which are common side effects of sodium channel blockade and increasing GABAergic inhibitory activity. It is not surprising that patients with epilepsy are more susceptible to the adverse behavioral effects of AEDs than other populations, possibly due to the disease associated structural or functional changes that increase their risk of psychiatric disorders.

Aim of the work

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of conventional AEDs (carbamazepine [CBZ] and/or valproate [VPA]) on cognitive and behavioral functions in a group of adult epileptic males with generalized tonic-clonic convulsions and controlled (seizure free for ≥1 year) on AEDs. Correlations between cognitive and behavioral functions and variables related to AEDs (dose, duration of treatment and number of utilized AEDs) were also determined.

Patients and methods

This study included 79 consecutive patients (range 18 to 45) with generalized tonic-clonic convulsions (grand mal epilepsy) recruited from the outpatient epilepsy clinic of Assuit University Hospital, Assuit, Egypt. Epilepsy type was defined according to the International League Against Epilepsy (1989). The protocol of the study was in conformity with ethical guidelines of Assiut University Hospital, Egypt and informed written consent was obtained from each participant. Included in this study were: a) newly diagnosed and untreated epileptic patients: these were patients with at least one seizure during the last 6 months and who had not received AEDs (n = 34); b) treated epileptic patients: these were patients on regular treatment with one (monotherapy) or combined (polytherapy) AEDs (total: n = 45; CBZ: n = 25; VPA: n = 13; CBZ + VPA: n = 7). AEDs were described according to the well-known guidelines and in recommended doses. All treated patients gave a history of compliance to AEDs. Compliance was confirmed by assessment of the serum drug level at least once during the period of the study. The serum levels of AEDs were determined in the therapeutic drug monitoring lab, Assiut University Hospital, Assiut Egypt, with the fluorescence polarization immunoassay system of Abbott (EPIA) using TD × FLX apparatus (Abbott Lab, Wiesbaden, Germany), as described before. This study included 58 healthy males matched for age, sex, educational level and socioeconomic status as controls (Table 1). Excluded from this study were a) patients with intelligence quotient (IQ) less than 70 assessed using Wechsler Adults Intelligence Scale-Revised; b) presence of history of head injury; c) patients with progressive central nervous system (CNS), psychiatric or systemic medical conditions that might affect cognitive or behavioral functions; and d) patients utilizing chronic medications other than AEDs. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied groups are summarized in Table 1.

All participants were subjected to:

1. Complete neurological, medical history and examination, and socioeconomic status scale assessment.

2. Analysis of seizure history included: age at onset, duration of epilepsy, type and duration of AED(s) utilized and the degree patients’ control on AED(s). Only controlled patients on AEDs (seizure free for ≥1 year) were included in this study.

3. Neuropsychological assessment

(a) Assessment of cognition

Cognition was assessed using the standardized and validated Arabic version of the Stanford-Binet Test (4th edition). The test consisted of 30 items ranging from the ability to touch one’s nose or ear when asked, to the ability to draw designs from memory and to define abstract concepts. The following items were tested and analyzed: total verbal reasoning, which was used to assess vocabulary, comprehension, absurdties and verbal relations; total nonverbal short-term memory, which tested memory for objects and bead memory; and total verbal short-term memory, which was used to assess memory for digits (forward and backward) and memory for sentences.

(b) Behavioral assessment

A standardized and validated Arabic versions of the Beck Depression Inventory,22,23 the Aggressive Behavior Scale (Verbal and Nonverbal)22,23 and Eysenck Personality Inventory24,25 were used for behavioral and emotional...
Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n = 58)</th>
<th>Untreated (n = 34)</th>
<th>Treated (n = 45)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>30.45 ± 7.56</td>
<td>28.09 ± 8.99</td>
<td>28.80 ± 7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>10.20 ± 3.914</td>
<td>9.68 ± 3.96</td>
<td>9.53 ± 3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of years of education</td>
<td>9.03 ± 5.67</td>
<td>7.91 ± 4.33</td>
<td>9.04 ± 3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligeant quotient</td>
<td>85.72 ± 15.79</td>
<td>78.24 ± 20.13</td>
<td>88.13 ± 20.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness; years</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.35 ± 7.65</td>
<td>10.07 ± 6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of epilepsy</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (14.7%)</td>
<td>11 (24.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of febrile convulsions</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (5.9%)</td>
<td>3 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of cognitive decline</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td>3 (6.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of drug intake, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.54 ± 6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEDs dose, mg/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>739.39 ± 289.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>822.22 ± 298.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are expressed as mean ± SD, number (%).
Significance: between untreated and treated groups.

Abbreviations: AED, antiepileptic drug; CBZ, carbamazepine; VPA, valproate.

assessments. The Beck Depression Inventory is a 21-question multiple-choice self-report inventory, one of the most widely used instruments for measuring the severity of depression. Its development marked a shift among health care professionals, who had until then viewed depression from a psychodynamic perspective, instead of it being rooted in the patient’s own thoughts. In its current version the questionnaire is designed for individuals aged 13 and over, and is composed of items related to symptoms of depression such as hopelessness and irritability, cognitions such as guilt or feelings of being punished, as well as physical symptoms such as fatigue, weight loss, and lack of interest in sex. The Aggressive Behavior Scale is a 64-item scale with excellent reliability and validity. It is used to assess verbal (32 items) and nonverbal aggressions (32 items). It measures levels of self-esteem, disinhibited behavior, aggression against persons and animals, control of rage, and so on. The scoring for each item ranges from 1 to 4. Higher scores indicate higher levels of aggression. Eysenck Personality Inventory assesses neurosis, psychosis, extroversion–introversion, and lying. Neurosis is marked by excessive anxiety or apprehension that is not restricted to specific situations or objects, unlike anxiety experienced in threatening situations. Psychosis is a temporary mental state. A person experiences a psychotic state for a while, and then come out of it. Psychoticism focuses on the disturbances of such magnitude that there is personality disintegration and loss of contact with reality. Extraversion is marked by direction of attention and energy outward from the self. Lying is typically used to refer to deceptions in oral or written communication.

Procedure
Cognitive and behavioral assessment of the patients was done individually. Patients were divided into two smaller groups. The first subgroup completed the vocabulary, comprehension, absurdities, verbal relations, memory for objects, bead memory, memory for sentences, memory for digits forward, and memory for digits backward tests in that order. The second subgroup completed the same tests in reverse order. The aim of this counterbalance was to control the training variable in cognitive function. Behavioral assessment was completed in another session.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics (mean, SD, and percentages) were calculated using the computer software package SPSS for Windows, Version 16. Results were analyzed using independent-sample t test that did not assume equal variances. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was followed by a post hoc test (LSD). Correlation coefficients and multiple linear regression analysis were used to detect the impact of epilepsy and its treatment on cognition, mood, aggressive behavior, and personality traits. The significance level was set at a ≤0.05.

Results
Table 1 demonstrates the demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied groups. Tables 2 and 3 demonstrate the effect of AEDs on various cognitive and behavioral functions. Compared to matched control subjects, treated and untreated epileptic patients had poor performance in different cognitive and behavioral functions testing. However, treated patients
had worse scores in memory for digits forward, memory for digits forward and backward, total short-term memory, extroversion and psychosis. Patients on VPA had worse scores in total aggression and verbal aggression scores compared to those on CBZ or combined treatment with CBZ.

In the treated group of patients, the dose of AEDs was significantly associated with testing scores for neurosis ($r = 0.307; P = 0.040$), verbal aggression ($r = 0.483; P = 0.001$), nonverbal aggression ($r = 0.526; P = 0.000$). The duration of AED intake was significantly associated with testing scores for memory of objects ($r = -0.323; P = 0.030$), bead memory ($r = -0.314; P = 0.036$), total nonverbal short-term memory ($r = -0.346; P = 0.020$), Beck Depression Inventory ($r = 0.384; P = 0.009$), psychosis ($r = 0.586; P = 0.0001$) and nonverbal aggression ($r = 0.300; P = 0.045$). The number of AEDs was significantly correlated with testing scores for absurdities ($r = 0.336; P = 0.024$) but not associated with other cognitive or behavioral functions.

**Discussion**

The potential for AEDs to adversely impact cognition and behavior is of significant concern as they are the major therapeutic modality for control of seizures. Even their modest effects can be of clinical significant and affect the patient’s quality of life. In this study, we compared the results of cognitive and behavioral functions testing of a group of adult male patients who were seizure free for ≥1 year on conventional AEDs (CBZ and VPA), with a group of epileptic patients who were newly diagnosed or did not ever received AEDs and a group of matched healthy subjects.

Others and ourselves have reported that epilepsy adverse affects different cognitive and behavioral functions. Not only ictal but also interictal (subclinical) discharges, which occur with paroxysmal focal or generalized epileptic activities, can disrupt cognitive encoding processes. In addition, neuronal plasticity, reorganization, sprouting and impairment of cellular metabolism associated with the process of epileptogenesis are fundamental determinants for progressive cognitive deterioration in epilepsy.

The results of this study indicate that patients on conventional AEDs are at increased risk for cognitive dysfunction. In support, both treated and untreated epileptic patients had poor performance of a similar extent in different cognitive (as in scoring for absurdities, total verbal reasoning, memory for objects, bead memory and nonverbal short-term memory) and behavioral (as in scoring for depression, aggression and neurosis) functions testing. The effect of AEDs on cognition has been attributed to the fact that AEDs not only reduce neuronal irritability but also may impair neuronal excitability, neurotransmitter release, enzymes and factors critical for information processing and memory. Several previous studies indicated that AEDs have reversible and sometimes cumulative cognitive adverse consequences.

Treated patients demonstrated worse scores in memories for digits forward, backward, and total verbal short-term memory) compared to untreated epileptic patients. The duration of AED intake was found to be associated with memory of objects, bead memory and total nonverbal short-term memory. In this study, no significant correlation was identified between cognitive functions and the dose of the AEDs. The number of utilized antiepileptic medications was significantly correlated with testing scores for absurdities.

In this study, no differential effect was identified between CBZ versus VPA therapy. CBZ utilization may be associated with mild cognitive dysfunction including excessive sedation, compromised attention, concentration and visual motor coordination, and psychomotor slowing. EEG slowing associated with CBZ might be significantly related to the magnitude of cognitive decline on later IQ subset performance. Studies revealed that children exposed to VPA in utero had learning difficulties, behavioral problems and increased need for special education. In one large prospective study, increased memory deficits, reduced verbal IQ by 8 to 15 points and an excess of additional needs were reported in children exposed to VPA prenatally. The cognitive side effects of CBZ have been attributed to their Na$^+$ channels blockade. VPA’s modest effect on cognition has been attributed to the indirect modulation of GABA neurotransmissions. An enhancement of GABA$_A$ receptor-mediated hyperpolarizing responses caused by VPA will inhibit the activation of NMDA receptors in a dose-related manner and VPA reduces repetitive neuronal firing via blockade of voltage-dependant sodium (Na$^+$) channels. Its suppressive effect on synaptic response mediated by NMDA receptors may contribute to the impairment of long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) caused by VPA. VPA suppresses the expression of LTP in the CA1 region of the hippocampal slices. VPA suppresses response mediated by NMDA receptors in a dose-related manner rat amygdaloid slices.

The results of this study indicate that patients on conventional AEDs are at increased risk of behavioral abnormalities. In support, both treated and untreated epileptic patients had a similar extent of poor performance in behavioral functions testing (as in scoring for depression, aggression and neurosis). The dose of AEDs was significantly associated with testing scores for neurosis, verbal aggression and nonverbal
Table 2 Comparison between different studied groups in cognitive functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n = 58)</th>
<th>Untreated (n = 34)</th>
<th>Treated (n = 45)</th>
<th>CBZ (n = 25)</th>
<th>VPA (n = 13)</th>
<th>Polytherapy (n = 7)</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary</td>
<td>27.68 ± 7.14</td>
<td>25.50 ± 6.13</td>
<td>25.57 ± 5.68</td>
<td>26.20 ± 6.37</td>
<td>24.54 ± 4.59</td>
<td>25.28 ± 5.34</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absurdities</td>
<td>30.00 ± 6.12</td>
<td>18.65 ± 6.64</td>
<td>18.15 ± 5.51</td>
<td>17.08 ± 5.26</td>
<td>17.92 ± 6.41</td>
<td>22.42 ± 1.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total verbal reasoning</td>
<td>85.74 ± 21.28</td>
<td>76.94 ± 20.74</td>
<td>75.29 ± 18.052</td>
<td>73.96 ± 17.33</td>
<td>73.07 ± 20.36</td>
<td>84.14 ± 15.79</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory for objects</td>
<td>10.65 ± 7.36</td>
<td>5.74 ± 2.440</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.39</td>
<td>6.08 ± 2.25</td>
<td>5.69 ± 2.39</td>
<td>6.28 ± 3.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bead memory</td>
<td>21.43 ± 6.94</td>
<td>17.88 ± 7.42</td>
<td>18.0 ± 5.38</td>
<td>17.64 ± 5.05</td>
<td>17.76 ± 4.83</td>
<td>19.71 ± 7.69</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total non verbal short-term memory</td>
<td>32.08 ± 13.41</td>
<td>23.62 ± 9.14</td>
<td>24.0 ± 7.11</td>
<td>23.72 ± 6.88</td>
<td>23.46 ± 5.79</td>
<td>26.00 ± 10.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory for digits forward</td>
<td>6.31 ± 2.16</td>
<td>5.24 ± 2.36</td>
<td>4.77 ± 2.09</td>
<td>4.68 ± 2.19</td>
<td>4.84 ± 1.81</td>
<td>5.00 ± 2.44</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory for digits backward</td>
<td>3.19 ± 2.32</td>
<td>2.15 ± 2.13</td>
<td>1.78 ± 1.50</td>
<td>1.68 ± 1.10</td>
<td>2.00 ± 1.82</td>
<td>1.71 ± 2.21</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total verbal short-term memory</td>
<td>26.66 ± 8.86</td>
<td>22.88 ± 9.04</td>
<td>21.33 ± 5.88</td>
<td>20.88 ± 5.74</td>
<td>21.54 ± 5.66</td>
<td>22.57 ± 7.43</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary</td>
<td>27.68 ± 7.14</td>
<td>25.50 ± 6.13</td>
<td>25.57 ± 5.68</td>
<td>26.20 ± 6.37</td>
<td>24.54 ± 4.59</td>
<td>25.28 ± 5.34</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absurdities</td>
<td>30.00 ± 6.12</td>
<td>18.65 ± 6.64</td>
<td>18.15 ± 5.51</td>
<td>17.08 ± 5.26</td>
<td>17.92 ± 6.41</td>
<td>22.42 ± 1.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total verbal reasoning</td>
<td>85.74 ± 21.28</td>
<td>76.94 ± 20.74</td>
<td>75.29 ± 18.052</td>
<td>73.96 ± 17.33</td>
<td>73.07 ± 20.36</td>
<td>84.14 ± 15.79</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory for objects</td>
<td>10.65 ± 7.36</td>
<td>5.74 ± 2.440</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.39</td>
<td>6.08 ± 2.25</td>
<td>5.69 ± 2.39</td>
<td>6.28 ± 3.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are expressed as mean ± SD.
P1: untreated vs controls; P2: treated vs controls; P3: treated vs untreated.
VPA vs CBZ.

Abbreviations: CBZ, carbamazepine; VPA, valproate.
Table 3 Comparison between different studied groups in mood, behavior and personality traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n = 58)</th>
<th>Untreated (n = 34)</th>
<th>Treated (n = 45)</th>
<th>CBZ (n = 25)</th>
<th>VPA (n = 13)</th>
<th>Polytherapy (n = 7)</th>
<th>PI</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beck questionnaire</td>
<td>10.11 ± 4.97</td>
<td>15.82 ± 7.32</td>
<td>16.14 ± 6.85</td>
<td>15.37 ± 6.36</td>
<td>15.23 ± 7.72</td>
<td>20.56 ± 6.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal aggression test</td>
<td>47.90 ± 16.02</td>
<td>64.330 ± 14.48</td>
<td>68.76 ± 13.13</td>
<td>64.96 ± 10.65</td>
<td>72.92 ± 13.64</td>
<td>74.57 ± 17.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonverbal aggression test</td>
<td>44.24 ± 17.40</td>
<td>69.90 ± 16.41</td>
<td>66.67 ± 12.48</td>
<td>63.16 ± 9.96</td>
<td>70.07 ± 12.43</td>
<td>73.00 ± 17.72</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total aggression test</td>
<td>92.15 ± 30.47</td>
<td>134.24 ± 29.29</td>
<td>135.44 ± 24.62</td>
<td>128.12 ± 19.95</td>
<td>143.00 ± 23.82</td>
<td>147.57 ± 34.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurosis</td>
<td>5.01 ± 2.55</td>
<td>15.03 ± 3.62</td>
<td>16.22 ± 3.78</td>
<td>15.24 ± 4.02</td>
<td>17.69 ± 2.68</td>
<td>17.00 ± 4.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extroversion</td>
<td>11.45 ± 2.84</td>
<td>12.11 ± 3.40</td>
<td>13.38 ± 5.30</td>
<td>13.56 ± 6.19</td>
<td>14.15 ± 3.28</td>
<td>11.28 ± 4.96</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>&lt;0.015</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis</td>
<td>9.90 ± 2.99</td>
<td>7.97 ± 3.50</td>
<td>7.47 ± 4.76</td>
<td>7.44 ± 4.31</td>
<td>7.92 ± 6.18</td>
<td>6.71 ± 3.73</td>
<td>&lt;0.019</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lying</td>
<td>11.88 ± 4.35</td>
<td>13.67 ± 2.69</td>
<td>13.60 ± 3.61</td>
<td>13.72 ± 3.61</td>
<td>13.15 ± 3.64</td>
<td>14.00 ± 4.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.029</td>
<td>&lt;0.023</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are expressed as mean ± SD.
P1: untreated vs controls; P2: treated vs controls; P3: treated vs untreated.
VPA versus CBZ.
Abbreviations: CBZ, carbamazepine; VPA, valproate.
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