Cell-Penetrating And Antibacterial BUF-II Nanobioconjugates: Enhanced Potency Via Immobilization On Polyetheramine-Modified Magnetite Nanoparticles
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Introduction: Controlled delivery of therapeutic molecules in a localized manner has become an area of interest due to its potential to reduce drug exposure to healthy tissues and consequently to minimize undesirable side effects. We have recently introduced novel cell-penetrating vehicles by immobilizing the antimicrobial peptide Buforin II (BUF-II) on magnetite nanoparticles (MPNPs). Despite the potent translocating abilities of such nanobioconjugates, they failed to preserve the antimicrobial activity of native BUF-II. In this work, we explored immobilization on MNPs with the aid of polymer surface spacers, which has been considered as an attractive alternative for the highly efficient conjugation of various biomolecules.

Methods: Here, we immobilized BUF-II on polyetheramine-modified magnetite nanoparticles to preserve its structural integrity. As a result, for the obtained nanobioconjugates the lost antimicrobial activity against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria was only 50% with respect to the native BUF-II. The nanobioconjugates were also characterized via FTIR, DLS, TEM, and TGA. Delivery on THP-1, HaCaT, HFF, and Escherichia coli cells was conducted to confirm capability for cell membrane translocation.

Results: Colocalization with Lysotracker showed an endosomal escape efficiency of about 73 ± 12% in THP-1 cells. Avoidance of endocytic pathways of internalization was qualitatively confirmed by a delivery assay at low temperature. Nuclear penetration of the nanobioconjugates was corroborated via confocal microscopy and showed high biocompatibility as demonstrated by hemolysis levels below 5% and acute cytotoxicity of around 15%.

Conclusion: The obtained nanobioconjugates were capable of translocating the cell membrane and nuclei of different normal and cancerous cell lines without significantly decreasing viability. This makes the vehicle addressable for a number of applications ranging from antimicrobial topical treatments to the delivery of nucleotides and therapeutic molecules with difficulties to bypass cell membranes.
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Introduction

The use of cell-penetrating peptides (CPP’s) in systemic drug delivery systems have gained significant attention during the last few years due to possibilities of being used as intracellular delivery vectors of different molecules such as small-drugs, liposomes, peptides, proteins, and oligonucleotides.1 Due to their small size, and
their ability to spontaneously translocate the cell membrane, CPP’s have been considered to address one of the major challenges in drug delivery, which is ensuring that pharmacological agents are able to come across biological barriers such as the blood-brain barrier. One of such peptides is Buforin II (BUF-II), which is a 21-amino acid antimicrobial peptide derived from *Bufo bufo gargarizans* capable of killing bacteria by interrupting their replication cycle after binding to DNA and RNA. This is achieved by BUF-II after crossing the cell membrane of bacteria without damaging it. This amphipathic peptide is composed by an N-terminal random coil region, an extended helical region, a proline hinge related to the cell-penetrating abilities, and a C-terminal regular α-helical region which has been associated with its antimicrobial activity. Despite the enormous potential in both drug delivery and as an antimicrobial agent, BUF-II is highly susceptible to proteolytic degradation by endogenous proteases, which reduces its in vitro and in vivo lifespan. A strategy to overcome these issues is the conjugation of peptide molecules on drug delivery vehicles such as nanoparticles. This approach has been reported to significantly extend the stability and lifespan of a number of “soft” biomolecules including peptides and enzymes. Moreover, due to their nanosize dimensions, these vehicles are responsible for an increased permeation and retention effect as well as reduced renal clearance.

One of the strategies to confer flexibility to molecules conjugated to the surface of nanostructured materials is by using polypropylene oxide (PPO) or polyethylene oxide (PEO) spacers. A family of polymers that contain both PO and EO moieties in its backbone structure are the polyetheramines (PEAs). They hold terminal primary amine groups either at one end or at the two ends, which could be used to react with carboxyl or hydroxyl groups. PEAs are commercially available with molecular weights ranging from 200 to 2,000 g/mol. Due to their unique flexibility and ease for conjugation, the PEAs have been extensively used as modifiers for a number of materials such as carbon fibers, and epoxy resins. Iron-oxide nanoparticles or magnetite nanoparticles (MNPs) have been widely used in a number of medical applications including drug delivery, MRI imaging, and hyperthermia for cancer therapy. The suitability to enable these applications is mainly attributed to the low cytotoxicity of MNPs under most pharmacological regimes and routes of administration. At the same time, MNPs are well suited for guided transport within vascular systems by means of external magnetic fields, and can even be accumulated in specific target organs. MNPs have been also employed as immobilization supports for biomolecules such as the epidermal growth factor, albumin, and bacitracin. In an attempt to extend the short lifespan of BUF-II and increase the number of active peptides per unit mass, we directly immobilized it on MNPs. Our findings showed that the cell-penetration ability of BUF-II was preserved after immobilization; however, we observed a complete loss of the antibacterial activity. Based on these results, we proposed that by incorporating a surface linker prior to immobilization, it was going to be possible to maintain a larger population of peptides with both antibacterial and translocating abilities. Accordingly, here we aimed at evaluating whether immobilization of BUF-II on polymer-modified MNPs helps to maintain its biological activity. Also, we wanted to estimate whether the introduced immobilization route led to detrimental changes in the biocompatibility of the synthesized nanobioconjugates.

### Materials And Methods

#### Materials

Iron (II) chloride tetrahydrate (98%), dimethylformamide (DMF) (99.8%), sodium chloride (NaCl) (99.9%) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (98%) were obtained from PanReac AppliChem. LysoTracker Green DND-26 was obtained from Life technologies. Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (97%), tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) (25%), (3-Aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES) (98%), LB medium, N-hydroxy succinimide (NHS) (98%), N-[3-dimethylamino]-propyl]-N’-ethyl carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) (98%), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (99%), rhodamine B (>95%), and glutaraldehyde (25%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The polyetheramine Jeffamine® M-600 was purchased from Huntsman (600 molecular weight polypropylene glycol monoamine). Dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (DMEM), RPMI 1640 Medium, fetal bovine serum (FBS) and trypsin EDTA were obtained from Biowest. Penicillin/Streptomycin (P/S) was purchased from Lonza. Buforin II (BUF-II-TRSSRAGLQFPVGRVHRLLRK) and FITC-BUF2 were synthesized by the Peptide Synthesis Facility at Pompeu Fabra University and GL Biochem Shanghai (Shanghai, China). Purification was performed by HPLC (>95%) and masses were confirmed via mass spectrometry as we did in a previous work.
was conducted in Vero (ATCC® CCL-81), THP-1 (ATCC® TIB-202), HFF (ATCC® SRC-1041), and HaCaT (ATCC® CRL-2404) cells. Bacteria strains were *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 23235) and *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922).

**Labeling Of BUF-II With Rhodamine B**

1 mg of rhodamine B (1 equivalent) is mixed with 1 mg of EDC (2.5 equivalents) and 1 mg of NHS (4 equivalents) in DMF (with respect to the Carboxyl groups of rhodamine B). The mixture is heated up to 37°C under continuous magnetic stirring for 15 mins. This allows activation of the Carboxyl groups to subsequently form amide bonds with the free amine groups of the BUF-II. Finally, 1 mL of the peptide at 1 mg/mL is then added to the preactivated rhodamine B and left to react for 24h under continuous agitation (200 rpm).

**Synthesis And Silanization Of Magnetite Nanoparticles**

Magnetite nanoparticles were synthesized through the chemical coprecipitation method. Briefly, ferric chloride and ferrous chloride were dissolved separately in type II water (with a resistivity >1 MΩ-cm, and conductivity <1 μS/cm) to a final concentration of 500 mM and 250 mM, respectively. Then, both solutions were simultaneously added dropwise to a 5 M NaOH solution heated at 90 °C under continuous agitation. The resulting mixture was left at rest for 1 hr until it reached room temperature. Next, the nanoparticles were washed 10 times with type II water to remove excess reagents. For this purpose, a strong neodymium magnet was used to accelerate the nanoparticle precipitation between each washing cycle. Then, 100 mg of the synthesized nanoparticles were suspended in 30 mL of type II water. The magnetite nanoparticle suspension was subsequently sonicated for 10 mins. Tetramethylammonium hydroxide solution (TMAH) (2 mL, 25% (v/v)) and 50 μL of glacial acetic acid were then added to the suspension and sonicated 10 more minutes. (3-Aminopropyl) triethoxysilane (APTES) (200 μL) was added to the magnetite solution for silanization. Silanized nanoparticles were washed six times with type II water to remove the excess of APTES aided by a strong neodymium magnet. Finally, silanized particles (100 mg) were resuspended in 30 mL of type II water. Silanization rendered free amine groups on the surface of the nanoparticles to subsequently conjugate the polyetheramine linker. The synthesis and silanization of magnetite nanoparticles is schematically shown in Figure S1.

**Polyetheramine (PEA) M-600 Oxidation And Conjugation On Magnetite Nanoparticles**

We followed the protocol established by Feng et al. to oxidize the methoxyethyl termination of the PEA (Jeffamine® M-600). Briefly, PEA Jeffamine® M-600 and an excess amount of KMnO₄ (1:2 molar ratio) were dissolved in 150 mL of type II water. The solution was left to react under constant magnetic stirring for 12h at room temperature. Upon oxidation, the resulting MnO₂ byproduct was filtered out under vacuum to obtain a colorless homogeneous solution. Excess KMnO₄ and hydrazine byproduct were removed by dialysis against type II water for 24h using a membrane cassette with a 1000 cut-off molecular weight. A rotary evaporator was then used to concentrate the oxidized PEA for 6 h at 70°C. PEA-coated magnetite nanoparticles were obtained by mixing 100 mg of silanized nanoparticles in 30 mL of type II water along with 1 mL of 2% (v/v) glutaraldehyde-type II water solution. After 30 mins of reaction at room temperature, the oxidized PEA solution was added in a molar ratio 1:2 and stirred overnight. Finally, PEA-coated magnetite nanoparticles were washed 6 times with type II water. Finally, the nanoparticles were resuspended in 30 mL of type II water for the subsequent immobilization of Buforin II. The conjugation of PEA on magnetite nanoparticles is schematically shown in Figure S1.

**Conjugation Of BUF-II On PEA-Modified Magnetite Nanoparticles**

To obtain the BUF-II-PEA-magnetite nanobioconjugates (Figure 1A), 100 mg of PEA-coated magnetite were suspended in 30 mL of distilled water and sonicated for 10 mins. BUF-II was conjugated to the Carboxyl groups of oxidized PEA (1 equivalent) by its N-terminal to form amide bonds with the aid of two equivalents of EDC and 2 equivalents of NHS (with respect to the Carboxyl groups). Briefly, 500 μL of unlabeled or labeled BUF-II solution at 1 mg/mL in sterile NaPB were mixed with the EDC/NHS pre-dissolved in DMF and were subsequently added to the nanoparticle suspension. The mixture is sonicated for 5 more minutes and left to react for 24h. After conjugation, samples were
Figure 1 (A) Schematic of the chemical structure of the nanobioconjugate. (B) DLS histogram for the size distribution of magnetite nanoparticles (red) and BUFII-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates (blue). (C) TEM micrograph of the BUFII-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates with the approximate diameters of individual particles. (D) FTIR spectra of oxidized PEA (orange), and PEA (purple). (E) FTIR spectra of bare magnetite (orange), PEA-coated magnetite (blue), BUF-II (green) and BUFII-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates (purple). (F) TGA thermograms of magnetite (green) and BUFII-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates (red). The first weight loss steps (2.54 and 3.15%) represent the dehydration of the samples. Second weight loss steps (6.62% and 13.04%) correspond to physically adsorbed organic solvents. The final weight loss step (7.43%) is attributed to the detachment of BUF-II from the nanoparticle's surface.
Characterization Of BUF-II-PEA-Magnetite Nanobioconjugates

The hydrodynamic diameter was determined through DLS (Zeta-Sizer Nano-ZS, Malvern, UK) using a solution of magnetite in ethanol (3% w/v) at 37°C to simulate body temperature. A 200kV FEI Tecnai F20 Super-Twin TEM was used to determine the size of the nanobioconjugate in the solid state. Sequential surface modifications of magnetite nanoparticles with APTES, Oxidized PEA, and BUF-II were evaluated via Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) by a Bruker Alpha II FTIR Eco-ATR (Bruker, Germany). Spectra were collected in the range of 4,000-400 cm$^{-1}$ with a spectral resolution of 2 cm$^{-1}$. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out by ramping up the temperature of a 10 mg sample at a rate of 10°C/min from 25 to 800°C in a simultaneous TGA/DSC instrument (TA Instruments, USA).

Antibacterial Activity Assay

Antibacterial activity was assayed by the broth microdilution assay as described by Park et al.$^6$ Briefly, bacterial cells from S. aureus and E. coli were cultured overnight in LB medium at 37°C; an aliquot of this culture was taken and incubated in fresh medium until a McFarland standard of 0.5 was achieved. Four concentrations (1,000, 750, 500 and 100 µg/mL) of BUF-II-PEA-magnetite conjugates were assayed, as well as two concentrations of BUF-II (100 µM and 3.125 µM). Then, 50 µL of each treatment were seeded by triplicate on a 96-well microtiter plate (TPP). After bacterial cells reached mid-logarithmic phase, cells were centrifuged, washed three times with 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (NaPB, pH 7.4) and diluted 1:10,000 in the same buffer. Then, 50 µL of the diluted cells were added to 50 µL of each of the treatments and incubated for 3 hrs at 37°C. After incubation, 100 µL of fresh LB medium was added to each well and incubated for 18 hrs at 37°C. Inhibitory growth effects were evaluated by absorbance at 620 nm.$^{22}$

Bacteria Translocation And Morphological Changes

Bacteria translocation and morphological changes were evaluated in E. coli via Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM, MFP-3D-BIO, Asylum Research, UK) and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM, TESCAN Lyra 3, Czech Republic). E. coli cells were cultivated at 37°C in LB medium until Log Phase was achieved at a concentration equivalent to an optical density of ~0.2 at 620 nm. Then, E. coli in the presence nanobioconjugates were washed twice with PBS 1X (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM phosphate, 2.7 mM KCl; pH 7.4) and fixed onto glass slides for 2 hrs at room temperature with 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde. After fixation, bacteria were washed twice with PBS 1X and stored at 4°C prior to the AFM measurement.$^{27}$ AFM images were acquired by using the Magnetic Force Microscopy module of the instrument. Measurements were started by randomly scanning areas of 7 × 7 µm$^2$ with the purpose of finding sufficient bacterial cells for imaging. Images were collected for bacteria in the presence and absence of the nanobioconjugates. Both morphological changes and magnetic response were evaluated in the samples. Amplitude, height, and voltage images were recorded simultaneously. Topography from height images was used to calculate the roughness of the bacterial cell surface based on the root mean square (RMS) values, which in turn, are based on the standard deviation of all the height values within a given area.$^{28}$ Amplitude images were captured to analyze size profiles of bacteria, while voltage images helped to determine the presence of the nanobioconjugates inside bacteria. For SEM imaging, samples (bacteria, nanobioconjugate in the presence of bacteria, and only the nanobioconjugate) were cultured as described above and subsequently washed six times with Milli Q water, prior to fixing them on a conducting tape in an aluminum base via evaporation. SEM images were acquired at an accelerating voltage (HV) of 10 kV to guarantee a higher penetration into the sample and provide more information on the layer beneath the surface. The collected signal was acquired via secondary electrons (SE) and backscattered electrons (BSE) signal. Finally, image analysis was performed on ImageJ.$^®$.

Cell Translocation And Endosome Escape

Cell translocation of the nanobioconjugate BUF-II-PEA-coated magnetite was evaluated in monocytes from acute monocytic leukemia (THP-1), keratinocytes from an
aneuploid immortal cell line (HaCaT) and human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF). Briefly, fluorescently labeled peptide (BUFII-FTIC and BUFII-Rhodamine B) was conjugated to PEA-coated magnetite as described above and subsequently co-delivered with DAPI to cells at a dilution ratio of 1:100 in 1 mL of the corresponding medium (DMEM for HaCaT and HFF and RPMI for THP1, 10% FBS and 1% P/S). Samples were incubated for 1 hr at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ prior to confocal observation on the Olympus FV1000 microscope.

Additionally, the ability of nanobioconjugates to escape endosomal routes of internalization was assessed in THP-1 cells. For this purpose, BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite conjugates were co-delivered with the endosomal marker LysoTracker green DND-26 and incubated for 1 hr at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$. To confirm if the cellular internalization in THP-1 cells was energy-independent, cells (100,000 cells/35 mm petri dish) were incubated with BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates for 1 h at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ prior to observation at either 37°C or 4°C. Also, a sample of the cells incubated at 37°C was incubated for 2 more hours at 4°C prior to observation. Imaging was conducted on an Olympus FV1000 confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) with a PlanApo 60x, 1.35 NA oil-immersion objective. Samples labeled with Rhodamine B and DAPI were imaged by exciting with the 550 nm and 347 nm lasers, respectively. Imaging was conducted at different positions throughout the culture depth to ensure a complete z-stack scan. Image analysis was performed in ImageJ and Fiji (https://imagej.net/Fiji/Downloads).

### Nanobioconjugate Uptake In Mammalian Cells

Nanobioconjugate uptake by mammalian cells THP-1 was evaluated by a decrease in the fluorescence intensity upon internalization of the labeled nanobioconjugates (BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite) in cells, using a Synergy HT Multi-Mode Reader (BioteK) Spectrofluorometer. Briefly, THP-1 cells were cultured in DMEM media supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% P/S, at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$, until an 80% confluence was reached. Cells were seeded at 3×10$^5$ cells/well on a 96-well microtiter plate and exposed to the nanobioconjugates in concentrations ranging from 6.25 µg/mL to 500 µg/mL. Cells were then incubated for 1 hr at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$. Fluorescence intensity was collected at 530 nm of excitation and 635 nm of emission. Data was converted into internalization percentage with the aid of a previously prepared calibration curve of Intensity vs Nanobioconjugate concentration.

### Biocompatibility

Biocompatibility of the nanobioconjugates was evaluated by assessing its cytotoxic, hemolytic and platelet aggregation capacities. Cytotoxicity was evaluated on Vero cells cultured at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$ in DMEM media supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% P/S. Cells were plated at 3×10$^4$ cells/well in a 96-well microtiter plate and exposed to two-fold dilutions of nanobioconjugate by triplicate starting at 100 µg/mL down to 6.25 µg/mL during 24h and 72h of incubation at 37°C and CO$_2$. Cell toxicity was then evaluated by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assay. Briefly, after incubation, 100 µL of supernatant was transferred to a new 96-well plate along with 100 of LDH reaction mixture and left to react for 30 mins. Absorbance from LDH release was quantified at 490 nm wavelength and 650 nm as the reference value. As a negative control, cells without treatment were used and as the positive control, cells were treated with DMSO. The hemolytic activities of the nanobioconjugate were assessed as described by Muñoz et al.$^{25}$ Briefly, 2×10$^7$ erythrocytes from a human blood healthy donor were centrifuged and washed three times with NaCl 150 mM and then replaced with 1 mL of PBS 1X. 50 µL of erythrocytes were mixed by triplicate along 50 µL of the conjugate at serially diluted concentrations in PBS 1X starting at 100 µg/mL down to 6.25 µg/mL, in a 96-well microtiter plate and incubated at 37°C for one hour. Mili Q water was used as the positive control and PBS 1X as the negative control. After incubation, the microtiter plate was centrifuged and the absorbance of each supernatant was measured at 450 nm.

The effect of BUF-II-PEA-coated magnetite nanobioconjugates, bare magnetite and PEA-coated magnetite nanoparticles on platelet aggregation was evaluated using platelet-rich plasma (PRPs). Positive controls of aggregation were epinephrine, adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and collagen. PRPs were obtained by centrifugation of a sample of human blood in sodium citrate at 1000 rpm. Two-fold serially diluted concentrations of the treatment (nanobioconjugates, bare magnetite, and PEA-coated-magnetite) were evaluated starting at 100 µg/mL down to 12.5 µg/mL after 3 mins of exposure to the treatments. Negative controls were PRPs in the absence of materials and PRPs in
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PBS 1X. Aggregation was measured by absorbance at 620 nm.

Results And Discussion
Nanoparticles Characterization

Figure 1A shows a schematic of the obtained nanobioconjugates after all functionalization steps and final conjugation of BUF-II-Rhodamine B. Figure 1B shows the hydrodynamic diameter distribution of bare magnetite nanoparticles and nanobioconjugates as determined by DLS. Bare magnetite nanoparticles exhibited a mean hydrodynamic diameter of 130 nm with a polydispersity index (PI) of 14.66%. After conjugation of BUF-II, the hydrodynamic diameter increased to 295 nm with a PI of 25.6%. The sizes and PIs obtained prior to the conjugation of BUF-II are comparable to those obtained in our previous work, but are larger to monodisperse MNP suspensions obtained by others under a nitrogen-controlled atmosphere or by precisely adjusting stirring speed during the co-precipitation process. Upon conjugation of BUF-II; however, the obtained size and PI is larger compared with our previous work. This can be attributed to the presence of the PEA polymer linker on the surface that is likely to promote aggregation. TEM images show aggregates with individual particles exhibiting an average diameter of 7.84 nm ± 1.61 nm (Figure 1C), which agrees well with the sizes found by Ling (about 4 nm) and Karimzadeh (14.7 nm). The size of the obtained nanobioconjugates appears attractive for applications where a sustained accumulation of the delivery vehicles is required for a long-lasting therapeutic effect. This is the case of cancerous tumors where accumulation has been reported for nanoparticles with diameters above 20 nm. In contrast, nanoparticles with diameters below 5.5 nm tend to be easily cleared by the renal excretory system. Sizes of aggregates from DLS and TEM measurements are not comparable because of the differences in the state of the samples during their evaluation. In the first case, the sample is suspended in an aqueous medium while in the second the material is dried out for observation, which leads to uncontrolled aggregation processes.

Surface modifications of magnetite nanoparticles and PEA oxidation were confirmed by Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Figure 1D shows the FTIR spectra of PEA and oxidized PEA. Prior to oxidation, FTIR spectrum of PEA shows two absorption bands at around 1,083 cm⁻¹, which can be attributed to a C-O-H stretching and an additional band at 1,453 cm⁻¹, which can be correlated with the C-H bending. Compared to the PEA IR spectrum, oxidized PEA exhibits four different vibrational bands at about 1,710, 1,548, 1,393 and 1,278 cm⁻¹, which can be explained by the presence of C=O stretching, N-O stretching, C-H bending and O-H stretching, respectively. Of particular interest is the C=O stretching because it can be associated with the carbonyl groups needed for the conjugation of BUF-II. As shown in Figure 1E, bare magnetite exhibited absorption bands at around 632 cm⁻¹ and 585 cm⁻¹, which can be attributed to the Fe-O bond of iron oxide. The presence of the Si-O stretching vibration at about 1,029 cm⁻¹ and bending vibrations of C-H at 1391 cm⁻¹ and N-H bands at 1,653 cm⁻¹, confirmed the silanization with APTES. Conjugation of PEA on silanized magnetite was confirmed by an absorption peak at about 1,629 cm⁻¹, which is due to the presence of the C=O stretching in the backbone of the polymer. Finally, conjugation of BUF-II was verified by the presence of the amide band at 1,650 cm⁻¹, which can be related to the α-helix region in the secondary structure of BUF-II. Further confirmation was provided by a band at 1,565 cm⁻¹, which can be attributed to the N-H and C-N bonds of the peptide, as well as a band at about 1,744 cm⁻¹, which can be explained by dehydrated carbonyl groups.

Conjugation efficiency of BUF-II on the surface of magnetite nanoparticles was estimated via TGA (Figure 1F). Magnetite and BUF-II-PEA-magnetite (MPB) conjugates exhibited a first weight loss of 2.54% and 3.15% mainly due to dehydration of the samples. Bare magnetite had a second weight loss of 13.04% while for the MPB was of 6.62%. These losses are attributed to physically adsorbed organic compound residues left by the synthesis and functionalization processes. Finally, the detachment of BUF-II from the magnetite was estimated with a final weight loss step of 7.43%. These weight losses agree well with those found in our previous work.

Antibacterial Activity

Antibacterial activity was determined by broth microdilution assay using Gram-positive (S. aureus) and Gram-negative bacteria (E. coli). Additionally, based on the evidence that antimicrobial activity of AMPs in presence of high concentrations of salt are reduced, we
evaluated the impact of salt (Low salt LB and LB broth medium) on the antibacterial activity of the nanobioconjugates.\textsuperscript{38,39} Our results demonstrated that the antibacterial activity of BUF-II-PEA-magnetite conjugates is preserved for all evaluated salt concentrations (Figure 2A). At 1 mg/mL, BUF-II-PEA-magnetite conjugates reduced bacterial growth in \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{E. coli} by about 50\% while at 750 \(\mu\)g/mL growth was reduced by about 35\% for \textit{E. coli} and 50\% for \textit{S. aureus}. Finally, at 500 \(\mu\)g/mL and 100 \(\mu\)g/mL, bacterial growth was also reduced by 45\% and 20\% for \textit{S. aureus} while for \textit{E. coli} the reduction was about 22\%, and 18\%; respectively. These results demonstrated that as opposed to our previous study,\textsuperscript{24} immobilization on PEA-modified magnetite nanoparticles is a suitable route to maintain a larger number of BUF-II molecules with activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Bare nanoparticles show no antibacterial activity in the presence of either \textit{E. coli} or \textit{S. aureus} (data not shown).

Membrane Integrity And Internalization In Bacteria

Figure 3 shows AFM images of \textit{E. coli} in the absence and presence of BUF-II-PEA-magnetite nanobioconjugates (Figure 3A and B). Height profiles (Figure 3C) and surface roughness for a representative bacterium cell in the absence (Figure 3D) and presence (Figure 3E) of nanobioconjugates were plotted with the aid of the open-source software Gwyddion\textsuperscript{®}. The height profiles showed no significant changes in size for the bacterium after exposure to the conjugates. Surface roughness also remained unaltered in the presence of the conjugates. Taken together, these two findings strongly suggest that penetration of the conjugates into \textit{E. coli} proceeds without promoting significant changes.
membrane perturbation. A mask was imposed on cells aided by Gwyddion® to evaluate mean square roughness or RMS of height irregularities ($S_q$) and mean roughness or $S_a$ value of height irregularities. Even though $S_q$ and $S_a$ are computed from the 2nd central moment of data values, the first is calculated from the sum of absolute values of data differences from the mean, while the second is from the differences from their squares. Table 1 summarizes the calculated values for each parameter in the presence and absence of the nanobioconjugates. The higher values of $S_q$ and $S_a$ for the analyzed bacterium in the absence of the conjugates suggests that upon entrance into the microorganisms, conjugates are most likely responsible for promoting surface perturbations that ultimately lead to the release of weakly interacting molecules such as buffer salts. According to a recent AFM study on E. coli, $S_q$ values approach 18, which is a third of our estimation. This can possibly be explained by the higher number of bacteria considered for their studies compared with our single-cell analysis.

Internalization of nanobioconjugates was confirmed with the aid of the magnetic force module of the AFM instrument. In this case, images of magnetic fields on the sample are acquired by incorporating a magnetic probe into the AFM. Here we explored the electrostatic force components of the force, which are purely attractive and generate a contrast that appears dark for regions where a metal structure is present. Accordingly, Figure 3F qualitative shows that dark regions, i.e., where the MNPs are present, located within the bacterium cells. A similar experiment in the absence of nanobioconjugates failed to produce any recordable electrostatic forces (data not shown).

Further SEM imaging analysis of bacteria in the presence of nanobioconjugates was conducted to confirm the notion that no significant changes in the integrity of E. coli cells occur upon internalization. Images cells and nanobioconjugates prior to delivery are shown in Figure 4A and B, respectively. Aggregates of the nanobioconjugates of about 200 nm are observable in Figure 4A with white deposits of buffer salts surrounding them. E. coli cells in Figure 4B shows the typical rod-like morphology with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>E. coli + BUF-II-PEA-Magnetite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roughness Mean Square ($S_q$)</td>
<td>56.90 nm ± 0.69 nm</td>
<td>21.67 nm ± 1.14 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Roughness ($S_a$)</td>
<td>47.07 nm ± 0.69 nm</td>
<td>6.99 nm ± 1.14 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Area</td>
<td>2.48 μm² ± 0.099 μm²</td>
<td>1.80 μm² ± 0.070 μm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 AFM height images of (A) E. coli (B) E. coli in the presence of BUF-II-PEA-magnetite nanobioconjugates (C) Height profiles of E. coli (red) and E. coli in the presence of BUF-II-PEA-magnetite nanobioconjugates (blue) (D) E. coli roughness profile for E. coli (red) and (E) E. coli in the presence of BUF-II-PEA-magnetite nanobioconjugates (blue) (F) Electrostatic force on a single bacterium after exposure to the BUF-II-PEA-magnetite nanobioconjugate.
some superficial features that can be attributed to electrostatically attached buffer salts. Figure 4C shows that after delivery of the nanobioconjugates, E. coli cells appear with an even membrane surface and no significant alterations in size or morphology. This result agrees well with the absence of surface irregularities detected by AFM.

Cellular Delivery And Endosome Escape

to evaluate whether BUF-II maintained its translocation capacity after immobilization, THP-1, HaCaT, and HFF cells were exposed to the BUFII-FITC-PEA-Magnetite and BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates. Subsequent internalization was assessed with the aid of confocal microscopy. As observed in Figure 5, we confirmed that the nanobioconjugates effectively translocated both cell and nuclear membranes, and distributed homogeneously at the intracellular level in all studied cell lines. In our previous work, the synthesized nanobioconjugates were only able to penetrate the cell membrane, and we also showed that the peptide alone remained mostly in the cell membrane. The ability of the new vehicle to come across the nuclear membrane makes it superior for a number of applications in gene delivery compared with other drug delivery vehicles.

Endosome escape upon internalization was assessed for BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates via colocalization in the presence of LysoTracker Green as an endosome marker and changes in thermal energy. Figure 6A and B show representative images for internalization of the nanobioconjugates and formed endosomes in THP-1 cells. Colocalization of Lysotracker and nanobioconjugates approached 27%, which means that the remaining 73% of the conjugates are able to escape endosomes. Figure 6C shows that incubation at low temperatures led to a homogeneous intracellular distribution, which confirmed that internalization is most likely an energy-independent and non-endocytic process. The direct translocation mechanism and subsequent internalization through a non-endocytic pathway are advantageous for assuring that delivered drugs remain active as they are protected from enzymatic digestion within lysosomes. The ability of BUF-II to translocate the cell membrane can be associated with the presence of four arginine residues in its structure. This is in line with the work by Kauffman et al. according to which arginine-rich peptides can be transported across the membrane without disrupting it.

Nanobioconjugate Uptake On Mammalian Cells

The efficiency of the nanobioconjugates at entering mammalian cells was evaluated with the aid of a spectrofluorometer. BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates were delivered and subsequently incubated with THP-1 cells for 1 hr at 37°C. The difference in fluorescence intensity prior to and after delivery was correlated with the percentage of conjugates internalized. Figure 7 shows the internalized percentage as a function of the initial concentration of nanobioconjugates to which cells were exposed. This curve reaches saturation (i.e., 100% internalization) at about 250 µg/mL. Internalization of half of nanobioconjugates is achieved at 50 µg/mL, which lies in the middle of the linear regime of uptake. An internalization efficiency of about 75% was achieved at 100 µg/mL, a concentration at which
biocompatibility is still acceptable for most delivery applications (see below).

**Biocompatibility**

To determine the potential as a drug delivery vehicle, the obtained BUFII-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates need to be biocompatible. According to the ISO 10993 standard to assure biocompatibility of nanomaterials a number of tests are required including hemolysis, platelet aggregation, and cytotoxicity. As shown in Figure 2B, hemolysis levels remained below 5%, which complies with the ISO standard. Figure 2C shows that PEA-coated magnetite and BUFII-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates cause more platelet aggregation than the positive controls epinephrine, ADP, and collagen in all the evaluated concentrations. A slight reduction in aggregation was observed; however, after conjugation of BUFII on the PEA-modified nanoparticles. Bare magnetite causes little aggregation and consequently, it can be categorized as a poor platelet aggregator. The aggregation tendency of BUF-II can be in part attributed to sharing the sequence identity of the N-terminus of H2A histone, which has been reported to induce thrombin generation and subsequently activate the coagulation pathway. Also, extracellular histones are capable of binding to platelets, which in turn leads to increased calcium influx, activation, and ultimately aggregation.

Finally Figure 2D shows that at the highest concentration evaluated (i.e., 100 µg/mL), cell viability for BUF-II-PEA-magnetite nanobioconjugates remains above 85% at 24 hrs while after 72 hrs it decreases to 75%. Taken together, these results are encouraging to proceed to the in vivo evaluation of the developed cell-penetrating vehicles.

**Conclusion**

Overcoming the low-penetration capacity of a number of pharmacological compounds represents a major challenge...
for the pharmaceutical industry. To potentially overcome this issue, we have recently introduced novel cell-penetrating vehicles by interfacing the nanostructured material magnetite with the antimicrobial peptide Buforin II (BUF-II). The obtained nanobioconjugates were capable of translocating the cell membrane without significantly decreasing viability. A major drawback, however, was the complete loss of antimicrobial activity. Here, we overcome that issue by immobilizing BUF-II on polyetheramine-modified magnetite nanoparticles. We hypothesized that by introducing this surface spacer, the residues of BUF-II responsible for the antimicrobial activity remain largely accessible to block the replication machinery of bacteria. Characterization of the synthesized nanobioconjugates via TGA and FTIR confirmed the success and of each conjugation step. Size in aqueous solution via DLS was of about 350 nm while TEM in the dry state confirmed the presence of agglomerates formed by individual particles of about 10 nm. AFM and SEM images verified successful translocation in E. coli without promoting significant membrane alterations. Delivery in THP-1, HaCaT and HFF cells demonstrated internalization, homogeneous intracellular distribution, and nuclear penetration. Colocalization with Lysotracker showed an endosomal escape efficiency of about 73%.

Figure 6 (A) Simultaneous delivery of LysoTracker Green and BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates to evaluate endosome escape in THP-1 cells. White arrows point to some of the regions where no colocalization was found between the nanobioconjugate and the LysoTracker while the yellow arrow corresponds to highly colocalized regions. Scale bar corresponds to 10 µm (B) Zoom in to an individual THP-1 cell after delivery of LysoTracker Green® and BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates. White arrows point to regions of low colocalization. Scale bar corresponds to 10 µm (C) THP-1 cells incubated with the nanobioconjugates at 37°C and subsequent incubation at 4°C for 2 hrs. No significant changes in fluorescence intensity upon incubation at different thermal energy support the notion that cellular internalization of BUFII-Rhodamine B-PEA-Magnetite nanobioconjugates proceeds through an energy-independent pathway. Scale bar corresponds to 10 µm.
12% in THP-1 cells. Avoidance of endocytic pathways of internalization was qualitatively confirmed by a delivery assay at low temperature. The nanobioconjugates are highly biocompatible as demonstrated by hemolysis levels below 5% and acute cytotoxicity of around 85%. A surprisingly high thrombogenicity was observed, could be potentially useful for applications in regeneration where a sustained platelet activation is beneficial. Our findings show a promissory vehicle for cell-penetration and microbial control with the capability of escaping endocytic internalization pathways and reaching nuclei. This makes the vehicle addressable for a number of applications ranging from antimicrobial topical treatments to the delivery of nucleotides and therapeutic molecules with difficulties to bypass cell membranes.
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