Effect Of Peppermint Essence On The Pain And Anxiety Caused By Intravenous Catheterization In Cardiac Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Background: The effects of the peppermint essence on the pain and anxiety caused by intravenous catheterization have not been studied yet. The aim of this study was to determine the effect of inhaling peppermint essence on the pain and anxiety.

Methods: In this study, 80 cardiac patients were selected through convenient sampling and randomly allocated to aromatherapy and control groups. Data gathering tools were numeric pain rating scale and visual analogue scale for anxiety. The aromatherapy and control groups received inhaled aromatherapy with peppermint essence and distilled water, respectively.

Results: Mean severity of the pain in the aromatherapy and control groups was 2.95±0.98 and 3.42±1.33, respectively. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.048). The mean score of anxiety before the intervention in the aromatherapy and control groups was 3.75±1.08 and 4.70±1.43, respectively; these figures after the intervention were 2.32±0.97 and 2.10±1.42, respectively. The two groups were not significantly different before and after the intervention in terms of the level of anxiety. However, the level of anxiety before and after the intervention was significantly different in each group (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Aromatherapy attenuated the pain and anxiety caused by intravenous catheterization. Before catheterization, peppermint essence aromatherapy is recommended.
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Introduction

Intravenous catheterization provides a pathway to inject liquid, blood products, and medicine to patients.1,2 The procedure is one of the most common invasive methods that may cause discomfort, anxiety, and pain in most of the patients.3,4 The pain and anxiety are more serious when the peripheral veins are not easy to access and attempts to access the veins fail frequently.5,6 There are evidence that failed catheterization may cause more pain and discomfort in patients.7,8 Among the interventions perform to soothe the pain and anxiety caused by intravenous catheterization, cryotherapy, EMLA topical anesthetic cream, and local anesthesia are notable. Along with the effectiveness of these methods, the side effects like allergic responses and delay in inducing the effect are also notable.9,10 Today, complementary medicine has drawn a great deal of attention among researchers.11 Inhalation aromatherapy is one of the complementary medicine that can be effective in pain and anxiety management.12–14 Inhalation of aroma stimulates the nervous system and by secreting endorphin and noradrenaline, the neurons induce positive mental and physical effects.15 Since there is a direct relationship between pain and anxiety, aromatherapy can attenuate the
anxiety caused by pain through stimulating the limbic system. Aromatherapy may also decrease anxiety through decreasing the level of cortisol and increasing serotonin.\textsuperscript{16} There are evidence that inhaling essential oil may trigger the secretion of endorphin and attenuate pain and anxiety in return.\textsuperscript{17}

Peppermint with the scientific name \textit{Mentha piperita} is one of the essences used for aromatherapy. \textit{Mentha piperita} is an aromatic herb with analgesic and tranquilizing effects. The analgesic effect of peppermint can be due to its main compounds like Carvone, Limonene, and Menthol.\textsuperscript{18,19} Menthol in peppermint affects Kappa Opioid receptors and soothe the pain in return. In addition, menthol is effective in soothing the pain through increasing the stimulation threshold of cells and decreasing synaptic stimulations and transmits.\textsuperscript{20}

There is no consensus about the effects of aromatherapy with peppermint on pain and anxiety so that some believe in its effectiveness\textsuperscript{18–21} and some argue that it is ineffective.\textsuperscript{22,23} It appears that more studies are needed in this area. Taking into account that all the previous studies in this area have focused on surgery, cardiac diseases, stress, post-operative pains, low back pain, and neck pain and that there is no study on the pain and anxiety caused by intravenous catheterization, the present study is an attempt to assess the effects of inhalation of peppermint aroma on the pain and anxiety caused by intravenous catheterization in cardiac patients.

\section*{Methods}

\subsection*{Research Hypothesis}
Peppermint inhalation aromatherapy can soothe the pain and anxiety caused by intravenous catheterization in cardiac patients.

\subsection*{Study Design}
The study was carried out as a randomized controlled trial study with control group in 2017 from December 2016 to June 2017.

\subsection*{Sample And Sampling Method}
The study population was comprised of all cardiac patients hospitalized in Imam Ali Hospital affiliated with Kermanshah University of Medical Science (KUMS). The sample size was determined equal to 32 based on the previous studies\textsuperscript{3,24} (level of confidence=95%, test power=90%). To have a higher test power, 40 patients were selected for each group by convenience sampling and allocated to the groups by random allocation. Inclusion criteria were full consciousness, reading and writing literacy, age range at 18–65, not pregnant (in case of women), no drug addiction or smoking habits (self-statement), not using sedatives and analgesics over the past 6 hrs (self-statement), no chronic respiratory disease such as asthma and allergy (self-statement), no history of mental and neurological diseases (self-statement), and no olfaction impairment. To examine olfaction in the subjects, three drops of Rose \textit{Damascena} were poured on a cotton ball and used to check olfaction in the patients. Reluctance to participant and failure of first attempt to find the vein were the exclusion criteria. The subjects that met the inclusion criteria entered the study through convenient sampling and grouped into control and intervention groups based on random block method. That is, each pair of patients would be allocated randomly to one of the double blocks. The double blocks were AB and BA. The researcher would allocate the double blocks to each pair of patients. In the case of “AB” block, patient one would be allocated to the intervention group and patient two would be allocated to the control group and vice versa for the BA block. The process was continued until all the participants were allocated. It is notable that the blocks were designed by a statistician and the first author performed the allocation process.

\section*{Instruments}
Data gathering tools included numeric pain rating scale (NPRS) and visual analogue scale for anxiety (VAS-A). In addition, a personal information form including age, sex, body mass index, job, education, marital status, diagnosed disease, history of hospitalization, and history of intravenous catheterization was used.

To measure the experienced pain, NPRS was used, which is a 10cm ruler marked from 0 (no pain) to 10 (the highest imaginable pain). Validity and reliability of the tool have been examined and supported by several studies.\textsuperscript{25,26} To measure anxiety, VAS-A tool was used, which is also a 10cm ruler marked from 0 (no anxiety) to 10 (the highest imaginable anxiety). Different studies have supported the reliability and validity of the tool.\textsuperscript{27–29}

\section*{Intervention}
The study was carried out in Imam Ali Hospital affiliated with KUMS. The hospital is the largest heart hospital in the west of Iran. The subjects were briefed about the objectives and all of them signed a written form of...
concern. Afterwards, the subjects were allocated to control and intervention groups. The former received peppermint essence inhalation aromatherapy and the control group received distilled water inhalation aromatherapy (placebo). The peppermint essence used in the study was 100% pure (Barij Essence Pharmaceutical Co. Iran).

Participants of both groups first filled out the personal information form and VAS-A. Then, peppermint inhalation aromatherapy was performed in the intervention group. To this end, three drops of peppermint were poured on a piece of cotton and attached to the collar of the subjects’ cloth 10cm from their nose. Inhalation continued for 5mins. The same process was carried out for the control group with three drops of distilled water (placebo). After the intervention, intravenous catheterization was performed by the researcher (first author) for all the subjects and they asked to fill out VAS-A and NPRS. Identical angiocath (20 G) was used for all the subjects in the elbow area. Figure 1 illustrates the process of study.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using the 16th version of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS v.18.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Chi-square test was used to compare the demographical variables (age, sex, education, marital status, diagnosis of disease, history of hospitalization, and history of catheterization). Moreover, to examine the distribution of the quantitative variables (age, BMI, pain, and anxiety), Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test was used. The results showed that pain and anxiety variables were
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**Figure 1** CONSORT diagram of study process.
not distributed normally. To compare anxiety before and after the intervention, Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used. To compare the level of anxiety before the intervention in the control and experiment groups, Mann–Whitney U-test was used. The same test was used to compare the level of anxiety and pain before the intervention in the two groups. Significance level was set at <0.05.

**Ethical Considerations**

This trial was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The Ethics Committee of KUMS confirmed the study under the code: KUMS.REC.1395.570. The study also was registered in Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials under the code IRCT201612124736N20. As to the nature and objectives of the study, all the participants were briefed and they were ensured about the confidentiality of their information. All the subjects signed a written form of informed consent.

**Results**

Mean and standard deviation of age of the participants was 55.1±8.1 years and the age group 54–65 had the highest frequency (n=52, 65%). More than one half of the subjects (n=48, 60%) were men, had elementary education (n=44, 55%), suffered from coronary artery diseases (n=51, 63.7%), and had a history of hospitalization for coronary diseases (n=59, 73.8%). All the participants were married and had a history of angiocath procedure (Table 1).

The two groups were homogenous in terms of personal variables (gender, BMI, job, marital status, disease diagnosis, history of hospitalization, and history of catheterization) except for age. The mean scores of pain severity caused by catheterization in the intervention and control groups were 2.95±0.98 and 3.42±1.33 (out of 10), respectively. Based on Mann–Whitney U-test result, there was a significant difference between the two groups in terms of the intensity of pain after the intervention (p=0.048) (Table 2). Before the intervention, the mean scores of anxiety in the intervention and

**Table 2 Comparison Of Pain In Aromatherapy And Control Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>Aromatherapy Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.95±0.986</td>
<td>3.425±1.338</td>
<td>−0.475±0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>2.635–3.265</td>
<td>2.997–3.853</td>
<td>−1.067–0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test result</td>
<td>Z* = −1.979, P=0.048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Mann–Whitney U-test.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation.

**Table 1 Demographic Characteristics Of Study Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Aromatherapy Group, n (%)</th>
<th>Control Group, n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–40</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–53</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
<td>16 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54–65</td>
<td>32 (80)</td>
<td>20 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24 (60)</td>
<td>24 (60)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16 (40)</td>
<td>16 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>29 (72.5)</td>
<td>15 (37.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary/high school</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>16 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hospitalization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>31 (77.5)</td>
<td>28 (70)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td>12 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeper</td>
<td>15 (37.5)</td>
<td>14 (35)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>15 (37.5)</td>
<td>12 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>25 (62.5)</td>
<td>26 (65)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: NS, non-significant.
control groups were 3.87±1.04 and 3.47±1.43 (out of 10), respectively. After the intervention, these figures were 2.32 ±0.97 and 2.1±1.42 (out of 10), respectively. Mann–Whitney U-test showed no significant statistical difference in terms of anxiety before and after the intervention between the two groups. However, according to Wilcoxon signed-rank test, there was a significant difference in terms of the mean score of anxiety before and after the intervention in the intervention and control groups (p<0.001) (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The results showed that peppermint essence aromatherapy can attenuate the pain caused by intravenous catheterization. Our search in creditable databases like ClinicalKey, Cochrane library, PubMed, and Web of Science yielded no studies on the effect of peppermint on the pain caused by intravenous catheterization. The majority of studies on the effect of inhalation aromatherapy on the pain caused by intravenous catheterization had focused on other essences like lavender in particular.

Some studies have reported about the effectiveness of aromatherapy on attenuation of the pain and anxiety caused by intravenous catheters, while some studies have argued that aromatherapy is ineffective. The majority of researchers have focused on the effect of peppermint essence on pain and anxiety with different causes like childbirth, coronary diseases, stress, post-operative pains, low back pain, neck pain, and test anxiety and reported different findings. A systematic review to survey the effect of aromatherapy on childbirth pain surveyed the articles published before 9th of November 2016. Among these articles, two were about peppermint and reported about the positive effect of the aroma on childbirth pain. Another study argued that *Menthe piperita*, citrus lemon, tea tree, and Ylang-ylang tree had a positive effect on the attenuation of stress in nurses. Taheri et al (2015) reported about the positive effects of peppermint essence on decreasing the pain following appendectomy operation. Sundstrup et al (2014) used topical gel containing menthol essence (4%), which is a main compound in peppermint and reported that it led to a notable decrease in severity of pain in carpal tunnel syndrome patients. Meshgin Abadi et al (2013) tried to determine the effects of aromatherapy massage using a mixture of peppermint, celery, and lavender essences on backache in patients under Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. They reported that the intervention was effective. The effect of aromatic essences on neck pain using a cream containing lavender, peppermint, black pepper was studied by Ou et al (2014) and the results supported the effectiveness of the intervention. Some of the studies mentioned here used a mixture of different essences, while only pure peppermint was used in our study.

Our findings showed that peppermint essence aromatherapy can attenuate the anxiety caused by intravenous catheterization in the intervention and control groups; however, the decrease of anxiety in the control group was less than that of the intervention group. With regard to the causes of attenuation of anxiety in the control group, different psychological, physiological, and environmental factors are effective. Presence of the researcher to perform aromatherapy using distilled water can be one of the probable causes of attenuation of anxiety in the control group. The author found no studies on the effect of peppermint aromatherapy on the anxiety of intravenous catheterization. All the available studies were on other causes of anxiety-like childbirth, surgery, and exams. A clinical study reported that inhalation of peppermint essence had a significant effect on the attenuation of anxiety in heart attack patients. Another clinical trial showed that peppermint aromatherapy can attenuate the anxiety before colonoscopy. With regard to the effectiveness of inhalation of peppermint essence in attenuation of anxiety, the results of the present study are consistent with similar studies. However, some studies have argued that peppermint (pure or mixed with other essences) aromatherapy is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Aromatherapy Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Test Resulta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before intervention</td>
<td>3.75±1.08</td>
<td>3.405–4.095</td>
<td>3.47±1.43</td>
<td>3.017–3.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After intervention</td>
<td>2.32±0.97</td>
<td>2.014–2.636</td>
<td>2.1±1.42</td>
<td>1.643–2.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test resultb</td>
<td>Z = -5.35, P &lt;0.001</td>
<td>Z = -5.38, P &lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes:* aMann–Whitney U-test, bWilcoxon signed-rank test.

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; NS, nonsignificant; SD, standard deviation.
not effective. A study to compare the effect of aromatherapy using lavender essence and a mixture of peppermint and orange essence on the exam anxiety in students reported that the intervention was not effective.82 Another study to compare the effect of inhalation of a lavender-sandalwood and peppermint-orange essences on the anxiety of women under breast biopsy reported that the both mixture of essence were effective.83 In terms of the effectiveness of peppermint aromatherapy, our results are consistent with mentioned studies. Chemical compounds in peppermint had strong analgesic and tranquilizing effects.

Our study was not free of limitations. As the first limitation, it was not possible to carry out a blind study as peppermint essence is aromatic and distilled water is odorless. In addition, there was a limitation in terms of homogenizing the participants in terms of age, this factor may have an influence on the patients’ pain tolerance threshold.

Conclusion
Peppermint aromatherapy can attenuate the pain and anxiety caused by intravenous catheterization. Using this non-pharmacological intervention as an effective and easy method before painful and invasive procedures like intravenous catheterization is recommended. Taking into account the importance of decreasing the pain caused by injections in children, a similar study on this age group can be subject of future studies. Similar studies on other patients experiencing frequent catheterization, like patients under hemodialysis or chemotherapy are recommended. It is also suggested that future studies use the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory to measure anxiety.
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